Article



Zvěstovite-(Zn), Ag₆(Ag₄Zn₂)As₄S₁₃, a new tetrahedrite-group mineral from Zvěstov, Czech Republic

Jiří Sejkora¹* ⁽ⁱ⁾, Cristian Biagioni², Luboš Vrtiška¹ and Yves Moëlo³

¹Department of Mineralogy and Petrology, National Museum, Cirkusová 1740, 193 00, Praha 9, Czech Republic; ²Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Pisa, Via Santa Maria, 53, I-56126 Pisa, Italy; and ³Université de Nantes, CNRS, Institut des Matériaux Jean Rouxel, IMN, F-44000 Nantes, France

Abstract

The new mineral, zvěstovite-(Zn), ideally $Ag_6(Ag_4Zn_2)As_4S_{13}$, was found in quartz-baryte gangue at the mine dump of the abandoned small deposit of Zvěstov, central Bohemia, Czech Republic. Zvěstovite-(Zn) is associated with tennantite-(Zn), tetrahedrite-(Zn), argentotennantite-(Zn), acanthite and supergene azurite and malachite. The new mineral occurs as rare relic anhedral grains rimmed by acanthite, up to 100 µm in size. Zvěstovite-(Zn) is grey, Mohs hardness is ca. 3½-4, in agreement with other members of the tetrahedrite group; the calculated density is 5.16 g.cm⁻³. In reflected light, zvěstovite-(Zn) is grey with a greenish tint, without bireflectance, pleochroism or anisotropy. Deep red internal reflections are ubiquitous. Reflectance values of zvěstovite-(Zn) in air (R%) are: 28.5 at 470 nm, 26.9 at 546 nm, 25.5 at 589 nm and 23.8 at 650 nm. The empirical formula for zvěstovite-(Zn), based on electron-microprobe analyses (n = 4), is Ag_{6.27}[(Ag_{3.90}Cu_{0.38})_{24.28}(Zn_{1.60}Fe_{0.09}Cd_{0.03})_{21.72}]_{26.00}(As_{2.26}Sb_{1.48})_{23.74}S_{12.50}. The ideal formula is Ag₆(Ag₄Zn₂) As₄S₁₃, which requires (in wt.%) Ag 56.01, Zn 6.79, As 15.56 and S 21.64, total 100.00. Zvěstovite-(Zn) is cubic, $I\bar{4}3m$, with unit-cell parameters: a = 10.850(2) Å, V = 1277.3(8) Å³ and Z = 2. The strongest reflections of the calculated powder X-ray diffraction pattern [d, Å (I) (hkl)] are: 3.1321(100) (222), 2.7125(21) (400), 1.9809(11) (521), 1.9180(31) (440) and 1.6357(15) (622). According to the single-crystal X-ray diffraction data ($R_{obs} = 0.051$), the crystal structure of zvěstovite-(Zn) agrees with the general features of the members of the tetrahedrite group. Zvěstovite-(Zn) is named after its type locality, Zvěstov; the suffix indicates the dominant divalent C-constituent, according to the approved nomenclature of the tetrahedrite group. It is the As-isotype of rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn). The mineral and its name have been approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA2020-061).

Keywords: zvěstovite-(Zn), new mineral, tetrahedrite group, silver, arsenic, crystal structure, Zvěstov, Czech Republic

(Received 14 May 2021; accepted 30 June 2021; Accepted Manuscript published online: 5 July 2021; Associate Editor: František Laufek)

Introduction

Tetrahedrite-group minerals are the most common sulfosalts in different kinds of hydrothermal ore deposits. They form a complex isotypic series characterised by multiple homo- and heterovalent substitutions, representing an interesting link between mineralogy and ore geochemistry (Moëlo *et al.*, 2008; Biagioni *et al.*, 2020a). The classification and nomenclature of the tetrahedrite-group minerals, in keeping with the current International Mineralogical Association (IMA) rules (Mills *et al.*, 2009; Bosi *et al.*, 2019) was published recently by Biagioni *et al.* (2020a). The general structural formula of minerals belonging to this group can be written as ${}^{M(2)}A_6{}^{M(1)}(B_4C_2){}_{\Sigma 6}{}^{X(3)}D_4{}^{S(1)}Y_{12}{}^{S(2)}Z$, where $A = Cu^+$, Ag^+ , \Box (vacancy) and $(Ag_6)^{4+}$ cluster; $B = Cu^+$ and Ag^+ ; $C = Zn^{2+}$, Fe^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , Cu^+ and Fe^{3+} ; $D = Sb^{3+}$, As^{3+} , Bi^{3+} and Te^{4+} ; $Y = S^{2-}$ and Se^{2-} ; and $Z = S^{2-}$, Se^{2-} and \Box (Biagioni *et al.*, 2020a).

Silver-rich members with 3-6 Ag atoms per formula unit (apfu) (the A constituent, now the freibergite/arsenofreibergite

*Author for correspondence: Jiří Sejkora, Email: jiri.sejkora@nm.cz

series) have been known for a long time. Indeed, 'freibergite' was first described from the Hab Acht Mine near Freiberg, Saxony, Germany by Weissenbach (1831) and named by Kenngott (1853). Currently IMA-accepted minerals belonging to the freibergite series are argentotetrahedrite-(Fe) (Welch *et al.*, 2018), argentotetrahedrite-(Hg) (Wu *et al.*, 2021), argentotetrahedrite-(Zn) (Sejkora *et al.*, 2021), kenoargentotetrahedrite-(Fe) (the former 'freibergite' – Welch *et al.*, 2018; Biagioni *et al.*, 2020a) and kenoargentotetrahedrite-(Zn) (Qu *et al.*, 2021). The arsenofreibergite series comprises fewer species, being currently represented only by argentotennantite-(Zn) (Spiridonov *et al.*, 1986; Biagioni *et al.*, 2020a) and kenoargentotennantite-(Fe) (Biagioni *et al.*, 2020b).

The Ag-rich members of the tetrahedrite group, however, are known from many occurrences worldwide and at some deposits represent the main Ag ore, extremely Ag-rich members, with Ag > 8 apfu (~45 wt.% Ag; as both the A and B constituents), are distinctly rarer. The first documented find is probably from the Kutná Hora deposit (Czech Republic) where Kvaček *et al.* (1975) described 'tetrahedrite' with ~8 Ag apfu (and with Fe and Sb dominant as C and D constituents, respectively). Later, Paar *et al.* (1978) found 'freibergite' (Zn–Sb) with 8.6–10.5 Ag apfu in material from the "Knappenstube" mine, Hochtor, Salzburg (Austria). The Fe- and As-dominant member with 8.8 Ag apfu was reported from the Manson Lode deposit, Kelantan,

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Mineralogical Society of Great Britain and Ireland

Cite this article: Sejkora J., Biagioni C., Vrtiška L. and Moëlo Y. (2021) Zvěstovite-(Zn), Ag₆(Ag₄Zn₂)As₄S₁₃, a new tetrahedrite-group mineral from Zvěstov, Czech Republic. *Mineralogical Magazine* **85**, 716–724. https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2021.57

Malaysia by Gan (1980). Ixer and Stanley (1983) analysed a Znand As-dominant member occurring as inclusion in galena from the Sark's Hope mine, Sark, Channel Islands, with \sim 8 Ag apfu. In the 1990s, further findings of extremely Ag-rich members of the tetrahedrite group were reported from China and Russia. Li and Wang (1990) described 'freibergite' (Fe-Sb dominant) from the Dachang ore field, Guangxi (China), with 9.0–9.6 Ag apfu and with a = 10.950 Å. Zhdanov *et al.* (1992) described Ag-rich 'tetrahedrite' (Fe-Sb dominant) from the deposit of Khachakchan, Verkhoyan-Kolyma region, Russia, with Ag content ranging between 10.2 and 10.7 apfu and a unit-cell parameter a = 10.92 Å. Later, Samusikov and Gamyanin (1994) presented an almost Ag-pure sample (52 wt.% Ag, with only 0.7 wt.% Cu; a = 10.90 Å) from Yakutia, Russia and named it 'tarynite' from the locality. However, no official proposal was submitted to the then 'Commission on New Minerals and Mineral Names' of the IMA by these authors. Foit and Ulbricht (2001) documented 'tetrahedrite' samples (Hg and Sb dominant) from the O'Keefe pit, Steens-Pueblo, Oregon, USA with 9.3 Ag apfu. The Zn and As dominant 'argentotennantite' with 9.1 Ag apfu was described by Koch and Heider (2018) from the Frische Lutter mine, Bad Lauterberg, Harz, Germany. The first IMAapproved member of tetrahedrite group with Ag > 8 apfu was rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn), Ag₆(Ag₄Zn₂)Sb₄S₁₃, described by Welch et al. (2018) from the Moctezuma mine, Sonora, Mexico.

During a systematic investigation of tetrahedrite-group minerals from the Czech Republic, a sample characterised by an extremely Ag-rich composition and with As > Sb was identified. This new mineral and its name were approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification (CNMNC) of the IMA (IMA2020-061, Sejkora et al., 2020). Zvěstovite-(Zn) is named after the type locality, Zvěstov, Czech Republic; the suffix indicates the dominance of Zn as the C constituent, according to the approved nomenclature of the tetrahedrite group (Biagioni et al., 2020a). The holotype material (polished section) is deposited in the mineralogical collection of the Department of Mineralogy and Petrology of the National Museum, Prague, Czech Republic (catalogue number P1P 50/2020). The crystal used for the single-crystal X-ray diffraction study is stored in the mineralogical collection of the Museo di Storia Naturale of the Università di Pisa, Via Roma 79, Calci (PI), under catalogue number 19921.

Occurrence and mineral description

Occurrence

One hand-sized specimen of zvěstovite-(Zn) was collected at the mine dump in the southern part of the abandoned small deposit of Zvěstov (Stříbrnice), which is located 1200 m NNE from the village of Zvěstov. This locality is 10 km SW of Vlašim, central Bohemia region, Czech Republic. The GPS coordinates of the occurrence of zvěstovite-(Zn) are 49°38′39.069″N, 14°47′51.993″E. The Zvěstov deposit is represented by an irregular hydrothermal vein system ~1300 m long, with thickness ~20–50 cm and a vertical extent (verified by prospect borehole) of ~60 m (Nouza, 1988). There are no written records about historical mining at this deposit (Velebil, 2004). A fragment of a ceramic mug found in the studied mine dump was dated at the turn of the 15th and 16th Centuries (Velebil *et al.*, 2016). The exploration was last carried out here in the years 1956–1957 (Nouza, 1988). The quartz + baryte veins of the Zvěstov deposit host grains of

galena, sphalerite, chalcopyrite, pyrite, arsenopyrite and minerals of the tetrahedrite group (Nouza, 1988; Velebil *et al.*, 2016). This deposit is one of the small ore occurrences connected with the Blanice Graben (Fig. 1), which represents an ~200 km long NNE–SSW trending crustal-scale brittle tectonic zone in the Moldanubian Unit with minimal sinistral movement of ~17 km (Zachariáš and Hübst, 2012). It hosts low-fineness Au mineralisation (Roudný, Dobrá Voda) and younger Ag–Pb–Zn mineralisations (e.g. Ratibořské Hory – Stará Vožice, Rudolfov or Stříbrná Skalice).

The holotype specimen of zvěstovite-(Zn) is a fragment of a quartz + baryte vein with sulfide disseminations [tennantite-(Zn), tetrahedrite-(Zn), argentotennantite-(Zn) and acanthite], as well as clasts of the host rocks (gneiss); it is partly supergenically altered, originating azurite and malachite.

Physical and optical properties

Zvěstovite-(Zn) forms relic anhedral grains rimmed by acanthite (Fig. 2), up to 100 μ m in size. The mineral is grey in colour and is opaque in transmitted light; it has a metallic lustre. No cleavage was observed; it is brittle with a conchoidal fracture. The calculated density (Z=2) for the empirical formula is 5.16 g.cm⁻³. Mohs hardness is assumed at $3\frac{1}{2}-4$ by analogy with other members of the tetrahedrite group. In reflected light, zvěstovite-(Zn) is grey with a greenish tint, without bireflectance, pleochroism and anisotropy. Internal reflections are ubiquitous and deep red in colour. Reflectance spectra were measured in air with a TIDAS MSP400 spectrophotometer attached to a Leica microscope (50× objective) using a WTiC (Zeiss no. 370) standard, with a square sample measurement field of ca. $7 \,\mu\text{m} \times 7 \,\mu\text{m}$. The results from the 400–700 nm range are given in Table 1 and plotted in Fig. 3 in comparison with published data (Welch et al., 2018) for rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn), argentotetrahedrite-(Fe) and kenoargentotetrahedrite-(Fe) (originally 'freibergite').

Chemical composition

Chemical analyses were performed using a Cameca SX100 electron microprobe (National Museum, Prague) operating in wavelength-dispersive mode (25 kV, 20 nA and 1 µm wide beam). The following standards and X-ray lines were used to minimise line overlaps: Ag (AgL α), Au (AuM α), Bi (BiM β), CdTe (CdL α), Co (CoK α), chalcopyrite (CuK α , SK α), pyrite (FeK α), HgTe (HgM α), Ni (NiK α), NiAs (AsL α), PbS (PbM α), PbSe (SeL α), PbTe (TeL α), Sb₂S₃ (SbL α), TlBrI (TlL α) and ZnS (ZnK α). Peak counting times were 20 s for all elements, and 10 s for each background. Gold, Bi, Co, Hg, Ni, Pb, Se, Te and Tl were found to be below the detection limits (0.02–0.05 wt.%). Raw intensities were converted to the concentrations of elements using the automatic 'PAP' (Pouchou and Pichoir, 1985) matrix-correction procedure.

Analytical data for the zvěstovite-(Zn) grain used for singlecrystal study are given in Table 2. On the basis of $\Sigma Me = 16$ apfu, the empirical chemical formula is Ag_{6.27}[(Ag_{3.90}Cu_{0.38})_{Σ 4.28}(Zn_{1.60} Fe_{0.09}Cd_{0.03})_{Σ 1.72}](As_{2.26}Sb_{1.48})_{Σ 3.74}S_{12.50}. The ideal formula is Ag₆(Ag₄Zn₂)As₄S₁₃, which requires Ag 56.01, Zn 6.79, As 15.56 and S 21.64, a total of 100.00 wt.%. Representative analyses for all zvěstovite-(Zn) grains in the holotype sample are given in Table 3. The grains studied show distinct AgCu₋₁ substitution (Fig. 4) with Ag and Cu contents 8.23–10.51 apfu and 0.10– 2.00 apfu, respectively. The dominant Me^{2+} is Zn (1.55–1.85 apfu)



Fig. 1. Simplified geological map of the Blanice Graben and surrounding units with location of Au and Ag-Pb-Zn hydrothermal vein-type deposits (modified from Zachariáš and Hübst, 2012).

accompanied by minor Fe (0.07-0.15 apfu) and Cd (0.02-0.05 apfu). Arsenic (2.05-2.37 apfu) always prevails over Sb (1.35-1.79 apfu). The determined contents of S are in the range



Fig. 2. Zvěstovite-(Zn), as relict grains rimmed by acanthite; (a) reflected light photo, partly crossed polarisers; (b) back-scattered electron photo, where the area of extracted fragment for single-crystal X-ray diffraction study is outlined in red. Holotype material (catalogue number P1P 50/2020).

12.32–12.99 apfu, values of S > 12.50 apfu were found for 9 of the 14 point analyses (Table 3).

X-ray diffraction data

Powder X-ray diffraction data could not be collected, due to the paucity of available material. Consequently, powder X-ray diffraction data, given in Table 4, were calculated using the software *PowderCell 2.3* (Kraus and Nolze, 1996) on the basis of the structural model discussed below.

A short prismatic fragment of zvěstovite-(Zn), 50 μ m × 40 μ m × 30 μ m, extracted from the polished section analysed using electron microprobe (Fig. 2), was mounted on a glass fibre and examined with a Bruker Smart Breeze diffractometer equipped with an air-cooled CCD detector (Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università di Pisa), and graphite-monochromatised MoK α radiation. The detector-to-crystal distance was 50 mm. Data were collected using ω scan mode, in 0.5° slices, with an exposure time of 45 s per frame. Owing to the small crystal size and the weakness of the observed reflections, intensity data collection was possible up to $2\theta = \sim 47^{\circ}$. From the total of 2367 reflections, 199 were independent and 193 classified as unique observed with $I > 4\sigma(I)$. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation factors and absorption using the software package *Apex3* (Bruker AXS Inc., 2016).

Table 1. Reflectance values (%) for zvěstovite-(Zn).

λ (nm)	R	λ (nm)	R	λ (nm)	R
400	29.1	520	27.6	620	24.5
420	29.2	540	27.1	640	24.0
440	29.0	546	26.9	650	23.8
460	28.7	560	26.5	660	23.6
470	28.5	580	25.9	680	23.2
480	28.3	589	25.5	700	22.9
500	27.9	600	25.1		

The reference wavelengths required by the Commission on Ore Mineralogy (COM) are given in bold.



Fig. 3. Reflectance curve for zvěstovite-(Zn) compared with published data (Welch *et al.*, 2018) for rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn), argentotetrahedrite-(Fe) and kenoargentotetrahedrite-(Fe).

Table 2. Chemical data (wt.%) for zvěstovite-(Zn) crystal used for the single-crystal X-ray study (n = 4) and chemical formula (apfu) based on $\Sigma Me =$ 16 apfu.

Constituent	Mean	Range	S.D. (σ)	Apfu	S.D. (σ)
Cu	1.23	0.34-1.84	0.65	0.38	0.20
Ag	55.60	53.73-57.47	1.52	10.17	0.25
Fe	0.24	0.22-0.26	0.02	0.09	0.01
Zn	5.29	5.17-5.43	0.11	1.60	0.04
Cd	0.18	0.15-0.24	0.04	0.03	0.01
As	8.57	8.39-8.73	0.17	2.26	0.06
Sb	9.11	8.53-9.41	0.39	1.48	0.06
S	20.30	20.16-20.51	0.15	12.50	0.09
Total	100.52	99.58-101.07	0.64		

S.D. - standard deviation

The crystal structure of zvěstovite-(Zn) was refined using *Shelxl*-2018 (Sheldrick, 2015) starting from the atomic coordinates of rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn) (Welch *et al.*, 2018). The occurrence of a racemic twin was modelled [twin ratio 0.4(2)]. The following neutral scattering curves, taken from the *International Tables for Crystallography* (Wilson, 1992) were used: Ag *vs.* vacancy at M(2); Ag *vs.* Zn at M(1); As *vs.* Sb at X(3); and S at S(1) and S(2) sites. An isotropic model converged to $R_1 = 0.09$, thus confirming the correctness of the structural model. Site occupancies at the split M(2a) and M(2b) positions were found close to a full Ag occupancy at M(2); consequently, in the latest stages of the refinement, the sum of the site occupancies at the two split sites was constrained to full occupancy. The anisotropic structural model converged to $R_1 = 0.0508$ for 193 reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$

and 27 refined parameters. Details of data collection and refinement are given in Table 5. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters are reported in Table 6. Table 7 reports selected bond distances. Finally, Table 8 gives the bond-valence sums (BVS) obtained using the bondvalence parameters of Brese and O'Keeffe (1991). Anisotropic displacement parameters are reported in the crystallographic information file, which has been deposited with the Principal Editor of *Mineralogical Magazine* and is available as Supplementary material (see below).

Crystal structure of zvěstovite-(Zn)

The crystal structure of zvěstovite-(Zn) agrees with the general features of the members of the tetrahedrite group. The M(2)site was found to be split into two sub-positions, labelled M(2a)and M(2b) (Fig. 5), similar to those reported by Makovicky et al. (2005) in Cu-excess unsubstituted tennantite, and by Welch et al. (2018) in rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn). Both positions are triangularly coordinated. The observed distances agree with the Ag-pure nature of this position, in agreement with figure 5 of Welch et al. (2018). The BVS calculated at these two subpositions, assuming the full occupancy by Ag, results in a good agreement for M(2b) [BVS = 0.97 valence units, vu], whereas the BVS at M(2a) shows an important overbonding [BVS = 1.62 vu]. Indeed, whereas the mean $\langle M(2b) - S \rangle$ distance is 2.57 Å, the < M(2a)-S> is 2.39 Å, too short with respect to ideal <Ag-S> in three-fold coordination, 2.56 Å. This short average distance is mainly related to the short M(2a)-S(2) distance. This behaviour was observed by Johnson et al. (1988) who highlight that three-fold Ag is distinctly aspherical, with the increase of the M(2)-S(1) distance, related to the increasing Ag content in tetrahedrite-group minerals, being significantly larger than that observed for the M(2)-S(2) bond. Indeed, Welch et al. (2018) reported a similar short M(2a)-S(2) distance (2.284 Å) in rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn). M(2a) and M(2b) show an elongate displacement ellipsoid having their elongation axes oriented approximately along a line connecting two M(2b) positions with the M(2a) site located between them. The distances M(2a)-M(2b) and M(2b)-M(2b)are 1.00(5) and 1.97(11) Å, to be compared with the corresponding Cu2A-Cu2B and Cu2B-Cu2B distances of 1.08(2) and 2.00(3) Å reported by Makovicky et al. (2005) for Cu-excess tennantite. Welch et al. (2018) proposed a split-site model for rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn) using isotropic displacement parameters for the two split positions labelled A1 and A2, and they found distances A1-A2 and A2-A2 of 0.789(5) and 1.545(9) Å, respectively.

The tetrahedrally coordinated M(1) site (Fig. 6) has a bond distance of 2.497(6) Å, in agreement with the M(1)–S(1) distance reported for rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn), i.e. 2.496(2) Å (Welch *et al.*, 2018). On the basis of the electron microprobe analysis, the site population (Ag_{0.65}Zn_{0.27}Cu_{0.06}Fe_{0.02}) can be proposed. The bond-valence sum at this site is 1.44 vu, in agreement with the theoretical value (1.31 vu, assuming all Cu as monovalent and Fe as Fe³⁺).

The *X*(3) site (Fig. 6) has bond distance of 2.322(8) Å and it shows a mixed ($As_{0.60}Sb_{0.40}$) site occupancy, in agreement with chemical data. The bond-valence sum is 3.21 vu. Taking into account the bond-valence approach, a better site occupation factor at *X*(3) would be ($As_{0.70}Sb_{0.30}$), corresponding to ($As_{2.80}Sb_{1.20}$) apfu, with an As/(As + Sb) slightly higher than that determined through electron microprobe (0.70 against 0.60).

Table 3. Representative analyses (wt.%) for zvěstovite-(Zn) from Zvěstov.*

	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	[14]
Wt.%														
Ag	53.73	55.54	55.64	57.47	46.55	47.16	47.40	47.97	47.75	50.33	51.57	52.06	53.49	54.73
Cu	1.54	1.84	1.19	0.34	6.65	5.73	5.79	5.22	5.31	3.62	2.82	2.55	1.40	0.32
Fe	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.22	0.44	0.32	0.34	0.34	0.28	0.43	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.18
Cd	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.24	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.16	0.31	0.23	0.22	0.28	0.18	0.18
Zn	5.43	5.17	5.29	5.27	6.16	6.23	6.28	6.20	5.76	6.15	5.22	5.51	5.26	5.25
Sb	9.25	9.24	9.41	8.53	9.47	10.88	10.66	10.89	10.46	10.55	9.06	8.89	8.87	10.29
As	8.70	8.39	8.46	8.73	9.08	8.25	8.31	8.26	8.23	8.24	8.64	8.82	8.80	7.50
S	20.51	20.16	20.27	20.28	21.65	21.60	21.58	21.56	20.84	21.21	20.36	20.34	20.03	19.57
Total	99.58	100.74	100.65	101.07	100.20	100.38	100.56	100.60	98.94	100.76	98.13	98.68	98.27	98.02
Atoms pe	er formula	unit												
Ag	9.919	10.089	10.171	10.507	8.229	8.430	8.441	8.584	8.660	9.060	9.582	9.600	9.985	10.379
Cu	0.484	0.568	0.369	0.104	1.996	1.739	1.750	1.586	1.635	1.106	0.889	0.798	0.444	0.103
Ag + Cu	10.403	10.657	10.540	10.611	10.225	10.169	10.191	10.170	10.295	10.166	10.471	10.398	10.429	10.482
Fe	0.088	0.087	0.091	0.077	0.150	0.110	0.117	0.118	0.098	0.150	0.086	0.082	0.087	0.066
Cd	0.030	0.028	0.026	0.042	0.034	0.036	0.034	0.027	0.054	0.040	0.039	0.050	0.032	0.033
Zn	1.653	1.549	1.595	1.589	1.797	1.838	1.845	1.831	1.724	1.827	1.600	1.677	1.620	1.643
ΣMe^{2+}	1.771	1.664	1.712	1.708	1.981	1.984	1.996	1.976	1.876	2.016	1.726	1.808	1.739	1.742
Sb	1.513	1.487	1.523	1.382	1.483	1.723	1.682	1.727	1.681	1.683	1.491	1.452	1.467	1.729
As	2.313	2.193	2.225	2.299	2.311	2.123	2.131	2.128	2.149	2.136	2.311	2.342	2.365	2.048
Sb + As	3.826	3.680	3.749	3.681	3.794	3.847	3.812	3.855	3.830	3.818	3.803	3.794	3.832	3.777
S	12.741	12.318	12.463	12.472	12.876	12.990	12.928	12.979	12.715	12.844	12.727	12.618	12.579	12.485

*[1-4] crystal used for the single-crystal study; [5-14] other grains in holotype sample; coefficients of the empirical formula were calculated on the basis 16 Me apfu.



Fig. 4. Relation between Ag vs. Cu contents (apfu) in the zvěstovite and rozhdestvenskayaite series. Dashed line indicates the ideal Cu + Ag = 10 apfu. Zvěstovite-(Zn): [1] holotype sample – grain used for crystal structure study; [2] holotype sample – other grains; [3] Bad Lauterberg (Koch and Heider, 2018). Rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn): [4] Moctezuma mine (Welch *et al.*, 2018); [5] Moctezuma mine (Sejkora, unpublished data), [6] Knappenstube (Paar *et al.*, 1978). Not approved 'rozhdestvenskayaite-(Fe)': [7] Khachakchan (Zhdanov *et al.*, 1992); [8] Dachang (Li and Wang, 1990). Not approved 'rozhdestvenskayaite-(Hg)': [9] O'Keefe pit, Steens-Pueblo (Foit and Ulbricht, 2001).

All the geometrical features of the studied samples agree with its isotypic relationships with rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn) (Welch *et al.*, 2018). In addition, the volume of the S(2)(Ag₆) octahedron, calculated using the M(2a) position, is ~15.3 Å³, agreeing with the volume observed in rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn), i.e. 15.9 Å³ (Welch *et al.*, 2018). The refinement of the S(2) site as fully occupied results in a high U_{eq} value, 0.086(13) Å², similar to that reported by Welch *et al.* (2018), i.e. 0.095(5) Å². The occurrence of a minor vacancy at S(2) may be possible, but the occurrence of Ag–Ag bonds as reported in kenoargentotetrahedrite-(Fe) was not observed. In addition, the high U_{eq} value, coupled with the short M(2a)–S(2), could be the result of the dynamic disorder

 Table 4. Calculated powder X-ray diffraction data for zvěstovite-(Zn).*

I _{calc}	d_{calc} (Å)	h k l	I _{calc}	$d_{\rm calc}$ (Å)	h k l
6	7.6720	110	11	1.9809	521
4	4.4294	211	31	1.9180	440
100	3.1321	222	4	1.8607	433
1	2.8998	321	1	1.8083	442
21	2.7125	400	3	1.7601	611
5	2.5574	330	1	1.7601	532
1	2.5574	411	1	1.7155	620
1	2.3132	332	15	1.6357	622
1	2.2148	422	2	1.5660	444
1	2.1278	510	2	1.5344	710
5	2.1278	431			

*Intensity and d_{hkl} were calculated using the software *PowderCell*2.3 (Kraus and Nolze, 1996) on the basis of the structural data given in Tables 5 and 6. Only reflections with $l_{rel.} \geq 0.5$ are listed. The five strongest reflections are given in bold.

 Table 5. Summary of data collection conditions and refinement parameters for zvěstovite-(Zn).

Crystal data	
X-ray formula	Ag _{9.97} Zn _{2.03} (As _{2.40} Sb _{1.60})S ₁₃
Crystal size (mm)	0.050 × 0.040 × 0.030
Cell setting, space group	Cubic, <i>I</i> 43 <i>m</i>
a (Å)	10.850(2)
V (Å ³)	1277.3(8)
Ζ	2
Data collection	
Radiation, wavelength (Å)	ΜοΚα, λ = 0.71073
Temperature (K)	293(2)
2θ _{max} (°)	46.63
Measured reflections	2367
Unique reflections	199
Reflections with $F_{o} > 4\sigma(F_{o})$	193
R _{int}	0.0717
Rσ	0.0393
Range of <i>h</i> , <i>k</i> , <i>l</i>	$-12 \le h \le 11, -12 \le k \le 11, -11 \le l \le 12$
Refinement	
$R [F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)]$	0.0508
R (all data)	0.0534
wR (on F_o^2)*	0.1585
Goof	1.256
Absolute structure parameter**	0.4(2)
No. of least-squares parameters	27
$\Delta \rho_{max}$, $\Delta \rho_{min}$ (e^- Å ⁻³)	0.74 [at 0.99 Å from X(3)]
	–1.18 [at 1.61 Å from S(2)]

* $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0827P)^2 + 30.0580P]$, where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ **Flack (1983)

Table 6. Atoms, atom coordinates and or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å^2) for zvěstovite-(Zn).

Site	Wyck.	s.o.f.	x/a	y/b	z/c	U _{eq}
M(2a) M(2b) M(1) X(3) S(1)	12e 24g 12d 8c 24g	$\begin{array}{c} Ag_{0.53(6)} \\ Ag_{0.23(3)} \\ Ag_{0.66(7)}Zn_{0.34(7)} \\ As_{0.60(7)}Sb_{0.40(7)} \\ \end{array}$	0.2078(12) 0.2229(12) ¹ / ₄ 0.2594(4) 0.1231(6)	0 0.064(4) $\frac{1}{2}$ 0.2594(4) 0.1231(6)	0 -0.064(4) 0 0.2594(4) 0.3529(8)	0.048(9) 0.052(10) 0.0440(18) 0.035(2) 0.035(3)
S(2)	2 ig 2a	S _{1.00}	0	0	0	0.086(13)

Wyck – Wyckoff position; s.o.f. – site occupation factor

probably affecting both the positions of the M(2) and S(2) atoms. Indeed, an ordered distribution of S, with more reasonable Me-S distances, may be achieved through the splitting of S(2) in positions displaced from the (0,0,0) position.

M(1)-S(1) ×4	2.497(6)	X(3)-S(1) ×3	2.322(8)
M(2a)-S(2) M(2a)-S(1) ×2 M(2b)-S(1) ×2 M(2b)-S(2)	2.255(13) 2.458(12) 2.55(2) 2.61(3)		

Table 8. Weighted bond-valence sums (in valence units) in zvěstovite-(Zn).

Site	M(1)	<i>M</i> (2a)	<i>M</i> (2b)	<i>X</i> (3)	Σanions	theor.
S(1) S(2) Σcations theor.	^{2×→} 0.36 ^{×4↓} 1.44 1.27	$0.23^{\times 2\downarrow} \\ ^{6\times \to} 0.40 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.53$	$0.16^{\times 2\downarrow} \\ ^{6\times \to} 0.14 \\ 0.46 \\ 0.47$	1.07 ^{×3↓} 3.21 3.00	2.18 3.24	2.00 2.00

Discussion

Recalculation of chemical formulae of Ag-rich tetrahedrites

There are several different approaches to recalculate the chemical formula of the minerals belonging to the tetrahedrite group.

- (1) Normalisation on the basis Σ S(+Se) = 13 apfu, which prevails in the older literature especially. However, there is clear crystallographic evidence of the possible occurrence of vacancies at the S(2) site (e.g. Rozhdestvenskaya *et al.*, 1993; Welch *et al.*, 2018; Škácha *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, it is recognised that the S content of tetrahedrite-group minerals determined by electron microprobe can be substantially affected by analytical uncertainty, as seen in many published analyses (e.g. Repstock *et al.*, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2018). Thus, this recalculation basis is clearly unsatisfactory as it assumes a fixed (S + Se) content, which in reality can be highly variable (Welch *et al.*, 2018).
- (2) Normalisation on the basis of $\Sigma Me = 16$ apfu. This recalculation assumes that no vacancies occur at M(2), M(1) and X(3). However, vacancies are known there, especially in the case of goldfieldite (Makovicky and Karup-Møller, 2017; Biagioni *et al.*, 2020a) or pošepnýite (Škácha *et al.*, 2020). Consequently, this normalisation can be used in several cases, but it should be avoided for Te-rich members of the tetrahedrite group.
- (3) Normalisation on the basis of (As + Sb+ Bi + Te) = 4 apfu. Previous studies (e.g. Johnson *et al.*, 1986) revealed negligible variations with respect to the ideal number of D atoms that occur.
- (4) Normalisation on the basis of all 29 apfu for the general formula. This recalculation could mask some S deficit (e.g. only 12 apfu S instead 13 in the case of kenoargentotetrahedrite-(Fe); Biagioni *et al.*, 2020a) or vacancies at *M*(2) and *M*(1) sites (Biagioni *et al.*, 2020a; Škácha *et al.*, 2020).

Silver-rich members of the tetrahedrite group can show variable S contents, related to the possible occurrence of a S vacancy at S(2) and presence of $(Ag_6)^{4+}$ clusters. Consequently, chemical formulae of these compounds should be normalised on the basis of normalisation procedures (2) or (3). For zvěstovite-(Zn) the normalisation on the basis of $\Sigma Me = 16$ apfu gives the formula $(Ag_{10.17}Cu_{0.38}Zn_{1.60}Fe_{0.09}Cd_{0.03})_{\Sigma 12.27}(As_{2.26}Sb_{1.48})_{\Sigma 3.74}S_{12.50}$. On the basis of the crystal structure refinement the following crystal-chemical formula can be written: $Ag_{6.27}(Ag_{3.90}Cu_{0.38}Zn_{1.60}Fe_{0.09})_{\Sigma 12.27}$

(a)



Fig. 5. Coordination of the two split sites M(2a) and M(2b) (a and b, respectively).



Fig. 6. Coordination of the M(1) and X(3) sites.

 $Cd_{0.03}$)_{56.00}(As_{2.26}Sb_{1.48})_{53.74}S_{12.50}. The alternative empirical formula, based on As + Sb = 4 apfu, is (Ag_{10.90}Cu_{0.41}Zn_{1.71}Fe_{0.09} Cd_{0.03})_{513.14}(As_{2.42}Sb_{1.58})_{54.00}S_{13.39}. On the basis of the crystal structure, the following crystal-chemical formula can be written as Ag_{7.14}(Ag_{3.76}Cu_{0.41}Zn_{1.71}Fe_{0.09}Cd_{0.03})_{56.00}(As_{2.42}Sb_{1.58})_{54.00}S_{13.39}. In both cases, the *Ev*(%) value, where *Ev*(%) = [Σ (val+) – Σ (val-)] × 100 / Σ (val-), assuming all Cu as Cu⁺ and Fe as Fe³⁺, is +0.7(8), ranges between +0.1 and +1.9.

Ag excess in zvěstovite-(Zn)

The observed Ag(+Cu) excess in zvěstovite-(Zn) has to be discussed. The occurrence of a Cu excess is known in tennantite (e.g. Makovicky *et al.*, 2005); moreover, Maske and Skinner (1971) reported a compositional field between $Cu_{12,3}As_4S_{13}$ and $Cu_{13,7}As_4S_{13}$ for synthetic tennantite. Possibly, a similar mechanism could also act in Ag-bearing members of the tetrahedrite group, although no previous data are available. The excess of



Ag (0.27 apfu in the formula normalised on $\Sigma Me = 16$ apfu, and 1.14 apfu in the formula normalised on the sum of Sb and As), would correspond to a value of electrons per site (assuming a six-fold multiplicity of the site in a formula unit) ranging between ~2 and ~9 electrons. No additional maxima in the difference-Fourier maps, suggesting the occurrence of possible additional Ag sites having a low occupancy, were detected.

Another possibility is that the Ag excess could be related to a deficiency in the sum of D cations. One could propose that the observed excess of Ag (0.27 apfu) and the (As + Sb) and S deficit (0.26 and 0.50 apfu, respectively) occurring in zvěstovite-(Zn) may be explained through the substitution $(As/Sb)^{3+} + S^{2-} =$ $Ag^+ + \square$. The site scattering refined at the *X*(3) site is 40.25 electrons; assuming that the Ag excess occurs at the X(3) site, the calculated site scattering, with the population (As_{0.56}Sb_{0.37}Ag_{0.07}), would be 40.64 electrons, in agreement with the observed value. However, assuming a full occupancy at the X(3) site by As and Sb only, the calculated site scattering would be 40.11 electrons. Moreover, the average $\langle X(3)-S \rangle$ distance suggests a higher As/ (As + Sb) atomic ratio, compatible with the occurrence of higher amounts of smaller cations; on the contrary, the presence of Ag should probably increase the bond length. However, taking into account the relatively low quality of the structural refinement, the very minor Ag substitution, and the absence of any hints suggesting detectable S vacancies, there is no proof supporting this substitution in zvěstovite-(Zn).

As zvěstovite-(Zn) could be an ionic conductor, the analytical shift observed with respect to the ideal stoichiometry could be due to the migration of some Ag at the surface of the polished section under the electron beam. Considering that some Ag-excess was observed in other Ag-rich members of the tetrahedrite group, more data are required to solve this issue. Previous authors (e.g. Lind and Makovicky, 1982; Makovicky and Karup-Møller, 1994) discussed the analytical problems arising during electron microprobe analysis of tetrahedrite-group minerals. In particular, they stressed the analytical shifts related to the choice of different standards as well as the apparent Cu migration under the electron beam, leading to a shift of all Cu-enriched tetrahedrites towards the minimum Cu content.

Mineral Source Reference	zvěstovite-(Zn) Zvěstov this paper	rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn) Moctezuma Welch <i>et al.</i> (2018)	ʻrozhdestvenskayaite-(Fe)' Dachang Li and Wang (1990)	ʻrozhdestvenskayaite-(Fe)' Khachakchan Zhdanov <i>et al.</i> (1992)
A	Ag _{6.27}	Ag _{6.05}	Ag _{5.98}	Ag _{6.28}
В	Ag _{3.90} Cu ₀₁₀	Ag _{4.00}	Ag _{3.58} Cu _{0.42}	Ag _{4.00}
С	Zn _{1.60} Cu _{0.28} Fe _{0.09} Cd _{0.03}	Zn _{1.62} Cd _{0.17} Fe _{0.09} Cu _{0.05}	Fe _{1.96} Zn _{0.14}	Fe _{0.97} Zn _{0.77} Hg _{0.15}
D	As _{2.26} Sb _{1.48}	Sb _{3.70} As _{0.34}	Sb _{3.92}	Sb _{3.75} As _{0.08}
a (Å)	10.850(2)	10.9845(5)	10.950	10.92

Table 9. Comparison of members of the zvěstovite and rozhdestvenskayaite series.

Note: 'rozhdestvenskayaite-(Fe)' is not currently an approved member of this series.

Zvěstovite-(Zn) in the framework of the tetrahedrite group

Zvěstovite-(Zn) has isotypic relations with its Sb-analogue, rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn) (Welch *et al.*, 2018). Both minerals are currently unassigned members of the tetrahedrite group. However, as detailed above, several occurrences of potential new minerals suggest that, in addition to the potential rozhdestvenskayaite series already proposed in Biagioni *et al.* (2020a), the zvěstovite series, characterised by the chemical constituents A = Ag, B = Ag, and D = As, is likely to be created.

A comparison between selected data of zvěstovite-(Zn) and valid or potential members of the not-yet formally existing rozhdestvenskayaite series is shown in Table 9. Although zvěstovite-(Zn) does not correspond to any valid or invalid unnamed mineral (Smith and Nickel, 2007), tetrahedrite-group minerals having chemical compositions corresponding to that of zvěstovite-(Zn) were reported previously from the Sark's Hope mine, Sark, Channel Islands, by Ixer and Stanley (1983), and from the Frische Lutter mine, near Bad Lauterberg, Harz, Germany, by Koch and Heider (2018). A potential Fe-analogue, 'zvěstovite-(Fe)', was described by Gan (1980) from the Manson Lode deposit, North Kentalan, Malaysia.

Stability of Ag-rich members of the tetrahedrite group

The two Ag-pure members of the tetrahedrite group can be considered as mixtures of ZnS with two simple Ag sulfosalts, i.e. ideal zvěstovite-(Zn) as $Ag_6(Ag_4Zn_2)As_4S_{13} = 2ZnS + 3Ag_3AsS_3 + AgAsS_2$ and ideal rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn) as $Ag_6(Ag_4Zn_2)$ Sb $_4S_{13} = 2ZnS + 3Ag_3SbS_3 + AgSbS_2$.

In Ag-rich sulfide ores, such simple Ag sulfosalts are very common and associated frequently with sphalerite, which would favour the formation of these two Ag-pure members of the tetrahedrite group, contrary to the observations. Their rarity may be due to crystal-chemical constraints related to Ag coordination with S. These two tetrahedrite-group minerals have major ^{IV}Ag⁺ together with ^{III}Ag⁺, while simple Ag sulfosalts have major ^{III}Ag⁺ and ^{II}Ag⁺. Thus, contrary to Cu⁺, it appears more difficult to stabilise Ag⁺ in tetrahedral coordination relative to triangular or linear coordination, and it is possible that such a stabilisation is subordinated to low-*T* conditions.

Conclusion

Zvěstovite-(Zn) is a new Ag-rich member of the tetrahedrite group, isotypic with rozhdestvenskayaite-(Zn), and the first mineral of a potential new series (i.e. the zvěstovite series). Its crystal chemical study improves the knowledge of this important group of sulfosalts and provides further data for a better understanding of Ag-rich tetrahedrites. Indeed, only accurate structural investigations on samples characterised by different chemical compositions will allow us to achieve a full understanding of the relations between Ag and S contents in these complex chalcogenides, which can pose such severe difficulties in their correct classification.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Zdeněk Dolníček (National Museum, Praha) for his help with the analytical work and Dalibor Velebil (National Museum, Praha) for useful information about the history and geology of the Zvěstov occurrence. The helpful comments of an anonymous reviewer, Emil Makovicky, Peter Leverett, Associate Editor František Laufek and Principal Editor Stuart Mills are greatly appreciated. The study was supported financially by the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic (long-term project DKRVO 2019-2023/1.II.c; National Museum, 00023272) for JS and LV, and by the Ministero dell'Istruzione, Università e Ricerca (project PRIN 2017 "TEOREM – deciphering geological processes using Terrestrial and Extraterrestrial ORE Minerals", prot. 2017AK8C32) for CB.

Supplementary material. To view supplementary material for this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2021.57

References

- Biagioni C., George L.G., Cook N.J., Makovicky E., Moëlo Y., Pasero M., Sejkora J., Stanley C.J., Welch M.D. and Bosi F. (2020a) The tetrahedrite group: Nomenclature and classification. *American Mineralogist*, **105**, 109–122.
- Biagioni C., Sejkora J., Moëlo Y., Makovicky E., Pasero M. and Dolnícek Z. (2020b) Kenoargentotennantite-(Fe), IMA 2020-062. In: CNMNC Newsletter 58. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 84, https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm. 2020.93.
- Bosi F, Hatert F, Hålenius U., Pasero M., Miyawaki R. and Mills S.J. (2019) On the application of the IMA-CNMNC dominant-valency rule to complex mineral compositions. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 83, 627–632.
- Brese N.E. and O'Keeffe M. (1991) Bond-valence parameters for solids. Acta Crystallographica, B47, 192–197.
- Bruker AXS Inc. (2016) APEX 3. Bruker Advanced X-ray Solutions, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Flack H.D. (1983) On enantiomorph-polarity estimation. Acta Crystallographica, A39, 876–881.
- FoitJr F.F. and Ulbricht M.E. (2001) Compositional variation in mercurian tetrahedrite-tennantite from the epithermal deposits of the Steens and Pueblo Mountains, Harney County, Oregon. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **39**, 819–830.
- Gan L.C. (1980) Manson Lode, a Stratabound Base Metal-Silver Deposit in North Kelantan, Malaysia. Unpublished thesis, Montan-Universität Leoben, Austria, 171 pp.
- Ixer R.A. and Stanley C.J. (1983) Silver mineralization at Sark's Hope mine, Sark, Channel Islands. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 47, 539–545.
- Johnson N.E., Craig J.R. and Rimstidt J.D. (1986) Compositional trends in tetrahedrite. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, 24, 385–397.
- Johnson N.E., Craig J.R. and Rimstidt J.D. (1988) Crystal chemistry of tetrahedrite. American Mineralogist, 73, 389–397.

- Kenngott A. (1853) Das Mohs'sche Mineralsystem, dem gegenwärtigen Standpuncte der Wissenschaft gemäss bearbeitet. Verlag und Druck von Carl Gerold & Sohn, Wien, 164 pp.
- Koch H.-P. and Heider K.-J. (2018) Die Selenid-Mineralisation der Grube "Frische Lutter" bei Bad Lauterberg, Harz. Der Aufschluss, 69, 1–21.
- Kraus W. and Nolze G. (1996) POWDER CELL a program for the representation and manipulation of crystal structures and calculation of the resulting X-ray powder patterns. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, **29**, 301–303.
- Kvaček M., Novák F. and Drábek M. (1975) Canfieldite and silver-rich tetrahedrite from the Kutná Hora ore district. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, Monatshefte, 171–179.
- Li X. and Wang G. (1990) Studies of the tetrahedrite-group minerals from Dachang ore field, Guangxi, China. *Acta Mineralogica Sinica*, **10**, 119– 126 [in Chinese].
- Lind I.L. and Makovicky E. (1982) Phase relations in the system Cu–Sb–S at 200° C, 10⁸ Pa by hydrothermal synthesis. Microprobe analyses of tetrahedrite – a warning. *Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, Abhandlungen*, **145**, 134–156.
- Makovicky E. and Karup-Møller S. (1994) Exploratory studies on substitution of minor elements in synthetic tetrahedrite. Part I. Substitution by Fe, Zn, Co, Ni, Mn, Cr, V and Pb. Unit-cell parameter changes on substitution and the structural role of "Cu²⁺". *Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie, Abhandlungen*, 167, 89–123.
- Makovicky E. and Karup-Møller S. (2017) Exploratory studies of substitutions in the tetrahedrite/tennantite-goldfieldite solid solution. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, 55, 233–244.
- Makovicky E., Karanović L., Poleti D. and Balić-Žunić T. (2005) Crystal structure of copper-rich unsubstituted tennantite, Cu_{12.5}As₄S₁₃. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **43**, 679–688.
- Maske S. and Skinner B.J. (1971) Studies of the sulfosalts of copper. I. Phases and phase relations in the system Cu–As–S. *Economic Geology*, 66, 901–918.
- Mills S.J., Hatert F., Nickel E.H. and Ferraris G. (2009) The standardisation of mineral group hierarchies: application to recent nomenclature proposals. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, 21, 1073–1080.
- Moëlo Y., Makovicky E., Mozgova N.N., Jambor J.L., Cook N., Pring A., Paar W.H., Nickel E.H., Graeser S., Karup-Møller S., Balić-Žunić T., Mumme W.G., Vurro F., Topa D., Bindi L., Bente K. and Shimizu M. (2008) Sulfosalt systematics: a review. Report of the sulfosalt sub-committee of the IMA Commission on Ore Mineralogy. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, 20, 7–46.
- Nouza R. (1988) Prognostic Evaluation of Ag-Pb-Zn Mineralization of the Blanice Graben. Unpublished Dissertation Thesis, Faculty of Science, Charles University Prague, 143 pp.
- Paar W.H., Chen T.T. and Günthe W. (1978) Extrem silberreicher Freibergit in Pb-Zn-Cu-Erzen des Bergbaues "Knappenstube", Hochtor, Salzburg. *Carinthia II*, **168**, 35–42.
- Pouchou J.L. and Pichoir F. (1985) "PAP" (φρZ) procedure for improved quantitative microanalysis. Pp. 104–106 in: *Microbeam Analysis* (J.T. Armstrong, editor). San Francisco Press, San Francisco.
- Qu K., Sima X., Gu X., Sun W., Fan G., Hou Z., Ni P., Wang D., Yang Z. and Wang Y. (2021) Kenoargentotetrahedrite-(Zn), IMA 2020-075. CNMNC Newsletter 59. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 85, https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm. 2021.5
- Repstock A., Voudouris P., Zeug M., Melfos V., Zhai M., Li H., Kartal T. and Matuszczak J. (2016) Chemical composition and varieties of fahlore-group minerals from Oligocene mineralization in the Rhodope

area, southern Bulgaria and Northern Greece. *Mineralogy and Petrology*, **110**, 103–123.

- Rozhdestvenskaya I.V., Zayakina N.V. and Samusikov V.P. (1993) Crystal structure features of minerals from a series of tetrahedrite-freibergite. *Mineralogiceskij Zhurnal*, **15**, 9–17 [in Russian].
- Samusikov V.P., and Gamyanin G.N. (1994) Nomenclature of mineral species of Cu-Ag isomorphous tetrahedrite. 16th IMA General Meeting, Pisa, Italy, 4–9 September 1994, Abstract volume, 363–364.
- Sejkora J., Biagioni C., Vrtiška L. and Moëlo Y. (2020) Zvěstovite-(Zn), IMA 2020-061. CNMNC Newsletter No. 58. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 84, https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2020.93
- Sejkora J., Biagioni C., Števko M., Raber T. and Roth P. (2021) Argentotetrahedrite-(Zn). IMA 2020-069. CNMNC Newsletter 59. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 84, https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2021.5
- Sheldrick G.M. (2015) Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallographica, C71, 3–8.
- Škácha P., Sejkora J., Plášil J., and Makovicky E. (2020) Pošepnýite, a new Hg-rich member of the tetrahedrite group from Příbram, Czech Republic. *Journal of Geosciences*, 65, 173–186.
- Smith D.G.W. and Nickel E.H. (2007) A system for codification for unnamed minerals: report of the Subcommittee for Unnamed Minerals of the IMA Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, 45, 983–1055.
- Spiridonov E.M., Sokolova N.F., Gapeev A.K., Dashevskaya D.M., Evstigneeva T.L., Chvileva T.N., Demidov V.G., Balashov E.P. and Shu'ga V.I. (1986) A new mineral – argentotennantite. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 290, 206–210.
- Velebil D. (2004) The occurrence of base-metal ores at Zvěstov, SW of Vlašim. Sborník semináře Stříbrná Jihlava 2004, 160–162 [in Czech].
- Velebil D., Macek I., and Soumar J. (2016) A contribution to knowledge of chemistry of tetrahedrites from the Czech localities: Příbram, Obecnice, Zvěstov, Mníšek pod Brdy, Ratibořské Hory, Stará Vožice, Jáchymov, Kutná Hora and Stříbrná Skalice. Bulletin mineralogicko-petrologického oddělení Národního muzea v Praze, 24, 132–143 [in Czech with English abstract].
- Wang M., Zhang X., Guo X., Pi D. and Yang M. (2018) Silver-bearing minerals in the Xinhua hydrothermal vein-type Pb-Zn deposit, South China. *Mineralogy and Petrology*, **112**, 85–103.
- Weissenbach C.G.A. von (1831) Ueber die Gehalte der beym sächsischen Bergbau vorkommenden Silbererze. Kalender für den Sächsischen Berg- und Hüttenmann auf das Jahr 1831, 223–248.
- Welch M., Stanley C.J., Spratt J. and Mills S.J. (2018) Rozhdestvenskayaite Ag₁₀Zn₂Sb₄S₁₃, and argentotetrahedrite Ag₆Cu₄(Fe²⁺,Zn)₂Sb₄S₁₃: two Ag-dominant members of the tetrahedrite group. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, **30**, 1163–1172.
- Wilson A.J.C. (editor) (1992) International Tables for Crystallography Volume C: Mathematical, Physical and Chemical Tables. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Wu P., Gu X., Qu K., Yang H. and Wang Y. (2021) Argentotetrahedrite-(Hg), IMA 2020-079. In: CNMNC Newsletter 59. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 85, https://doi.org/10.1180/mgm.2021.5
- Zachariáš J. and Hübst T. (2012) Structural evolution of the Roudný gold deposit, Bohemian Massif: a combination of paleostress analysis and review of historical documents. *Journal of Geosciences*, 57, 87–103.
- Zhdanov Y.Y., Amuzinskiy V.A. and Andrianov N.G. (1992) A natural variety of high-silver fahlerz with a large unit-cell parameter. *Doklady Rossiyskoy Akademii Nauk*, **326**, 337–340.