Stabilities of byströmite, $MgSb_2O_6$, ordoñezite, $ZnSb_2O_6$ and rosiaite, $PbSb_2O_6$, and their possible roles in limiting antimony mobility in the supergene zone

ADAM J. ROPER, PETER LEVERETT, TIMOTHY D. MURPHY AND PETER A. WILLIAMS*

School of Science and Health, University of Western Sydney, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith, New South Wales 2751, Australia

[Received 26 May 2014; Accepted 16 October 2014; Associate Editor: B. O'Driscoll]

ABSTRACT

In order to clarify the roles that secondary minerals may have in determining the extent of dispersion of Sb in the supergene environment, syntheses and stability studies of the Sb(V) oxides byströmite, MgSb₂O₆, ordoñezite, ZnSb₂O₆ and rosiaite, PbSb₂O₆, have been undertaken. Solubilities in aqueous HNO₃ were determined at 298.2 K and the data obtained used to calculate values of $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{0}$ at the same temperature. The derived $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{0}$ (s, 298.2 K) values for MgSb₂O₆ (-1554.1 ±3.6 kJ mol⁻¹), ZnSb₂O₆ (-1257.0 ±2.6 kJ mol⁻¹) and PbSb₂O₆ (-1154.2 ±2.6 kJ mol⁻¹) have been used in subsequent calculations to determine their relative stabilities and relationships with other secondary Sb minerals.

Keywords: byströmite, ordoñezite, rosiaite, antimony, solubility, mobility, chemical mineralogy, supergene zone.

Introduction

Our understanding of the mobility of Sb in oxidizing environments has been highlighted in a number of recent reviews (Filella et al., 2002a,b, 2009; Filella and May, 2003; Filella and Williams, 2012; Roper et al., 2012). These, together with the findings of Leverett et al. (2012), who described tripulyite, $FeSbO_4$, to be one of the ultimate mineralogical sinks for Sb in the supergene environment, indicate that Sb is very immobile with respect to chemical dispersion. This conclusion is in accord with the studies reported by a series of other workers (Majzlan et al., 2011; Courtin-Nomade et al., 2012; Mitsunobu et al., 2010, 2011, 2013). Of the 200 primary Sb minerals that exist all are essentially insoluble in water. Upon exposure to near-surface conditions at ambient temperatures however, the primary phases are unstable and react to form

* E-mail: p.williams@uws.edu.au DOI: 10.1180/minmag.2015.079.3.03 secondary minerals with appreciably greater solubilities. Nevertheless, although a clearer understanding of Sb mobility has been gained, solubility and thermochemical data for many of the secondary Sb minerals are still inadequate or not available. It has been noted (Roper *et al.*, 2012) that a complete understanding of the roles that secondary Sb minerals play in the dispersion of Sb in oxidizing environments is crucial to understanding the true nature of Sb mobility.

Minerals containing Sb(V) are of particular importance as it is commonly incorporated in tripuhyite and the very stable minerals of the roméite group, $M_xSb_2(O,OH)_7$, rely on this species. Interest extends to brandholzite, $Mg[Sb(OH)_6]_2.6H_2O$, as a possible hydrated precursor to the rare secondary phase byströmite, $MgSb_2O_6$, and the stoichiometrically related minerals ordoñezite, $ZnSb_2O_6$ and rosiaite, $PbSb_2O_6$, Although these minerals have been reported to occur in only a few deposits, they may well have been consistently overlooked, as has been pointed out for tripuhyite and schafarzikite, FeSb₂O₄ (Leverett et al., 2012). Byströmite is known from three occurrences. In the La Fortuna and San Jose mines. El Antimonio. Mexico. it was noted to be associated intimately with roméitegroup minerals. Mason and Vitaliano (1952) noted that some stibiconite, (Sb,Ca)Sb₂(O,OH)₇, was always present even in the purest samples. Ordoñezite also occurs in the La Fortuna mine (Mason and Vitaliano, 1952). Aside from the Mexican deposits, byströmite is known only from the Silberne Rose mine, Brandholz, Germany, where it is associated with roméite-group minerals and brandholzite. Little is known about the mode of formation of byströmite, but its synthesis and crystal structure have been known for some time (Byström et al., 1941; Kasenov et al., 1996). Mason and Vitaliano (1952) proposed that byströmite originated from metasomatic action of magnesium-bearing solutions on stibiconite which was supported by the comparatively local distribution of byströmite at El Antimonio, occurring in only a few veins. However, it is almost certainly secondary in origin, undoubtedly for the Silberne Rose mine where it is associated with a suite of common secondary Sb minerals (Meier, 1995). Of significant mineralogical interest is the potential solid solution between byströmite and ordoñezite and its implications for the stability of brandholzite. Byströmite and ordoñezite are isomorphous. space group $P4_2/mnm$ with a = 4.68, 4.668(2) and c = 9.21, 9.269(6) Å, respectively (Byström *et al.*, 1941; Ercit et al., 2002).

The Zn analogue of brandholzite has never been reported to occur naturally, although the Ni²⁺ analogue, bottinoite, is well known. Solubility data for both brandholzite and bottinoite were determined by Deimar et al. (2009), who concluded that the minerals' rarity was probably due to their appreciable solubilities combined with the tendency of larger cations to preferentially form pyrochlore-type roméitegroup minerals. Brandholzite has been reported only twice. At the type locality, the Silberne Rose mine, it is an alteration product of stibnite (Friedrich et al., 2003). It also occurs in the Križnica mine, Slovak Republic, which carries a complex primary Sb assemblage (Sejkora et al., 2007). Here, brandholzite is commonly associated with pyrite, stibnite, sénarmontite, roméite-group minerals, gypsum, native sulfur and Sb-rich Fe(III) oxyhydroxides.

At the type locality, the Santin mine, Guanajuato, Mexico, ordoñezite occurs in highly altered veins carrying cassiterite (Switzer and

Foshag, 1955) and Ercit et al. (2002) noted the same association at Sapioris, Durango, Mexico, The remaining five reported localities for the mineral carry common assemblages of secondary oxidized Sb minerals, specifically roméite-group minerals. Ordoñezite is associated with zapatalite, Cu₃Al₄(PO₄)₃(OH)₉·4H₂O and chenevixite, Cu₂Fe₂(AsO₄)₂(OH)₄·H₂O, in the La Fortuna mine (Mason and Vitaliano, 1952). This is the only reported occurrence in which both byströmite and ordoñezite appear in the same assemblage, and thus specific conditions or mineralizing events must dictate their formation. Ordoñezite has also been reported from a number of deposits associated with common secondary Sb minerals (Schmutz et al., 1986; Pawlowski, 1991; Schnorrer-Köhler, 1986; Northrop, 1996; Vajdak, 2008).

Despite the fact that the Pb member of the roméite group, bindheimite, is a very common secondary mineral, rosiaite is known from several deposits. Its synthesis and crystal structure were known prior to it being recognized as a natural mineral. Rosiaite is not isomorphous with byströmite and ordoñezite and is hexagonal, space group $P\bar{3}1m$, a = 5.295(1) and c =5.372(1) Å (Hill, 1987). It was described originally as a mineral from the Tafone mine. Manciano, Italy (Basso et al., 1996), where it is associated with a suite of commonly occurring secondary minerals, notably with bindheimite. Similar associations are noted at the Bwlch mine, Deganwy, Wales (Meli, 1999; Ryback and Francis, 2001). In the Clara mine, Oberwolfach, Germany and the Monte Avanza mine, Forni Avoltri, Italy, rosiaite is described as an alteration product of tetrahedrite (Blass and Graf, 1997; Dondi et al., 1995) and associations of more exotic species, namely the secondary Cu-Sb minerals camerolaite, Cu₄Al₂[HSbO₄,SO₄] $(OH)_{10}(CO_3)$ ·2H₂O, chalcophyllite, Cu₁₈Al₂ (AsO₄)₄(SO₄)₃(OH)₂₄·36H₂O and cualstibite, Cu₂Al[Sb(OH)₆](OH)₆, at Monte Avanza appear to be related directly to the oxidation of primary tetrahedrite as the source of Sb.

Conditions of formation for byströmite, ordoñezite and rosiaite appear to be characteristic of the particular deposit type and/or mineralizing event, and their possible control on supergene Sb mobility is apparent from their strict localization in the deposits mentioned above. Here we report syntheses, solution and stability studies of byströmite, ordoñezite and rosiaite, together with derived values of $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\rm e}({\rm s}, 298.2 \ {\rm K})$ for the minerals. Although synthetic pathways have been known for some time (Bystrom et al., 1941; Hill, 1987), the only thermochemical data available in the literature are those of Kasenov et al., (1996) who reported experimental measurements of the heat capacities of a series of alkaline earth antimonates and used these to derive S^{Θ} (298.2 K) data. In addition, estimates of $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\Theta}$ (298.2 K) were obtained by comparison to analogous arsenates. These were used to calculate $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\Theta}({\rm MgSb}_2{\rm O}_6, \text{ s}, 298.2 \text{ K}) = -1468.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}.$ Nevertheless, it does appear that while the heat capacity data are sound, there is some doubt concerning the methods used to derive $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\Theta}$ data, and thus a reinvestigation of the thermochemical data for byströmite has been undertaken. The results have been used to extend a geochemical model for Sb behaviour in the supergene zone in the vicinity of oxidizing Sb deposits.

Experimental

Syntheses

Byströmite and ordoñezite were synthesized following the method of Bystrom et al. (1941). Stoichiometric ratios (1:1) of Sb₂O₃ (5.8301 g, 0.02 mol) and MgO (0.8062 g, 0.02 mol), and Sb₂O₃ (5.8300 g, 0.02 mol) and ZnO (1.6279 g, 0.02 mol) for byströmite and ordoñezite, respectively, were mixed thoroughly by grinding. The mixtures were placed in a fused silica boat and heated in a tube furnace open to the atmosphere at 1273 ± 10 K for 48 h. The samples were then removed and reground before being placed back in the fused silica boat and heated at the same temperature for another 48 h to ensure complete reaction. The product obtained in both cases was a pale grey, crystalline powder. The product was suspended in aqueous 0.1 M HNO₃ at room temperature for 24 h to dissolve any residual starting materials. It was then collected at the pump, washed with water then acetone and sucked dry.

Rosiaite was synthesized following the procedure outlined by Hill (1987). Stoichiometric ratios (1:1) of PbO (2.2316 g, 0.01 mol) and Sb₂O₃ (2.9154 g, 0.01 mol) were mixed thoroughly by grinding, placed in a fused silica boat and heated in a tube furnace open to the atmosphere at 1125 K \pm 10 K for 24 h. The sample was removed and reground before being heated at the same temperature for 7 days. The product obtained was an off-white crystalline powder and was isolated as described above. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies of the products were carried out using a Philips PW1825/20 powder diffractometer (Ni-filtered Cu $K\alpha_1$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.5406$ Å, 40 kV, 30 mA). Traces were produced between 5 and 70°20, with a step size of 0.02° and a rate of 1.2° min⁻¹. Diffraction Technology Data processing software (*Traces Version 6*) and JCPDS-ICDD data base files were used to identify the phases produced.

Solubility studies

Solubility studies were undertaken using sealed 250 cm³ conical Quickfit^R flasks maintained at $25.0 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C in a thermostatted water bath. Measurements of pH were made using a Radiometer PHM220 apparatus fitted with a combination electrode. Subsequent analyses showed that all three minerals dissolve congruently in aqueous HNO₃. The minerals (~ 0.1 g) were added to a series of conical flasks containing 100 cm³ of standardized HNO₃; 0.1053 M for byströmite and ordoñezite, and 0.0988 M for rosiaite. The flasks were left for 14 weeks during which time the pH of a paired flask was monitored periodically until no change was detected. In all cases, equilibrium was achieved after 5 weeks. Resulting solutions were filtered through a Whatman^R GF/F fibreglass filter and collected in clean PET bottles. Dissolved Mg/Sb, Zn/Sb and Pb/Sb concentrations were determined using ICP-MS with matched standards.

Results and discussion

Syntheses

High-purity samples of byströmite, ordoñezite and rosiaite were obtained in essentially quantitative yield. Powder XRD gave no evidence for any contaminating phase. Unit-cell parameters were refined using the program *LAPOD* (Langford, 1973) and gave a = 4.664(3), c =9.261(8) Å for byströmite, a = 4.632(1), c =9.206(3) Å for ordoñezite and a = 5.297(1), c =5.372 (1) Å for rosiaite. These results are in excellent agreement with those reported elsewhere (Byström *et al.*, 1941; Hill, 1987; Ercit *et al.*, 2002).

Solution studies

Solubility data for the three minerals are reported in Table 1. All three phases are comparatively insoluble and dissolve congruently in aqueous

Dissolution of byströmite in aqueo Mean $[MgSb_2O_6]/$ 10^{-4} mol dm ⁻³ 2.20 ±0.18	bus 0.1053 M HNO ₃ ($n = 0$ Range [MgSb ₂ O ₆]/ 10^{-4} mol dm ⁻³ 2.14-2.38	5) Mean pH 1.134 ±0.005	Range pH 1.130-1.139
Dissolution of ordoñezite in aqueo Mean $[ZnSb_2O_6]/$ 10^{-5} mol dm ⁻³ 5.16 ± 0.68	us 0.1053 M HNO_3 ($n = 0$ Range [ZnSb ₂ O ₆]/ $10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$ 4.68-5.85	6) Mean pH 1.134 ±0.002	Range pH 1.133-1.136
Dissolution of rosiaite in aqueous Mean [PbSb ₂ O ₆]/ 10^{-6} mol dm ⁻³ 6.01 ±1.55	0.0996 M HNO ₃ $(n = 4)$ Range [PbSb ₂ O ₆]/ 10^{-6} mol dm ⁻³ 5.09-7.56	Mean pH 0.860 ±0.006	Range pH 0.857-0.866

TABLE 1. Equilibrium solubilities and pH values for the mineral dissolution experiments at 298.2 K.

HNO₃. The dissolution of the minerals is expressed in equation 1, where $M^{2+} = Mg^{2+}$, Zn^{2+} , Pb^{2+} .

$$M^{2^{+}}Sb_{2}O_{6}(s) + 4H_{2}O(l) + 2H^{+}(aq) \rightleftharpoons M^{2^{+}}(aq) + 2Sb(OH)_{5}^{0}(aq)$$
(1)

The pH at equilibrium $(1.134, \text{Mg}^{2+} \text{ and } \text{Zn}^{2+}; 0.860, \text{Pb}^{2+})$ was used in the determination of ionspeciation patterns using the program *COMICS* (Perrin and Sayce, 1967). A reliable lg *K* value for equation 2 at 298.2 K of -2.848 at I = 0 was reported recently by Accornero *et al.* (2008). Correction to I = 0.1053 mol dm⁻³ (Mg²⁺ and Zn²⁺ runs) and 0.0996 mol dm⁻³ (Pb²⁺) by the method of Baes and Mesmer (1976), yields lg K(298.2 K) = -2.611 and -2.613, respectively. From these data, the concentrations of Sb(OH)⁵₅(aq) are 2.204×10^{-4} , 4.993×10^{-5} and 5.904×10^{-6} mol dm⁻³ for the Mg²⁺, Zn²⁺ and Pb²⁺ mineral solutions, respectively. Negligible amounts of Sb(OH)⁶₆(aq) are present in solution.

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Sb}(\operatorname{OH})_{5}^{0}(\operatorname{aq}) + \operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O}(1) \rightleftharpoons \\ \operatorname{Sb}(\operatorname{OH})_{6}^{-}(\operatorname{aq}) + \operatorname{H}^{+}(\operatorname{aq}) \end{array} \tag{2}$$

The speciation of Mg^{2+} and Zn^{2+} is straightforward, both presenting in free ionic form; concentrations of hydrolysed species are negligible at the given values of pH and thus the concentrations of $Mg^{2+}(aq)$ and Zn^{2+} (aq) are 1.102×10^{-4} and 2.497×10^{-5} mol dm⁻³ for the solutions containing byströmite and ordoñezite, respectively. The speciation of Pb²⁺ presents as two significant species, Pb²⁺(aq) and PbNO₃⁺(aq). A reliable lg *K* value of lg *K*(298.2 K) = 1.17 at *I* = 0 was reported by Smith and Martell (1976) for equation 3. Correction to *I* = 0.0996 mol dm⁻³

by the method of Baes and Mesmer (1976), yields lg K(298.2 K) = 0.850. From this, for the equilibrated solution containing rosiaite, concentrations of Pb²⁺(aq) and PbNO₃⁺(aq) of 1.741×10^{-6} and 1.227×10^{-6} mol dm⁻³, respectively, were obtained.

$$Pb^{2+}(aq) + NO_3^{-}(aq) \rightleftharpoons PbNO_3^{+}(aq)$$
 (3)

Individual ion activity coefficients were calculated using the Davis extension of the Debve-Hückel equation at 298.2 K, $\lg \gamma =$ $-0.5085z^2(\sqrt{I}/(1+\sqrt{I}) - 0.3I)$. For byströmite and ordonezite, $I = 0.1053 \text{ mol } \text{dm}^{-3}$, $\gamma^{2\pm} = 0.37$ and $\gamma^{\pm} = 0.78$. For rosiaite, at I = 0.0996 mol dm⁻³, $\gamma^{2\pm} = 0.37$ and $\gamma^{\pm} = 0.78$. In all instances, γ^{o} is taken to be unity. These results were used to calculate lg K values for equation 1 of $-9.44 \pm 0.10, -11.37 \pm 0.10$ and -14.92 ± 0.10 for the $Mg^{2+},~Zn^{2+}$ and $Pb^{2+}minerals,$ respectively, and associated values of ΔG_r^{Θ} . Values of $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\Theta}(298.2)$ for Mg²⁺(aq), Zn²⁺(aq), Pb²⁺(aq), $Sb(OH)_5^0$ (aq) and H₂O(1) listed in Table 2 then gave $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\Theta}({\rm MgSb_2O_6}, {\rm s}, 298.2 {\rm K}) = -1554.1 \pm$ 3.6 kJ mol⁻¹, $\Delta G_{f}^{\Theta}(ZnSb_{2}O_{6}, s, 298.2 \text{ K}) = -1257.0 \pm 2.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta G_{f}^{\Theta}(PbSb_{2}O_{6}, s, s, 298.2 \text{ K}) = -1257.0 \pm 2.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ 298.2 K) = $-1154.2 \pm 2.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Estimated errors take into account the analytical error of the solubility experiments and errors quoted for the thermochemical data used, including an estimated error of ± 1.0 kJ mol⁻¹ for $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\Theta}({\rm Sb}({\rm OH})_5^0, {\rm ag},$ 298.2 K) (Roper et al., 2012). It is noted that the derived value of byströmite by Kasenov et al., (1996) and the value derived herein differ by some 86 kJ mol⁻¹, and this is attributed to the estimations used by Kasenov et al. (1996) to derive $\Delta H_{\rm f}^{\Theta}$ for the mineral. This approach is

STABILITIES OF BYSTRÖMITE, ORDOÑEZITE AND ROSIAITE

		$\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\Theta}/{ m kJ}~{ m mol}^{-1}$	Reference
Byströmite	$MgSb_2O_6(s)$	-1468.5	Kasenov et al. (1996)
	$MgSb_2O_6(s)$	-1554.1 ± 3.6	this study
Ordonezite	$ZnSb_2O_6(s)$	-1257.0 ± 2.6	this study
Rosiaite	$PbSb_2O_6(s)$	-1154.2 ± 2.6	this study
Brandholzite	Mg[Sb(OH) ₆] ₂ .6H ₂ O	-4358.4 ± 3.4	Diemar et al. (2009)
Tripuhyite	FeSbO ₄ (s)	-836.8 ± 2.2	Leverett et al. (2012)
Goethite	FeOOH(s)	-488.6 ± 1.7	Parker and Khodakovskii (1995)
	$H_2O(1)$	-237.1 ± 0.1	Cox et al. (1989)
	$Mg^{2+}(aq)$	-455.4 ± 0.6	Cox et al. (1989)
	$Zn^{2+}(aq)$	-147.3 ± 0.2	Cox et al. (1989)
	$Pb^{2+}(aq)$	-24.2 ± 0.2	Cox et al. (1989)
	Sb(OH)5(aq)	-996.6 ± 1.0	Roper <i>et al</i> . (2012)

TABLE 2. Thermodynamic quantities used in the calculations (298.2 K).

clearly not precise enough. The value determined in this study has been adopted for all calculations regarding byströmite.

$$MgSb_2O_6(s) + Zn^{2+}(aq) \rightleftharpoons ZnSb_2O_6(s) + Mg^{2+}(aq) \qquad (4)$$

$$MgSb_2O_4(s) + Pb^{2+}(aq) \Longrightarrow$$

$$\frac{PbSb_2O_6(s) + Pb^{-1}(aq)}{PbSb_2O_6(s) + Mg^{2+}(aq)}$$
(5)
$$ZnSb_2O_6(s) + Pb^{2+}(aq) \rightleftharpoons$$

$$PbSb_2O_6(s) + Zn^{2+}(aq)$$
 (6)

Relationships between byströmite, ordoñezite and rosiaite are described by equations 4–6, for which $\Delta G_r^{0}(298.2 \text{ K}) = -11.0, -31.3$ and -20.3 kJ mol⁻¹ and correspond to lg K values of +1.93, +5.53 and +3.60, highlighting the increase in stability attributed to the replacement of the cation in the M^{2+} site by Mg, Zn and Pb, successively. Thus, thermodynamic stability increases in the order Mg < Zn < Pb, with an inherent structure change in rosiaite, presumably to accommodate the larger cation in the lattice. The boundary conditions for the transformation of one mineral into another is shown in Fig. 1.

In natural settings, byströmite would be a product of the oxidation of sénarmontite/valentinite, Sb_2O_3 , or cervantite, Sb_2O_4 , in a



FIG. 1. Relationships of the $M^{2+}Sb_2O_6$ phases, as a function of $\lg a(Pb^{2+}) vs$. $\lg a(Mg^{2+})$ or $\lg a(Zn^{2+})$. Boundary conditions are for (1) $PbSb_2O_6 - MgSb_2O_6$ and (2) $PbSb_2O_6 - ZnSb_2O_6$. In both cases the stability field of $PbSb_2O_6$ lies to the right of the boundary.

system containing Mg^{2+} and significantly depleted in not only Zn^{2+} and Pb^{2+} , but also Ca^{2+} and Fe^{3+} , which serve to stabilize roméitegroup minerals and tripuhyite. A similar situation therefore presents for the formation of ordoñezite, which requires near exhaustion of aqueous Pb^{2+} and a relatively concentrated Zn^{2+} solution to form. It is thus concluded that byströmite is most likely to form in systems essentially free of both Pb and Zn. Thus, at El Antimonio where both byströmite and ordoñezite have been reported, although not in direct association, there are two explanations. Ordoñezite formed originally in a localized environment from a solution where $a(Zn^{2+}) >$ 10^{-5} , or byströmite reacted to form ordoñezite in the presence of a Zn-rich solution. The lack of association of these two phases at the locality suggests that a localized environment contributed to the formation of ordoñezite.

The situation with rosiaite is more complex. The stable roméite-group mineral bindheimite is easily made from solutions of Pb^{2+} and $Sb(OH)_6^-$ (Diemar *et al.*, 2009). Thus the question arises as to why rosiaite did not form? At this stage we are not in a position to provide an answer until a reliable stability constant for bindheimite has been determined. However, the apparent rarity of rosiaite in contrast with bindheimite suggests that the former may be metastable. Investigations are underway in our laboratory to elucidate the

solubility behaviour of the roméite group of minerals.

Note the relationship of byströmite with brandholzite, as expressed by equation 7. We reiterate that at the type locality both minerals are present.

$$Mg[Sb(OH)_6]_2 \cdot 6H_2O(s) \rightleftharpoons MgSb_2O_6(s) + 12H_2O(l)$$
(7)

Using the derived $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\Theta}$ value reported by Diemar et al. (2009) for brandholzite, $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\Theta}({\rm Mg}[{\rm Sb}({\rm OH})_6]_2.6{\rm H}_2{\rm O}, {\rm s}, 298.2 {\rm K}) =$ $-4358.4 \pm 3.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta G_r^{\Theta}(298.2 \text{ K})$ for equation 7 is -23.76 kJ mol⁻¹. Thus, the thermodynamically stable phase at 298.2 K is byströmite and kinetic effects must account for the few reported occurrences of brandholzite, unless the stabilities of one or both of the minerals are influenced profoundly by temperature. Diemar et al. (2009) found no evidence whatsoever for the formation of byströmite and we see no evidence for the formation of brandholzite in the experiments described above. It may be simply the case that the rate of transformation of brandholzite to byströmite is very slow at 298.2 K.

$$M^{2+}Sb_2O_6(s) + 2FeOOH(s) + 2H^+(aq) \rightleftharpoons 2FeSbO_4(s) + 2H_2O(l) + M^{2+}(aq)$$
(8)

Finally, any influence that byströmite, ordoñezite or rosiaite might have on the mobility of Sb, must be viewed against the possible formation of



FIG. 2. Relationships of the $M^{2+}Sb_2O_6$ phases with tripuhyite and goethite as a function of pH. Boundary conditions are for equation 8: (1) FeSbO₄ – PbSb₂O₆ + FeOOH; (2) FeSbO₄ – ZnSb₂O₆ + FeOOH; (3) FeSbO₄ – MgSb₂O₆ + FeOOH. The tripuhyite field lies to the left of each line.

tripuhyite (Leverett et al., 2012). Relationships of these phases can be described by equation 8, where $M^{2+} = Mg^{2+}$, Zn^{2+} or Pb^{2+} . Using the $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\Theta}$ values for goethite and tripuhyite given in Table 2, equation 8 yields $\Delta G_r^{\Theta}(298.2 \text{ K})$ values of -72.0, -61.0 and -40.7 kJ mol⁻¹, corresponding to $\lg K = +12.6$, +10.7 and +7.1 for the Mg^{2+} , Zn^{2+} and Pb^{2+} congeners, respectively. Relative stabilities of the minerals are depicted in Fig. 2. Under conditions generally occurring in natural settings, rosiaite may exert a significant control on Sb mobility under circumneutral pH conditions. However, it is impossible to conceive natural conditions that would render ordoñezite or byströmite thermodynamically stable with respect to tripuhyite. Ultimately, they must be metastable, transient under ambient conditions, and their existence dependent on the rate of nucleation of tripulyite. Conversely, conditions of formation of rosiaite and goethite with respect to tripulyite at 298.2 K (pH 6; $\lg a(Pb^{2+}) > -6$) are such that the formation of rosiaite in natural settings is achievable under the conditions described and over the normal pH range of the natural environment. Again, however, its role in limiting chemical dispersion of Sb in the supergene zone will depend on its own stability with respect to that of bindheimite.

This study has established the conditions under which the rare minerals byströmite, ordoñezite and rosiaite can form under ambient conditions in the oxidized zones of Sb-bearing deposits. Rather special solution conditions must be observed for them to be stable phases and thus it is improbable that they can exert any significant effect, in relation to other secondary Sb species, in the immobilization of Sb in the supergene environment. This conclusion is significant to the extent that it provides a more refined focus on other secondary Sb phases that do play more important roles in buffering dissolved Sb species in solution.

References

- Accornero, M., Marini, L. and Lelli, M. (2008) The dissociation constant of antimonic acid at 10–40°C. *Journal of Solution Chemistry*, **37**, 785–800.
- Baes, C.F., Jr and Mesmer, R.E. (1976) *The Hydrolysis* of *Cations*. Wiley Interscience, New York.
- Basso, R., Lucchetti, G., Zefiro, L. and Palenzona, A. (1996) Rosiaite, PbSb₂O₆, a new mineral from the Cetine mine, Siena, Italy. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, 8, 487–492.
- Blass, G. and Graf, H.W. (1997) Neue Mineralienfunde

und Bestimmungen (17). *Mineralien Welt*, **8(2)**, 16–19.

- Byström, A., Hök, B. and Mason, B. (1941) The crystal structure of zinc metantimonate and similar compounds. *Arkiv for Kemi, Mineralogi och Geologi*, 15B, 1–8.
- Courtin-Nomade, A., Rakotoarisoa, O., Bril, H., Grybos, M., Forestier, L., Foucher, F. and Kunz, M. (2012) Weathering of Sb-rich mining and smelting residues: insight in solid speciation and soil bacteria toxicity. *Chemie der Erde – Geochemistry*, **72 (sup. 4)**, 29–39.
- Cox, J.D., Wagman, D.D. and Medvedev, V.A. (1989) CODATA Key Values for Thermodynamics. Hemisphere Press, New York.
- Diemar, G.A., Filella, M., Leverett, P. and Williams, P.A. (2009) Dispersion of antimony from oxidizing ore deposits. *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 81, 1547–1553.
- Dondi, M., Palenzona, A. and Puggioli, G. (1995) La mine de Mt Avanza, Forni Avoltri (Udine). *Rivista Mineralogica Italiana*, **19**, 125–136.
- Ercit, T.S., Foord, E.E. and Fitzpatrick, J.J. (2002) Ordoñezite from the Theodoso Soto mine, Sapioris, Durango, Mexico: new data and structure refinement. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **40**, 1207–1210.
- Filella, M. and May, P.M. (2003) Computer simulation of the low-molecular-weight inorganic species distribution of antimony(III) and antimony(V) in natural waters. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 67, 4013–4031.
- Filella, M. and Williams, P.A. (2012) Antimony interactions with heterogeneous complexants in waters, sediments and soils: a review of binding data for homologous compounds. *Chemie der Erde*, **72S4**, 49–65.
- Filella, M., Belzile, N. and Chen, Y.-W. (2002a) Antimony in the environment: a review focused on natural waters I. Occurrence. *Earth Science Reviews* 57, 125–176.
- Filella, M., Belzile, N. and Chen, Y.-W. (2002b) Antimony in the environment: a review focused on natural waters II. Relevant solution chemistry. *Earth Science Reviews*, **59**, 265–285.
- Filella, M., Williams, P.A. and Belzile, N. (2009) Antimony in the environment: knowns and unknowns. *Environmental Chemistry*, 6, 95–105.
- Friedrich, A., Mazzi, F. Wildner, M. and Tillmanns, E. (2003) Addendum: isotypism of $Co(H_2O)_6$ [Sb(OH)₆]₂ with brandholzite and bottinoite. *American Mineralogist*, **88**, 462–463.
- Hill, R.J. (1987) Structure of PbSb₂O₆ and its relationship to the crystal chemistry of PbO₂ in antimonial lead-acid batteries. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*, 71, 12–18.
- Kasenov, B.K., Mukhanova, M.A., Kasenova, S.B. and

Mustafin, E.S. (1996) The thermodynamic properties of the alkaline-earth metal antimonates. *Russian Journal of Physical Chemistry*, **70**, 18–20.

- Langford, J.I. (1973) Least-squares refinement of cell dimensions from powder data by Cohen's method. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 6, 190–196.
- Leverett, P., Reynolds, J.K., Roper, A.J. and Williams, P.A. (2012) Tripuhyite and schafarzikite: two of the ultimate sinks for antimony in the natural environment. *Mineralogical Magazine*, **76**, 891–902.
- Mason, B. and Vitaliano, C.J. (1952) Byströmite, magnesium antimonate, a new mineral. *American Mineralogist*, 37, 53–57.
- Majzlan, J., Lalinská, B., Chovan, M., Bläss, U., Brecht, B., Göttlicher, J., Steininger, R., Hug, K., Ziegler, S. and Gescher, J. (2011) A mineralogical, geochemical, and microbiogical assessment of the antimonyand arsenic-rich neutral mine drainage tailings near Pezinok, Slovakia. *American Mineralogist*, **96**, 1–13.
- Meier, S. (1995) *Mineralfundstellen im Fichtelgebirge*. Eigenverlag, Marktredwitz, Germany.
- Meli, R. (1999) I minerali delle discariche e delle scorie del Fosso del Tafone (Grosseto). *Rivista Mineralogica Italiana*, 23, 187–191.
- Mitsunobu, S., Takahashi, Y., Terada, Y. and Sakata, M. (2010) Antimony(V) incorporation into synthetic ferrihydrite, goethite, and natural iron oxyhydroxides. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 44, 3712–3718.
- Mitsunobu, S., Takahashi, Y., Utsunomiya, S., Matthew, A.M., Terada, Y., Iwamura, T. and Sakata, M. (2011) Identification and characterization nanosized tripuhyite in soil near Sb mine tailing. *American Mineralogist*, **96**, 1171–118.
- Mitsunobu, S., Muramatsu, C., Watanabe, K. and Sakata, M. (2013) Behavior of antimony(V) during the transformation of ferrihydrite and its environmental implications. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 47, 9660–9667.

Northrop, S.A. (1996) Minerals of New Mexico, third

edition, revised by F.A. LaBruzza. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, USA.

- Parker, V.B. and Khodakovskii, I.L. (1995) Thermodynamic properties of the aqueous ions (2+ and 3+) of iron and the key compounds of iron. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*, 24, 1699–1745.
- Pawlowski, D. (1991) *Mineralfundstellen im Sauerland*. Weise Verlag, Munich, Germany.
- Perrin, D.D. and Sayce, I.G. (1967) Computer calculation of equilibrium concentrations in mixtures of metal ions and complexing species. *Talanta*, 14, 833–842.
- Roper, A.J., Williams, P.A. and Fillela, M. (2012) Secondary antimony minerals: Phases that control the dispersion of antimony in the supergene zone. *Chemie der Erde*, **72S4**, 9–14.
- Ryback, G. and Francis, J.G. (2001) Rosiaite from Bwlch mine, Deganwy, Conwy, Wales. *Journal of the Russell Society*, 7, 88.
- Schmutz, L., Bachmann, A., Eichin, R., Rüegg, H.-R. and Vogel, C. (1986) Antimonmineralien aus dem Malcantone-Tal. Vorkommen und Ausbildung. *Schweizer Strahler*, 7, 249–289.
- Schnorrer-Köhler, G. (1986) Neue Minerale von der Schlackenhalde der ehemaligen Zinkhütte Genna in Letmathe/Sauerland. Aufschluss, 37(2), 55–67.
- Sejkora, J., Ozdín, D., Vitáloš, J., Tuček, P., Čejka, J. and Ďud'a, R. (2007) Schafarzikite from the type locality Pernek (Malé Karpaty Mountains, Slovak Republic) revisited. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, **19**, 419–427.
- Smith, R.M. and Martell, A.E. (1976) Critical Stability Constants. Volume 4. Inorganic Complexes. Plenum Press, New York.
- Switzer, G. and Foshag, W.F. (1955) Ordoñezite, zinc antimonate, a new mineral from Guanajuato, Mexico. American Mineralogist, 40, 64–69.
- Vajdak, J. (2008) New mineral finds in 2007. *Mineral News*, 24, 14–15.