

Egypt under Mu‘āwiya

Part I: Flavius Pappas and Upper Egypt

Clive Foss

Georgetown University

cff@georgetown.edu

Abstract

Papyri from Egypt constitute the largest body of contemporary documentary evidence for the reign of Mu‘āwiya. Most notable among them are the 107 texts in the archive of Flavius Pappas, a local official of Upper Egypt in the 670s. Most are in Greek and provide insight into the administration, society and economy of a provincial centre. Since many deal with taxes and requisitions, they illustrate the incessant demands of the Islamic regime in Fuṣṭāṭ and the way local officials dealt with them. In particular, the archive shows the importance of Egypt for providing the men, materials and supplies essential for the war fleet of the caliphate. A few other documents from Upper Egypt hint at the economic role of the Church. This is the first of two parts, the second dealing with Middle Egypt, Fuṣṭāṭ and Alexandria.

For Egypt, the age of Mu‘āwiya (which may be taken to include the short reigns of his son and grandson, so 660–684) has attracted relatively little attention. It lacks the excitement of the conquest, where papyrus documents illuminate the establishment of the new Muslim regime, and it is far less well documented than the early eighth century, when the enormous archive of Aphrodito supports detailed analysis of many aspects of society and economy.¹ Yet this period has produced more information than has generally been noticed, and presents an image of Egypt in the generation after the conquest, however incomplete the record may be. In fact, the material treated here constitutes by far the largest body of contemporary evidence in any source for the reign of Mu‘āwiya.²

- 1 Documents of the time of the conquest are conveniently listed in Alfred J. Butler, *The Arab Conquest of Egypt*, second edition, ed. Peter Fraser (Oxford, 1978), lxxviii ff. and summarized in PERF 550–67; many were edited and translated by Adolf Grohmann in *Etudes de Papyrologie* 1 and 8. They are discussed in the works in note 4 below. For the Aphrodito papyri in Greek, see P. Lond. IV with its long introduction; cf. H. I. Bell, “The Aphrodito papyri”, *Journal of Hellenic Studies* 28, 1908, 97–120. Many are translated in H. I. Bell, “Translations of the Greek Aphrodito papyri in the British Museum”, *Der Islam* 2, 1911, 269–83, 372–84; 3, 1912, 132–40, 369–73; 4, 1913, 87–96; 17, 1928, 4–8. For the Arabic papyri, see Nabia Abbott, *The Kurrah Papyri from Aphrodito in the Oriental Institute* (Chicago, 1938) and Werner Diem, “Philologisches zu den arabischen Aphrodito-Papyri”, *Der Islam* 61, 1984, 251–75.
- 2 For help in understanding it, I am grateful to Petra Sijpesteijn for her careful reading, and for detailed and general criticism to Bryan Ward-Perkins and Claudia Sode. I owe a special debt to Nick Gonis, who patiently answered questions at every stage

The potential of these documents for illuminating the period is considerable, but they are rarely if ever cited in works dealing with Islamic history.³ Their evidence allows the effectiveness of Mu'āwiya's regime in Egypt to be seen, reflects degrees of continuity and change, and offers valuable comparative material for understanding the administration of the entire Muslim realm.

By the time Mu'āwiya became caliph, Egypt had been under Arab control for almost twenty years, and typically Islamic institutions had been established.⁴ The country was ruled by a governor (*wālī* or *amīr* in Arabic, *symoulos* in Greek) directly appointed by the Caliph and given broad powers. He controlled the entire administration from his headquarters in Fustāt and was especially concerned with the finances. His main subordinates were the *ṣāhib al-shurṭa* in charge of the police, and the chief judge or *qādī*. Both of these were usually named from the leading local families of Arab settlers; the posts were sometimes combined. The governor commanded the only armed force in the country, the Arab troops settled primarily in Fustāt, who formed a ruling military elite. They were enrolled on the official register, the *dīwān*, which entitled them to a salary and supplies drawn from the revenues of Egypt.

The governor headed a vast and hierarchic administration that regulated the civilian life of the native population. It maintained many aspects of the Byzantine system.⁵ Immediately below the governor were the heads of the five provinces or eparchies into which Egypt had traditionally been divided; they were called *dux* or *amīr* (*doux* or *amirās* in Greek), and had full control of the finances in their provinces.⁶ They in turn passed on orders to the local worthies, the pagarchs, who administered Egypt's fifty or sixty cities and their territories.

of this work and saved it from many errors. My thanks to Peter Fraser must now, regretfully, be addressed to his memory.

- 3 They are not used, but only mentioned in passing, for example, in the recent and otherwise praiseworthy biography by Stephen Humphreys, *Mu'awiya ibn Abi Sufyan: From Arabia to Empire* (Oxford, 2006), 95.
- 4 For the administration, see the article "Misr" of V. Christides in *EF*², especially 156–9, and for the history, Hugh Kennedy, "Egypt as a province in the Islamic caliphate, 641–868", in Carl Petry (ed.), *The Cambridge History of Egypt I: Islamic Egypt 640–1517* (Cambridge, 1998) at 65–70. See also the useful surveys of Petra Sijpesteijn, "The Arab conquest of Egypt and the beginning of Muslim rule", in Roger Bagnall (ed.), *Egypt in the Byzantine World, 300–700* (Cambridge, 2007), 437–59, and "New rule over old structures: Egypt after the Muslim conquest", in Harriet Crawford (ed.), *Regime Change in the Ancient Near East and Egypt: from Sargon of Agade to Saddam Hussein* (Oxford, 2007), 183–200.
- 5 For the Byzantine background of Egypt, see the outdated but comprehensive and clearly organized Germaine Rouillard, *L'administration civile de l'Égypte byzantine* (Paris, 1928; henceforth "Rouillard") as well as A. C. Johnson and L. C. West, *Byzantine Egypt: Economic Studies* (Princeton, 1949); cf. the summary of Jean Gascou, "L'Égypte byzantine" in Cécile Morrisson (ed.), *Le monde byzantin I* (Paris, 2004), 403–39. There is much useful analysis of social and economic conditions in Byzantine and early Islamic Egypt in Chris Wickham, *Framing the Early Middle Ages* (Oxford, 2005), 130–44 (the state), 240–55 (aristocracy), 411–28 (peasants), 609–12 (cities) and 759–69 (systems of exchange).
- 6 Note that *amīr* in Arabic denotes the governor of Egypt, while the similar Greek term, *amirās*, is applied to the *doux* or head of a province.

They were the most important officials at a local level, and the ones who have left the most abundant documentation. The cities had their municipal officials and councils, while the villages were run by a headman usually called *meizōn*. Initiative came from above; lower ranks carried out instructions.

Apart from the names and campaigns of the governors, and the identification of some of their main associates, the sources reveal remarkably little about the history of Egypt in this period.⁷ The country underwent a period of turmoil that started in 656 with a revolt stimulated by increased taxation at a time when the growing army of occupation was making further demands on local resources. Protestors sent to Medina wound up murdering the caliph ‘Uthmān, beginning troubles that lasted two years until Mu‘āwiya (then governor of Syria in revolt against the caliph ‘Alī) sent in Egypt’s original conqueror ‘Amr ibn al-‘Aṣ who restored order in the summer of 658. According to the usually reliable Armenian chronicler Sebeos, the army in Egypt, consisting of 15,000 men, had joined forces with the Byzantine emperor and actually converted to Christianity, an event that finds no corroboration in other sources.⁸ In any case, ‘Amr ruled the country successfully, and with considerable independence and privilege, until his death in March 664. As a result of his services in securing Syria and Palestine for Mu‘āwiya’s cause, he was allowed to keep the revenue of Egypt for himself, after paying the troops and covering the costs of administration. At his death he supposedly left seventy sacks of gold coins which his sons were reluctant to take; Mu‘āwiya, however, showed no such hesitation. ‘Amr’s son ‘Abd Allāh succeeded him for a few weeks, but Mu‘āwiya rapidly appointed his own brother ‘Utba, who died the following February. His successor, ‘Uqba ibn ‘Āmir, only held office for two years (665–667). Finally, the caliph chose a local worthy, Maslama ibn Mukhallad, who ruled the country until 682. This was generally a stable and prosperous time, when the resources of the country were devoted to the ongoing *jihad* against Byzantium that culminated in the siege of Constantinople in 674–678. Maslama moved to Alexandria in 680, appointing the *qāḍī* as his representative to control the capital. While he was there he learned of the death of Mu‘āwiya and was instrumental in ensuring recognition of Yazīd as caliph. He returned to Fuṣṭāṭ at the end of 680 and died there in April 682.

Since the documentary evidence is abundant and worth discussing in detail, this study will appear in two parts, the first discussing a single papyrus archive and limited other information about Upper Egypt, while the second will treat Middle Egypt together with the two great cities, Fuṣṭāṭ and Alexandria.

By far the richest source for this period is the extensive archive of Flavius Pappas, pagarch of Apollonos Ano in the southernmost reaches of the Thebaid in Upper Egypt. The city, now called Edfu and the site of a magnificent temple,

7 For what follows, see Kennedy, “Egypt as a province” and, in more detail, Ferdinand Wüstenfeld, *Die Statthalter von Ägypten zur Zeit der Chalifen, Abhandlungen Göttingen* 20, 1875, 19–32. Since most of the information in this section depends on sources written two centuries after the events they describe, the narrative may not be trustworthy in detail.

8 *The Armenian History attributed to Sebeos*, tr. R. W. Thomson (Liverpool, 1999), sec. 176; see the commentary, pp. 284–7.

lies some 800 kilometres south of Fustāṭ, a journey of several weeks by boat, but much faster by land along the post road used in the period under discussion. It was occupied by forces sent by ʿAmr ibn al-ʿAṣ soon after the conquest of Babylon (Fustāṭ) in 641.⁹

The archive consists of 107 documents in Greek of which the great majority have useful contexts, as well as a smaller number of documents in Coptic, mostly fragmentary and published only in summary.¹⁰ All were found in a large jar, and constitute the personal archive of Flavius Papas, head of the local administration. The majority are official documents and the rest private documents and accounts. They were excavated in what may have been Papas' office or house, and appear to have been thrown together at the time of his death.¹¹

The Greek texts were first published in admirable detail by Roger Rémondon in 1953.¹² They attracted relatively little attention for thirty years because they were dated to the early eighth century, a period dominated by the vast and immensely detailed papyri from Aphrodito, for which they seemed only to offer supplementary and confirmatory information.¹³ In 1982, however, J. Gascou and K. A. Worp showed that they were in fact at least thirty years earlier than suspected, making them the prime source for a period that had seemed poorly known.

They noted a couple of peculiarities of the published Papas archive – that it was entirely in Greek, as opposed to the bilingual Aphrodito documents, and that it seemed to show a more hierarchical relation between the governing authorities, perhaps closer to the Byzantine tradition, and differing notably from the free communication between the pagarch of Aphrodito and the governor in Fustāṭ. They refined their arguments by examining documents that offered comparative material for dating (the entire archive of Papas, when it bears dates at all, employs only indictions).¹⁴ The first document in the archive, P. Apoll. 1, for example, which refers to taxes of indiction II, names an amīr Ouoeith, who also appears in SB III 7240, where he is mentioned as having governed

9 Baladhuri, *Kitāb Futūh al-Buldān*, ed. M. J. de Goeje (Leiden, 1866), 217, translated as *The Origins of the Islamic State* by P. K. Hitti (New York, 1916), 341 f.

10 See Leslie MacCoull, "The Coptic papyri from Apollonos Ano", *Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of Papyrology*, ed. B. Mandilaras (Athens, 1988), II.141–7 (henceforth "MacCoull").

11 Roger Rémondon, *Papyrus grecs d'Apollōnos Ano* (Cairo, 1953; henceforth "Rémondon"), v f.

12 Rémondon, with the additional documents listed in J. Gascou and K. A. Worp in "Problèmes de documentation apollinopolite", *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 49, 1982, 83–95 at 83 n.1. The most important is **106** in J. Gascou, "Papyrus grecs inédits d'Apollōnos Ano", *Hommages à la mémoire de Serge Sauneron* II (Cairo, 1979), 25–34. The others are **107** in the same article, *P. Mert. I* 49, *PSI XIII* 1345 and *PSI Congr. XI* 14.

13 Their significance still escapes some: see F. Trombley, "Sawirus ibn al-Muqaffa' and the Christians of Umayyad Egypt", in Petra Sijpesteijn and Lennart Sundelin (eds), *Papyrology and the History of Early Islamic Egypt* (Leiden, 2004), 199–226, who discusses these documents as if they were contemporary with the Aphrodito papyri.

14 The indiction was the number of the year within a fifteen-year cycle that originated in 312 and was originally used for tax assessment.

the Thebaid. Gascou and Worp showed that that document was to be dated 17. x.697, and that P. Apoll. 1 was therefore earlier than had been supposed, dating to 658/9, 673/4 or 688/9. P. Apoll. 2, of 6 January ind. VI, mentions a governor ‘Abd Allāh, who must be ‘Abd Allāh ibn Sa‘d (648) or ‘Abd Allāh ibn ‘Abd al-Malik (708); there seemed no certain criterion for preferring one or the other. The key document was the undated P. Apoll. 9, one of a group of letters [11–18]¹⁵ from the notary Helladios; most of the dated letters are of an indiction IV. **9** quotes the order of an amīr Jordanes, who also appears in P. Mert. II.100, a document previously dated to 699, but now with certainty assigned to 669. Consequently, the dated letters from Helladios are most probably of 660/1 or 675/6, with the rest written a bit earlier or later.

Other indications of chronology are more general: **15**, from Helladios, mentions the collection of tribute from the Blemmyes, necessarily later than 652, when the governor ‘Abd Allāh ibn Sa‘d made a treaty with these Nubians after failing to conquer them.¹⁶ This supports, but does not help to specify, the chronology of this group of letters. If mention of the *ergasia* of Babylon refers to shipyards, as seems probable, **29** should date from 674 or later, the time when the shipyard was founded.¹⁷ That might suggest a date in the 670s for the whole dossier of the notary Elias, **26–32**.

Flavius Papas was part of a land-owning aristocracy that dominated the middle and upper – but not the highest – ranks of the Egyptian ruling class in the decades after the Arab conquest.¹⁸ He was the son of Liberios, plausibly identified with a pagarch of Apollonos who was in office in 649.¹⁹ Close relationships, and passing of office from father to son, are not unparalleled in this close-knit world.²⁰ When his father was pagarch, it seems that Papas was *dioiketes*, “administrator”, of Apollonos [3] – that is, he held a subordinate

15 Henceforth, the documents of the Papas archive will be referred to simply by their numbers, in bold.

16 See the commentary of Rémondon, pp. 41–4; the essential source is Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam, 188 f.

17 See Aly Mohamed Fahmy, *Muslim Naval Organisation in the Eastern Mediterranean* (Cairo, 1966), 35–42 with further references.

18 For the role of the pagarchs in the aristocratic hierarchy, and their reduced status from the Byzantine period, see Jairus Banaji, *Agrarian Change in Late Antiquity* (Oxford, 2001), 153 f.

19 He is known from a Coptic document published by W. C. Crum, “Koptische Zünfte und das Pfeffermonopol”, *Zeitschrift für Ägyptische Sprache und Epigraphik*, 1925, 103–11; text also in Monika Haritzka (ed.), *Koptisches Sammelbuch I* (Vienna, 1993), 232. Note, in this context, that there are probably many more relevant documents in Coptic than those considered here, but that virtually none outside the Papas archive can be dated closely enough to justify assignment to the age of Mu‘āwiya: see Haritzka’s table of dates, pp. 345–8.

20 Note the cases of Theodorakios and Christophoros, pagarchs of the northern and southern divisions of Heracleopolis just after the Arab conquest, who were sons of the pagarch Apa Kyros (CPR XXIV p. 199 n. 11); Fl. Paulos, pagarch of Arsinoe, probably son of Stephanos Kyros, who held the same position (CPR X p. 156 n. 23) and Fl. Petterios, also of Arsinoe, whose father-in-law, Fl. Menas, had presided there (CPR XXIV p. 179; cf. below). Likewise, Basilios, administrator of Aphroditos in the early eighth century, appears to have been the brother of his predecessor Epimachos: P. Lond IV, 1512, 1592.

position, perhaps in charge of a district of the pagarchy, or administrator of a class of land.²¹

Papas therefore seems to have started his career as assistant to his father, in a position where he dealt with the requisitions of the central authorities – the amīr in the Thebaid and the governor in Fustāṭ. He evidently occupied a post of some responsibility, for he was summoned in this period to Fustāṭ to regulate the accounts [6].²² His time in office cannot be closely defined. If he succeeded his father directly, he might have become pagarch in the 650s. The letter that mentions the amīr Jordanes (discussed above) shows Papas in office as early as 660 or as late as 676. So far, there is no way to tell how long he ruled the pagarchy. The example of Arsinoe (where conditions may have been different) indicates a fairly rapid turnover of pagarchs, with few lasting more than ten years; twenty years in office would be a rarity.²³ It therefore seems safest to see Papas as presiding over Apollonos in the 660s and/or 670s. In any case, he was an official in the time of Muʿāwiya.

Papas seems to have had an undistinguished local career, with no unambiguous indication of rising through the hierarchy. Letters of indictions IV and V (660–2 or 675–7) from the notarios Helladios (11–13, 17) give Papas the middle-rank title of *megaloprepestatos*, “most magnificent”. This was a carry-over from Byzantine times, when the highest employees of the state were classified in three grades, *lamprotatos* (Latin *clarissimus*), *peribleptos* (*spectabilis*) and *illustrios* (*illustris*).²⁴ The first two denoted governors of provinces, high army commanders and leaders of the bureaucracy, while the highest, *illustrius*, was reserved for senators and the ministers of government, who formed an inner aristocracy. Promotion to higher grades came only through holding the appropriate office or by a grant from the emperor. As a further recognition of their importance, officials also received honorary titles, of which *megaloprepestatos* (*magnificentissimus*) and the highest *endoxotatos* (*gloriosissimus*) were the privilege of senators. Already by the sixth century, however, a process of inflation had deprived most of these ranks and titles of their substance, with *lamprotatos* and *peribleptos* becoming largely honorary (though *illustrios* still commanded respect) and the designation *megaloprepestatos* spreading to lower ranks. By Papas’ day, *peribleptos* and *megaloprepestatos* denoted middle-ranking officials like pagarchs, while *illustrios* and *endoxotatos* were reserved for the top members of the hierarchy. Although the mechanism by which these titles were awarded after the Arab conquest is unknown, they continued to have a real, if degraded, meaning.

21 For the *dioiketes*, see CPR XXIV, p. 192 n. 2, and in more detail, the discussion of Arthur Steinwenter in SPP XIX, 19–25, 34–7.

22 Such summoning was not unusual in the early eighth century: P. Lond IV, xxvii, with references in n. 3.

23 See the list of *stratēlatai* and pagarchs of the Fayyum drawn up by K. A. Worp in CPR X, 153–5; add to these CPR XXIV, 178–81, 197–200.

24 See A. H. M. Jones, *The Later Roman Empire* (Oxford, 1964), 526–30, and for the use of these titles in Egypt, Otto Hornickel, *Ehren- und Rangprädikate in den Papyrusurkunden* (Giessen, 1930).

The notary Theodore, writing in ind. VIII and IX (664–6 or 679–81), calls Papas both *megaloprepestatos* [21 ind. IX] and *peribleptos* [20 22 24 25, ind. VIII and IX], usually adding *ta panta times axios*, “worthy of respect in every way”. The notary Elias, one of whose letters is dated ind. XI [27: 667/8 or 682/3] more consistently uses *peribleptos*.²⁵ The notary Kollouthos adds the title *komes*, “count” [49], while Papas’ colleague the pagarch of Latopolis [37–40] and the lower ranking Pesynthios [42, 45], use this together with *politeuomenos* (member of the class that ran the municipalities) to address him, always employing *megaloprepestatos*. Their letters are all undated. Kollouthos also calls Papas *pater* and refers to his *patrike despoteia* [50]. Whether this indicates that Papas also held the municipal office of *pater poleos*, or was simply the subject of respect from a (younger) colleague is not evident.²⁶ It is hard to see any clear indication of progress here, unless the title *peribleptos* represents a higher prestige, gained around ind. IX. In any case, Papas is in no way comparable to pagarchs like Theodorakios or Johannes of Arsinoe who rose from being *megaloprepestatos* to *endoxotatos illoustrios*.²⁷ Nor did he have a glorious career like Fl. Atias, who from pagarch of Arsinoe became *eukleestatos doux*, then *doux* (provincial governor) of the combined province of Arcadia and Thebais.²⁸

Papas was an aristocrat and landowner, whose estate (he may have had several) produced wheat, barley, wine and meat.²⁹ There were donkeys and camels, for whom a stable (*kamēlōn*) was under construction [63, 98, cf. 101], as well as horses (their groom appears in 45). Part of the grain was set aside for maintaining peasants, workers and animals, and for a bakery and transport; but the majority of the expenses in this account [98] went to the church.³⁰ Vegetables were supplied to a waggoner, a carpenter, a camel driver, a grain-sifter (*koskineutes*), and the church; they were also used for seed [99]. Papas had an agent, *pistikos*, who handled the money to be paid to the *Mauroi* and for yokes (?) and torches [87] and employees who were called (and called themselves) *douloi* “slaves” [79, 68, cf. 63] – but apparently not in a literal sense: for that these documents use the term *andrapoda*.³¹ His wife, Sara, had her

25 In the Coptic texts, Papas is called *peribleptos* and “your magnificence” (*megaloprepeia*): MacCoull, 144.

26 He did not in any case hold the unusual title *komēs poleōs* as Rémondon supposed, for the *pol-* in his titulature is to be expanded to *politeuomenos*, as suggested by J. Gasco, “Edfou au bas-empire” in *Tell-Edfou soixante ans après* (Cairo, 1999), 13–25 at 15. Note the analogy with SPP XX 218.5 (Persian or Arab period) which names Fl. Demetrius *komēti kai politeuomenō*, as well as the full title of Papas’ father Liberios: *peribleptos politeuomenos kai pagarchos*: see Crum, “Koptische Zünfte”, 106.

27 See CPR XXIV.197–200.

28 Banaji, *Agrarian Change*, 138 f.; for his archive, see CPR VIII.72–84.

29 Papas’ archive contains both official and private correspondence. For the complex economic activities, variety of crops, and kinds of employees on a great estate of this period, see Banaji, *Agrarian Change*, 187, 218 f.

30 The documents cited in this section are mostly fragmentary, apparently notes rather than final prepared accounts; 98 lists five properties; they may all have belonged to Papas; 63 shows that one of them certainly did.

31 The *Mauroi* were apparently black slaves provided according to the treaty of 652 with the Nubians: see Rémondon, 183, discussing 85.

own accounts which she used for charity [87, cf. 63] Papas leased out some of his land to a manufacturer of oil (*elaiourgos*) who employed his own workers and animals; owner and lessee each provided half the seed for planting [75]. Since this document was included in Papas' archive, it presumably related to his property. The case of another lease (P. Mert I.49) is not so clear: the public authorities (*demosios logos*) lease the eighth part of an estate for one year to a party who will provide his own animals and equipment for sowing, harvesting and threshing, and pay the substantial sum of 31¼ gold *nomismata* as well as 31¼ artabas of barley. In this case, the term used for the leasing party, *demosios logos*, probably indicates that this was a lease of state land whose supervision fell to Papas.³² In any case, Papas was a substantial landowner, part of an aristocracy whose dominance of the local economy and political office had increased considerably during the late sixth century, but whose fate in the turmoil of the seventh has remained obscure.³³

The archive of Papas is exceptional in that it contains a variety of correspondence. Other large documentary collections of the first century of Islamic rule are more one-sided. The correspondence in the most abundant and famous, from Aphrodito, consists of letters from the governor to the administrator of the village; likewise, a mid-eighth century archive of Arabic documents comprises the correspondence between a pagarch and his subordinate.³⁴ The papyri relating to Athanasius, pagarch of Hermopolis at the time of the Arab conquest, will be of real interest, but they are not yet published, while the much smaller dossier of Flavius Atias, dux of Arcadia and the Thebaid at the end of the seventh century, consists of very short items like receipts and requisitions.³⁵

Papas' archive reveals his relations with his superiors, his subordinates and especially his equals. Much of it consists of letters to him from *notarioi*, secretaries of higher officials, and in at least one case from a fellow pagarch. They evidently all belong to the same educated elite, and employ the same flowery language of courtly politeness.³⁶ Notaries address Papas in such terms as "my God-guarded master and brother" [15], "your admirable and honorable Friendship" [22, 24] "my brother admirable in all ways" [28, 32]. When inequity is involved, however, the tone changes and the inferior grovels before his

32 See the commentary *ad loc.*, and Banaji, *Agrarian Change*, 158. The editor chose the obvious solution that this was a question of a very large estate, but Banaji opts for an estate whose productivity was very high. Still, it seems hard to envisage an estate of which one eighth would generate enough revenue to pay what Banaji calls an "extraordinary rent".

33 For the power of the aristocracy in the fifth and sixth centuries and its growth after the failure of Justinian's efforts to restrain it, see Peter Sarris, *Economy and Society in the Age of Justinian* (Cambridge, 2006), 200–27.

34 These are edited in the unpublished thesis of Petra Sijpesteijn, "Shaping a Muslim state: papyri related to a mid-eighth-century Egyptian official" (Princeton, 2004).

35 Athanasius: in preparation by Federico Morelli (see CPR XXII p. 5, with documents 1 and 2); Atias: published as CPR VIII.72–84.

36 For the language employed, see Amphilochios Papatomas, "Höflichkeit und Servilität in den griechischen Papyrusbriefen der ausgehenden Antike", in Bernhard Palme (ed.), *Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses* (Vienna, 2007), 493–512, who shows that obsequious-sounding phrases reflect not servility or any kind of feudalism, but rather a politesse with elaborate rules.

higher-ranking correspondent. Thus, Papas addressing the amīr [10], refers to Apollonos as “the slave city of my lord” and Pesynthios, a lower-ranking colleague to Papas: “by this letter I bow down and kiss the revered feet of your God-guarded Power [42]”.³⁷ An unnamed employee of the *doux*, writing in Coptic, signs off addressing “the footstool of your feet”.³⁸ On the other hand, Papas’ father can address him in singularly blunt terms: “all you write is false” [61].

Such language reflects a well-established hierarchical society, with a common traditional education shared by the Christian elite that held all but the highest posts. Those were occupied by Muslims. At the apex was the governor (*symbolos*) in Fustāt, who never communicates directly with the head of this remote city of Upper Egypt, but his orders are passed on. In 648, a message arrived under the governor’s seal with money for supplies and at an uncertain date, an official (perhaps the *notarios* Theodore), transmitted orders from the (unnamed) *paneuphemos symbolos* for naval supplies [106]. Although the governor rarely appears, his will lies behind many if not most orders, and any transaction that mentions Babylon necessarily involved the governor. When caulkers for the fleet [9], workers for the workshops [29], slaves [51] or requisitioned goods [21] are requested for Babylon or reported arriving there, it can only be because the central administration made the demand. The governor does not appear because his orders were communicated to the amīr, who passed them on through their own secretaries. Papas had no need to deal directly with the *symbolos*.

Other leaders of the new foreign ruling class – the *qādī*, the religious judge, or the *ṣāhib al-shurta*, head of the police, also never appear, for their business was essentially with their fellow Muslims, of whom the great majority were settled in Fustāt. The caliph’s government is even more remote, but can make its presence felt, as when the “Saracens of the *amirās tōn pistōn*” (i.e. Commander of the Faithful, translating the caliph’s title *amīr al-mu’minīn*) bring a message to the pagarch of neighbouring Latopolis dealing with compulsory purchases [37].

Much more important for local affairs was the next below the governor in this chain of command, the *doux* or *amīr* of the Thebaid, based in Antinoe, some 550 kilometres to the north, but often travelling on tours of inspection.³⁹ Even he rarely corresponds with Papas, and then mostly in connection with personnel matters: Soubecit [7] orders Papas to detain the bearer of the letter, and in PSI Congr. XI.14 wants a legal dispute about a debt resolved expeditiously because the man involved is the amīr’s fisherman and he has need of him. An unnamed amīr, probably writing in the 640s, summoned Papas to Fustāt to audit his accounts. Two of the holders of this office appear to have been Arabs – Soubecit and Ouoeith [1] – but the name of Jordanes, who presided

37 For such phrases, see CPR XXV.176 f. All Pesynthios’ letters, 42–46 and PSI XIII 1345, are in a strikingly obsequious tone. His rank and position are hard to define: he may have been pagarch of a neighbouring city – perhaps Latopolis – or some sort of agent of Papas: see Rémondon *ad loc.*

38 P. Apoll. Copt. 5 in MacCoull, 143.

39 He may also have used the title *eparchos*, which appears in P. Apoll. Copt. 2 and 52: see MacCoull, 145.

in the late 660s suggests that he was a Christian. It seems that most of the amīr's correspondence was in Greek, but his office also employed scribes who could write in Coptic.⁴⁰ Amīrs may have met or corresponded with Papas only rarely, but they certainly made their presence felt. The pagarch received their orders which could be expressed in firm, threatening or intimidating terms. Jordanes, addressing all the pagarchs of the Thebaid, threatens huge fines if they fail to carry out his orders or – even worse – “we won't accept his property in lieu of his life” [9]. Other messages, brought by subordinates, convey the ineluctable orders of the amīr, who knew what was happening locally and sometimes intervened directly, as in the case of two deceased men, their widows and their Christian slaves [51].

The amīr's orders are quoted by his secretaries (*notarioi*) or those of Papas' immediate superior, the amīr's representative, or *topotēretēs*, also based in Antinoe, but frequently away supervising local conditions or collecting taxes. The topoteretes seems to correspond to the Byzantine *praeses*, who never appears in these documents.⁴¹ These officials, perhaps Christians (the only one named is called Christopher) are more directly concerned with local affairs.⁴² One of them even announces his arrival in Apollonos, on his way to collect tribute from the tribes of the frontier [15]. They are the intermediary between amīr and pagarch, as in the case of the slaves noted above, where the topoteretes had intervened in favour of one of the women [51], and as shown by the topoteretes passing the decree of the amīr [9] to his notary who then communicated it to Papas. The topoteretes would also intervene in local matters, ordering people involved in a legal dispute to be arrested and sent to him [18, 19].⁴³

The actual orders, though, were communicated by the ubiquitous *notarioi*, who plainly belong to the same class as Papas and share common attitudes. Of them, Helladios [9, 11–18] apparently works for the topoteretes (though he also receives orders from the amīr), while Theodore [20–25 and perhaps 106] writes in the name of both the amīr and the topoteretes, probably indicating that both have their headquarters in the same place – Antinoe; Elias [26–32] appears to be the direct subordinate of the amīr. Kollouthos [49–50], on the other hand, is evidently secretary of a neighbouring pagarch. They all write directly to Papas, as do Plato [37–40], pagarch of the neighbouring Latopolis, and Pesynthios [42–46], evidently of inferior rank to Papas. Except for the lowly Pesynthios, they all address Papas in the florid terms of equality, and are anxious that orders from on high be fulfilled. The amīr obviously inspires fear: “learn exactly his [the amīr's] intention because a word coming from his

40 Ibid., 142.

41 A slightly later document from Arsinoe in central Egypt dated 683, employs the synonymous term *ekprosōpou* for the representative of the *doux*: P. Grenf. II.100. For the topoteretes in the Byzantine period, see Césaire Kunderewicz, “Les topotérètes dans les nouvelles de Justinien et dans l'Égypte byzantine”, *Journal of Juristic Papyrology* 14, 1962, 33–50.

42 *Kyros* Christopher appears in 37, 41, 48, 86 and in a Coptic document (MacCoull, 144); for his position, see Rémondon, 89.

43 For the role of the topoteretes in the fiscal system, see below.

mouth about tax collection must not be disobeyed" [26]; "the implacable order of our lord the amīr" [27]; "I cannot disobey the order of our lords" [40]; Papas seems not to disobey, but to stall: he delays sending the taxes [26, 29] or to perform some requested work [40]; the amīr has to write three times to summon him to Fustāt [6]; the notarios of the topoteretes writes several times, apparently in vain, to get a list of local fugitives [14].⁴⁴

Ultimately, the local officials can only obey orders from on high, however difficult or unreasonable. A letter to Papas from Plato of Latopolis gives a rare glimpse into what may have been a common attitude. He reports that "Saracens of the Commander of the Faithful" had brought a letter from the amīr regarding compulsory purchases. The status of the messengers suggests this was a matter of some importance, in which the amīr had refused any compromise. "Let him taste the water" (apparently an invitation for the amīr to drown in the Nile), writes Plato, "the Devil brought him" [37].⁴⁵ Pagarchs at least can help each other out in the face of heavy demands. The same Plato asks Papas to lend him three ship caulkers, as the amīr had requested but Plato could not supply [38]. He also offers [40] to send workers to help Papas deal with another order from the amīr. Kollouthos, the notary of another pagarch, who cannot supply the cloaks requested by the government, asks Papas to provide them, offering to pay [49]. Papas indeed gets to work on them but typically has to be reminded to make haste [50]. Likewise, when Pesynthios is in need of straw for his horses, he asks Papas for a supply and sends a boat to collect it (PSI XIII 1345).⁴⁶

As pagarch, Papas was strictly subordinate to higher authorities whose orders he would fulfil, transmit, or delay performing.⁴⁷ Most of them involved taxes and requisitions, but Papas also had juridical functions, and may have played a role in the municipal administration, to judge by his qualification as *politeuomenos*, or member of the curial class which traditionally filled town councils.⁴⁸ He could arrest people or send them on to higher authorities [18] and intervened to solve a dispute about a house and taxation [22–24]. Apollonos Ano evidently had a prison, as did the provincial capital [63].⁴⁹ For the most part, Papas was acting on orders, but in the case of a sailor who had moved to Latopolis [39],

44 For fugitives and their motives, see below, p. 19 f. Search for fugitives is a constant theme in the governor's letters in the Aphrodito papyri, as is the stalling of their recipient, the *dioiketes* Basil.

45 For the meaning of this phrase, see the commentary, p. 90; cf. 33, 16 "he is greatly annoyed by the Saracens" (context missing).

46 For the association of this document with the Papas archive, see BL IV 91.

47 For a summary of the pagarch's duties in this period, see Adolf Grohmann, "Der Beamtenstab der arabischen Finanzverwaltung in Ägypten in frühislamischer Zeit", *Studien zur Papyrologie und antiken Wirtschaftsgeschichte; Friedrich Oertel zum achtzigsten Geburtstag gewidmet* (Bonn, 1964), 120–34 at 131 f. For the preceding period, see Rouillard, 52–62, 96 f. and Roberta Mazza, "Ricerche sul pagarca", *Aegyptus* 75, 1995, 169–242.

48 For the *politeuomenoi*, members of the curial class who did not actually sit on the council or *boulē* (itself not attested in the post-conquest period), see B. Palme on P. Harrauer 60, p. 237. Papas' father, Liberios, had also been a member of this class: see above, n. 26.

49 For town and city prisons, see CPR XXII.43 f., with further reference.

apparently on his own initiative, for his colleague Plato asks him to resolve the matter.⁵⁰ Papas could also receive petitions for justice [69], but his relation to the judge, *dikastēs*, [61] is unknown. In any case, Papas, in his private capacity, dealt with normal legal matters such as leases [57], mortgages [58], or loans against security [66].

Papas headed the local bureaucracy that in Apollonos included an accounting office (*logistērion*; its *boēthos* appears in 47), and employed a financial secretary (*chartouarios*: 25), secretaries (*notarioi* 57), financial officers (*zygostatai* 83) and the inevitable tax-collectors (*apaitētai* 42, 75).⁵¹ Papas also employed a messenger (*apostolos*) and a camel-driver [89]. The daily expenses of his office included allowances of meat, dried fish, vegetables and spices given to low-ranking employees (*paidia*), sailors, and to the Mauroi [85].

Amīrs, their representatives, pagarchs and notaries are all parts of a hierarchy that had lost little of its Byzantine complexity or love of rank and title. Ranking high is the treasurer, *sakellarios*, an office held by the amīr Ouoeith [1], who received money paid in to the treasury, *sakella*.⁵² One document [61] mentions a lawyer (*scholastikos*) who held the high rank of *endoxotatos*, and presumably served the amīr. Civic officials include the *ekdikos* or *defensor* [46], the *kouratōr* and the members of the class who could serve on the town council, *politeuomenoi*, among whom Papas is counted. The bishop also had authority in civil affairs [46].⁵³ He may have controlled the *hospitia* (46: a term that could include poor-houses, inns and hospitals).

For tax purposes at least, the population was grouped into corporate bodies [75]. They included the landowners (*ktētores*) [also 76], councillors (*politeuomenoi*), sailors, clerics, embroiderers, sellers of vetch (*orbaropolai*), fishermen, oil producers [also 57], carpenters, potters (of jars and pots), shepherds, paid agricultural workers (*misthioi geōrgoi*) [also 48, 98], and sowers.⁵⁴ The doctor who signed a mortgage [58] may have been an independent operator. Low on the social scale are humble employees called *douloi* “slaves”, three kinds of bath attendants (*perichutēs*, *balneatōr*, *kapsarios* 97, cf. 41), and actual slaves, (*andrapoda* 37) specified in 51 as Christian. Slaves were especially owned by Muslim officials, and appear to have been more numerous at Babylon than elsewhere: P. Apoll. Copt. 25 mentions people “in Babylon to serve as a slave”,

50 The amīr, as in 51, could also give his own messenger authority to fine and arrest.

51 For the pagarch’s staff see Grohmann, “Der Beamtenstab der arabischen Finanzverwaltung”, 124 ff., and for the *zygostatai* 127 f. For the *apaitētai*, see below, n. 61. The *chartouarios* named in 54, who held the exceptionally high title of *endoxotatos*, could hardly have been a subordinate of Papas, but presumably was employed in the duke’s or governor’s bureau.

52 Rémondon, p. 9, suggests that this *sakella* was in Babylon, but the fact that the amīr bears the title of *sakellarios* would indicate that the treasury was his responsibility and therefore situated in his administrative capital.

53 For the municipal officials in the Byzantine period, see Rouillard 63–6, 153–6 (*defensor*) and index, s.v. évêque. Strictly speaking, the *kouratōr* and bishop appear in the city of Pesynthios, perhaps Latopolis: see Rémondon, 100 f.

54 Compare the guilds listed in the document of Papas’ father, Liberios (of 649): Crum, “Koptische Zünfte”, as well as those attested for Aphrodito in the sixth and eighth centuries: Roger Rémondon, “P. Hamb. 56 et P. Lond. 1419 (notes sur les finances d’Aphrodito du VIe siècle au VIIIe)”, *Chronique d’Égypte* 40, 1965, 401–30.

while the *andrapoda* of 51 were being confiscated from two deceased Christians and sent to Babylon by order of the amīr. Such slaves could easily be converted to Islam, hence perhaps the condition specified in 66, where a slave is offered as security for a loan, with the provision that he be sold to Christians, presumably to avoid conversion.

An essential part of the administrative machinery were the various messengers (usually called *grammatēphoroi*) who brought the orders of the government to every part of Egypt. In the early years after the conquest, Muslims themselves were employed, called *mōagaritai* (from the Arabic *muhājirūn*) “emigrants” the common designation for the conquerors. They appear in documents of the 640s [2, 3], before Papas became pagarch. Later, on one occasion [37], the messengers are specified as Saracens of the Commander of the Faithful – in that case agents of the distant central government, but most of the time, the messengers are called soldiers or *stratiōtai*.⁵⁵ They were usually, if not exclusively, Christians: a soldier Sergius is named twice: in 9 he brings a message from the amīr to the topoteretes, and in 50 will transport cloaks from Apollonos to the pagarchy of Kollouthos. Soldier Enoch 32 (and an anonymous soldier 34) brings messages from Elias; Johannes the son of Constantine [51], who brings Papas a message from the amīr, was presumably also a soldier since he had the authority to arrest the slaves of two deceased men. Likewise, Helladios orders some people arrested and sent with a soldier of Apollonos [18], suggesting that the pagarch, like his superiors, had a military force under his orders. The *symmachoi* of the amīr [96] were also messengers.⁵⁶ In 30, the amīr’s notary Elias sends a *boukellarios* with a message, a reflection of the changed status of this term, which formerly denoted soldiers in the service of great landowners.⁵⁷ The Saracen regime, like its predecessors, attached some importance to a postal service: the amīr sent a *veredarios* [27, cf. 64] to determine the progress of canal building at Latopolis. This term denotes an official messenger of the rapid courier service, called *barīd* in Arabic and following precedents in the Roman and Persian empires as well as pre-Islamic Arabia. Some sources report that it was established by Mu’āwiya. He may perhaps more probably have reorganized or extended it. In his time, it reached from Syria to Egypt,

55 For the *stratiōtai* and their manifold functions – conveying letters, orders or money and transporting goods and prisoners – see CPR XXII, 267. Vassilios Christides discusses the various terms used for messengers in “Continuation and change in Early Arab Egypt as reflected in the terms and titles of the Greek papyri”, *Bulletin de la Société archéologique d’Alexandrie* 45, 1993, 69–75; he takes the *stratiōtai* to be armed messengers or gendarmes.

56 The term, which had long shed any military connotation, was in common use to denote fast messengers of the civil government. It was virtually synonymous with *stratiōtai*. All known examples are Greeks or Copts; most are attested in the sixth century, but the term continued in use through to the eighth: see A. Jördens, “Die ägyptischen Symmachoi”, *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 66, 1986, 105–18.

57 Rémondon, p. 78, takes mention of *boukellarioi* to indicate that large landowners still maintained their ancient powers and privileges; but for Grohmann, “Der Beamtensstab der arabischen Finanzverwaltung”, 128, the *boukellarioi* were a local police or gendarmerie. Sarris, *Economy and Society*, 164–75 (with reference to earlier literature) has recently suggested that *boukellarioi* were imperial troops on active service, more or less illegally employed by great landowners for their private ends.

Iraq, Arabia and the Byzantine Empire. The *barīd* had another practical use: as well as conveying fast messages, it brought intelligence from the various provinces to the caliph.⁵⁸ The *veredarios* presumably moved by land, but the Nile also served for messages, carried by boats of the *grammatēphoroi nautai* [55, 23, 24]. The demands of the state reached everywhere, whether in the form of taxes, requisitions, conscription or forced labour. In this, Egypt was following its ancient traditions, maintaining a long-familiar complexity that Papas' archive well illuminates. Tax collecting was highly organized, involved every level of the government, and generated an enormous amount of paperwork. It manifested a level of organization better known from the somewhat later Aphrodito papyri.⁵⁹

The vast fiscal apparatus depended on accurate knowledge of the human and material resources of the country, and careful record keeping. As a first step, the pagarch (and his office) drew up the lists, *diastalmoi* [78], of all the potential taxpayers in the district, according to the relevant classifications. Individuals (these lists include only men) were classed as *onomata*, comparable to the Roman *capita*, presumably for assessment of the poll tax [74, 76]. One list gives names together with amounts paid [80]. Another names people responsible for the *analōma*, perhaps the tax for local expenses [78, cf. 77]. One document [75] lists corporate bodies, presumably for assessments or requisitions, while another, on a more private scale, deals with "our *doulo*", apparently for their obligation for the compulsory purchases the pagarchy has to provide [79]. The government needed to know the agricultural as well as human resources, and for that the land was carefully surveyed. A surviving document, 73, which covers fourteen irrigated properties (*mēchanai*) reveals the complex methods employed, and suggests that it may have been preliminary to establishing a more comprehensive land register. Something similar seems to have happened in Syria at this time: according to the medieval chronicler Michael the Syrian, who drew on lost early sources, Abū al-A'war, a noted general of Mu'āwiya, counted all the Christian peasants of Syria around 668–670; unlike the Egyptians, they had previously not paid taxes.⁶⁰

All this detailed information was sent up to Antioch, where the amīr and his topoteretes saw to the assessment and collection of taxes and other obligations. The amīr played the central role, with general control of the provincial taxation [37], assigning quotas for the money taxes and goods owed by each community. He issued demand notes for taxes, (*entagia*, though the term does not appear in this archive), receipts [1] and orders for requisitions, *epistalmata* [96]. The demands were sent to Papas for transmission to the localities concerned; it was apparently the job of the local authorities to distribute the tax burden among the payers. It seems that the large landowners collected the taxes from their own peasants, which they then turned over to the official tax collectors,

58 See Adam Silverstein, *Postal Systems in the Pre-Modern Islamic World* (Cambridge, 2007), 50–59.

59 For the Byzantine and Aphrodito tax organization, see the convenient summary in Daniel Dennet, *Conversion and the Poll Tax in Early Islam* (Cambridge, MA, 1950), 66–9, 94–7, or in more detail, Rouillard 75–148 and P. Lond. IV, xxv–xxxii.

60 *Chronique de Michel le Syrien*, ed. and tr. J.-B. Chabot (Paris, 1901), II.450.

apaitētai.⁶¹ This is evidently the case of Pesynthios, who sent his “fellow-slave” (his son) to collect poll taxes from the peasants of a village in Papas’ jurisdiction.⁶² Likewise 79 that mentions “our *douloi*” implies a similar system, reminiscent of the Byzantine *autopragia*, where the large landlords had considerable autonomy in collecting taxes on their own properties.⁶³

If there were any problem with the taxes, the pagarch or his staff could be summoned not simply to the amīr, but to the capital, to explain his accounts [6]. For in this, the amīr, however powerful he seemed from the viewpoint of a remote provincial town, was very much the subordinate of the distant governor. In his province, though, it was the amīr who ordered requisitions [10, 20, 96] or compulsory purchase [37] of goods, who drafted men for work on irrigation projects [27], the fleet [28, 38] or the workshops (or shipyards) in the capital [29]. He issued rules about the pay of sailors [28] and concerned himself with strangers and fugitives in the provinces, people evidently trying to escape their obligations [20].

The topoteretes maintained and organized the lists of taxpayers [39] and, as already noted was the intermediary between the amīr and the pagarch. In 46, he intervenes in a dispute about poll tax, requesting a couple to give a guarantee. It was the topoteretes who ordered a boat from Papas, so he could collect tribute from the Blemmydes of the frontier [15, cf. 11, 12]. He also collected taxes from Papas, who on one occasion was ordered to send the gold to Panopolis where the peripatetic topoteretes would collect it [10].

Taxes were assessed in kind and in cash. The former, though of great importance, rarely appear in these documents. The ship that carried state cargoes (of grain paid in as tax) is mentioned once [107], as is a payment in wine: the amīr assessed on Apollonos 2,500 *knidia* of wine from the estates (*ousiakai*), which Papas forwarded in two boats, complaining about the serious shortages (*panstenōsis*) in his city as he sent the goods to the topoteretes [10].⁶⁴ The documents also refer to money paid in lieu of goods that had been requested, a familiar practice, here involving wheat [52], oil [88], iron [86] and scrap iron [88].

The money taxes, *khrysika dēmosia*, are very much in evidence. They were collected in instalments, *katabolai*, twice a year [19, 26, with note p. 67]. After the tax demands were received, the local collectors went out to extract them for the population, turning them over to the *zygostates*, who paid them in to Papas. The money arrived in moneybags, *apokombia*, from which Papas deducted a sum for local expenses [82, 83] before forwarding them to the topoteretes. Some of this was paid out to embroiderers and carpenters or used to buy bread for the sailors of the fleet.⁶⁵ Papas also administered a

61 Bernhard Palme, *Das Amt des ἀπατητήης in Ägypten* (Vienna, 1989), 109 f., discussing 42, the only significant text for these tax-collectors in the Arab period.

62 See 42, with the editor’s discussion; many aspects of this document remain obscure.

63 Rémondon, p. 104, deduces from this the survival of autopract domains.

64 Rémondon, p. 32, translates *ousiakai* as “domains”, inferring that they were lands belonging to the state. Since large (private) estates (*ousiai*; see part II on the estate of Flavia Marous) still existed, however, it would seem preferable to interpret the term as denoting such lands and the taxes imposed on them.

65 On demands for the fleet, see below, pp. 18–22.

logisma, or account for extraordinary expenses, on which the government could draw [52].

The *dēmosia* were primarily a land tax, but the poll tax, called *diagraphon* [39, 42] or *andrismos* [24] is very much in evidence.⁶⁶ It was assessed on all males over the age of fourteen, who were evaluated as *onomata* [74, 76], apparently a fiscal unit corresponding to the roman *capita*, in which an individual might be assessed as more or less than a *caput* according to his ability to pay. The topoteretes maintained the lists of those subjected to the poll tax [39: *katagraphē tou diagraphou*].

Complicated questions about the poll tax arose when taxpayers moved, whether legally or not. Pesynthios consulted Papas about a case involving the taxes of peasants of Papas residing in Pesynthios' jurisdiction and vice versa [42–46]. When the wife of one of them was threatened with arrest in Apollonos because of her husband's poll tax liability, Pesynthios asked Papas to send her back to her husband, who resided (or was working) in Pesynthios' territory. The solution apparently was to keep him on the tax register of Apollonos and, at the suggestion of the topoteretes, to make him give a personal guarantee before the local authorities [46, presuming this obscure letter deals with the same couple]. Similarly, a sailor moved from Apollonos to Latopolis where Papas had him arrested [39]. He complained to Plato (the pagarch of Latopolis) who left the decision to Papas, providing that Christopher (apparently the topoteretes) had not changed the sailor's tax registration from one city to the other. The question involved his *diagraphon* – his poll tax, and once again the topoteretes who kept the provincial registers was involved.

Taxes were not the only burden on the population which, as of old, was subject to forced labour. Some of this involved a permanent need, work on irrigation canals. The *notarios* of neighbouring Latopolis wrote urgently to Papas requesting him to send workers for his canal, for the amīr had ordered the work to be finished quickly, to such an extent that the *notarios* had had to drop everything, send one of his notaries to supervise the work, and urgently request extra labourers from Papas, as well as Papas' own appearance, to make sure the work was completed. The urgency was not only to carry out the will of the amīr, but to make sure the workers returned home in time to pay their taxes [26, 27]. There is no evidence that these workers were paid, but an account [88] that mentions payment to those who were working in Maximianopolis suggests that Papas had sent workers to the quarries there, and that they were receiving a salary. Workers conscripted for shipbuilding and the fleet will be considered below.

The pagarch was also responsible for some services. The topoteretes required a boat [11, 12] for which the materials would be furnished, to be built, evidently for government service.⁶⁷ This involved accumulating materials and mobilizing a local workforce. On another occasion [15], the *notarios* Helladios, who had reached Latopolis, requested that a fishing boat be put at his disposal the next

66 For these terms, see Nikolaos Gonis, "Two poll-tax receipts from early Islamic Egypt", *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 131, 2000, 150–54 with further references; cf. CPR XXII 1, for the introduction of this tax.

67 For the terms for the various types of boats, and the materials from which they were constructed, see Trombley, "Sawirus ibn al-Muqaffa", 212–4.

day when he arrived at Apollonos, so that he could use it to go to the frontier and collect tribute from the Blemmydes. Such boats were not necessarily pressed into service as two slightly obscure letters [31, 32] reveal. Here, the notarios Elias has hired a boat that now needed repairs, for which the notary would supply the wood. The boat's owner delayed the work so he could stay at home, in a village of Papas' pagarchy. Elias therefore requested Papas to make sure the work was finished and the boat delivered.

Like this service, the state also paid for the goods it required. One of the earliest documents of the dossier [2] of 648, accompanied 22½ solidi sent by a *mōagaritēs* under the seal of the governor 'Abd Allāh, as payment for three months' supply of cows' and goats' milk. The author of an undated anonymous document [107] sends Papas three nomismata to buy firewood and send it on to him; the money came from *philoī* in Babylon, perhaps officers of the Treasury. He also requests (apparently as a personal favour) some charcoal for the coming winter. An early document [3], probably of the 640s, suggests that the *mōagaritēs* who brought the letter simply demanded a quantity of firewood (cf. 4, apparently in the same hand, a request for wood or firewood). Firewood was in constant demand [33, 36, 93, 95] especially for the forges at the shipyards of Babylon, as attested in the Aphrodito archive. Compulsory purchases could also pose a problem, for the pagarch had to find the goods and buy them at a price that corresponded with what the state paid. Plato of Latopolis expressed his disgust [37] at such an order from the amīr, whose importance can be judged by the fact that it was brought by four Saracens of the caliph himself, who refused to make any concession.

Requisitions of goods further added to the local burdens. Prominent among them was the *rouzikon* or *rizq*, an essential part of the supply system for the Muslim military imposed on the non-Muslim population.⁶⁸ It was an entitlement for the Muslims by right of conquest and encompassed a range of products. The *rizq* was collected in Egypt from the very beginning, at the time of the conquest of Babylon by 'Amr ibn al-'Aṣ. He assessed a poll-tax of two dinars on every adult male, and a subsistence allowance for the Muslims of wheat, honey, oil and vinegar. These goods would be stored in and issued from a special warehouse, the *dār al-rizq*. He took a census of the Muslims, each of whom was to receive from the Egyptian population every year a long woollen robe (for which a Coptic robe could be substituted), an upper cloak or burnoose, a turban, trousers and shoes.⁶⁹ These demands were approved by the caliph 'Umar, but already had a precedent from the time of the Prophet, who imposed a tribute of 2,000 robes on the Christians of Najran in the Yemen.⁷⁰

68 See Philip Mayerson, "'Ρουζικον and 'Ρογα in the post-conquest papyri", *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 100, 1994, 126–8 and *idem*, "An additional note on 'Ρουζικον (Ar. rizq)", *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik* 107, 1995, 279–82. Note Rémondon's apposite remark, p. 197: "L'arzāq al-Muslimīn [i.e., rizq] est un chapitre des impôts réguliers". The term *roga*, which appears in Byzantine papyri of the sixth century, denoted a payment in money or kind for a soldier, while *rizq* applied specifically to goods for the Muslim forces.

69 Baladhuri 214 f. (translation 338 f.)

70 See Michael Morony, *Iraq after the Muslim Conquest* (Princeton, 1984), 113 f.

Notable among these obligations, and most difficult to procure, were the long woollen gowns, called in these documents *gonakhia* and specified as highly embroidered.⁷¹ They seem to have been especially valued, for when Mu‘āwīya asked ‘Amr ibn al-‘Aṣ how he should deal with anyone who captured or beheaded his enemies, ‘Amr responded that he should be like the Emperor and “offer him wealth and some of the garments of Egypt”.⁷² On one occasion, a neighbouring pagarch (quite likely of Latopolis) had been ordered to supply *gonakhia* for the *rizq* but for some reason was unable; his notary wrote to Papas asking him to provide them, against payment [29, 30]. Because of the importance of this obligation, embroiderers were treated with care: when Plato, pagarch of Latopolis, attempted to send two of them to Babylon to fill his quota of conscripted labourers, the amīr sent them back [38]. Embroiderers were important enough to form a category of taxpayers [75, cf. above] and one account records payment to an embroiderer in the same context as issue of bread for the sailors [83]. Another document [94] lists seasoning, dried fish and cheese to be issued to the camel drivers. Such goods were presumably paid out of the storehouses of the *rouzikon*, whose contents are illuminated by 93, a list of products which have been identified as the contents of a state storehouse. They include old wine, salt, nuts, vinegar, garum, meat, cheese, honey, pigeons, boiled down wine and dates. In addition, there was wine, salt, saltpeter, torches, saffron, firewood, and mustard to be delivered to the boat of Aaron, who may have been a *pistikos* or supply agent of the government.⁷³

These documents also reveal a major effort of the government, to which a substantial part of the taxes and requisitions were evidently devoted: the fleet. Orders for men and materials came from on high, and took priority over local needs. In one letter to Papas [106], a notarios – probably Theodore – reports that the *symbolos* himself, the governor in Babylon, had given orders to use every means to send sailors with their equipment and food supplies. The governor was eager that the sailors be assembled and sent on in all haste, and wrote ordering that their equipment and food supplies be provided from the taxes. The amīr also sent orders specifying that a *litra* of bread and an *artaba* of wheat for each sailor be delivered to *pistikoi*, who would make sure that it reached its destination intact. The tone, the haste and the demands show that this represents preparation for a major naval expedition, a *kourson* of the kind well known from the documents of Aphrodito, written a generation or more later.

Requisitions for the fleet were routine and provoked great hardship locally. On one occasion [28], the notary Elias informed Papas of a problem that had arisen regarding sailors who had been called up for service in the fleet from the pagarchies of Panopolis, Antaeopolis and Apollonopolis. It seems that the locals had paid substitutes to go in their place. The question arose about who

71 They are described as *orthoplouma* and *hypsela* in 49. See Federico Morelli, “*Gonachia e kaunakai nei papiri*”, *JPP* 32, 2002, 55–81.

72 Ṭabarī, *Ta’rikh* (ed. M. J. de Goeje, Leiden 1879–1901), II 211, translated as *Between Civil Wars: The Caliphate of Mu‘āwīyah* by Michael Morony (Albany, NY, 1987), 221.

73 As suggested by Rémondon, p. 195. For the *pistikoi*, see CPR XXV.179 f.

should receive the pay the government normally issued to the sailors. The matter was referred to the amīr who ruled that only the people actually going to sea – *eis tēn thalassan* – should be paid. In other words, a naval expedition was being planned, and the locals had no desire to serve on the sea, far from home. The same document shows that the sailors' pay came from the money taxes, *dēmosia khrysika*.

Going to sea was not the only problem, for ships had to be built as well as manned, and for that the shipyard of Babylon required workers. Skilled workers like carpenters [30] and caulkers [9, 38] were especially needed. They were routinely conscripted from the pagarchies, however remote. As usual, the orders came from on high. When the amīr learned how many men were required for the *ergasia* of Babylon, he sent round a circular specifying the quota for each pagarchy [29].⁷⁴ In Papas' case, it was three, but the notary Elias specifically warned him not to try to substitute unskilled workers for the needed specialists. This caused a real problem, for caulkers were hard to find and were needed locally. When Plato, pagarch of the neighbouring Latopolis, had to fill a quota of five caulkers [38] he sent five men, but three were rejected because one was in charge of a commissary (presumably for storing goods paid in as tax) and the others were embroiderers – all workers the government wanted to have stay in their place carrying out work it deemed useful. Plato therefore wrote to Papas asking him to lend him five caulkers, promising to take care of their expenses himself.

The naval demands were liked even less by the conscripted workers. As noted, some paid substitutes to go to sea in their place, but a more common response was to run away, to move to a different pagarchy where they might escape notice. This stirred a strong response from the authorities. The amīr Jordanes, who presided around 670, wrote an angry letter to all the pagarchs of the Thebaid:

since the caulkers working on the ships of Babylon have fled, we have ordered our topoteretes not to let one single caulker escape without sending him to us; anyone who keeps or hides a caulker will pay 1000 solidi, if he has the means; and we have ordered that the present sealed letter be shown to you. Therefore, whoever does not turn over and send to us every caulker in his district after reading and acknowledging the present letter, sparing even one of them, we won't accept his property in lieu of his life [9].⁷⁵

Helladios, who forwarded the order, urged Papas to arrest any fugitive caulkers and send them on in a boat, in handcuffs (*xylomangana*).

Caulkers, of course, were not the only people who ran away. Fugitive taxpayers were also a serious problem, and had been since the very beginning of the Arab administration when the introduction of the new poll tax raised the fear that people

74 These were presumably the public workers, *ergatai dēmosioi*, of Babylon mentioned in the fragmentary 53.

75 See also P. Apoll. Copt., 5 (MacCoull 142 f.) which deals with conscripted (unskilled) workers, *ergatai*, who had evidently run away.

would make serious efforts to avoid it.⁷⁶ This certainly happened in Papas' district, for the *topoteretes* had to write him more than once demanding a list of all strangers (who would necessarily include tax fugitives) in his pagarchy, on whom a tax or fine of three *nomismata* was to be assessed [13, 14].

The fleet demanded more than people. In fact, war at sea involved mobilizing a significant part of the resources of Egypt – materials for shipbuilding, supplies and equipment for the fleet, food and supplies for the sailors.⁷⁷ All this is much better known from the Aphrodito papyri, a generation later than the Papas documents, but these papyri give enough information to indicate that such a wide-ranging economic and military organization was already in place.

As the governor's decree noted, sailors had to bring their own equipment and provisions, to be supplied by the pagarchy (their salaries were paid from the general taxation: 28: *ek tou dēmosiou*). Consequently one of Papas' accounts [83] mentions money paid out to a deacon to buy bread for the sailors of the fleet, to be issued, as in the governor's document, by a *pistikos*; while [30] mentions boiled-down wine apparently for the same purpose.⁷⁸ Another lists products issued by the local storehouse [96]; they include 54 artaba of bread for 18 caulkers (that is, they, like the sailors, were to bring their provisions with them) and vinegar for Clysma, the naval station and shipyard on the Red Sea.⁷⁹

Goods for shipbuilding were in constant demand, both in the form of raw material and finished products. Acacia wood was especially valued for its ability to stick together when wet: in 11, Helladios reports sending some to Papas, who in turn was to send his agent to a certain Aristophanes, evidently a specialist, who would select pieces suitable for making the pegs used to attach rams to the front of the ships. The Coptic texts give further examples of demand for acacia both from Papas and from a churchman.⁸⁰ They also show that entire keels and masts, as well as ropes and anchor-cables, were being demanded.⁸¹ In 20, the *amīr*, via the *notarios* Theodore, sent out an order for *psellia* to Papas whose pagarchy's quota was 120. Theodore duly received them [21] and sent them on to Babylon – that is, they were a requisition of the central government. The terms used, *psellia* and *podopsellia*, at first seem to refer to bracelets and anklets, but more probably they indicate equipment for the fighters of the fleet, respectively armbands (or fittings or clamps for artillery) and greaves.⁸²

76 See CPR XXII.1, with the comments of Sijpesteijn, "The Arab Conquest", 444–6.

77 For all this, see Fahmy, *Naval Organisation*, 75–112, with full reference to the Aphrodito papyri, on which see P. Lond IV, xxxii–xxxv.

78 Note the sailors, bread and wine requisitioned in P. Apoll. Copt., 5 (MacCoull, 142).

79 For the importance of this arsenal, see Fahmy, *Naval Organisation*, 23–7, and for Clysma as the entrepot for shipping wheat to Arabia, see CPR XXII 44, with the discussion on p. 225, as well as Sijpesteijn, "The Arab Conquest", 447. The Count, for whom supplies were also ordered in this document, was apparently a local notable somehow supported by the pagarchy: see Rémondon *ad loc.*

80 MacCoull 142, 145, citing three papyri.

81 *Ibid.*

82 *Psellia*: John Haldon, "Theory and practice in tenth-century military administration", *Travaux et Mémoires* 13, 2000, 201–352 at 275; *podopsellia*: *idem*, *Constantine Porphyrogenitus: Three Treatises on Imperial Military Expeditions* (Vienna, 1990), 279.

Skins were another demand, one which Papas was chastized for being slow to fulfil [*diphthera* 29; cf. the *dermata rouzikou* of 94 – to be issued to a Saracen, Abū Yezid – and 95]. They too had a use for the fleet, as padding to protect the ships against fire or ramming.⁸³

The demands of the fleet were enormous and fell especially heavily on Egypt, which had a long tradition of shipbuilding and producing fleets and sailors. It was Mu'āwiya who organized the first Arab fleet.⁸⁴ According to tradition, he proposed building a fleet to the caliph Umar, but the caliph rejected his plan, probably believing that the state was already stretched to its limit. When Mu'āwiya's cousin 'Uthmān succeeded in 648, however, the situation changed as the latter embraced the naval plan with enthusiasm. Its first result was a successful attack on Cyprus in 649, which devastated the country, amassed huge quantities of loot, and brought back thousands of captives (a contemporary inscription fantastically claims 120,000).⁸⁵ An Egyptian contingent from Alexandria, commanded by the governor 'Abd Allāh ibn Sa'd, was actively engaged. Cyprus suffered another major raid in 653, as did Crete, Cos and Rhodes (where the Arabs established a base).⁸⁶ Egyptian ships are not mentioned specifically on these occasions, but they played a major role the next year in the greatest expedition of the period when a huge fleet, manned by fighters from the entire empire and with warships outfitted in Alexandria and the coastal region, set out against Constantinople.⁸⁷ They reached Chalcedon, opposite the Byzantine capital, only to be destroyed by a storm. The Byzantines, taking advantage of the catastrophe, counter-attacked in the following year, 655, in an expedition whose importance is revealed by its being led by the emperor Constans II in person. It met the Arab fleet at Phoenix on the south-west coast of Asia Minor, only to suffer a resounding defeat. In this expedition, which culminated in what the Arab sources call the Battle of the Masts, 'Abd Allāh ibn Sa'd commanded the fleet, whose sailors were Egyptians while the fighters were Arabs.⁸⁸

83 Fahmy, *Naval Organisation*, 85.

84 For the origins of the Muslim navy and its activity under 'Uthmān, see Aly Mohamed Fahmy, *Muslim Sea-Power in the Eastern Mediterranean* (Cairo, 1966), 73–89; cf. Humphreys, *Mu'āwiyah*, 53–8, 109.

85 See the critical edition of the text in *Bulletin épigraphique* 1987, 352 and note that it claims that another 50,000 were carried off in the raid of the following year. The Syriac chronicle of 1234 gives a detailed account of these attacks: *Chronicon anonymum ad annum Christi 1234 pertinens*, tr. I. B. Chabot (= *CSCO, Scr. Syr* 3. 14, Louvain, 1937), 209–12 (Latin); translated (English) by Andrew Palmer in *The Seventh Century in the West-Syrian Chronicles* (Liverpool, 1993), 173–7.

86 For the chronology of the attacks on Cyprus, see Alexander Beihammer, *Nachrichten zum byzantinischen Urkundenwesen in arabischen Quellen (565–811)* (Bonn, 2000), docs 251, 252, 256 and especially 276, with full discussion and references.

87 For this expedition and its context, see Shaun O'Sullivan, "Sebeos' account of an Arab attack on Constantinople in 654", *Byzantine and Modern Greek Studies* 28, 2004, 67–88, and for the continuing importance of Alexandria, see Petra Sijpesteijn, "Travel and trade on the river", in Sijpesteijn and Sundelin (eds), *Papyrology*, 121 f., and Fahmy, *Naval Organisation*, 27–30; cf. P. Lond., xxxiii

88 Ṭabarī I, 2867–70, translated as *The Crisis of the Early Caliphate* by Stephen Humphreys (Albany, NY, 1990), 74 ff.; Ibn 'Abd al-Ḥakam, 189–91.

These great battles, which consumed enormous resources of men and material, exhausted both sides, and the civil war that soon followed brought a temporary stop to naval expeditions. Once Mu'āwiya was firmly established in power, however, the fight at sea resumed with a vengeance. Naval expeditions against Byzantium are attested for 664, 668, 669 and 670, with Egyptian participation noted for 664, 668 and 669.⁸⁹ The war was not all one-sided, for the Byzantines aimed to weaken Egypt, whose resources and fleet posed a constant danger. In 665, Mu'āwiya is reported to have sent an army into Egypt to destroy Byzantine forces there, killing 5,000 of them.⁹⁰ The circumstances are unknown, but presumably involved a landing on the coast, as in 673, when the Byzantines occupied the town of Paralos.⁹¹ One consequence of this coastal vulnerability was the establishment of the major naval arsenal at Fuṣṭāt, far safer from attack than Alexandria, whose population was in any case of dubious loyalty. These hostilities culminated in the second Arab expedition against Constantinople, which set out in 674, established a base at Cyzicus on the Sea of Marmara, and continued its attacks during three seasons. There was a final raid in 678 or 679.⁹² Since the governor's letter [106] is undated, it cannot be associated with any particular raid, but the angry denunciation of fleeing caulkers by the amīr Jordanes [9] would suit the great expedition against Constantinople, for he was quite probably in office at that time.

All these demands, in addition to the regular taxes and requisitions, caused grave problems for the pagarchs, whose complaints may have had some substance. Papas refers to the great distress, *panstenōsis*, of his district when writing to the topoteretes [10] and his colleague Plato, with whom he had to co-operate on irrigation works in Latopolis, sympathetically acknowledges the distress and shortage of manpower that afflict Papas [26].

The Greek texts of this archive are concerned almost exclusively with civil matters, whether public or private, and give no hint of the importance of the church in the life of the people. Only the Coptic documents mention the "brothers" – i.e. monks, and show that the monastic communities raised cattle and improved their land.⁹³ The partially excavated remains of Apollonos put the church in perspective by uncovering a substantial monastery, apparently built in the late sixth century, adjacent to the western wall of the city.⁹⁴ This might have been the monastery of Abba Kyros, mentioned in SB I.5114 of the early seventh century. When the church was built, the wall was no longer in use (the monastery covered part of it and reused some of its bricks), and the main defences were confined to the central citadel at the highest point of the settlement, where reinforced fortifications and a two-storey building were uncovered.

89 Tabarī II, 67, 85–7 = translation 71, 93, 94, 96.

90 See the *Chronicle of 1234* (tr. I. B. Chabot) sec. 114 = Palmer 188.

91 Fahmy, *Naval Organisation*, 35, with source references.

92 Tabarī II, 181, 188 = translation 192, 199; it was led by Junadah ibn Abi Umayya, but the date was disputed.

93 MacCoull, 145.

94 K. Michalowski et al., *Tell Edfou 1938* (Cairo, 1938), II.22–5.

One of the main functions of Apollonos in the Byzantine period – attested as late as the end of the sixth century – had been as a garrison, but its military role, if any, after the Arab conquest is invisible in these documents.⁹⁵

Ecclesiastical life of a different sort flourished in Upper Egypt, where monasticism remained an intrinsic part of the country's life. Late in the sixth century, Apa Abraham, bishop of Hermonthis, founded a monastery dedicated to St. Phoibammon in a remote location above the Nile.⁹⁶ When the Patriarch asked him to move to a more convenient site, he chose the abandoned Temple of Hatshepsut (now called Deir al-Bahri) near the town of Djeme, the ancient Thebes. His testament, which survives in Greek (a language the bishop did not know), reveals a characteristic of this church, that the monastery and its lands were the personal possession of the abbot.⁹⁷ The will specifies that the monastery and everything in it – clothing, books, wood and pottery household utensils – as well as all movable property and real estate be left to the priest Victor to do with as he would, maintaining the church of its revenues and caring for the poor. No one else, especially members of Abraham's family, had any claim whatsoever. The will was drawn up in strict legal terms, reminiscent of Ammianus Marcellinus' famous characterization of the Egyptians as being litigious in the extreme.⁹⁸

The monastery thrived. Victor left it to Peter (his will is dated 634) who in turn willed it to Jacob, in a document in Coptic dated to 660 or 675.⁹⁹ In similar legalistic terms, Peter provides for the future of the monastery, with clauses punishing violators of the will with a fine of a pound of gold. Jacob's will, written about 695, has also survived, listing among the monastery's property gold, silver, brass, clothes and books, as well as animals, trees, cisterns and fields, with buildings at the monastery, in the *kastron* of Thebes and the city, Hermonthis. In other words, the monastery was prospering through the reign of Mu'āwiya, as it continued to do through the eighth century. Other documents relating to the monastery are probably of Peter's time, but none are dated. In one of them, for example, a certain Elias, son of Solomon, committed himself to take care of the camels he had rented from the monastery, a hint of its manifold economic activities.¹⁰⁰

95 Citadel: K. Michalowski et al., *Tell Edfou 1939* (Cairo, 1950) III.150–56; garrison: Gascou, "Edfou au bas-empire", 17 f.

96 See Włodzimierz Godlewski, *Le monastère de St Phoibammon* (Warsaw, 1986), especially 60–78, and the historical sketch in John Thomas and Angela Hero, *Byzantine Monastic Foundation Documents* (Washington, 2000), I, 51 f.

97 Translated in Thomas and Hero, *Byzantine Monastic Foundation Documents*, 55–7.

98 Ammianus Marcellinus 26.6.1.

99 Peter's will in German translation: Walter Till, *Die koptischen Rechtsurkunden aus Theben* (Vienna, 1964), 144–8, cf. Martin Krause, "Die Testamente der Äbte des Phoibammon-Klosters in Theben", *Mitteilungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts* 25, 1969, 57–67; its date: Krause opts for 675, Godlewski, *Le monastère de St Phoibammon*, for 660.

100 CO 220: see Godlewski, *Le monastère de St Phoibammon*, 70, and his whole valuable discussion of the monastery's economic activities, 79–88.

Papyrological abbreviations

- BL*: *Berichtungsliste der griechischen Papyrusurkunden aus Ägypten*. Berlin and Leipzig, 1922–29; Leiden, 1958–.
- BGU*: *Aegyptische Urkunden aus den Königlichen (later Staatlichen) Museen zu Berlin, Griechische Urkunden*. Berlin, 1895–.
- CO*: *Coptic Ostraca from the Collections of the Egypt Exploration Fund, the Cairo Museum and Others* (ed. W. E. Crum). London, 1902.
- CPR*: *Corpus Papyrorum Raineri*. Vienna, 1895–.
- P. Apoll. Copt.*: “The Coptic papyri from Apollonos Ano”, *Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of Papyrology*, ed. B. Mandilaras (Athens, 1988), II.141–7.
- P. Berl. Zill.*: *Vierzehn Berliner griechische Papyri* (ed. H. Zilliacus). Helsingfors, 1941.
- P. Cair. Arab.*: A. Grohmann, *Arabic Papyri in the Egyptian Library*. Cairo, 1934–62.
- P. Eirene II: Studia Graeca et Latina (Papyrologica)* (ed. J. Bazant et al.). Prague, 2004. (=Eirene 40 (2004) 1–193.)
- P. Grenf. II: New Classical Fragments and Other Greek and Latin Papyri* (ed. B. P. Grenfell and A. S. Hunt). Oxford, 1897.
- P. Harrauer: Wiener Papyri als Festgabe zum 60. Geburtstag von Hermann Harrauer* (ed. B. Palme). Vienna, 2001.
- P. Lond. IV*: H. I. Bell, *Greek Papyri in the British Museum. Catalogue with Texts. Vol. IV, The Aphrodito Papyri*. London, 1910.
- P. Mert. II: A Descriptive Catalogue of the Greek Papyri in the Collection of Wilfred Merton*, II (ed. B. R. Rees, H. I. Bell, J. W. B. Barns). Dublin, 1959.
- P. Prag. II: Papyri Graecae Wessely Pragenses* (ed. R. Pintaudi, R. Dostálová and L. Vidman). Florence, 1995.
- P. Ross. Georg.*: G. Zereteli and P. Jernstedt, *Papyri russischer und georgischer Sammlungen. Spätromische und byzantinische Texte*. Tiflis, 1930.
- PERF*: *Papyrus Erzherzog Rainer, Führer durch die Ausstellung*. Vienna, 1894.
- PSI: Papiri greci e latini*. (Pubblicazioni della Società Italiana per la ricerca dei papiri greci e latini in Egitto.) Florence, 1912–79.
- PSI Congr. XI: Dai papiri della Società Italiana: Omaggio all’XI Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia*. Florence, 1965.
- SB: Sammelbuch griechischer Urkunden aus Aegypten* (ed. F. Preisigke et al.). Strasbourg and Göttingen, 1915–.
- SPP III, VIII*: C. Wessely, *Studien zur Palaeographie und Papyruskunde. Griechische Papyrusurkunden kleineren Formats*. Leipzig, 1904, 1908.
- SPP XIX: Studien zu den koptischen Rechtsurkunden aus Oberägypten* (ed. A. Steinwenter). Vienna, 1920.
- SPP XX: Catalogus Papyrorum Raineri. Series Graeca. Pars I. Textus Graeci papyrorum, qui in libro “Papyrus Erzherzog Rainer – Führer durch die Ausstellung Wien 1894” descripti sunt* (ed. C. Wessely). Vienna, 1921.
- T. Varie: Tavolette lignee e cerate da varie collezioni* (ed. R. Pintaudi, P.J. Sijpesteijn et al.). Florence, 1989.