Geological history of bathyal echinoid faunas, with a new genus from the late Cretaceous of Italy

ANDREW B. SMITH*

Department of Palaeontology, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK

(Received 13 February 2012; accepted 24 August 2012; first published online 21 September 2012)

Abstract

The Scaglia Rossa of central and northern Italy yields a late Cretaceous bathyal echinoid fauna. Comparison with Jurassic and Cenozoic bathyal faunas highlights that (i) there have been at least three phases of colonization of bathyal settings from the continental shelves, with successive faunas replacing the earlier; and (ii) bathyal echinoid faunas encompass an increasing range of feeding strategies and greater diversity of taxa through time, paralleling increasing nutrification of the oceans. A new Santonian deep-sea spatangoid, *Bathyovulaster disjunctus* gen. et sp. nov., is described from sediments deposited at > 1500 m water depth at Gubbio, Umbria–Marche region, Italy.

Keywords: evolution, Atelostomata, spatangoids, deep sea, phylogeny

1. Introduction

Today the echinoid fauna found living at water depths greater than 1500 m is dominated by specialist deep-sea genera that are rarely encountered in shelf or even upper bathyal settings. The great majority of these echinoids belong to just a small number of clades: two irregular clades (spatangoids and holasteroids) and four regular clades (echinothurioids, aspidodiadematids, micropygids and cidaroids), as reviewed in Smith & Stockley (2005). The geological history of this deep-sea fauna is very poorly known, as the fossil record of echinoids is overwhelmingly that of the continental shelves with only the occasional upper bathyal fauna reported (e.g. Smith & Gale, 2009). Articulated macrofauna are unknown from deep-sea sediment cores and so current knowledge of the geological history of the truly deep-sea echinoid fauna has had to be pieced together from a combination of our knowledge of the shelf faunas and molecular clock studies (e.g. Smith, 2004; Smith & Stockley, 2005). This approach demonstrates that all the current deep-sea taxa belong to clades that have evolved in the last 250 Ma. While some of the regular echinoids now living in the deep sea clearly have a long history extending back to early Jurassic time, the irregular clades are undoubtedly more recent immigrants, as they only evolved during the Cretaceous period (Kroh & Smith, 2010).

The chalk facies that is so widely distributed in the late Cretaceous comprises hemipelagic deposits formed as

open ocean settings flooded over the continental shelves, and provides a window onto faunas living at upper bathyal depths of a few hundred metres. However, truly deep-water settings over 1000 m water depth are particularly rare, and are preserved on land only in tectonic regions where backarc basins have been uplifted or plate-to-plate collision has occurred. One of the best know of these is the Scaglia Rossa Formation of Italy.

2. The Scaglia Rossa Formation and its macrofauna

The Scaglia Rossa Formation is a deep-water deposit widely distributed across central and northern Italy. It is a pink to red pelagic limestone succession largely composed of calcareous plankton that ranges in age from Turonian to early Eocene. The succession in the Umbria-Marche region has been the focus of a great deal of attention, largely because of the important Bottaccione Section that encompasses the Cretaceous-Palaeogene boundary (see Alvarez, 2009). The beds here are considered to have been deposited at depths of well over 1000 m in a continental slope environment (Galeotti et al. 2002), in part under turbidity currents (Stow et al. 1984). While the magnetostratigraphy and micropalaeontology of the formation has been extensively studied (Lowrie & Alvarez, 1977; Monechi & Thierstein, 1985; Premoli Silva & Sliter, 1995; Alvarez, 2009), preservation of the microfauna is often poor and macrofauna are rarely encountered.

However, macrofossils have been reported from the Scaglia Rossa Formation, primarily from outcrops in the northeast of Italy (Trentino and Veneto provinces) (see Giusberti, Fantin & Buckeridge, 2005). Here a sparse deepsea fauna of echinoids has been known since the early part of the nineteenth century when Catullo (1827) described a small number of irregular echinoids. The fauna was later reviewed and updated by Airaghi (1903, 1907, 1931), who recognized 16 species in total, all but two of them irregular echinoids. The two regular echinoids are cidaroids (Cidaris pseudopistillum Cotteau and Tylocidaris clavigera (Koenig)), both represented only by isolated spines and thus potentially allochthonous, transported from shallower water settings. Of the irregular echinoids, few have detailed stratigraphical data. Lampadocorys airaghii Lambert and L. dallagoi (Airaghi) are both recorded from the Maastrichtian of Padova province (Astolfi & Colombara, 2003; Giusberti, Fantin & Buckeridge, 2005, under the names Stegaster sulcatus and S. dallagoi). Ovulaster protodecimae Giusberti et al., from the same province, is firmly dated as Danian (Giusberti, Fantin & Buckeridge, 2005). Of the remaining species, two (Micraster massalongianus Zigno and Isopneustes lamberti Airaghi)

^{*}E-mail: a.smith@nhm.ac.uk

are based on internal chert moulds and are thus strictly speaking indeterminate. Three more (*Echinocorys vulgaris*, *Coraster* sp. and *Offaster pilula* (Lamarck)) have never been figured: the first is possibly a *Stenonaster* and the last may be synonymous with the genus described here. *Stenonaster tuberculata* (Desor), *Rispolia subtrigonatus* (Catullo), '*Cardiaster*' dallagoi Airaghi, *Micraster fastigatus* Gauthier, *Ovulaster zignoanus* Cotteau and *Scagliaster concava* Catullo are reasonably well known but all currently lack precise stratigraphic placement within the sequence.

These rather unusual taxa probably represent a truly deepwater community specialized for life in pelagic sediments at upper bathyal depth. However, all of these finds come from the northeastern region of Italy and are considered to have been deposited in water depths of maybe up to 1000 m (Giusberti, Fantin & Buckeridge, 2005). Here we describe a new genus of sea urchin from the Scaglia of the Umbria– Marche region, central Italy, where water depth is considered to have been considerably greater, at around 1500–2000 m (Galeotti *et al.* 2002).

3. The geological history of deep-sea echinoid faunas

Although palaeontologists only have very occasional glimpses of deep-water echinoid faunas through geological time, these provide a unique insight into how the deep sea came to be occupied. The oldest deep-water echinoid faunas are those from upper Jurassic and lower Cretaceous flysch deposits of the French and Swiss Alps (Ooster, 1865), and from a deep-water carbonate cold seep deposit in France (Gaillard, Neraudeau & Thierry, 2011). These yield rare tithoniid disasteroids belonging to two genera, Tithonia and Corytha. Tithoniids are an extinct group that has no extant descendants and whose latest record is from the Albian of Antarctica (Smith & Crame, 2012). While the subglobular shape and downward-facing mouth of Corvtha suggests it was epifaunal and used its perioral tubefeet to select particles in feeding, Tithonia has an oral furrow leading to an anterior facing peristome, like many of the extant deepwater holasteroids, suggesting that, like them, it harvested flocculated detritus from the sediment layer.

By late Cretaceous time, disasteroids had become extinct and we find a different and slightly more diverse fauna of spatangoids and holasteroids living at bathyal depths in the Scaglia Rossa and in the upper Cretaceous and lower Palaeocene deep-water flysch deposits of northwestern Spain and the western Pyrenees (Smith *et al.* 1999). These faunas contain representatives of three guilds: generalist flat-based epifaunal deposit feeders (*Stenonaster* and *Scagliaster*), epifaunal detritus harvesters (*Stegaster*, *Lampadocorys* and *Rispolia*), and, for the first time, more or less infaunal deposit feeders (*Ovulaster*, *Sphenaster* and *Bathyovulaster*) that presumably harvested organic material from within the sediment.

The Recent deep-sea echinoid fauna contains yet another suite of novel taxa largely unrelated to the Jurassic or Cretaceous forms but occupying very similar niches. It is dominated by meridosternate holasteroids and several groups of spatangoids. Pourtalesiids, like disasteroids and stegasterids before them, have a well-developed funnellike oral groove leading into the peristome and harvest flocculated organics from the surface of the sediment (Gage, 1987). Urechinids and Eurypatagidae lack such a funnel and are flat-based epifaunal tube-feet pickers of organic material, and hemiasterids are infaunal deposit feeders. This fauna was already present by Miocene time as shown by the Tatsukuroiso Formation in northwestern Honshu, Japan (Kikuchi & Nikaido, 1985), where pourtalesiids have been recorded.

These rare glimpses of deep-sea echinoid faunas through time highlight two important evolutionary patterns. Firstly, there is little or no direct phylogenetic link between the irregular bathyal echinoids of the late Jurassic, late Cretaceous-earliest Paleocene and Neogene to Recent (Fig. 1). This implies at least three phases of colonization from the continental shelves. As the latest disasteroids are Albian in age (Smith & Crame, 2012), and latest stegasterids are Maastrichtian (Smith & Kroh, 2012), it is likely that the Cenomanian-Turonian microplankton crash (Leckie, Bralower & Cashman, 2002) and end Maastrichtian crash (Gallagher, 2002; Smith & Jeffery, 1998) are the two major shapers of the deep sea. Life in bathyal settings is much more precarious than on the shelf, as it is dependent primarily on the rain of flocculated organic material that is generated by surface water productivity (Rex et al. 2005). The amount of organic material reaching the sea floor decreases as depth and distance from land increase and is seen as a key limiting factor of abyssal biodiversity (Rex et al. 2005). Any serious curtailment or interruption of this supply, as for example created by crashes in surface water productivity, would have had a devastating effect on bathyal echinoid faunas.

Secondly, although based on only a small sample, an increasing range of feeding strategies and greater diversity of taxa inhabiting bathyal environments is apparent through time. This correlates with increasing nutrification of the deep sea (Martin, 1996, 2003; Falkowski et al. 2004; Smith & Stockley, 2005; Martin, Quigg & Podkovyrov, 2008; Cardenas & Harries, 2010). The implication from echinoids is that nutrient supply to bathyal depths in late Jurassic time had reached sufficient levels to sustain epifaunal harvesters. By late Cretaceous time, nutrient levels in the sediment had risen further to support infaunal deposit feeders. Finally, coincidental with a massive diversification of diatoms in Neogene time (Falkowski et al. 2004; Martin, Quigg & Podkovyrov, 2008), which resulted in better delivery of organics into the deep-sea environment (Beaulieu, 2002), the deep sea was colonized by irregular echinoids in ever-greater numbers.

4. Systematic palaeontology

Order SPATANGOIDA Agassiz, 1840 Family OVULASTERIDAE Lambert, 1896 Genus *Bathyovulaster* nov.

Type species. Bathyovulaster disjunctus sp. nov.

Diagnosis. Ovulasterid spatangoid lacking an anterior sulcus; apical disc ethmophract and ambulacra apetaloid. Labral plate separated from sternal plates by ambulacral plates I.b.2 and V.a.2; sternal plates asymmetric.

Description. As for species, see below.

Remarks. The presence of an ethmophract apical disc in *Bathyovulaster* shows it to be a spatangoid, and readily distinguishes it from the somewhat similarly shaped holasteroid *Offaster*. Spatangoids with a disjunct plastron are extremely rare, with only some late-stage micrasterids (*Gibbaster norfolkensis* Smith & Wright) and rare maretiids (*Homolampas* Agassiz) showing this pattern. Both are easily differentiated from *Bathyovulaster*. Maretiids have a completely different test shape, being flat-based, and bear large primary tubercles and spines over their upper surface, while micrasterids have well-developed petals and a more compact apical disc plating. The new genus is very like



Figure 1. Phylogeny of post-Palaeozoic atelostomate echinoids calibrated against the fossil record (modified from Kroh & Smith, 2010) highlighting the lack of phylogenetic continuity of the three deep-water echinoid assemblages recognized here. Families that include exclusively deep-water taxa are indicated by dashed lines and filled circles. Abbreviations as follows: A – Aalenian; Alb – Albian; Apt – Aptian; B – Bathonian; Ba – Barremian; Baj – Bajocian; Be – Berriasian; Ca – Calovian; Cam – Campanian; Cen – Cenomanian; Co – Coniacian; E – Early; H – Hettangian; Ht – Hauterivian; K – Kimmeridgian; L – Late; M – Middle; Ma – Maastrichtian; P – Pliocene/Pleistocene; Pal – Paleocene; Pl – Pliensbachian; Ol – Oligocene; Ox – Oxfordian; S – Santonian; Si – Sinemurian; Ti – Tithonian; To – Toarcian; Tu – Turonian; Va – Valanginian.

Ovulaster Cotteau, both having a similar subglobular shape and a lack of petals, but is immediately distinguished from that taxon by its disjunct plastron.

Bathyovulaster disjunctus sp. nov. Figures 2, 3

Types. Holotype and only known specimen. NHM EE112345.

Occurrence. Scaglia Formation, Santonian–lower Campanian, upper Cretaceous, river cliff beside the restaurant in Botticcione Gorge, Gubbio, Umbrian Apennines, Italy (43° 21′ N, 12° 24′ E).

Diagnosis. Test tumid with a faint anterior sulcus. Apetaloid, with aboral ambulacral plates large and polygonal; two to

each interambulacral plate. Periproct slightly invaginated and opening on the posterior face. Plastron disjunct, with labral and sternal plates separated by a pair of ambulacral plates.

Description. Test ovate in outline with rounded anterior and widest point anterior of centre; tapering to a rounded point posteriorly. Length 23.0 mm, width 19.1 mm (83 % of length). In lateral profile with a flattened lower and upper surface; tallest point coincident with apex of ambulacrum III, anterior of centre. Anterior rounded in lateral profile and posterior subvertical. Apical disc large and anteriorposteriorly elongate, ethmophract with four large genital plates each with a small subcentral gonopore (Fig. 3a). Ocular plates II and IV small and not abutting; posterior paired

RAPID COMMUNICATION



Figure 2. *Bathyovulaster disjunctus* gen. et sp. nov. NHM EE112345 (holotype); Santonian, Botticcione Gorge section, Umbrian apennines, Italy. (a) Apical; (b) oral; (c) posterior; (d) lateral views.



Figure 3. *Bathyovulaster disjunctus* gen. et sp. nov. NHM EE112345 (holotype); Santonian, Botticcione Gorge section, Umbrian apennines, Italy. Camera lucida drawings of (a) adapical and (b) adoral test plating.

ocular plates abutting. Genital plate 2 with relatively few hydropores.

Ambulacra apetaloid, composed of relatively large polygonal plates; pores microscopic on aboral and ambital plates. Two ambulacral plates for each interambulacral plate. At ambitus, ambulacra are approximately half the width of the interambulacral zones. On oral surface, plates large and elongate (Fig. 3b). In posterior ambulacra, second ambulacral plates meet behind the labral plate and separate labral plate from sternal plates. Interambulacral plates large and polygonal aborally. On oral surface plating is meridosternous and contiguous in interambulacra 1 and 4 and meridosternous and disjunct in interambulacrum 5. Sternal plates paired but with an oblique median suture, followed by small, biseriallyoffset episternal plates. Peristome circular and 2.5 mm in diameter; positioned 3.4 mm from the anterior border; downward facing and without an anterior sulcus. Periproct 2 mm tall, subcircular and slightly invaginated around its lower half; positioned 9.3 mm above base in posterior view (opening subcentral).

Surface of plates where preserved shows a fine reticulate ornament and fine tubercles. Unfortunately, the test is too worn to tell if fascioles were present either marginally or subanally.

Acknowledgements. I should like to thank Professor Andrew Gale for finding the specimen and bringing it to my attention. He also kindly read through and commented on an earlier draft of this paper. The paper has benefited from helpful comments made by two anonymous reviewers, whose assistance is gratefully acknowledged. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- AGASSIZ, L. 1840. Catalogus systematicus Ectyporum Echinodermatum fossilium Musei Neocomiensis, secundum ordinem zoologicum dispositus; adjectis synonymis recentioribus, nec non stratis et locis in quibus reperiuntur. Sequuntur characteres diagnostici generum novorum vel minus cognitorum. Neuchâtel: Oliv. Petitpierre, 20 pp.
- AIRAGHI, C. 1903. Echinidi della Scaglia Cretacea Veneta. Memorie della Reale Accademia della Scienze di Torino serie seconda 533, 16–330, pls 1–2.
- AIRAGHI, C. 1907. Un nuovo genere della sottofamiglia delle Echinocorynae. Atti della Societa Italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civio di Storia Naturale in Milano 45, 107–10.
- AIRAGHI, C. 1931. Fossili della Scaglia Cretacea del Trentino. Atti della Societa Italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civio di Storia Naturale in Milano 70, 240–4.
- ALVAREZ, W. 2009. The historical record in the Scaglia limestone and Gubbio: magnetic reversals and the Cretaceous–Tertiary mass extinction. *Sedimentology* 56, 137–48.
- ASTOLFI, G. & COLOMBARA, G. 2003. Geologia e Paleontologia dei Colli Euganei, 2nd ed. Treviso: Edizioni Canova, 238 pp.
- BEAULIEU, S. E. 2002. Accumulation and fate of phytodetritus on the sea floor. *Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual Reviews* **40**, 171–232.
- CARDENAS, A. L. & HARRIES, P. J. 2010. Effect of nutrient availability on marine origination rates throughout the Phanerozoic eon. *Nature Geoscience* 3, 430–4.
- CATULLO, T. A. 1827. Saggio di Zoologia fossile delle provincie venete. Padova: Dalla tipografia del Seminario, 348 pp., 8 pls.
- FALKOWSKI, P. G., KATZ, M. E., KNOLL, A. H., QUIGG, A., RAVEN, J. A., SCHOFIELD, O. & TAYLOR, F. J. R. 2004. The evolution of modern eukaryotic phytoplankton. *Science* **305**, 354–60.
- GAGE, J. D. 1987. Growth of the deep-sea irregular sea urchins *Echinosigra phiale* and *Hemiaster expergitus* in the Rockall Trough (N. E. Atlantic Ocean). *Marine Biology* **96**, 19–30.
- GAILLARD, C., NERAUDEAU, D. & THIERRY, J. 2011. *Tithonia oxfordiana*, a new Jurassic irregular echinoid associated with Jurassic seep deposits in south-east France. *Palaeontology* 54, 735–52.
- GALEOTTI, S., BELLAGAMBA, M., KAMINSKI, M. A. & MONTANARI, A. 2002. Deep-sea benthic foraminiferal recolonisation following a volcanoclastic event in the lower Campanian of the Scaglia Rossa Formation (Umbria-Marche Basin, central Italy). *Marine Micropaleontology* 44, 57–76.
- GALLAGHER, W. B. 2002. Faunal changes across the Cretaceous-Tertiary (K-T) boundary in the Atlantic coastal plain of New Jersey: restructuring the marine community after the K-T mass-extinction event. In *Catastrophic Events and Mass Extinctions: Impacts and Beyond* (eds C. Kpeberl & K. G. MacLeod), pp. 291–301. Geological Society of America Special Paper 356.
- GIUSBERTI, L., FANTIN, M. & BUCKERIDGE, J. 2005. Ovulaster protodecimae, n. sp. (Echinoidea, Spatangoia) and association epifauna (Cirripedia, Verrucidae) from

the Danian of northeastern Italy. *Rivisat Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* **111**, 453–62.

- KIKUCHI, Y. & NIKAIDO, A. 1985. The first occurrence of abyssal echinoid *Pourtalesia* from the middle Miocene Tatsukuroiso Mudstone in Ibaraki Prefecture, northeastern Honshu, Japan. *Annual Report of the Institute of Geoscience, The University of Tsukuba* 11, 32–4.
- KROH, A. & SMITH, A. B. 2010. The phylogeny and classification of post-Palaeozoic echinoids. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* 8, 147–212.
- LAMBERT, J. 1896. Note sur quelques Échinides Crétacé de Madagascar. Bulletin de la Société géologique de France, Serie 3 24, 313–32.
- LECKIE, R. M., BRALOWER, T. J. & CASHMAN, R. 2002. Oceanic anoxic events and plankton evolution: biotic response to tectonic forcing during the mid-Cretaceous. *Paleoceanography* 17, 1041, doi: 10.1029/ 2001PA000623, 29 pp.
- LOWRIE, W. & ALVAREZ, W. 1977. Upper Cretaceous-Paleocene magnetic stratigraphy at Gubbio, Italy. III. Upper Cretaceous magnetic stratigraphy. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 88, 364–77.
- MARTIN, R. E. 1996. Secular increase in nutrient levels through the Phanerozoic: implications for productivity, biomass, and diversity of the marine biosphere. *Palaios* **11**, 209–19.
- MARTIN, R. E. 2003. The fossil record of biodiversity: nutrients, productivity, habitat area and differential preservation. *Lethaia* **36**, 179–94.
- MARTIN, R. E., QUIGG, A. & PODKOVYROV, V. 2008. Marine biodiversification in response to evolving phytoplankton stoichiometry. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **258**, 277–291.
- MONECHI, S. & THIERSTEIN, H. R. 1985. Late Cretaceous-Eocene nannofossil and magnetostratigraphic correlation near Gubbio, Italy. *Marine Micropalaeontology* 9, 419–40.
- OOSTER, W. A. 1865. Synopsis des Echinodermes fossiles des alpes suisses. Pétrifications remarquables des Alpes suisses. Genève et Bâle, Librairie H. Georg, 131 pp.
- PREMOLI SILVA, I. & SLITER, W. V. 1995. Cretaceous planktonic foraminiferal biostratigraphy and evolutionary trends from the Bottaccione Section, Gubbio, Italy. *Palaeontographica Italica* 82, 1–89.
- REX, M. A., MCCLAIN, C. R., JOHNSON, N. A., ETER, R. J., ALLEN, J. A., BOUCHET, P. & WAREN, A. 2005. A sourcesink hypothesis for abyssal biodiversity. *The American Naturalist* 165, 163–78.
- SMITH, A. B. 2004. Phylogeny and systematics of holasteroid echinoids and their migration into the deep-sea. *Palaeontology* 47, 123–50.
- SMITH, A. B. & CRAME, J. A. 2012. Echinoderm faunas from the Lower Cretaceous (Aptian–Albian) of Alexander Island, Antarctica. *Palaeontology* 55, 305–24.
- SMITH, A. B. & GALE, A. S. 2009. The pre-Messinian deep-sea Neogene echinoid fauna of the Mediterranean: surface productivity controls and biogeographical relationships. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 281, 115–25.
- SMITH, A. B., GALLEMI, J., JEFFERY, C. H., ERNST, G. & WARD, P. D. 1999. Late Cretaceous–early Tertiary echinoids from northern Spain: implications for the Cretaceous–Tertiary extinction event. *Bulletin of the Natural History Museum, London (Geology)* 55 (2), 81– 137.
- SMITH, A. B. & JEFFERY, C. H. 1998. Selectivity of extinction among sea urchins at the end of the Cretaceous period. *Nature* 392, 69–71.

- SMITH, A. B. & KROH, A. 2012. The Echinoid Directory. World Wide Web electronic publication. Available at http://www.nhm.ac.uk/research-curation/research/ projects/echinoid-directory/ (accessed February, 2012).
- SMITH, A. B. & STOCKLEY, B. 2005. The geological history of deep sea colonization by echinoids: roles of surface water productivity and deep-water ventilation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society* B 272, 865–9.
- STOW, D. A. V., RAINEY, S. C. R., ANGELL, G., WEZEL, F. C. & SAVELLI, D. 1984. Depositional model for calcilutites: Scaglia Rossa limestones, Umbrio–Marchean Apennines. In *Fine-grained Sediments: Deep-water Processes and Facies* (eds D. A. V. Stow & D. J. W. Piper), pp. 223–41. Geological Society of London, Special Publication no. 15.