Reflexive homology

Daniel Graves

School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Woodhouse, Leeds, LS2
9JT, UK (dan.graves92@gmail.com)

Reflexive homology is the homology theory associated to the reflexive crossed
simplicial group; one of the fundamental crossed simplicial groups. It is the most
general way to extend Hochschild homology to detect an order-reversing involution.
In this paper we study the relationship between reflexive homology and the
C_2-equivariant homology of free loop spaces. We define reflexive homology in terms
of functor homology. We give a bicomplex for computing reflexive homology together
with some calculations, including the reflexive homology of a tensor algebra. We
prove that the reflexive homology of a group algebra is isomorphic to the homology
of the C_2-equivariant Borel construction on the free loop space of the classifying
space. We give a direct sum decomposition of the reflexive homology of a group
algebra indexed by conjugacy classes of group elements, where the summands are
defined in terms of a reflexive analogue of group homology. We define a
hyperhomology version of reflexive homology and use it to study the C_2-equivariant
homology of certain free loop and free loop-suspension spaces. We show that
reflexive homology satisfies Morita invariance. We prove that under nice conditions
the involutive Hochschild homology studied by Braun and by Fernàndez-València
and Giansiracusa coincides with reflexive homology.

Introduction

Reflexive homology is the homology theory associated to the reflexive crossed sim-
plicial group. It is the most general way to extend Hochschild homology to detect
an order-reversing involution.

The study of involutive structures in algebraic topology has been very fruitful in
recent years with the development of *real topological Hochschild homology* [**1**, **18**,
20, **21**, **23**, **44**, **45**], *real algebraic K-theory* [**19**, **22**, **43**] and a renaissance in the
study of *Hermitian K-theory* [**8**–**10**].

In this paper we study the relationship between reflexive homology and the C_2-
equivariant homology of free loop spaces. The study of free loop spaces occurs
widely in topology and geometry. In topology they play an important role in string
topology [**12**] and topological Hochschild and cyclic homology [**3**, **60**]. See also [**13**]
for more on both of these topics. In geometry, the free loop space is intimately

connected with the study of closed geodesics on manifolds [**54**], [**41**]. See also [**61**] for a survey of results in this area.

Crossed simplicial groups were introduced independently by Fiedorowicz and Loday [**31**] and Krasauskas [**47**] in order to study equivariant homology. One way of thinking about a crossed simplicial group is as the structure required to build group actions into Hochschild homology in the same fashion as the cyclic homology theory due to Connes [**14**] (see also [**51**]). Since their introduction, crossed simplicial groups have been well-studied and have found applications in other areas such as the categorification of monoids in symmetric and braided monoidal categories [**36**–**38**, **49**, **63**] and combinatorial models for marked surfaces with a *G*-structure [**25**].

A classification result [**31**, 3.6], [**47**, 1.5] tells us that any crossed simplicial group occurs as an extension of a *fundamental* crossed simplicial group. These fundamental crossed simplicial groups are subobjects of the hyperoctahedral crossed simplicial group [**31**, Section 3]: trivial; reflexive; cyclic; dihedral; symmetric; and hyperoctahedral. Most of these crossed simplicial groups have been well-studied. Whilst the associated homology theories have a range of interesting applications, for the purposes of this introduction we will restrict ourselves to results about loop spaces.

The homology theories associated to the trivial crossed simplicial group and the cyclic crossed simplicial group are Hochschild homology and cyclic homology respectively. These homology theories, when applied to the group algebra of a discrete group, calculate the homology and the S^1-equivariant homology of the free loop space on the classifying space of the group respectively [**51**, 7.3.13]. Indeed, the connections between the cyclic homology theory and free loop spaces is well-established [**6**, **7**, **11**, **35**, **46**, **69**]. The dihedral homology theory [**48**, **50**] is used to calculate the $O(2)$-equivariant homology of free loop spaces [**24**, **53**, **68**].

In spite of the fact that crossed simplicial groups and their associated homology theories are well-studied, the reflexive crossed simplicial group appears only ephemerally in the literature; usually only being considered insofar as it relates to the dihedral crossed simplicial group. For example, Krasauskas, Lapin and Solov'ev [**48**, Section 3] give a definition of reflexive homology in terms of hyper-homology in order to obtain a dihedral version of Connes' periodicity long exact sequence. Spaliński [**66**, Section 3] shows that the category of reflexive sets admits a model structure that is Quillen equivalent to the category of C_2-spaces with the fixed-point model structure and uses this as a tool for giving a discrete model of $O(2)$-equivariant homotopy theory, which also arises from the dihedral crossed simplicial group.

In this paper we study the reflexive homology theory in its own right. The term 'reflexive' for this crossed simplicial group was first used in [**47**, Proposition 1.5]. As the homology theory associated to a crossed simplicial group, reflexive homology is defined as functor homology over a small category which we will denote ΔR^{op}. The structure of the indexing category ΔR^{op} can be thought of as encoding an order-reversing involution compatible with a unital, associative multiplication. In this sense, the reflexive homology theory offers the most general framework for extending Hochschild homology to detect the action of an involution.

The paper is structured as follows.

In § 1 we define reflexive homology in terms of functor homology and use this to define the reflexive homology of an involutive algebra over a commutative ring. We provide the necessary background material on functor homology and Hochschild homology and survey the results that currently exist in the literature.

In § 2 we define a bicomplex that can be used to calculate reflexive homology. We use this to show that our functor homology definition coincides with the hyperhomology definition of [**48**]. We also show that when working over a field of characteristic zero, reflexive homology can be calculated using the quotient of the Hochschild complex by the involution action.

In § 3 we define reflexive hyperhomology for chain complexes of left ΔR^{op}-modules in terms of hyper-derived functors and recall some important examples.

In § 4 we prove that reflexive homology satisfies Morita invariance. Explicitly, we show that for an involutive algebra A, the reflexive homology of the involutive algebra of $(m \times m)$-matrices with entries in A is isomorphic to the reflexive homology of A.

In § 5 we provide some computations. We calculate the reflexive homology of the ground ring and describe degree zero reflexive homology for commutative algebras with involution.

In § 6 we calculate the reflexive homology of a tensor algebra. We show that this can be described in terms of the group homology of C_2 with coefficients in the Hochschild homology of the tensor algebra, as calculated by Loday and Quillen [**52**]. As a consequence, we show that the reflexive homology of a tensor algebra has a grading.

In § 7 we calculate the reflexive homology of a group algebra. We prove that this is isomorphic to the homology of the C_2-equivariant Borel construction on the free loop space of the classifying space of the group. By combining this with the cyclic homology of a group algebra [**51**, 7.3.13], we show that the dihedral homology of a group algebra is isomorphic to the homology of the $O(2)$-equivariant Borel construction on the free loop space of the classifying space of the group. Furthermore, we give a direct sum decomposition of the reflexive homology of a group algebra, indexed over the conjugacy classes of the group, where the summands are given in terms of a reflexive analogue of group homology.

In § 8 we use our reflexive hyperhomology to prove that we can calculate the C_2-equivariant homology of certain free loop spaces and free loop-suspension spaces in terms of the singular chain complex on certain Moore loop spaces.

Other constructions that build an involution into Hochschild homology exist in the literature. Braun [**4**] introduced *involutive Hochschild homology* in order to study involutive algebras and involutive A_∞-algebras. The homological algebra of this theory was developed by Fernàndez-València and Giansiracusa [**29**]. In particular, they show that under nice conditions involutive Hochschild homology can be described as Tor over an involutive analogue of the enveloping algebra. In § 9 we show that under these conditions there is an isomorphism between involutive Hochschild homology and reflexive homology.

In § 10, we provide some exposition on how the structure of the reflexive crossed simplicial group appears in the study of *real topological Hochschild homology*. In particular, we observe that *real simplicial objects* in a category are precisely the

same as reflexive objects in a category. An important example of this is the dihedral nerve construction, which plays an important role in defining real topological Hochschild homology.

Conventions

Throughout the paper we will let k be a commutative ring. An unadorned tensor product symbol, $\otimes$, will denote the tensor product of k-modules. The category of k-modules will be denoted by $\mathbf{Mod}_k$. We will denote by $\mathbf{Top}$ the category of compactly generated weak Hausdorff topological spaces. Let $\mathbf{Top}_\star$ denote the category of based compactly generated weak Hausdorff topological spaces. We will usually refer to a 'topological space' or a 'based topological space'. When referring to a weak equivalence of topological spaces we mean a $\pi_\star$-isomorphism. Several of our results relate to free loop spaces so we will introduce notation for this here. Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the functor $\mathrm{Maps}(S^1, -)$, which sends a based topological space X to the space of unbased continuous maps $S^1 \to X$.

1. Reflexive homology

In this section we recall the definition of the reflexive crossed simplicial group and define its associated homology theory.

1.1. Functor homology and Hochschild homology

We start by recalling some constructions from functor homology, using Hochschild homology as an example.

For a small category $\mathbf{C}$ there are abelian categories $\mathbf{CMod} = \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{Mod}_k)$ and $\mathbf{ModC} = \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{op}, \mathbf{Mod}_k)$. There is a tensor product

$$- \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} - \colon \mathbf{ModC} \times \mathbf{CMod} \to \mathbf{Mod}_k$$

defined as the coend

$$G \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} F = \int^{C \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbf{C})} G(C) \otimes_k F(C)$$

as in [**55**, Section 3]. It is well-known that this tensor product is right exact with respect to both variables and preserves direct sums [**62**, Section 1.6]. The left derived functors of this tensor product are denoted by $\mathrm{Tor}_\star^{\mathbf{C}}(-, -)$. When $G = k^*$, the constant functor at k, we write $H_\star(\mathbf{C}, F) = \mathrm{Tor}_\star^{\mathbf{C}}(k^*, F)$.

As an example, we can recover Hochschild homology of a simplicial k-module. Recall the category Δ, whose objects are the sets $[n] = \{0, \ldots, n\}$ for $n \geqslant 0$ and whose morphisms are order-preserving maps [**51**, B.1]. If we take $\mathbf{C} = \Delta^{op}$ and a simplicial k-module $F \colon \Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Mod}_k$ we recover Hochschild homology. One example that we will be particularly interested in is studying k-algebras so we recall the *Loday functor*.

Let A be an associative k-algebra and let M be an A-bimodule. There is a functor

$$\mathcal{L}(A, M) \colon \Delta^{op} \to \mathbf{Mod}_k$$

given on objects by $[n] \mapsto M \otimes A^{\otimes n}$ and determined on morphisms by

$$\partial_i \left(m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \right) = \begin{cases} (ma_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) & i = 0 \\ (m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) & 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \\ (a_n m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1}) & i = n \end{cases}$$

and

$$s_j \left(m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \right) = \begin{cases} (m \otimes 1_A \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) & j = 0 \\ (m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_j \otimes 1_A \otimes a_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) & j \geqslant 1. \end{cases}$$

The chain complex associated to this simplicial k-module is the Hochschild complex $C_\star(A, M)$ and its homology is Hochschild homology, $HH_\star(A, M)$. In particular we have

$$HH_\star(A, M) = H_\star \left(\Delta^{op}, \mathcal{L}(A, M) \right) = \mathrm{Tor}_\star^{\Delta^{op}} \left(k^*, \mathcal{L}(A, M) \right).$$

1.2. Reflexive homology

An important source of functor homology theories come from *crossed simplicial groups*, introduced independently by Fiedorowicz and Loday [31] and Krasauskas [47].

In this paper we will study the homology theory associated to the reflexive crossed simplicial group.

DEFINITION 1.1. *Let $R_n = \left\langle r_n \mid r_n^2 = 1 \right\rangle \cong C_2$ for $n \geqslant 0$.*

The family of groups $\{R_\star\}$ forms a crossed simplicial group [31, Example 2], whose geometric realization is C_2.

DEFINITION 1.2. *The category ΔR has the sets $[n] = \{0, \ldots, n\}$ for $n \geqslant 0$ as objects. An element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta R}([n], [m])$ is a pair (φ, g) where $g \in R_n$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([n], [m])$. The composition is determined as follows. For a face map $\delta_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([n], [n+1])$ we define $r_{n+1} \circ \delta_i = \delta_{n-i} \circ r_n$ and for a degeneracy map $\sigma_j \in \mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([n], [n-1])$ we define $r_n \circ \sigma_j = \sigma_{n-j} \circ r_{n-1}$.*

REMARK 1.3. *The category ΔR also appears in [48, Section 1] with the notation $\Delta \ltimes \mathbb{Z}/2$.*

DEFINITION 1.4. *Let $F \in \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta R^{op}, \mathbf{Mod}_k)$. We define the reflexive homology of F to be $HR_\star(F) = \mathrm{Tor}_\star^{\Delta R^{op}}(k^*, F)$, where $k^* \in \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta R, \mathbf{Mod}_k)$ is the constant functor at k.*

We can extend the Loday functor to a functor $\mathcal{L}(A, M) \colon \Delta R^{op} \to \mathbf{Mod}_k$ in two different ways but first we require some definitions.

DEFINITION 1.5. *A k-algebra is said to be involutive if it is equipped with an anti-homomorphism of algebras $A \to A$ of order two, which we will denote by $a \mapsto \overline{a}$. In other words, we equip A with a k-linear endomorphism which reverses the order of multiplication and squares to the identity.*

Following Loday [**51**, 5.2.1] we recall the notion of an involutive A-bimodule.

DEFINITION 1.6. *Let A be an involutive k-algebra. An involutive A-bimodule is an A-bimodule M equipped with a map $m \mapsto \overline{m}$ such that $\overline{a_1 m a_2} = \overline{a_2}\,\overline{m}\,\overline{a_1}$ for a_1, $a_2 \in A$.*

EXAMPLE 1.7. Taking $M = A$ gives one example of an involutive A-bimodule. If A is equipped with an augmentation $\varepsilon \colon A \to k$ we can also take $M = k$ with the trivial involution.

With these definitions we can extend the Loday functor to ΔR^{op} in two ways.

DEFINITION 1.8. *Let A be an involutive k-algebra and let M be an involutive A-bimodule. We extend the Loday functor $\mathcal{L}(A, M)$ to a functor*

$$\mathcal{L}^+ (A, M) : \Delta R^{op} \to \mathbf{Mod}_k$$

by defining

$$r_n \left(m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \right) = \left(\overline{m} \otimes \overline{a_n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{a_1} \right).$$

DEFINITION 1.9. *Let A be an involutive k-algebra and let M be an involutive A-bimodule. We define*

$$HR_\star^+(A, M) = \mathrm{Tor}_\star^{\Delta R^{op}} \left(k^*, \mathcal{L}^+(A, M) \right).$$

DEFINITION 1.10. *Let A be an involutive k-algebra and let M be an involutive A-bimodule. We extend the Loday functor $\mathcal{L}(A, M)$ to a functor*

$$\mathcal{L}^- (A, M) : \Delta R^{op} \to \mathbf{Mod}_k$$

by defining

$$r_n \left(m \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \right) = - \left(\overline{m} \otimes \overline{a_n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{a_1} \right).$$

DEFINITION 1.11. *Let A be an involutive k-algebra and let M be an involutive A-bimodule. We define*

$$HR_\star^-(A, M) = \mathrm{Tor}_\star^{\Delta R^{op}} \left(k^*, \mathcal{L}^-(A, M) \right).$$

REMARK 1.12. When we take $M = A$ we will omit the coefficients from the notation and write $\mathcal{L}^\pm(A)$ and $HR_\star^\pm(A)$.

REMARK 1.13. These reflexive homology groups fit into long exact sequences with the dihedral homology groups by [**48**, Proposition 3.1]. There exist long exact sequences

$$\cdots \to HR_n^+(A) \to HD_n^+(A) \to HD_{n-2}^-(A) \to HR_{n-1}^+(A) \to \cdots$$

and

$$\cdots \to HR_n^-(A) \to HD_n^-(A) \to HD_{n-2}^+(A) \to HR_{n-1}^-(A) \to \cdots$$

where the dihedral homology groups $HD_\star^+(A)$ and $HD_\star^-(A)$ are defined in [**48**, Section 1]. Furthermore, as discussed in [**51**, 5.2], if the ground ring k contains $\mathbb{Q}$ then the direct sum of these long exact sequences is Connes' long exact sequence connecting Hochschild homology and cyclic homology [**51**, Theorem 2.2.1].

REMARK 1.14. For an involutive k-algebra A, there is natural map $HR_\star^+(A) \to HO_\star(A)$, where $HO_\star$ denotes the hyperoctahedral homology theory of [**39**] and [**30**, Section 2]. One can obtain this map by composing the map $HR_\star^+(A) \to HD_\star^+(A)$ from the previous remark with the map $HD_\star(A) \to HO_\star(A)$ of [**39**, Subsection 3.3].

2. Biresolution

We begin this section by defining a biresolution of the k-constant right ΔR^{op}-module k^*. Fiedorowicz and Loday [**31**, 6.7] give a general construction for such a biresolution using the homogeneous bar resolution for a group [**56**, VII.6]. The bicomplex defined here is smaller, making use of the periodic resolution of the group C_2. It is also worth noting that our bicomplex includes into the tricomplex of Krasauskas, Lapin and Solov'ev [**48**, Section 2] for computing dihedral homology.

DEFINITION 2.1. *We define a bicomplex $C_{\star,\star}$ of right ΔR^{op}-modules as follows. Firstly we set*

$$C_{p,q} = k\left[\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta R}\left([q], -\right)\right]$$

for all $p,\, q \geqslant 0$.
    The horizontal differential $d\colon C_{p,q} \to C_{p-1,q}$ for $q \geqslant 0$ and $p \geqslant 1$ is given by

$$d = \begin{cases} 1 - r_q & q \equiv 0,\, 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4),\ p \equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,2) \\ 1 + r_q & q \equiv 1,\, 2\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4),\ p \equiv 1\,(\mathrm{mod}\,2) \\ 1 + r_q & q \equiv 0,\, 3\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4),\ p \equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,2) \\ 1 - r_q & q \equiv 1,\, 2\,(\mathrm{mod}\,4),\ p \equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,2) \end{cases}$$

where the map r_q is defined by pre-composition.
    The vertical differential $b\colon C_{p,q} \to C_{p,q-1}$ for $p \geqslant 0$ and $q \geqslant 1$ is given by

$$b = \sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^i \delta_i$$

where the maps δ_i are defined by pre-composition.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The bicomplex $C_{\star,\star}$ of definition 2.1 is a biresolution of the k-constant right ΔR^{op}-module k^{*}.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the bicomplex of k-modules obtained by evaluating $C_{\star,\star}$ on each object $[n]$ of ΔR^{op} is a resolution of k.

We fix an object $[n]$. We observe that $C_{p,q}([n])$ is a free $k[C_2]$-module on the set of generators $\mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([q], [n])$ for each $p \geqslant 0$.

Consider the E^1-page of the spectral sequence obtained by taking the horizontal homology of the bicomplex $C_{\star,\star}([n])$. The rows are exact complexes of finitely generated $k[C_2]$-modules. Therefore, the E^1-page is isomorphic to the complex

$$k\left[\mathrm{Hom}_\Delta\left([\star], [n]\right)\right]$$

with differential b concentrated in the column $p = 0$. This is the complex associated to the standard simplicial model of the n-simplex, which is acyclic. We deduce that the E^2-page of the spectral sequence is isomorphic to a copy of k concentrated in bidegree $(0, 0)$ as required. □

In the introduction we said that Krasauskas, Lapin and Solov'ev [48, Section 3] had given a definition of reflexive homology in terms of hyperhomology. We now demonstrate that the functor homology definition coincides with that definition.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $F \in \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta R^{op}, \mathrm{Mod}_k)$. The reflexive homology $HR_\star(F)$ is naturally isomorphic to the hyperhomology of the group C_2 with coefficients in the Hochschild complex $C_\star(F)$.*

Proof. We observe that for $F \in \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta R^{op}, \mathrm{Mod}_k)$, the Hochschild complex $C_\star(F)$ is a complex of $k[C_2]$-modules. Consider the bicomplex $C_{\star,\star} \otimes_{\Delta R^{op}} F$. On the one hand, by definition, the homology of this bicomplex is $HR_\star(F)$. On the other hand, it is a bicomplex of k-modules with the Hochschild complex $C_\star(F)$ in the column $p = 0$ such that the homology of row n for $n \geqslant 0$ is the group homology of C_2 with coefficients in $F([n])$. In other words, it is a bicomplex of k-modules which computes the hyperhomology of C_2 with coefficients in the complex $C_\star(F)$. □

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Suppose that 2 is invertible in the ground ring. Let A be an involutive k-algebra and let M be an involutive A-bimodule. There exist isomorphisms of graded k-modules*

$$HR_\star^+(A, M) \cong H_\star\left(\frac{C_\star(A, M)}{(1 - r)}\right) \quad \textit{and} \quad HR_\star^-(A, M) \cong H_\star\left(\frac{C_\star(A, M)}{(1 + r)}\right).$$

Proof. Consider the horizontal homology spectral sequences of the bicomplexes of k-modules $C_{\star,\star} \otimes_{\Delta R^{op}} \mathcal{L}^+(A, M)$ and $C_{\star,\star} \otimes_{\Delta R^{op}} \mathcal{L}^-(A, M)$. In each case, the homology of the rows of the bicomplex is $H_\star(C_2, M \otimes A^{\otimes n})$, for the given actions of C_2 on $M \otimes A^{\otimes n}$. Since 2 is invertible in k and C_2 is finite, $H_n(C_2, M \otimes A^{\otimes n}) = 0$ for $n \geqslant 1$. Therefore, in each case, the E^1-page consists of the Hochschild complex with the C_2 action factored out, concentrated in the column $p = 0$. □

3. Reflexive hyperhomology

We can extend the definition of reflexive homology to chain complexes of left ΔR^{op}-modules by defining reflexive hyperhomology. This is a property common to all crossed simplicial groups, as described in [**24**, Section 3] for example. We define reflexive hyperhomology in terms of hypertor functors (see [**70**, 5.7.8] for instance) over the category ΔR^{op}. In our case, these can be described explicitly as the hyper-derived functors of the tensor product $k^* \otimes_{\Delta R^{op}} -$ where k^* is the k-constant right ΔR^{op}-module.

DEFINITION 3.1. *A non-negatively graded reflexive chain complex is a functor* $F \colon \Delta R^{op} \to \mathbf{ChCpx}$, *where* $\mathbf{ChCpx}$, *is the category of non-negatively graded chain complexes of k-modules. Equivalently, a reflexive chain complex is a non-negatively graded chain complex of left ΔR^{op}-modules.*

EXAMPLE 3.2. One example of a reflexive chain complex arises by composing a reflexive topological space $X \colon \Delta R^{op} \to \mathbf{Top}$ with the singular chain complex functor $S_\star(-, k)$.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Another important example of a reflexive chain complex arises from involutive DGAs. Recall that a DGA (*differential graded algebra*, or sometimes *chain algebra*), (A, d), is a graded k-algebra, A, equipped with a k-linear map $d \colon A \to A$ satisfying the following properties. The map d has degree -1, it squares to zero and it satisfies the graded Leibniz rule: $d(ab) = (da)b + (-1)^{|a|}a(db)$, where $|a|$ denotes the degree of a homogeneous element a.

An involutive DGA, (A, d, i), is a DGA, (A, d), equipped with a chain map

$$i \colon (A, d) \to (A, d),$$

written $i(a) = \overline{a}$. The map i must square to the identity and satisfy $\overline{ab} = (-1)^{|a| \cdot |b|} \overline{b}\,\overline{a}$.

Given an involutive DGA (A, d, i), we can form its reflexive bar construction, $\Gamma(A, d, i)$, using the simplicial and reflexive structure described in [**24**, Section 3]. The n-simplices are given by $(A, d)^{\otimes(n+1)}$. Let $a = a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$. The face maps are given by

$$\partial_i(a) = \begin{cases} a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i a_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n & 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \\ (-1)^{|a_n|(|a_0| + \cdots + |a_{n-1}|)} a_n a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n-1} & i = n. \end{cases}$$

The degeneracy maps are given by

$$s_j(a) = a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_j \otimes 1 \otimes a_{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n$$

for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n$.

The reflexive operators are defined by

$$r(a) = (-1)^{||a|| \cdot n(n-1)/2}\, \overline{a_0} \otimes \overline{a_n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{a_1}$$

where $||a|| = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} |a_i| \cdot |a_j|$.

This is another example of a reflexive chain complex.

One example that will be of particular interest in § 8.2 is the following. If M is an involutive topological monoid, the singular chain complex $S_\star(M, k)$ is an involutive DGA with the involution induced from the one on M.

We now define reflexive homology of a chain complex of left ΔR^{op}-modules

DEFINITION 3.4. *Let k_0^* denote the right ΔR^{op}-module k^* thought of as a chain complex concentrated in degree zero. Let $F_\star$ be a non-negatively graded chain complex of left ΔR^{op}-modules. For each $n \geqslant 0$, we define the nth reflexive homology of $F_\star$ by*

$$HR_n(F_\star) = \mathbf{Tor}_n^{\Delta R^{op}}(k_0^*, F_\star).$$

REMARK 3.5. We can use the biresolution of definition 2.1 to construct a chain complex for computing the reflexive homology of a reflexive chain complex. Since the chain complex k_0^* is concentrated in degree zero, the tensor product of chain complexes $k_0^* \otimes_{\Delta R^{op}} F_\star$ is equivalent to applying the functor $k^* \otimes_{\Delta R^{op}} -$ to the chain complex $F_\star$ degree-wise. Replacing k^* by the biresolution of definition 2.1 we obtain a chain complex of bicomplexes, that is, a tricomplex. Applying the total complex functor for tricomplexes we obtain a chain complex which calculates $\mathbf{Tor}_n^{\Delta R^{op}}(k_0^*, F_\star)$.

REMARK 3.6. We observe that if we consider a left ΔR^{op}-module as a chain complex concentrated in degree zero then the reflexive hyperhomology given in definition 3.4 coincides with the definition of reflexive homology given in definition 1.4.

4. Morita invariance

In this section we prove Morita invariance results for reflexive homology. It is a remarkable property of Hochschild homology [**17**, Theorem 3.7] (see also [**51**, Section 1.2]), cyclic homology [**52**, Corollary 1.7] and dihedral homology [**48**, Theorem 3.4] that the given homology theory applied to the algebra of $(m \times m)$-matrices with entries in a k-algebra A, involutive in the case of dihedral homology, is isomorphic to the homology of A. We prove that reflexive homology shares this property. We also prove a more general result. We show that if two algebras are Hermitian Morita equivalent and satisfy a compatibility condition, then they have the same reflexive homology. It is worth remarking that Morita invariance is not a property shared by every homology theory associated to a crossed simplicial group. For example, it is shown in [**2**, Remark 88] and [**40**, Corollary 5.11] that neither symmetric homology nor hyperoctahedral homology satisfy Morita invariance.

4.1. Morita equivalence and Hermitian Morita equivalence

We begin by recalling the definition of Morita equivalence for two (not necessarily involutive) k-algebras.

DEFINITION 4.1. *Two unital k-algebras, A and B, are said to be Morita equivalent if there is an A-B-bimodule P and a B-A-bimodule Q together*

with an isomorphism of A-bimodules, $u \colon P \otimes_B Q \to A$, and an isomorphism of B-bimodules, $v \colon Q \otimes_A P \to B$.

The concept of Morita equivalence can be extended to involutive k-algebras. This notion is known as *Hermitian Morita equivalence*. This was introduced by Frölich and McEvett [**33**, Section 8] (see also [**42**] and [**27**, Section 2]).

DEFINITION 4.2. *Let A and B be two unital, involutive k-algebras. We say that A and B are Hermitian Morita equivalent if:*

- *A and B are Morita equivalent in the sense of definition 4.1;*

- *the isomorphisms u and v satisfy*
  – *$u(p \otimes q)p' = pv(q \otimes p')$ and*

  – *$v(q \otimes p)q' = qu(p \otimes q')$*
  for all p, $p' \in P$ and q, $q' \in Q$;

- *there exists an additive bijection $\theta \colon P \to Q$ satisfying*
  – *$\theta(apb) = \bar{b}\,\theta(p)\,\bar{a}$ for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $p \in P$,*

  – *$u(p \otimes \theta(p')) = \overline{u(p' \otimes \theta(p))}$ and*

  – *$v(\theta(p) \otimes p') = \overline{v(\theta(p') \otimes p)}$.*

REMARK 4.3. It follows from the fact that u and v are isomorphisms that there exist sets of elements in P, say $\{p_1, \ldots, p_l\}$ and $\{p'_1, \ldots, p'_m\}$, and sets of elements in Q, say $\{q_1, \ldots, q_l\}$ and $\{q'_1, \ldots, q'_m\}$, such that

$$u\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} p_j \otimes q_j\right) = 1_A \quad \text{and} \quad v\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} q'_k \otimes p'_k\right) = 1_B.$$

DEFINITION 4.4. *Let A and B be two unital, involutive k-algebras. Suppose that A and B are Hermitian Morita equivalent. Suppose that the additive bijection θ sends the set $\{p_1, \ldots, p_l\}$ to the set $\{q_1, \ldots, q_l\}$. In this case we say that A and B are compatible.*

4.2. The case of involutive matrix algebras

In this subsection we prove that reflexive homology satisfies Morita invariance for matrix algebras.

Let A be an involutive k-algebra. The algebra of $(m \times m)$-matrices with entries in A, $\mathcal{M}_m(A)$, is an involutive k-algebra with involution defined by $\overline{(x_{ij})} = (\overline{x_{ji}})$. In other words, we take the transpose and apply the involution of A entry-wise.

For $n \geqslant 0$, we define a k-module morphism

$$\mathrm{Tr}_n \colon \mathcal{M}_m(A)^{\otimes(n+1)} \to A^{\otimes(n+1)}$$

by

$$\mathrm{Tr}_n\left(X^{(0)} \otimes \cdots \otimes X^{(n)}\right) = \sum_{1 \leqslant i_0, \ldots, i_n \leqslant m} x^{(0)}_{i_0 i_1} \otimes x^{(1)}_{i_1 i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{(n)}_{i_n i_0}$$

where $x_{ij}^{(k)}$ is the element in the ith row of the jth column of the matrix $X^{(k)}$. As noted in [**48**, Section 3] this map is compatible with simplicial structure and the involution operators r_n for $n \geqslant 0$. It follows that there are induced maps

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\star \colon HR_n^\pm (\mathcal{M}_m(A)) \to HR_n^\pm(A)$$

on reflexive homology for all $m \geqslant 1$ and $n \geqslant 0$.

THEOREM 4.5. *The morphism*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\star \colon HR_n^\pm (\mathcal{M}_m(A)) \to HR_n^\pm(A)$$

on reflexive homology induced from the trace map is an isomorphism for all $m \geqslant 1$ and $n \geqslant 0$.

Proof. By proposition 2.3 we can consider $\mathrm{Tr}_\star$ as a morphism on hyperhomology. By the Morita invariance for Hochschild homology the trace maps induce isomorphisms $HH_\star(\mathcal{M}_m(A)) \to HH_\star(A)$.

Consider the bicomplexes $C_{\star,\star} \otimes_{\Delta R^{op}} \mathcal{L}^\pm(\mathcal{M}_m(A))$ and $C_{\star,\star} \otimes_{\Delta R^{op}} \mathcal{L}^\pm(A)$. The isomorphisms $HH_\star(\mathcal{M}_m(A)) \to HH_\star(A)$ ensure that the vertical homology spectral sequences for these bicomplexes have isomorphic E^1-pages. The result now follows from the comparison theorem [**70**, 5.2.12]. $\square$

4.3. A more general result

In this section we prove a more general Morita invariance statement for reflexive homology. We show that if two algebras are Hermitian Morita equivalent and are compatible in the sense of definition 4.4, then they have the same reflexive homology.

DEFINITION 4.6. *Let A and B be two unital, involutive k-algebras. Suppose that A and B are Hermitian Morita equivalent, so there exist bimodules P and Q and an additive bijection $\theta \colon P \to Q$ as in definitions 4.1 and 4.2. Let M be an involutive A-bimodule. We define an involution the the B-bimodule $Q \otimes_A M \otimes_A P$ by*

$$\overline{q \otimes m \otimes p} = \theta(p) \otimes \overline{m} \otimes \theta^{-1}(q).$$

THEOREM 4.7. *Let A and B be two unital, involutive k-algebras. Suppose that they are Hermitian Morita equivalent and that they are compatible in the sense of definition 4.4. Let M be an involutive A-bimodule. There exist natural isomorphisms of graded k-modules*

$$HR_\star^\pm(A, M) \cong HR_\star^\pm(B, Q \otimes_A M \otimes_A P),$$

where $Q \otimes_A M \otimes_A P$ is equipped with the involution of definition 4.6.

Proof. In [**51**, 1.2.7], Loday defines a chain homotopy equivalence

$$\psi_\star \colon C_\star(A, M) \to C_\star (B, Q \otimes_A M \otimes_A P)$$

between the Hochschild complexes. One can check that this is compatible with the involutions on both complexes, yielding a C_2-equivariant chain homotopy equivalence, from which the result follows. $\square$

5. Calculations

In this section we provide some computations of reflexive homology. We can calculate the reflexive homology of the ground ring in two different ways; using the theory of crossed simplicial groups and direct computation from our bicomplex in the previous section. We demonstrate that our calculations agree with what is already known when working over a field of characteristic zero. We also give explicit descriptions of reflexive homology in degree zero for a commutative algebra.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *There is an isomorphism of graded k-modules $HR_\star^+(k) \cong H_\star(BC_2, k)$.*

Proof. Let $T \colon \Delta R^{op} \to \textbf{Set}$ denote the trivial reflexive set. Explicitly, T sends every object in ΔR^{op} to the one point set $\{*\}$ with trivial involution. Using [**31**, Corollary 6.13] we have

$$HR_\star^+(k) \cong HR_\star^+(k[T]) \cong H_\star(EC_2 \times_{C_2} |T|, k) \cong H_\star(EC_2 \times_{C_2} *, k) \cong H_\star(BC_2, k)$$

as required. □

We can also obtain this calculation directly from the bicomplex defined in the previous section.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let k be a commutative ring with trivial involution. Then*

$$HR_n^+(k) \cong \begin{cases} k & n = 0 \\ k/2k & n \text{ odd} \\ {}_2k & n > 0 \text{ even} \end{cases} \qquad HR_n^-(k) \cong \begin{cases} k/2k & n \text{ even} \\ {}_2k & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

where ${}_2k$ denotes the 2-torsion of k.

Proof. We will prove the result for $HR_n^+(k)$. The result for $HR_n^-(k)$ is similar.
    Consider the bicomplex $C_{\star, \star} \otimes_{\Delta R^{op}} \mathcal{L}^+(k)$. Since the Hochschild homology of k is isomorphic to k concentrated in degree zero [**51**, 1.1.6], taking the vertical homology yields the complex

$$0 \leftarrow k \xleftarrow{0} k \xleftarrow{2} k \xleftarrow{0} k \xleftarrow{2} k \xleftarrow{0} \cdots$$

in row zero. The result now follows by taking homology of this complex. □

COROLLARY 5.3. *If 2 is invertible in the ground ring then $HR_\star^+(k)$ is isomorphic to k concentrated in degree zero and $HR_\star^-(k)$ is zero in all degrees.*

Proof. If 2 is invertible in k then the quotient module $k/2k$ and the 2-torsion ${}_2k$ are both zero and the result follows from proposition 5.2. □

REMARK 5.4. Recall from remark 1.13 that when we work over a field of characteristic zero, the direct sum of $HR_\star^+$ and $HR_\star^-$ is isomorphic to Hochschild homology, $HH_\star$. We note that our calculations for the ground ring k agree with this. When

k is a field of characteristic zero we see that $HR_\star^+(k) \oplus HR_\star^-(k)$ is isomorphic to k concentrated in degree zero, which is isomorphic to $HH_\star(k)$ [**51**, 1.1.6].

THEOREM 5.5. *Let A be a commutative k-algebra.*

- *If A has the trivial involution then $HR_0^+(A) \cong A$ and $HR_0^-(A) \cong A/2A$.*

- *If A has a non-trivial involution then $HR_0^+(A)$ is isomorphic to the coinvariants of A under the involution.*

Proof. One can deduce these results directly from the bicomplex that computes reflexive homology. $\qquad\square$

6. Reflexive homology of a tensor algebra

In this section we calculate the reflexive homology of a tensor algebra. Let M be a k-module and consider a module automorphism $m \mapsto \overline{m}$ which squares to the identity. The identity automorphism is an example of such.

Consider the tensor algebra

$$TM = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^{\otimes n}$$

where $M^{\otimes 0} = k$. The product is given by concatenation, see [**51**, A.1] for instance. The tensor algebra has an involution determined on the nth summand by

$$r\left(m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_n\right) = \left(\overline{m_n} \otimes \overline{m_{n-1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{m_2} \otimes \overline{m_1}\right).$$

Note that the involution on k is trivial.

Taking $A = TM$ in the definition of the Loday functor $\mathcal{L}^+(A)$, we obtain an induced involution on $\mathcal{L}^+(A)([n]) = (TM)^{\otimes n+1}$ given by

$$r(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \overline{a_0} \otimes \overline{a_n} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{a_1},$$

where each $A_i \in TM$.

THEOREM 6.1. *Let M be a k-module with a module automorphism $m \mapsto \overline{m}$ which squares to the identity. There is a natural isomorphism of k-modules*

$$HR_n^+(TM) \cong \begin{cases} H_0\left(C_2, HH_0(TM)\right) & n = 0 \\ H_{n-1}\left(C_2, HH_1(TM)\right) \oplus H_n\left(C_2, HH_0(TM)\right) & n \geqslant 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the reflexive bicomplex for TM. By taking the vertical homology we obtain the E^1-page of a spectral sequence whose entries are the Hochschild homology groups of TM with horizontal differentials induced from $1 \pm r$.

Loday and Quillen [**52**, Lemma 5.2] have calculated the Hochschild homology of a tensor algebra as follows:

$$
HH_n(TM) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{q \geqslant 0} \frac{M^{\otimes q}}{\langle 1-t \rangle} & n = 0 \\ \bigoplus_{q \geqslant 1} \left(M^{\otimes q}\right)^t & n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

where $\left(M^{\otimes q}\right)^t$ are the invariants of the action of the cyclic group C_q on $M^{\otimes q}$ and $M^{\otimes q}/\langle 1-t \rangle$ are the coinvariants.

Therefore the E^1-page of our spectral sequence is concentrated in rows zero and one as follows:

$$
HH_1(TM) \xleftarrow{1+r} HH_1(TM) \xleftarrow{1-r} HH_1(TM) \xleftarrow{1+r} HH_1(TM) \xleftarrow{1-r} \cdots
$$

$$
HH_0(TM) \xleftarrow{1-r} HH_0(TM) \xleftarrow{1+r} HH_0(TM) \xleftarrow{1-r} HH_0(TM) \xleftarrow{1+r} \cdots
$$

An argument similar to [**53**, Lemma 2.2.1] tells us that in row zero we have

$$
r\left(m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_q\right) = \left(\overline{m_1} \otimes \overline{m_q} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{m_2}\right)
$$

and in row one we have

$$
r\left(m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_q\right) = -\left(\overline{m_1} \otimes \overline{m_q} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{m_2}\right).
$$

Furthermore, an argument similar to [**53**, Lemma 2.3.2] tells us that the differentials on the E^2-page are zero and so the spectral sequence collapses.

Taking homology on the E^1-page yields

$$
E^2_{p,0} \cong H_p\left(C_2, HH_0(TM)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad E^2_{p,1} \cong H_p\left(C_2, HH_1(TM)\right)
$$

with all other $E^2_{p,q} = 0$, from which we can read off the result. $\square$

REMARK 6.2. We deduce from the theorem that the reflexive homology of a tensor algebra has a grading induced from the grading on the Hochschild homology. In homological degree zero, for $q \geqslant 0$, we have

$$
HR_0^+\left(TM\right)_q = H_0\left(C_2, \frac{M^{\otimes q}}{\langle 1-t \rangle}\right).
$$

Note that when $q = 0$ we have

$$
HR_0^+\left(TM\right)_0 = H_0\left(C_2, k\right) \cong H_0(BC_2, k) \cong HR_0^+(k)
$$

and when $q = 1$ we have

$$
HR_0^+\left(TM\right)_1 = H_0\left(C_2, M\right).
$$

In homological degree p we have

$$
HR_p^+(TM)_0 = H_p\left(C_2, k\right) \cong H_p(BC_2, k) \cong HR_p^+(k)
$$

and

$$HR_p^+(TM)_q = H_p\left(C_2, \frac{M^{\otimes q}}{\langle 1 - t\rangle}\right) \oplus H_{p-1}\left(C_2, \left(M^{\otimes q}\right)^t\right)$$

for $q \geqslant 1$.

We note that, as mentioned above, our grading on the reflexive homology of a tensor algebra is induced from the grading on the Hochschild homology of a tensor algebra. For cyclic homology [**52**, Proposition 5.4] and dihedral homology [**53**, Theorem 2.1.1] there is a grading induced directly from the grading on a tensor algebra. In both of these cases this follows from analysis of the norm map N (see [**51**, 2.1.0] for instance) which we do not have in the reflexive case.

7. Reflexive homology of a group algebra

In this section we will study the reflexive homology of the group algebra of a discrete group. We will recall simplicial models for the bar construction and for the classifying space on a group. We will show that these extend to reflexive sets. We will show that the reflexive homology of a group algebra is isomorphic to the C_2-equivariant homology of the free loop space on BG. As a consequence we will deduce the analogous result for dihedral homology, namely that the dihedral homology of a group algebra is isomorphic to the $O(2)$-equivariant homology of the free loop space on BG. We will then show that we can decompose the reflexive homology of a group algebra in terms of the conjugacy classes of the group.

These results fit into a broader story of using the homology theories associated to crossed simplicial groups to calculate interesting information about loop spaces. Hochschild homology and cyclic homology of $k[G]$ are known to coincide with the homologies of $\mathcal{L}BG$ and the S^1-equivariant Borel construction on $\mathcal{L}BG$ respectively [**51**, 7.3.13]. The symmetric and hyperoctahedral theories are known to compute the homology and C_2-equivariant homology of certain infinite loop spaces on BG, see [**2**, Corollary 40] and [**39**, Theorem 8.8].

The decomposition we provide fits into a bigger picture of decomposing homology theories associated to crossed simplicial groups in the case of a group algebra. Results of this form were proved by Burghelea [**7**, Theorem 1] for Hochschild and cyclic homology (see also [**51**, Theorem 7.4.6]) and by Loday [**50**, Proposition 4.9] for dihedral homology.

7.1. Bar construction and classifying spaces

We recall some simplicial models for the bar construction [**51**, 7.3.10] and classifying space [**51**, B.12] of a group and extend them to reflexive sets.

DEFINITION 7.1. *Let G be a discrete group. For $n \geqslant 0$, let $\Gamma_n G = G^{n+1}$, the $(n+1)$-fold Cartesian product. The face maps are defined by*

$$\partial_i(g_0, \ldots, g_n) = \begin{cases} (g_0, \ldots, g_{i-1}, g_i g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, \ldots, g_n) & 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \\ (g_n g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}) & i = n. \end{cases}$$

The degeneracy maps insert the identity element of G into the tuple. We extend this to a reflexive set by defining

$$r_n\left(g_0,\ldots,g_n\right)=\left(g_0^{-1},g_n^{-1},\ldots,g_1^{-1}\right).$$

REMARK 7.2. Loday has already shown that $\Gamma_\star G$ is a cyclic set, by defining

$$t_n\left(g_0,\ldots,g_n\right)=\left(g_n,g_0,\ldots,g_{n-1}\right).$$

One can easily check that the reflexive structure and the cyclic structure are compatible, giving $\Gamma_\star G$ the structure of a dihedral set. The fact that the reflexive structure that we have defined is compatible with the cyclic structure of $\Gamma_\star G$ is key to proving Theorem 7.7.

DEFINITION 7.3. *Let $B_nG=G^n$ for $n\geqslant 0$. The face maps are given by*

$$\partial_i\left(g_1,\ldots,g_n\right)=\begin{cases}\left(g_2,\ldots,g_n\right) & i=0\\ \left(g_1,\ldots,g_ig_{i+1},\ldots,g_n\right) & 1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ \left(g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1}\right) & i=n.\end{cases}$$

The degeneracy maps insert the identity element into the tuple. We can extend this to a reflexive set by defining

$$r_n\left(g_1,\ldots,g_n\right)=\left(g_n^{-1},\ldots,g_1^{-1}\right).$$

DEFINITION 7.4. *Let G be a discrete group. We define the reflexive homology of G to be*

$$HR_\star^+\left(G,k\right)=HR_\star^+\left(k\left[B_*G\right]\right).$$

REMARK 7.5. Note that we have a projection map, which is a map of reflexive sets,

$$p\colon\Gamma_\star G\to B_\star G,$$

determined in degree n by $(g_0,\ldots,g_n)\mapsto(g_1,\ldots,g_n)$.

REMARK 7.6. As for $\Gamma_\star G$, $B_\star G$ has a cyclic structure. This is given by

$$t_n\left(g_1,\ldots,g_n\right)=\left(\left(g_1\cdots g_n\right)^{-1},g_1,\ldots,g_{n-1}\right),$$

as described in [**51**, 7.3.3] (with $z=1$) for example. This is compatible with the reflexive structure on $B_\star G$, as described in [**24**, 2.3], giving $B_\star G$ the structure of a dihedral set.

7.2. Reflexive homology of a group algebra

Let G be a discrete group. In this subsection we prove that the reflexive homology of a group algebra $k[G]$ is isomorphic to the homology of the C_2-equivariant Borel construction on the free loop space of BG.

THEOREM 7.7. *Let G be a discrete group. Let $k[G]$ denote its group algebra and let BG be its classifying space. Let $\mathcal{L}BG$ denote the free loop space on BG. There is an isomorphism of graded k-modules*

$$HR_\star^+ (k[G]) \cong H_\star (EC_2 \times_{C_2} \mathcal{L}BG, k),$$

where the C_2-action on $\mathcal{L}BG$ is induced from the reflexive structure of $B_\star G$ and reversing the direction of loops.

Proof. In [**51**, 7.3.11], Loday shows that there is a homotopy equivalence $\gamma\colon |\Gamma_\star G| \to \mathcal{L}BG$. This is done by considering the adjoint functors $S^1 \times -$ and $\mathcal{L}(-)$. In particular, there is a map

$$S^1 \times |\Gamma_\star G| \to |\Gamma_\star G| \to |B_\star G|$$

where the first map is induced from the cyclic structure of $\Gamma_\star G$, as described in [**31**, Section 5], and the second is induced from the projection map $p\colon \Gamma_\star G \to B_\star G$ described in remark 7.5. The adjoint map is γ, the necessary homotopy equivalence.

We extend this to a C_2-equivariant homotopy equivalence. As noted in remark 7.2, the reflexive structure of $\Gamma_\star G$ is compatible with the cyclic structure. Explicitly, the circle S^1 is the geometric realization of the cyclic crossed simplicial group, $\{C_n\}$, by [**51**, 6.3.6]. The level-wise involution determined by sending the generator of the cyclic group to its inverse induces a C_2-action on the geometric realization. Similarly, the reflexive structures on $\Gamma_\star G$ and $B_\star G$ induce C_2-actions on the realizations. Note that the involution on geometric realizations also flips the simplex. One easily checks that with these definitions the map

$$S^1 \times |\Gamma_\star G| \to |B_\star G|$$

is C_2-equivariant. Under the adjunction between $S^1 \times -$ and $\mathcal{L}(-)$ this yields that

$$\gamma\colon |\Gamma_\star G| \to \mathcal{L}BG$$

is a C_2-equivariant map, with the involution on $\mathcal{L}BG$ given by applying the involution on BG and reversing the direction of loops. Combining this with [**51**, 7.3.11], we see that γ is a C_2-equivariant homotopy equivalence.

Using [**51**, 7.3.13] and [**31**, 6.13], we have

$$HR_\star^+ (k[G]) \cong H_\star (EC_2 \times_{C_2} |\Gamma_\star G|) \cong H_\star (EC_2 \times_{C_2} \mathcal{L}BG)$$

as required. □

Using the fact that the reflexive structure that we have described is compatible with the cyclic structure of [**51**, 7.3.11] we can deduce the analogous result for dihedral homology.

THEOREM 7.8. *Let G be a discrete group. Let $k[G]$ denote its group algebra and let BG be its classifying space. Let $\mathcal{L}BG$ denote the free loop space on BG. There is*

an isomorphism of graded k-modules

$$HD_\star^+ (k[G]) \cong H_\star \left(EO(2) \times_{O(2)} \mathcal{L}BG, k \right),$$

where the $O(2)$-action on $\mathcal{L}BG$ is induced from the dihedral structure of $B_\star G$ and the action of $O(2)$ on loops.

Proof. Since the reflexive structure of $\Gamma_\star G$ and $B_\star G$ used in Theorem 7.7 is compatible with the cyclic structures used in [**51**, 7.3.11], we can deduce that γ is an $O(2)$-equivariant map, from which the result follows. □

7.3. Decomposition by conjugacy classes

In this subsection we prove that the reflexive homology of the group algebra of a discrete group can be decomposed into a direct sum indexed by the conjugacy classes of the group, where the summands are defined in terms of a reflexive analogue of group homology. We prove that under nice conditions, for example when the group is abelian, we can give a simple description of the summands.

DEFINITION 7.9. *Let G be a discrete group.*

- *Let $\overline{k[G]}$ denote $k[G]$ considered as a right $k[G]$-module with the action $h * g = g^{-1}hg$.*

- *Let $\langle G \rangle$ denote the set of conjugacy classes of G. We will choose a representative z in each class and denote the class by $\langle z \rangle$.*

- *For $z \in G$ we denote by G_z the centralizer of z in G, that is, $G_z = \{g \in G : gz = zg\}$.*

DEFINITION 7.10. *For a group G and a right $k[G]$-module M we denote by $C_\star(G, M)$ the Eilenberg-Mac Lane complex [**51**, C.2, C.3]. We will denote an element in degree n by $h \otimes [g_1, \ldots, g_n]$.*

In order to prove our decomposition of the reflexive homology of a group algebra we need to recall certain reflexive sets. The involutions defined here can be found in the proof of [**50**, Proposition 4.9].

DEFINITION 7.11. *Let G be a discrete group.*

- *We define the action of r_n on $k[G]^{\otimes n+1}$ to be determined by*

$$r_n (g_0, \ldots, g_n) = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \left(g_0^{-1}, g_n^{-1}, \ldots, g_1^{-1} \right).$$

- *Let $M = \overline{k[G]}$. The action of r_n on $C_n(G, M)$ is given by*

$$r_n (h \otimes [g_1, \ldots, g_n]) = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \left(g^{-1}h^{-1}g \otimes \left[g_n^{-1}, \ldots, g_1^{-1} \right] \right)$$

where $g = g_1 \cdots g_n$.

- *We define the action of r_n on*

$$\bigoplus_{\langle z \rangle \in \langle G \rangle} C_n \left(G, k \left[G/G_z \right] \right)$$

by

$$r_n \left(h \otimes [g_1, \ldots, g_n] \right) = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} \left(g^{-1} h^{-1} g \otimes \left[g_n^{-1}, \ldots, g_1^{-1} \right] \right)$$

where $g = g_1 \cdots g_n$.

THEOREM 7.12. *Let G be a discrete group. For each $n \geqslant 0$ there is an isomorphism of k-modules*

$$HR_n^+ \left(k[G] \right) \cong \bigoplus_{\langle z \rangle \in \langle G \rangle} HR_n^+ \left(G, k[G/G_z] \right).$$

Proof. Let $M = \overline{k[G]}$. Recall the Mac Lane isomorphism

$$\Phi \colon k[G]^{n+1} \to C_n \left(G, M \right)$$

from [**51**, Section 7.4] for example. The Mac Lane isomorphism is compatible with the actions described in definition 7.11. Recall from the proof of [**50**, Proposition 4.7] that there is an isomorphism of right $k[G]$-modules

$$\xi \colon \overline{k[G]} \to \bigoplus_{\langle z \rangle \in \langle G \rangle} k \left[G/G_z \right].$$

This isomorphism is also compatible with the actions described in definition 7.11
    We therefore have isomorphisms

$$k[G]^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\Phi} C_n \left(G, M \right) \xrightarrow{\xi} \bigoplus_{\langle z \rangle \in \langle G \rangle} C_n \left(G, k[G/G_z] \right)$$

compatible with the reflexive k-module structures and the result follows upon taking reflexive homology. $\square$

COROLLARY 7.13. *If G is an abelian group then*

$$HR_\star^+ \left(k[G] \right) \cong \bigoplus_{|G|} HR_\star^+ \left(G, k \right).$$

Proof. If G is abelian, then each element $z \in G$ has its own conjugacy class and the centralizer G_z is isomorphic to G. $\square$

    For non-abelian groups we can also identify some of the summands in the decomposition.

PROPOSITION 7.14. *Let G be a discrete group. The $\langle 1 \rangle$-component of $HR_\star^+(k[G])$ is isomorphic to $HR_\star^+(G, k)$.*

Proof. The centralizer G_1 is equal to G so the reflexive homology of $C_\star(G, k[G/G_1])$ is the reflexive homology of $C_\star(G, k)$. □

PROPOSITION 7.15. *Let G be a discrete group. Let $z \in G$ be a central element of order two. The $\langle z \rangle$-component of $HR_\star^+(k[G])$ is isomorphic to $HR_\star^+(G, k)$.*

Proof. Recall from the proof of [**50**, Proposition 4.7] that there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$k \otimes C_n(G_z) \to k[G/G_z] \otimes C_n(G)$$

for any $z \in G$. When z is a central element of order two this quasi-isomorphism is compatible with the reflexive structure described in definition 7.11, whence the result. □

8. Reflexive homology of singular chains on a Moore loop space

Goodwillie [**35**, Section V] proved that for a sufficiently nice space X, the cyclic homology of the singular chain complex of the Moore loop space of X is isomorphic to the S^1-equivariant homology of the free loop space on X. Dunn [**24**, 3.6] proved that this result can be extended to a result for the dihedral homology theory and $O(2)$-equivariant homology. In this section we will prove the analogous theorem for the reflexive homology theory and C_2-equivariant homology. We will also provide a C_2-equivariant analogue of Lodder's result for free-loop suspension spaces [**53**, 3.3.3].

8.1. Locally equiconnected spaces

In this brief subsection we recall what it means for a space to be *locally equiconnected*, commonly abbreviated to LEC. Our results rely on the work of Dunn [**24**], which requires this assumption in relation to Milnor's simplicial group model for loop-suspension spaces (see [**34**, **59**]). Local equiconnectivity was introduced by Fox [**32**] as a strengthened form of local contractibilty and a weakened form of the absolute neighbourhood retract property. There are several equivalent definitions but we will use the definition in terms of *Hurewicz cofibrations*. The reader is directed to [**67**], where the definition originally occurred, and [**5**, Chapter VII], for a textbook account of Hurewicz cofibrations.

DEFINITION 8.1. *A topological space is said to be locally equiconnected if the diagonal map $X \to X \times X$ is a Hurewicz cofibration.*

EXAMPLE 8.2. The notion of LEC space also appears in work of Serre, where it is called ULC [**64**, p. 490]. All CW-complexes are LEC spaces [**26**, Corollary III.2].

8.2. Moore loops and free loop spaces

We begin by recalling the definition of the Moore loop space.

DEFINITION 8.3. *Let* $M\colon \mathbf{Top}_\star \to \mathbf{Top}_\star$ *denote the Moore loop functor. For* $X \in$ $\mathbf{Top}_\star$ *with basepoint* $\star$, *the topological space* $M(X)$ *is the subset of*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}_\star}\left([0,\infty), X\right) \times [0,\infty)$$

consisting of all pairs of the form (f, r) *such that* $f(t) = \star$ *for all* $t \geqslant r$. *In general we will omit the* r *and refer to a Moore loop* f.

Let Y be a group-like LEC topological monoid with involution. As noted in example 3.3, the singular chain complex $S_\star(Y, k)$ is an involutive DGA, with the involution induced from Y. Recall the reflexive bar construction, $\Gamma(S_\star(Y, k))$, on $S_\star(Y, k)$ from example 3.3.

THEOREM 8.4. *Let* Y *be a group-like LEC topological monoid with involution. There is an isomorphism of graded* k-*modules*

$$HR_* \left(\Gamma\left(S_\star\left(Y, k\right)\right)\right) \cong H_*\left(EC_2 \times_{C_2} \mathcal{L}BY, k\right)$$

where the C_2-*action on* $\mathcal{L}BY$ *is induced from the involution on* Y *and reversing the direction of loops.*

Proof. This follows directly from [**24**, 3.6]. We note that the given theorem relies on Dunn's propositions 2.10, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.5. One can check that these results hold when we only consider the simplicial and reflexive structure from the dihedral objects considered in that paper. □

COROLLARY 8.5. *Let* X *be a connected, LEC topological space. There is an isomorphism of graded* k-*modules*

$$HR_* \left(\Gamma\left(S_\star\left(MX, k\right)\right)\right) \cong H_*\left(EC_2 \times_{C_2} \mathcal{L}X, k\right),$$

where X *is equipped with the trivial involution and* MX *is equipped with the involution which reverses the direction of loops.*

Proof. May [**58**, 15.4] shows that there is a map $\xi\colon BMX \to X$, which is a weak homotopy equivalence if X is connected. As noted in [**24**, 2.9], with the given involutions the map ξ is C_2-equivariant. The corollary now follows from Theorem 8.4 by setting $Y = MX$. □

8.3. Moore loops and free loop-suspension spaces

In this section we prove a reflexive analogue of Lodder's result for free loop-suspension spaces [**53**, 3.3.3]. We identify the C_2-equivariant homology of a free loop-suspension space $\mathcal{L}\Sigma X$ as the reflexive homology of the singular chains on a reflexive space constructed from the Moore loop space of X. In this case we can use a smaller construction than the reflexive bar construction on a DGA since the suspension of a topological space is always path-connected.

We begin by recalling the definition of the suspension functor.

DEFINITION 8.6. *Let* $\Sigma\colon \mathbf{Top}_\star \to \mathbf{Top}_\star$ *denote the suspension functor* $S^1 \wedge -$.

DEFINITION 8.7. *Let X be a based topological space. Let $\Sigma X = S^1 \wedge X$ be equipped with the involution $\overline{(t, x)} = (1 - t, x)$, given by reversing the suspension co-ordinate. We define a reflexive topological space*

$$M\Sigma X(-) \colon \Delta R^{op} \to \mathbf{Top}_\star$$

as follows.

For each $n \geqslant 0$, we have $M\Sigma X([n]) = (M\Sigma X)^{n+1}$, the $(n+1)$-fold Cartesian product of the Moore loop space on ΣX.

The face maps $\partial_i \colon M\Sigma X([n]) \to M\Sigma X([n-1])$ are given by concatenation of loops:

$$\partial_i \left(f_0, \ldots, f_n\right) = \begin{cases} \left(f_0, \ldots, f_{i-1}, f_i \cdot f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, \ldots, f_n\right) & 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 \\ \left(f_n \cdot f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right) & i = n. \end{cases}$$

The degeneracy maps $s_j \colon M\Sigma X([n]) \to M\Sigma X([n+1])$ are given by inserting the trivial loop:

$$s_j \left(f_0, \ldots, f_n\right) = \left(f_0, \ldots, f_j, 1, f_{j+1}, \ldots, f_n\right)$$

for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n$.

The reflexive operators $r_n \colon M\Sigma X([n]) \to M\Sigma X([n])$ for $n \geqslant 0$ are given by reversing the direction of loops and applying the involution on ΣX:

$$r_n \left(f_0, \ldots, f_n\right) = \left(\overline{f}_0^{-1}, \overline{f}_n^{-1}, \ldots, \overline{f}_1^{-1}\right).$$

DEFINITION 8.8. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the reflexive chain complex obtained by taking the singular chain complex $S_\star(M\Sigma X(-), k)$ on the reflexive topological space $M\Sigma X(-)$.*

THEOREM 8.9. *Let X be a based topological space. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the singular chain complex on the reflexive topological space $M\Sigma X(-)$. There is an isomorphism of graded k-modules*

$$HR_* \left(\mathcal{S}\right) \cong H_* \left(EC_2 \times_{C_2} \mathcal{L}\Sigma X, k\right).$$

Proof. By combining the constructions in [**51**, Appendix B] for singular homology with results on homotopy colimits for crossed simplicial groups [**31**, Section 6] we see that given a reflexive space $Y_\star$, there are isomorphisms

$$HR_n \left(\mathcal{S}(Y_\star)\right) \cong H_n \left(\mathrm{hocolim}_{\Delta R^{op}} \left(Y_\star\right)\right) \cong H_n \left(EC_2 \times_{C_2} |Y_\star|\right),$$

where the C_2-action on $|Y_\star|$ is induced from the reflexive structure.

Goodwillie [**35**, Section V] has constructed a weak equivalence $|M\Sigma X(-)| \to \mathcal{L}\Sigma X$. By incorporating the reflexive action defined in [**53**, Section 3.4] we extend this to a C_2-equivariant weak equivalence. The proof of this fact follows by mimicking [**53**, 3.4.1], replacing the dihedral crossed simplicial group with the reflexive crossed simplicial group.

We therefore have a weak equivalence

$$EC_2 \times_{C_2} |M\Sigma X(-)| \to EC_2 \times_{C_2} \mathcal{L}\Sigma X$$

which yields

$$HR_* (\mathcal{S}) \cong H_* (EC_2 \times_{C_2} |M\Sigma X(-)|) \cong H_* (EC_2 \times_{C_2} \mathcal{L}\Sigma X, k)$$

as required. $\qquad \square$

9. Relationship to involutive Hochschild homology

Involutive Hochschild homology was introduced by Braun [**4**] to study involutive algebras and involutive A_∞-algebras. Fernàndez-València and Giansiracusa [**29**] developed the homological algebra of involutive Hochschild homology. In particular, they showed that involutive Hochschild homology can be expressed as Tor over an involutive version of the enveloping algebra. The original motivation for constructing involutive Hochschild homology was to extend work of Costello [**15**] to study the connection between unoriented two-dimensional topological conformal field theories and involutive Calabi-Yau A_∞-algebras [**4**], [**28**]. Involutive Hochschild homology also arises in the study of the (co)homology of involutive dendriform algebras [**16**]. We show that under certain conditions involutive Hochschild homology coincides with reflexive homology.

Let k be a field. Let A be an involutive k-algebra and let $A^e = A \otimes A^{op}$ denote the enveloping algebra. For this section only, let $C_2 = \langle t \mid t^2 = 1 \rangle$. The group C_2 acts on A^e by the rule $t(a_1 \otimes a_2) = \overline{a_2} \otimes \overline{a_1}$. Fernàndez-València and Giansiracusa define the *involutive enveloping algebra* A^{ie} to be $A^e \otimes k[C_2]$ with the product determined by

$$\left(a_1 \otimes t^i\right) \left(a_2 \otimes t^j\right) = \left(a_1 \cdot t^i(a_2)\right) \otimes t^{i+j}.$$

The *involutive Hochschild homology of A with coefficients in an involutive A-bimodule M*, denoted by $iHH_\star(A, M)$, is defined to be $\mathrm{Tor}_\star^{A^{ie}}(A, M)$ [**29**, 3.3.1]. Recall that an involutive vector space is projective if, when viewed as a $k[C_2]$-module, it is a direct summand of a free module.

THEOREM 9.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Let A be a projective involutive k-algebra and let M be an involutive A-bimodule. There exists an isomorphism of graded k-modules*

$$HR_\star^+(A, M) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_\star^{A^{ie}}(A, M).$$

Proof. Proposition 2.4 and [**29**, 3.3.2] tell us that, under these conditions, both $HR_\star^+(A, M)$ and $iHH_\star(A, M)$ are isomorphic to the homology of the quotient of the Hochschild complex by the involution action, from which the result follows. $\qquad \square$

10. Reflexive structure in real topological Hochschild homology

The structure of the reflexive crossed simplicial group arises implicitly in the literature on real topological Hochschild homology, although the category ΔR very rarely

explicitly arises. In this expository section we explain how certain constructions in real topological Hochschild homology can be stated in terms of the reflexive crossed simplicial group.

We begin by recalling the definition of a real simplicial object from [**18**, 1.4.1], [**21**, 1.2], [**45**, 1.1], [**1**, 2.3].

DEFINITION 10.1. *A real simplicial object X in a category* **C** *is a functor $X\colon \Delta^{op} \to$* **C** *together with maps $\omega_n\colon X([n]) \to X([n])$ such that $\omega_n^2 = id_{X([n])}$ and*

- $d_i \circ \omega_n = \omega_{n-1} d_{n-i}$,

- $s_i \circ \omega_n = \omega_{n+1} s_{n-i}$.

REMARK 10.2. By comparing with definition 1.2 we see that a real simplicial object X in a category **C** is precisely a functor $X\colon \Delta R^{op} \to$ **C**. In other words, it is the same thing as a reflexive object in **C**. We note that the category ΔR does appear in [**21**, 1.2] with the notation Δ_R^{op}.

EXAMPLE 10.3. If we take **C** = **Set**, we obtain the category of *reflexive sets* or *real simplicial sets*. As noted in the introduction, Spaliński [**66**, Section 3] has shown that the category of reflexive sets admits a model structure that is Quillen equivalent to the category of C_2-spaces with the fixed-point model structure. Furthermore, reflexive sets play an important role in the homotopy theory of dihedral sets (see [**65**] and [**66**]).

It is worth remarking that, using the crossed simplicial group structure, we can use a result of Fiedorowicz and Loday [**31**, 6.13] to calculate the homology of C_2-equivariant Borel constructions. Let X be a reflexive set and let $k[X]$ be the composition with the free k-module functor. There is an isomorphism of graded k-modules $HR_\star^+(k[X]) \cong H_\star(EC_2 \times_{C_2} |X|, k)$.

EXAMPLE 10.4. Let **C** = **Sp**^{O}, the category of orthogonal ring spectra as introduced in [**57**]. Let (A, w) be an orthogonal ring spectrum with anti-involution in the sense of [**21**, Definition 2.1] and let (M, j) be an A-bimodule in the sense of definition 2.5 of the same paper. The *dihedral nerve* $N_\wedge^{di}(A, M)$ of [**21**, Definition 2.9] is a functor $\Delta R^{op} \to$ **Sp**^{O}. In other words, it is a reflexive object in the category of orthogonal spectra or a real simplicial orthogonal spectrum. We note that this is called *dihedral* because, in the case where we take $M = A$, there is also an action of the cyclic groups, giving the structure of a functor $\Delta D^{op} \to$ **Sp**^{O}.

The dihedral nerve plays an important role in the construction of $\mathrm{THR}_\bullet(A; M)$ (see [**21**, Definition 2.18]) whose geometric realization is the *real topological Hochschild homology of A with coefficients in M*. We note that $\mathrm{THR}_\bullet(A; M)$ is itself a real simplicial orthogonal spectrum and therefore can be considered as a functor $\Delta R^{op} \to$ **Sp**^{O}.

REMARK 10.5. Our reflexive homology theory, as defined in § 1, takes as input a k-algebra with involution and gives a graded k-module as output. In recent work, Angelini-Knoll, Gerhardt and Hill [**1**] have introduced another theory for rings with involution, called *real Hochschild homology*. This theory takes as input the

Mackey functor associated to a ring with involution (see [**1**, 6.12]) and gives a graded equivariant Mackey functor as output (see [**1**, 6.15]). They also prove that their theory is related to real topological Hochschild homology via a linearization map [**1**, 6.20].

Acknowledgements

References

1   G. Angelini-Knoll, T. Gerhardt and M. Hill, Real topological Hochschild homology via the norm and Real Witt vectors, 2021. e-print arXiv:2111.06970.

2   S. V. Ault. Symmetric homology of algebras. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **10** (2010), 2343–2408.

3   A. J. Blumberg, R. L. Cohen and C. Schlichtkrull. Topological Hochschild homology of Thom spectra and the free loop space. *Geom. Topol.* **14** (2010), 1165–1242.

4   C. Braun. Involutive A_∞-algebras and dihedral cohomology. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* **9** (2014), 317–337.

5   G. E. Bredon, *Topology and geometry*, volume 139 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993).

6   D. Burghelea and Z. Fiedorowicz. Cyclic homology and algebraic K-theory of spaces. II. *Topology* **25** (1986), 303–317.

7   D. Burghelea. The cyclic homology of the group rings. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **60** (1985), 354–365.

8   B. Calmès, E. Dotto, Y. Harpaz, F. Hebestreit, M. Land, K. Moi, D. Nardin, T. Nikolaus and W. Steimle. Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞-categories I: Foundations. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **29** (2023269.

9   B. Calmés, E. Dotto, Y. Harpaz, F. Hebestreit, M. Land, K. Moi, D. Nardin, T. Nikolaus and W. Steimle, Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞-categories II: Cobordism categories and additivity, 2021. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07224.

10 B. Calmés, E. Dotto, Y. Harpaz, F. Hebestreit, M. Land, K. Moi, D. Nardin, T. Nikolaus and W. Steimle, Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞-categories III: Grothendieck-witt groups of rings, 2021. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07225.

11 G. E. Carlsson and R. L. Cohen. The cyclic groups and the free loop space. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **62** (1987), 423–449.

12 M. Chas and D. Sullivan, String topology, 1999. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9911159.

13 R. L. Cohen, K. Hess and A. A. Voronov, *String topology and cyclic homology.* Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006. Lectures from the Summer School held in Almería, September 16–20, 2003.

14 A. Connes. Cohomologie cyclique et foncteurs Ext^n. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **296** (1983), 953–958.

15 K. Costello. Topological conformal field theories and Calabi-Yau categories. *Adv. Math.* **210** (2007), 165–214.

16 A. Das and R. Saha. Involutive and oriented dendriform algebras. *J. Algebra* **581** (2021), 63–91.

17 R. K. Dennis and K. Igusa. Hochschild homology and the second obstruction for pseudoisotopy. Algebraic K-theory. *Proc. Conf., Oberwolfach 1980, Part I, Lect. Notes Math.* **966** (1982), 7–58.

18 E. Dotto, Stable real K-theory and real topological Hochschild homology, 2012. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.4310.

19 E. Dotto. Equivariant calculus of functions and $\mathbb{Z}/2$-analyticity of real algebraic K-theory. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **15** (2016), 829–883.

20   E. Dotto, K. Moi and I. Patchkoria, On the geometric fixed-points of real topological cyclic homology, 2023. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.04891.

21   E. Dotto, K. Moi, I. Patchkoria and S. P. Reeh. Real topological Hochschild homology. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **23** (2021), 63–152.

22   E. Dotto and C. Ogle. *K*-theory of Hermitian Mackey functors, real traces, and assembly. *Ann. K-Theory* **4** (2019), 243–316.

23   E. Dotto, I. Patchkoria and K. J. Moi. Witt vectors, polynomial maps, and real topological Hochschild homology. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* **55** (2022), 473–535.

24   G. Dunn. Dihedral and quaternionic homology and mapping spaces. K-*Theory* **3** (1989), 141–161.

25   T. Dyckerhoff and M. Kapranov, Crossed simplicial groups and structured surfaces. In *Stacks and categories in geometry, topology, and algebra. CATS4 conference on higher categorical structures and their interactions with algebraic geometry, algebraic topology and algebra, CIRM, Luminy, France, July 2–7, 2012.* (American Mathematical Society (AMS), Providence, RI, 2015), pp. 37–110.

26   E. Dyer and S. Eilenberg. An adjunction theorem for locally equiconnected spaces. *Pac. J. Math.* **41** (1972), 669–685.

27   M. Farinati and A. Solotar. Morita equivalence for positive Hochschild homology and dihedral homology. *Commun. Algebra* **24** (1996), 1793–1807.

28   R. Fernàndez-València. On the structure of unoriented topological conformal field theories. *Geom. Dedicata* **189** (2017), 113–138.

29   R. Fernàndez-València and J. Giansiracusa. On the Hochschild homology of involutive algebras. *Glasg. Math. J.* **60** (2018), 187–198.

30   Z. Fiedorowicz, The symmetric bar construction. URL: https://people.math.osu.edu/fiedorowicz.1/.

31   Z. Fiedorowicz and J.-L. Loday. Crossed simplicial groups and their associated homology. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **326** (1991), 57–87.

32   R. H. Fox. On fibre spaces. I, II. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **49** (1943), 733–735.

33   A. Fröhlich and A. M. McEvett. Forms over rings with involution. *J. Algebra* **12** (1969), 79–104.

34   L. G. Lewis, Jr. When is the natural map $X \to \Omega\Sigma X$ a cofibration? *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **273** (1982), 147–155.

35   T. G. Goodwillie. Cyclic homology, derivations, and the free loopspace. *Topology* **24** (1985), 187–215.

36   D. Graves. PROPs for involutive monoids and involutive bimonoids. *Theory Appl. Categ.* **35** (2020), 1564–1575.

37   D. Graves, Categorifying equivariant monoids, 2022. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.03076.

38   D. Graves. Composing PROBs. *Theory Appl. Categ.* **38** (2022), 1050–1061.

39   D. Graves. Hyperoctahedral homology for involutive algebras. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **24** (2022), 1–26.

40   D. Graves. E-infinity structure in hyperoctahedral homology. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **25** (2023), 1–19.

41   D. Gromoll and W. Meyer. Periodic geodesics on compact Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differ. Geometry* **3** (1969), 493–510.

42   A. J. Hahn. A Hermitian Morita theorem for algebras with anti-structure. *J. Algebra* **93** (1985), 215–235.

43   L. Hesselholt and I. Madsen, Real algebraic *k*-theory, 2015. URL: https://web.math.ku.dk/larsh/papers/s05/.

44   A. Høgenhaven, Real topological cyclic homology of spherical group rings, 2016. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.01204.

45   A. Høgenhaven, On the geometric fixed points of real topological Hochschild homology, 2017. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.01817.

46   John D. S. Jones. Cyclic homology and equivariant homology. *Invent. Math.* **87** (1987), 403–423.

47   R. Krasauskas. Skew-simplicial groups. *Litovsk. Mat. Sb.* **27** (1987), 89–99.

48 R. L. Krasauskas, S. V. Lapin and Yu. P. Solov'ev. Dihedral homology and cohomology. Basic concepts and constructions. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **133** (1987), 25–48.

49 S. Lack. Composing PROPS. *Theory Appl. Categ.* **13** (2004), 147–163.

50 J.-L. Loday. Homologies diédrale et quaternionique. *Adv. Math.* **66** (1987), 119–148.

51 J.-L. Loday, *Cyclic homology*, volume 301 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. 2nd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998).

52 J.-L. Loday and D. Quillen. Cyclic homology and the Lie algebra homology of matrices. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **59** (1984), 565–591.

53 G. M. Lodder. Dihedral homology and the free loop space. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **60** (1990), 201–224.

54 L. A. Lyusternik and A. I. Fet. Variational problems on closed manifolds. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* **81** (1951), 17–18.

55 S. M. Lane, The Milgram bar construction as a tensor product of functors. In *The Steenrod Algebra and Its Applications: A Conference to Celebrate N.E. Steenrod's Sixtieth Birthday*, volume 168 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* (Springer, Berlin, 1970), pp. 135–152..

56 S. M. Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 2nd ed. (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998).

57 M. A. Mandell, J. P. May, S. Schwede and B. Shipley. Model categories of diagram spectra. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **82** (2001), 441–512.

58 J. P. May. Classifying spaces and fibrations. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1975), 98.

59 J. Milnor, On the construction FK. In *J. F. Adams Algebraic topology. A student's guide*, volume 4 of *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. London Mathematical Society, London, 1972), pp. 119–136.

60 T. Nikolaus and P. Scholze. On topological cyclic homology. *Acta Math.* **221** (2018), 203–409.

61 A. Oancea, Morse theory, closed geodesics, and the homology of free loop spaces. In *Free loop spaces in geometry and topology*, volume 24 of *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, (Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2015). With an appendix by Umberto Hryniewicz, pp. 67–109.

62 T. Pirashvili and B. Richter. Hochschild and cyclic homology via functor homology. K-Theory **25** (2002), 39–49.

63 T. Pirashvili. On the PROP corresponding to bialgebras. *Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég.* **43** (2002), 221–239.

64 J.-P. Serre. Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés. Applications. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **54** (1951), 425–505.

65 J. Spaliński. Homotopy theory of dihedral and quaternionic sets. *Topology* **39** (2000), 557–572.

66 J. Spaliński. A discrete model of $O(2)$-homotopy theory. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214** (2010), 1–5.

67 A. Strøm. Note on cofibrations. *Math. Scand.* **19** (1966), 11–14.

68 M. Ungheretti, Free loop spaces and dihedral homology, 2016. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.08140.

69 M. Vigué-Poirrier and D. Burghelea. A model for cyclic homology and algebraic K-theory of 1-connected topological spaces. *J. Differ. Geom.* **22** (1985), 243–253.

70 C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994).