

The final chapter looks at the question of political resistance more closely. Jensen argues that Melanchthon countered Catholics who accused the Lutherans of political rebellion by justifying resistance on philosophical rather than religious or biblical grounds. His position presented an alternative to the more apocalyptic justifications for resistance developed by the Gnesio-Lutherans, and Jensen suggests that it was ultimately more influential than the better-known Magdeburg Confession (1550). The book's conclusion concisely summarizes the argument developed in these four chapters.

A book on the history of political theory could easily become bogged down in abstruse details, but Jensen has avoided such pitfalls. He begins each chapter by summarizing both German- and English-language research and then highlights his own contribution to the debate. To set Melanchthon's ideas in their broader context, he gives a short synopsis of political developments and discusses other writers who served as a foil to the Wittenberger's thought. Although he recognizes that Melanchthon developed his political philosophy in the course of his university lectures, Jensen says very little about Melanchthon's own teaching. More explicit discussion of these lectures would have been welcome, because the daily experience of teaching was surely as much an influence on the development of Melanchthon's thought as the larger political and religious conflicts.

This book does not fundamentally change our understanding of Melanchthon's political thought, but it does extend and deepen it by considering its philosophical rather than its theological expression. Melanchthon's particular combination of humanist practices and Lutheran ideas distinguished him from both groups. His fundamental separation of civic and divine righteousness also allowed for the development of political theory independent from the discipline of theology. *A Humanist in Reformation Politics* is well worth reading for anyone interested in the history of early modern political thought.

AMY NELSON BURNETT

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA-LINCOLN

doi:10.1017/S0008938921000546

*A New Order of Medicine: The Rise of Physicians in Reformation Nuremberg.* By Hannah Murphy. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2019. Pp. 272. Cloth \$50.00. ISBN 978-0822945604.

Dedicated to the history of early modern medicine, this book examines the establishment of the college of physicians in Nuremberg in the late sixteenth century. As reflected by the petition for medical reform by the German physician Joachim Camerarius in 1571, the physicians of Nuremberg aspired to legitimize their status and regulate the exercise of medicine in legal terms. Such a claim was made in the context of the emergence of municipal physicians (*Stadtärzte*) and the institutional project of medical reform in the cities of the Holy Roman Empire. In Nuremberg, this initiative led to the creation of the *Collegium medicum* (1592) as a professional and political body. The physicians of the city obtained the privilege of medical practice, hence excluding other types of practitioners, such as apothecaries, surgeons, and midwives, from therapeutic intervention. The resulting "order of medicine" aimed to prevent malpractice and to provide official advice on public health and "new"—often Paracelsian—drugs. The book explores the background of this reform, when

medical advice and practice were still the fruit of a broad collaboration between diverse categories of practitioners from across social ranks.

By looking at the protagonists advocating for medical reform—university-trained physicians as opposed to other types of practitioners—Hannah Murphy analyzes the longstanding connection between learned and artisanal knowledge in the medical milieu. The interactions among theory, observation, and experiment in early modern medicine are examined under the scope of the complex relationship between Renaissance Galenism and empiricism. In so doing, the book goes beyond a mere institutional history of medical reform in Nuremberg to describe its formation from the perspective of Renaissance intellectual and material culture. Such contextualization is delineated in six chapters that survey diverse medical fields including pharmacology, therapeutics, and anatomy, as well as scholarly and textual practices such as bibliophilia and epistolary exchange. In considering these facets, the book shows how learned physicians appropriated craft and empirical knowledge within their theoretical training based on ancient texts.

Drawing a parallel between medical and civic appeals for prescription, expertise, and collegiality, Murphy argues that the reformation of medicine at Nuremberg was a political and social phenomenon embedded in textual and print culture. Her study opens with the publication of the first German pharmacopoeia, the *Dispensatorium* (1546), by Valerius Cordus (1515–1544). In addition to its philosophical content in botany and medicine, the treatise had a prescriptive impact on the codification and regulation of pharmacy in German cities. In chapter 2, Murphy looks into the role of medical practice and expertise in the civic sphere in the case of Heinrich Wolff (1520–1581), whose path exemplifies the career of a municipal physician through political and matrimonial alliances. Wolff's collection of Paracelsian manuscripts also points to the critical readership of Bodenstein's and Toxites's editions during the construction of the Paracelsian corpus—an important step for the rise of new alchemical interpretations of pharmacology. Murphy then considers the anatomical works of Volcher Coiter (1534–1576) and how they were supported by surgical observations following a methodology based on case studies and private dissections.

Chapter 4 explores book collecting and annotating in the medical and alchemical library of Georg Palma (1543–1591), whose collection ranging from ancient authors to sixteenth-century *novatores*—including Paracelsian prints—is a window on the humanist culture of late Renaissance medicine. The last case studied is Joachim Camerarius (1534–1598) and his works on botany and *materia medica* in relation to his epistolary collaboration with his colleagues. His network comprised medical actors of various social positions who served at municipal, court, and university levels, for example, Carolus Clusius, Johannes Crato, and Leonhart Fuchs, among others. In light of the previous chapters, the final part expounds the circumstances of medical reform from two standpoints: the official ordinances as part of a textually mediated medical culture and the struggle between physicians and apothecaries for civic authority. Murphy concludes with an epilogue on the posterity of Nuremberg's physicians in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, for which she provides a stimulating critical view on the historiographical narratives on the decline of Galenism.

Overall, the book offers an engaging and comprehensive insight into the various methods and practices of the medical milieu of the Holy Roman Empire, while addressing the civic consequences of its professional and intellectual activities. It is particularly original in exploring a period, a place, and a range of historical actors that have been little studied in the history of early modern medicine but played an important role in the medical Republic of Letters.

Moreover, the status of the municipal physician is a fascinating subject that has been overlooked by historians in favor of court and university physicians.

However, a few aspects of the book raise questions. First, Paracelsus's troubled stay in and relationship with the city of Nuremberg in 1529–1530 would have been an interesting point to mention concerning the reception of Paracelsian medicine from a civic and medical point of view. Second, despite its emphasis on the eclectic nature of Galenic medicine, the book at times has an ambiguous position on “the advent of the Scientific Revolution” (14) and the “new turn toward empiricism” (19). This is reflected, here and there, by the evocation of some “medical ‘progress’” (146), “conservative” Galenism (175, 186), as well as Paracelsian and Galenic “mystical tendencies” (112). Whereas this scattered scientific-revolution terminology contrasts with the general tone of the book, it may explain why Georg Palma's erudite library is described as a “collection of items without great novelty” (119). Setting aside these few remarks, the book makes a significant contribution to the cultural and intellectual history of late Renaissance medicine.

ELISABETH MOREAU

FNRS–UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES

doi:10.1017/S0008938921000728

*Crime, Gender and Social Control in Early Modern Frankfurt am Main.* By Jeannette Kamp.  
Leiden and Boston: Brill, 2020. Pp. 335. Cloth \$146.00. ISBN 978-9004388437.

This book, based on the author's University of Leiden doctoral thesis, contributes a detailed local study to questions of gender in early modern crime. In constant dialogue with the existing historiography, Kamp argues that the case of Frankfurt revises some common assumptions about the role of gender and urban environments. In particular, given the German city's especially strong focus on the patriarchal household as guarantor of public order, the urban setting did not create many new opportunities for female independence. The chapters most focused on Kamp's distinctive findings concentrate on issues of the household, sexual offenses, and mobility. Kamp builds especially on Joachim Eibach's excellent work on crime in eighteenth-century Frankfurt (*Frankfurter Verhöre. Städtische Lebenswelten und Kriminalität im 18. Jahrhundert* [2003]). Citing Eibach's concept of the open house, Kamp uses her findings to emphasize ways in which the household was not a protected or private space. She points to a number of ways in which assumptions about gender can obscure similarities between male and female patterns of offending.

Women, like men, primarily committed property crimes. Kamp uses offenders' profiles of age, status, and migration to tie the crimes of both sexes to the economically vulnerable life stage between adolescence and young adulthood. Alongside the records of prosecution by urban authorities, Kamp looks at the role of informal controls that operated in households and other workplaces. She is able to trace some evidence of such controls in official records, in the mention of prior offenses that did not reach the courts.

The household was a bastion of public order, in which the *Hausvater* (House-father) could act as an informal arm of the authorities. It was also a scene of many of the thefts that women committed—but not, as has sometimes been assumed, because women were not active in “public.” Kamp finds that many thefts from domestic spaces by women were committed,