

Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society

VOL. 170

MARCH 2021

PART 2

Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (2021), **170**, 221–246 © Cambridge Philosophical Society 2019

221

doi: [10.1017/S0305004119000379](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004119000379)

First published online 19 November 2019

KMS states on the C^* -algebras of Fell bundles over groupoids

BY ZAHRA AFSAR

*Quadrangle, Camperdown Campus, University of Sydney, A14, L4.45,
City Road, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia*
e-mail: zahra.afsar@sydney.edu.au

AND AIDAN SIMS

*School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, University of Wollongong,
39C. 195, Northfields Avenue, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia.*
e-mail: asims@uow.edu.au

(Received 2 August 2017; revised 9 January 2019)

Abstract

We consider fibrewise singly generated Fell bundles over étale groupoids. Given a continuous real-valued 1-cocycle on the groupoid, there is a natural dynamics on the cross-sectional algebra of the Fell bundle. We study the Kubo–Martin–Schwinger equilibrium states for this dynamics. Following work of Neshveyev on equilibrium states on groupoid C^* -algebras, we describe the equilibrium states of the cross-sectional algebra in terms of measurable fields of states on the C^* -algebras of the restrictions of the Fell bundle to the isotropy subgroups of the groupoid. As a special case, we obtain a description of the trace space of the cross-sectional algebra. We apply our result to generalise Neshveyev’s main theorem to twisted groupoid C^* -algebras, and then apply this to twisted C^* -algebras of strongly connected finite k -graphs.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L05 (Primary); 46L55 (Secondary)

1. Introduction

The study of KMS states of C^* -algebras was originally motivated by applications of C^* -dynamical systems to the study of quantum statistical mechanics [2]. However, KMS states make sense for any C^* -dynamical system, even if it does not model a physical system,

and there is significant evidence that the KMS data is a useful invariant of a dynamical system. For example, the results of Enomoto, Fujii and Watatani [4] show that the KMS data for a Cuntz–Krieger algebra encodes the topological entropy of the associated shift space. And Bost and Connes showed that the Riemann zeta function can be recovered from the KMS states of an appropriate C^* -dynamical system [1]. As a result there has recently been significant interest in the study of KMS states of C^* -dynamical systems arising from combinatorial and algebraic data [1, 3, 7, 15, 20]. In particular, there are indications of a close relationship between KMS structure of such systems, and ideal structure of the C^* -algebra [6, 13, 22].

Our original motivation in this paper was to investigate whether the relationship, discovered in [6], between simplicity and the presence of a unique KMS-state for the C^* -algebra of a strongly connected k -graph persists in the situation of twisted higher-rank graph C^* -algebras. The methods used to establish this in [6] exploit direct calculations with the generators of the C^* -algebra. Unfortunately, a similar approach seems to be more or less impossible in the situation of twisted k -graph C^* -algebras, because the twisting data quickly renders the calculations required unmanageable.

Instead we base our approach on groupoid models for k -graph C^* -algebras and their analogues. Building on ideas from [10], Neshveyev proved in [15] that the KMS states of a groupoid C^* -algebra for a dynamics induced by a continuous real-valued cocycle on the groupoid are parameterised by pairs consisting of a suitably invariant measure μ on the unit space, and an equivalence class of μ -measurable fields of states on the C^* -algebras of the fibres of the isotropy bundle that are equivariant for the natural action of the groupoid by conjugation. Though Neshveyev’s results are not used directly to compute the KMS states of k -graph algebras in [6], it is demonstrated in [6, section 12] that the main results of that paper could be recovered using Neshveyev’s theorems.

Every twisted k -graph algebra can be realised as a twisted groupoid C^* -algebra [11], and simplicity of twisted k -graph algebras can be characterised using this description [12]. Twisted k -graph C^* -algebras are in turn a special case of cross-sectional algebras of Fell bundles over groupoids. Since the latter constitute a very flexible and widely applicable model for C^* -algebraic representations of dynamical systems, we begin by generalising Neshveyev’s theorems to this setting; though since it simplifies our results and since it covers our key example of twisted groupoid C^* -algebras, we restrict to the situation of Fell bundles whose fibres are all singly generated. Neshveyev’s approach relies heavily on Renault’s Disintegration Theorem [17], and we likewise rely very heavily on the generalisation of the Disintegration Theorem to Fell-bundle C^* -algebras established by Muhly and Williams [14].

Our first main theorem, Theorem 3.4, is a direct analogue in the situation of Fell bundles of Neshveyev’s result. It shows that the KMS states on the cross-sectional algebra of a Fell bundle \mathcal{B} with singly generated fibres over an étale groupoid \mathcal{G} are parameterised by pairs consisting of a suitably invariant measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and a μ -measurable field of states on the C^* -algebras $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ of the restrictions of \mathcal{B} to the isotropy groups of \mathcal{G} that each centralise the fibre of \mathcal{B} over the corresponding unit, and that satisfy a suitable \mathcal{G} -invariance condition. By applying this result with inverse temperature equal to zero, we obtain a description of the trace space of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$.

Given a continuous \mathbb{T} -valued 2-cocycle σ on \mathcal{G} , or more generally a twist over \mathcal{G} in the sense of Kumjian [8], there is a Fell line-bundle over \mathcal{G} whose cross-sectional algebra coincides with the twisted C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$ (see Lemma 4.1). We apply Theorem 3.4 to such bundles to obtain a generalisation of Neshveyev’s results [15, theorems 1.2 and 1.3] to twisted groupoid C^* -algebras (see Corollary 4.2).

We next consider a strongly connected k -graph Λ in the sense of [9]. There is only one probability measure M on the unit space $\mathcal{G}_\Lambda^{(0)} = \Lambda^\infty$ that is invariant in the sense described above [6, lemma 12.1]. Given a cocycle c on Λ , Kumjian, Pask and the second author introduced a twisted C^* -algebra $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ and showed that the cocycle c induces a cocycle σ_c on the associated path groupoid \mathcal{G}_Λ such that the C^* -algebras $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ and $C^*(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma_c)$ are isomorphic [11, corollary 7.9]. The cocycle σ_c determines an antisymmetric bicharacter ω_c on $\text{Per } \Lambda$ (see [16] or [12, proposition 3.1]). The trace simplex of $C^*(\text{Per } \Lambda, \sigma_c)$ is canonically isomorphic to the state space of the commutative subalgebra $C^*(Z_{\omega_c})$ of the centre of the bicharacter ω_c (see Lemma 2.1). Conjugation in the line-bundle associated to σ_c determines an action of the quotient \mathcal{H}_Λ of \mathcal{G}_Λ by the interior of its isotropy on $\Lambda^\infty \times \widehat{Z}_{\omega_c}$. Kumjian, Pask and the second author showed that $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ is simple if and only if this action is minimal. Here we prove that the KMS states of $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ are parameterised by M -measurable fields of traces on $C^*(Z_{\omega_c})$ that are invariant for the same action of \mathcal{H}_Λ . Unfortunately, however, we have been unable to prove that minimality of the action implies that it admits a unique invariant field of traces.

We begin with a section on preliminaries. We show if σ is a 2-cocycle on a finitely generated free abelian group, and if Z_ω is the centre of the corresponding antisymmetric bicharacter, then the trace spaces of $C^*(P, \omega)$ and $C^*(Z_\omega)$ are isomorphic. In Section 3, we prove our main theorems about the KMS states on the cross-sectional algebra of a Fell bundle. In Section 4, we construct a Fell bundle from a cocycle on a groupoid, and use our results in Section 3 to obtain a twisted version of Neshveyev’s results in [15]. Section 5 contains our results about the preferred dynamics on the twisted C^* -algebras of k -graphs. We finish off by posing the question whether simplicity of $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ implies that it admits a unique KMS state.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{T} is regarded as a multiplicative group with identity 1.

2.1. Groupoids

Let \mathcal{G} be a locally compact second countable Hausdorff groupoid (see [17]). For each $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we write $\mathcal{G}^x = r^{-1}(x)$, $\mathcal{G}_x = s^{-1}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}_x^x = \mathcal{G}_x \cap \mathcal{G}^x$. The set $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}) := \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}_x^x$ is called the *isotropy* of \mathcal{G} , and the groups \mathcal{G}_x^x are called the *isotropy subgroups* of \mathcal{G} . We say \mathcal{G} is étale if r and s are local homeomorphisms. A bisection of \mathcal{G} is an open subset U of \mathcal{G} such that $r|_U$ and $s|_U$ are homeomorphisms.

A continuous \mathbb{T} -valued 2-cocycle σ on \mathcal{G} is a continuous function $\sigma : \mathcal{G}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $\sigma(r(\gamma), \gamma) = \sigma(\gamma, s(\gamma)) = 1$ for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\sigma(\alpha, \beta)\sigma(\alpha\beta, \gamma) = \sigma(\beta, \gamma)\sigma(\alpha, \beta\gamma)$ for all composable triples (α, β, γ) . We write $Z^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{T})$ for the group of all continuous \mathbb{T} -valued 2-cocycles on \mathcal{G} . Let $b : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be a continuous function such that $b(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. The function $\delta^1 b : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ given by $\delta^1 b(\gamma, \alpha) = b(\gamma)b(\alpha)\overline{b(\gamma\alpha)}$ is a continuous 2-cocycle and is called the 2-coboundary associated to b . If b is continuous, then $\delta^1 b$ is a \mathbb{T} -valued 2-cocycle on \mathcal{G} . Two continuous \mathbb{T} -valued 2-cocycles σ, σ' are *cohomologous* if $\sigma'\overline{\sigma} = \delta^1 b$ for some continuous b . A continuous \mathbb{R} -valued 1-cocycle D on \mathcal{G} is a continuous homomorphism from D to \mathbb{R} .

Given $\sigma \in Z^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{T})$, the space $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ is a $*$ -algebra with the involution and multiplication defined by

$$f^*(\gamma) := \overline{\sigma(\gamma, \gamma^{-1})f(\gamma^{-1})} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(fg)(\gamma) := \sum_{\alpha\beta=\gamma} \sigma(\alpha, \beta)f(\alpha)g(\beta).$$

We denote this $*$ -algebra by $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$. The formula

$$\|f\|_I := \max \left(\sup_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}^x} |f(\lambda)|, \sup_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_x} |f(\lambda)| \right)$$

determines a norm on $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$. By a $*$ -representation of $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$, we mean a $*$ -homomorphism from $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$ to the bounded operators on a Hilbert space. The twisted groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$ is the completion of $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$ in the universal norm

$$\|f\| := \sup\{\|L(f)\| : L \text{ is a } * \text{-representation of } C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)\}.$$

A measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is called *quasi-invariant* if the measures ν, ν^{-1} on \mathcal{G} given by

$$\int f \, d\nu = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}^x} f(\gamma) \, d\mu(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int f \, d\nu^{-1} = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_x} f(\gamma) \, d\mu(x)$$

are equivalent. We write $\Delta_\mu := d\nu/d\nu^{-1}$ for the Radon–Nikodym derivative of ν with respect to ν^{-1} . We will call Δ_μ the *Radon–Nikodym cocycle* of μ . Given a bisection U and $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, let $U^x := U \cap r^{-1}(x)$. Define $T_U : r(U) \rightarrow s(U)$ by $T(x) = s(U^x)$. To see that a measure μ is quasi-invariant it suffices to show that

$$\int_{r(U)} f(T_U(x)) \, d\mu(x) = \int_{s(U)} f(x) \Delta_\mu(U_x) \, d\mu(x)$$

for all bisections U and all $f : s(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

2.2. *Fell bundles*

Let C, D be C^* -algebras. A C – D bimodule Y is said to be a C – D -imprimitivity bimodule if it is a full left Hilbert C -module and a full right Hilbert D -module, and for all $y, y', y'' \in Y, c \in C$ and $d \in D$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c \langle y \cdot d, y' \rangle &= c \langle y, y' \cdot d^* \rangle, & \langle c \cdot y, y' \rangle_D &= \langle y, c^* \cdot y' \rangle_D \quad \text{and} \\ c \langle y, y' \rangle \cdot y'' &= y \cdot \langle y', y'' \rangle_D. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let \mathcal{G} be a locally compact second countable Hausdorff étale groupoid. Suppose that $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a separable upper-semicontinuous Banach bundle over \mathcal{G} (see [14, definition A.1]). Let

$$\mathcal{B}^{(2)} := \{(a, b) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} : (p(a), p(b)) \in \mathcal{G}^{(2)}\}.$$

Following [14], we say \mathcal{B} is a *Fell bundle* over \mathcal{G} if there is a continuous involution $a \mapsto a^* : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and a continuous bilinear associative multiplication $(a, b) \mapsto ab : \mathcal{B}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that:

- (F1) $p(ab) = p(a)p(b)$;
- (F2) $p(a^*) = p(a)^{-1}$;
- (F3) $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$;
- (F4) for each $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, the fibre $B(x)$ is a C^* -algebra with respect to the $*$ -algebra structure given by the above involution and multiplication; and

(F5) for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$, $B(\gamma)$ is a $B(r(\gamma))$ – $B(s(\gamma))$ -imprimitivity bimodule with actions induced by the multiplication and the inner products

$${}_{B(r(\gamma))}\langle a, b \rangle = ab^* \text{ and } \langle a, b \rangle_{B(s(\gamma))} = a^*b. \tag{2.2}$$

For $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we often write $A(x)$ for the fibre $B(x)$ to emphasise that these fibres are C^* -algebras between which the various B_γ are imprimitivity bimodules. Given a Fell bundle \mathcal{B} over \mathcal{G} , we say the fibre $B(\gamma)$ is *singly generated* if there exists an element $\mathbb{1}_\gamma \in B(\gamma)$ such that

$${}_{A(r(\gamma))}\langle \mathbb{1}_\gamma, \mathbb{1}_\gamma \rangle = \mathbb{1}_\gamma \mathbb{1}_\gamma^* = 1_{A(r(\gamma))}, \quad \langle \mathbb{1}_\gamma, \mathbb{1}_\gamma \rangle_{A(s(\gamma))} = \mathbb{1}_\gamma^* \mathbb{1}_\gamma = 1_{A(s(\gamma))} \text{ and} \\ B(\gamma) = A(r(\gamma))\mathbb{1}_\gamma = \mathbb{1}_\gamma A(s(\gamma)).$$

In particular, for $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, the fibre $A(x)$ is singly generated if and only if it is a unital C^* -algebra, and we can then take $\mathbb{1}_x = 1_{A(x)}$.

A continuous function $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a *section* if $p \circ f$ is the identity map on \mathcal{G} . A section f *vanishes at infinity* if the set $\{\gamma \in \mathcal{G} : \|f(\gamma)\| \geq \epsilon\}$ is compact for all $\epsilon > 0$. We write $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ for the completion of the set of sections which vanishes at infinity with respect to the norm $\|f\| := \sup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \|f(\gamma)\|$. The space $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ is a Banach space (see for example [21, proposition C.23]).

A Fell bundle \mathcal{B} over \mathcal{G} has *enough sections* if for every $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ and $a \in \mathcal{B}(\gamma)$, there is a section f such that $f(\gamma) = a$. If \mathcal{G} is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ has enough sections, see [5, appendix C].

The space $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ of compactly supported continuous sections is a $*$ -algebra with involution and multiplication given by

$$f^*(\gamma) := f(\gamma^{-1})^* \text{ and} \tag{2.3}$$

$$f * g(\gamma) := \sum_{\alpha\beta=\gamma} f(\alpha)g(\beta) \text{ for } f, g \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B}). \tag{2.4}$$

The I -norm on $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ is given by

$$\|f\|_I := \max \left(\sup_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}^x} \|f(\lambda)\|, \sup_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{G}_x} \|f(\lambda)\| \right).$$

A $*$ -homomorphism $L : \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_L)$ is an *I -norm decreasing representation* if $\overline{\text{span}}\{L(f)\xi : f \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B}), \xi \in \mathcal{H}_L = \mathcal{H}_L\}$ and if $\|L(f)\| \leq \|f\|_I$ for all $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$. The *universal C^* -norm* on $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ is

$$\|f\| := \sup\{\|L(f)\| : L \text{ is an } I\text{-norm decreasing representation}\}$$

and $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ is the completion of $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ with respect to the universal norm.

Let \mathcal{F} be a closed subgroupoid of \mathcal{G} . Then $\mathcal{B}|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Fell bundle over \mathcal{F} . We write $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B})$ in place of $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B}|_{\mathcal{F}})$ and we denote the completion $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{B})$ in the universal norm by $C^*(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B})$.

Suppose that each fibre in \mathcal{B} is singly generated. Fix $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. For $u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $a \in B(u)$, let $a \cdot \delta_u \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}_x^x; \mathcal{B})$ be the section given by

$$a \cdot \delta_u(v) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } u = v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B}) = \overline{\text{span}}\{a \cdot \delta_u : u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x, a \in B(u)\}.$$

In particular $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ is a unital C^* -algebra with $1_{C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})} = \mathbb{1}_x \cdot \delta_x$.

2.3. *Representations of Fell bundles and the Disintegration Theorem*

Let $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a Fell bundle over a locally compact second countable Hausdorff étale groupoid \mathcal{G} . Suppose that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}$ is a Borel Hilbert bundle over $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ as in [21, definition F.1]. Let

$$\text{End}(\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}) := \{(x, T, y) : x, y \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}, T \in B(\mathcal{H}(y), \mathcal{H}(x))\}.$$

Following [14, definition 4.5], we say a map $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H})$ is a **-functor* if each $\hat{\pi}(a)$ has the form $\hat{\pi}(a) = (r(p(a)), \pi(a), s(p(a)))$ for some $\pi(a) : \mathcal{H}(s(p(a))) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(r(p(a)))$ such that the maps $\pi(a)$ collectively satisfy:

- (S1) $\pi(\lambda a + b) = \lambda \pi(a) + \pi(b)$ if $p(a) = p(b)$;
- (S2) $\pi(ab) = \pi(b)\pi(a)$ whenever $(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}^{(2)}$; and
- (S3) $\pi(a^*) = \pi(a)^*$.

A *strict representation* of \mathcal{B} is a triple $(\mu, \mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, \hat{\pi})$ consisting of a quasi-invariant measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, a Borel Hilbert bundle $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}$ and a *-functor $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H})$. For such a triple, we write $L^2(\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, \mu)$ for the completion of the set of all Borel sections $f : \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}$ with $\int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \langle f(x), f(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(x)} d\mu(x) < \infty$ with respect to

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, \mu)} = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(x)} d\mu(x).$$

Let $\Delta_\mu(u)$ be the Radon–Nikodym cocycle for μ . Given a strict representation $(\mu, \mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, \hat{\pi})$, proposition 4.10 of [14] gives an I -norm bounded *-homomorphism L on $L^2(\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, \mu)$ such that

$$(L(f)\xi | \eta) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}^x} (\pi(f(u))\xi(s(u)) | \eta(r(u))) \Delta_\mu(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\mu(x). \tag{2.5}$$

We call L the *integrated form* of π . The Disintegration Theorem [14, theorem 4.13] shows that every nondegenerate representation M of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ is equivalent to the integrated form of a strict representation.

2.4. *Cocycles and bicharacters on groups*

Let F be an abelian group. Viewing F as a groupoid with the discrete topology, we write $Z^2(F, \mathbb{T})$ for the set of \mathbb{T} -valued 2-cocycles on F . Given $\sigma \in Z^2(F, \mathbb{T})$, define $\sigma^*(p, q) = \overline{\sigma(q, p)}$. Proposition 3.2 of [16] implies that $\sigma, \sigma' \in Z^2(F, \mathbb{T})$ are cohomologous if and only if $\sigma\sigma^* = \sigma'\sigma'^*$.

Given $\sigma \in Z^2(F, \mathbb{T})$, the C^* -algebra $C^*(F, \sigma)$ is the universal C^* -algebra generated by unitaries $\{W_p : p \in F\}$ satisfying $W_p W_q = \sigma(p, q)W_{pq}$ for all $p, q \in F$. A standard argument shows that if σ and σ' are cohomologous in $Z^2(F, \mathbb{T})$, say $\sigma = \delta^1 b \sigma'$, then the map $W_p \mapsto b(p)W_p$ descends to an isomorphism from $C^*(F, \sigma)$ onto $C^*(F, \sigma')$, see for example [19, proposition 3.5].

A bicharacter on F is a function $\omega : F \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that the functions $\omega(\cdot, p)$ and $\omega(q, \cdot)$ are homomorphisms. A bicharacter ω is *antisymmetric* if $\omega(p, q) = \overline{\omega(q, p)}$. Each bicharacter is a \mathbb{T} -valued 2-cocycle. If F is a free abelian finitely generated group, then [16, proposition 3.2] shows that every \mathbb{T} -valued 2-cocycle σ on F is cohomologous to a bicharacter: Let q_1, \dots, q_t be the generators of F . Define a bicharacter $\omega : F \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ on generators by

$$\omega(q_i, q_j) = \begin{cases} \sigma(q_i, q_j) \overline{\sigma(q_j, q_i)} & \text{if } i > j \\ 1 & \text{if } i \leq j. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Then $\omega\omega^* = \sigma\sigma^*$ and by [16, proposition 3.2], ω is cohomologous to σ .

Given $\sigma \in Z^2(F, \mathbb{T})$, the map $p \mapsto (\sigma\sigma^*)(p, \cdot)$ is a homomorphism from F into the character space of F . Let

$$Z_\sigma := \{p \in F : \sigma\sigma^*(p, q) = 1 \text{ for all } q \in F\}$$

be the kernel of the this homomorphism, so Z_σ is a subgroup of F . If ω is a bicharacter cohomologous to σ , then $Z_\omega = Z_\sigma$.

Given a unital C^* -algebra A , we write $\text{Tr}(A)$ for the simplex of tracial states of A .

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that F is a finitely generated free abelian group. Let $\sigma \in Z^2(F, \mathbb{T})$ and let ω be the bicharacter defined in (2.6). Then*

$$\text{Tr}(C^*(F, \sigma)) \cong \text{Tr}(C^*(F, \omega)) \cong \text{Tr}(C^*(Z_\omega)) \cong \text{Tr}(C^*(Z_\sigma)).$$

Proof. The first and third isomorphisms are clear. So we prove the second isomorphism. We first claim that for every $\psi \in \text{Tr}(C^*(F, \omega))$, we have

$$\psi(W_p) = 0 \text{ for all } p \notin Z_\omega.$$

To see this, fix $p \notin Z_\omega$. There exists at least one generator $q_i \in F$ such that $(\omega\omega^*)(p, q_i) \neq 1$. Since ψ is a trace and ω is a bicharacter, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(W_p) &= \psi(W_{q_i}^* W_p W_{q_i}) = \omega(p, q_i) \omega(q_i^{-1}, pq_i) \psi(W_p) \\ &= \omega(p, q_i) \omega(q_i^{-1}, p) \omega(q_i^{-1}, q_i) \psi(W_p) \\ &= \omega(p, q_i) \overline{\omega(q_i, p)} \omega(q_i^{-1}, q_i) \psi(W_p) \\ &= (\omega\omega^*)(q_i, p) \omega(q_i^{-1}, q_i) \psi(W_p). \end{aligned}$$

The formula (2.6) for ω says that $\omega(q_i^{-1}, q_i) = 1$. Since $(\omega\omega^*)(q_i, p) \neq 1$, the above computation shows that $\psi(W_p) = 0$.

Next define a linear map $\Upsilon : C^*(F, \omega) \rightarrow C^*(Z_\omega)$ on generators by

$$\Upsilon(W_p) = \begin{cases} W_p & \text{if } p \in Z_\omega \\ 0 & \text{if } p \notin Z_\omega. \end{cases}$$

This induces a map $\Phi : \text{Tr}(C^*(Z_\omega)) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(C^*(F, \omega))$ by $\Phi(\psi) = \psi \circ \Upsilon$. The map Φ is clearly a continuous and affine map. The embedding $\iota : C^*(Z_\omega) \rightarrow C^*(F, \omega)$ induces a map $\tilde{\iota} : \text{Tr}(C^*(F, \omega)) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(C^*(Z_\omega))$ with $\tilde{\iota}(\psi) = \psi \circ \iota$. A quick computation shows that $\tilde{\iota}$ and Φ are inverses of each other and therefore Φ is an isomorphism.

2.5. *KMS states*

Let τ be an action of \mathbb{R} by automorphisms of a C^* -algebra A . We say an element $a \in A$ is *analytic* if the map $t \mapsto \alpha_t(a)$ is the restriction of an analytic function $z \mapsto \alpha_z(a)$ on \mathbb{C} . Following [2, 6, 15], for $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, we say that a state ψ of A is a *KMS $_\beta$ state* (or *KMS state at inverse temperature β*) if $\psi(ab) = \psi(b\alpha_{i\beta}(a))$ for all analytic elements a, b . It suffices to check this condition (the *KMS condition*) on a set of analytic elements that span a dense subalgebra of A . By [2, propositions 5.3.3], all *KMS $_\beta$ states* for $\beta \neq 0$ are τ -invariant in the sense that $\psi(\tau_t(a)) = \psi(a)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in A$. For $\beta = 0$, the *KMS condition* reduces to the *tracial condition* $\phi(ab) = \phi(ba)$, but does not automatically imply τ -invariance. We *define* the *KMS $_0$ states* for τ to be the τ -invariant traces of A .

3. *KMS states on the C^* -algebras of Fell bundles*

In [15, theorems 1.1 and 1.3], Neshveyev described the *KMS states* of C^* -algebras of locally compact second-countable Hausdorff étale groupoids. Here, we generalise his results to the C^* -algebras of Fell bundles over groupoids. Our proof follows Neshveyev’s closely.

Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. A μ -*measurable field of states* is a collection $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ of states ψ_x on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ such that for every $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ the function $x \mapsto \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x(f(u) \cdot \delta_u) : \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is μ -measurable. Given a μ -measurable field $\Psi := \{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ of states we define

$$[\Psi]_\mu = \left\{ \varphi : \varphi \text{ is a } \mu\text{-measurable field of states and } \varphi_x = \psi_x \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \right\}.$$

Given a state ψ on a C^* -algebra A , the *centraliser* of ψ is the set of all elements $a \in A$ such that

$$\psi(ab) = \psi(ba) \text{ for all } b \in A.$$

We say that ψ *centralises* $a \in A$ if a belongs to the centraliser of ψ ; we say that ψ *centralises* a subalgebra A_0 of A if it centralises every element of A_0 .

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a Fell bundle with singly generated fibres over a locally compact second-countable Hausdorff étale groupoid \mathcal{G} .*

- (i) *Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and let $\Psi := \{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ be a μ -measurable field of states $\psi_x : C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ such that each ψ_x centralises $A(x)$. Then the formula*

$$f \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \psi_x(f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x(f(u) \cdot \delta_u) d\mu(x) \tag{3.1}$$

extends to a state $\Theta(\mu, \Psi)$ of $C^(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ that centralises $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$.*

- (ii) *States $\Theta(\mu, \Psi)$ and $\Theta(\nu, \Psi')$ obtained from part (i) are equal if and only if $\mu = \nu$ and $[\Psi]_\mu = [\Psi']_\mu$.*
- (iii) *The map Θ of part (i) is a surjection onto the space of states of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ that centralise $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}, \mathcal{B})$.*

The following lemma will establish part (ii) of Theorem 3.1.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a Fell bundle with singly generated fibres over a locally compact second countable Hausdorff étale groupoid \mathcal{G} . If μ is a probability measure on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and $\Psi := \{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ and $\Psi' := \{\psi'_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ are μ -measurable fields of states such that*

ψ_x and ψ'_x centralise $A(x)$ for each x , and such that $\psi_x = \psi'_x$ for μ -almost every x , then the functions $\Theta(\mu, \Psi)$ and $\Theta(\mu, \Psi')$ given by (3.1) agree. If ψ is a state of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ that centralises $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$, then there is at most one pair $(\mu, [\Psi]_\mu)$ consisting of a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and a μ -equivalence class $[\Psi]_\mu$ of μ -measurable fields of states on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ such that $\Theta(\mu, \Psi) = \psi$.

Proof. The first statement is immediate from the definition of μ -equivalence.

Now fix a state ψ of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ that centralises $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$. Suppose that μ, μ' are probability measures on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and that $\Psi = \{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ and $\Psi' = \{\psi'_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ are μ -measurable fields of states satisfying $\Theta(\mu, \Psi) = \psi = \Theta(\mu', \Psi')$. For each $f \in C_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$, there is a section $\tilde{f} \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ such that

$$\tilde{f}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} f(x)\mathbb{1}_x & \text{if } \gamma = x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma \notin \mathcal{G}^{(0)}. \end{cases}$$

So (3.1), shows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \psi_x(\tilde{f}(x)) d\mu(x) = \psi(\tilde{f}) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \psi'_x(\tilde{f}(x)) d\mu'(x).$$

Since each $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)\mathbb{1}_x$, and since each ψ_x and each ψ'_x is a state, we have $\psi_x(\tilde{f}(x)) = f(x) = \psi'_x(\tilde{f}(x))$ for all x . Hence $\int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} f d\mu = \psi(f) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} f d\mu'$. So the Riesz Representation Theorem shows that $\mu = \mu'$.

To see that ψ and ψ' agree μ -almost everywhere, we suppose to the contrary that $\psi_x \neq \psi'_x$ for all x in some set $V \subseteq \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ with $\mu(V) \neq 0$ and derive a contradiction. Since \mathcal{B} has enough sections, there is a countable family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Gamma_c(\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}); \mathcal{B})$ such that for each $\gamma \in \text{Iso}(\mathcal{G})$, we have $\overline{\text{span}}\{f(\gamma) : f \in \mathcal{F}\} = \mathcal{B}(\gamma)$. So there is at least one $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $V' \subseteq V$ of nonzero measure such that

$$\psi(f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x(f(u) \cdot \delta_u) \neq \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi'_x(f(u) \cdot \delta_u) = \psi'(f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}) \quad \text{for all } x \in V'.$$

For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $V'_l := \{x \in V' : |\psi_x(f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}) - \psi'_x(f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x})| > \frac{1}{l}\}$. So there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(V'_l) > 0$. Now for $0 \leq j \leq 3$, let

$$V'_{l,j} := \left\{ x \in V'_l : \text{Arg}(\psi_x(f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}) - \psi'_x(f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x})) \in \left[j\frac{\pi}{2}, (j+1)\frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right\}.$$

Then there is j such that $\mu(V'_{l,j}) > 0$. Then

$$\Re \left(e^{-i\frac{(2j-1)\pi}{4}} \int_{V'_{l,j}} (\psi_x(f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}) - \psi'_x(f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x})) d\mu(x) \right) \geq \mu(V'_{l,j}) \frac{1}{l\sqrt{2}} > 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. (i) Fix a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and a μ -measurable field $\Psi = \{\psi_x\}$ of states $\psi_x : C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that each ψ_x centralises $A(x)$. For each $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, define $\varphi_x : \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\varphi_x(f) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x(f(u) \cdot \delta_u).$$

Since ψ_x is a state, φ_x is norm-decreasing, and so extends to $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$. We claim that each φ_x is a state of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ that centralises $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$. For this, fix $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and let (H_x, π_x, ζ_x) be the GNS-triple corresponding to ψ_x . Let $Y(x)$ be the closure of $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}_x; \mathcal{B})$ under the $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ -valued pre-inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = f^* * g.$$

Then $Y(x)$ is a right Hilbert $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ -module with right action determined by multiplication (see [18, lemma 2.16]). Also $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ acts by adjointable operators on $Y(x)$ by multiplication. By [18, proposition 2.66] there is a representation $Y(x)$ -Ind(π_x): $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(Y(x) \otimes_{C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})} H_x)$ such that

$$Y(x)\text{-Ind}(\pi_x)(f)(g \otimes k) = (f * g) \otimes k.$$

We define $\theta_x := Y(x)\text{-Ind}(\pi_x)$. Let $h_x = \mathbb{1}_x \delta_x = 1_{C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})}$. We take $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ and compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_x(f)(h_x \otimes \zeta_x) \mid (h_x \otimes \zeta_x)) &= ((f * h_x) \otimes \zeta_x \mid h_x \otimes \zeta_x) \\ &= (\pi_x(\langle h_x, f * h_x \rangle) \zeta_x \mid \zeta_x) \\ &= \psi_x(\langle h_x, f * h_x \rangle). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

For each $u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$, we have

$$\langle h_x, f * h_x \rangle(u) = \langle h_x^* * f * h_x \rangle(u) = \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma=u} h_x(\alpha^{-1})^* f(\beta) h_x(\gamma).$$

Each summand vanishes unless $\alpha^{-1} = \gamma = x$ and $\beta = u$. Therefore

$$\langle h_x, f * h_x \rangle(u) = \mathbb{1}_x^* f(u) \mathbb{1}_x = f(u),$$

and hence $\langle h_x, f * h_x \rangle = f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}$. Putting this in (3.2), we get

$$(\theta_x(f)(h_x \otimes \zeta_x) \mid (h_x \otimes \zeta_x)) = \psi_x(f|_{\mathcal{G}_x^x}) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x(f(u) \cdot \delta_u) = \varphi_x(f).$$

Also since $\langle h_x, h_x \rangle = \mathbb{1}_x \cdot \delta_x$,

$$\|h_x \otimes \zeta_x\| = (h_x \otimes \zeta_x \mid h_x \otimes \zeta_x) = (\pi_x \langle h_x, h_x \rangle \zeta_x \mid \zeta_x) = \psi_x(\langle h_x, h_x \rangle) = \psi_x(\mathbb{1}_x \cdot \delta_x) = 1.$$

Now since $f \mapsto (\theta_x(f)(h_x \otimes \zeta_x) \mid (h_x \otimes \zeta_x))$ is a state, φ_x is a state as well.

To see that φ_x centralises $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$, fix $f \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$ and $g \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$. Using at the second equality that $f(v) = 0$ for $v \in \mathcal{G}_x^x \setminus \{x\}$, and at the third equality that ψ_x centralises $f(x) \cdot \delta_x \in A(x)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_x(f * g) &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x((f * g)(u) \cdot \delta_u) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x((f(x) \cdot \delta_x)(g(u) \cdot \delta_u)) \\ &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x((g(u) \cdot \delta_u)(f(x) \cdot \delta_x)) = \varphi_x(g * f). \end{aligned}$$

We have now proved that φ_x is a state of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ that centralises $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$ as claimed.

Since $x \mapsto \varphi_x(b)$ from $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ to \mathbb{C} is μ -measurable for each $b \in C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$, there is a positive functional $\psi : C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\psi(b) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \varphi_x(b) d\mu(x)$. Since μ is a probability

measure and each φ_x is a state, ψ is a state of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$. This ψ is given by (3.1) by construction, and it centralises $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$ because each φ_x does.

(ii) The first assertion of Lemma 3.2 gives the “if” implication. Part (1) shows that each $\psi = \Theta(\mu, \Psi)$ is a state that centralises $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$, and so the second assertion of Lemma 3.2 gives the “only if” implication.

(iii) Fix a state ψ of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ that centralises $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$. We must construct a pair (μ, Ψ) as in (i) such that $\psi = \Theta(\mu, \Psi)$. Let (H, L, ξ) be the GNS-triple corresponding to ψ . Applying the Disintegration Theorem (see [14, theorem 4.13]) gives a strict representation $(\lambda, \mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, \hat{\pi})$ of \mathcal{B} such that L is the integrated form of π on $L^2(\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, \lambda)$. By [14, lemma 5.22], there is a unitary isomorphism from H onto $L^2(\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, \lambda)$. We identify H with $L^2(\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}, \lambda)$ and view ξ as a section of the bundle $\mathcal{G}^{(0)} * \mathcal{H}$. Let μ be the measure on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ given by $d\mu(x) := \|\xi(x)\|^2 d\lambda(x)$. For each $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, define $\psi_x : C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi_x(a \cdot \delta_u) = \|\xi(x)\|^{-2} (\pi(a)\xi(x), \xi(x)), \tag{3.3}$$

where $u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $a \in B(u)$. We first show that ψ_x is a state on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$.

Fix $u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $a \in B(u)$. A computation using the multiplication and the involution formulas (2.4) and (2.3) shows that for $v \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $b \in B(u)$ we have

$$(a \cdot \delta_u) * (b \cdot \delta_v) = ab \cdot \delta_{uv} \text{ and } (a \cdot \delta_u)^* = a^* \cdot \delta_{u^{-1}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore using (S1) and (S2) at the final equality we see that

$$\psi_x((a \cdot \delta_u) * (a \cdot \delta_u)^*) = \psi_x(aa^* \cdot \delta_{uu^{-1}}) = \|\xi(x)\|^{-2} (\pi(aa^*)\xi(x) | \xi(x)) \geq 0.$$

Since $\hat{\pi}$ is a $*$ -functor, (S1)–(S3) imply that $\pi(\mathbb{1}_x) = 1_{B(\mathcal{H}(x))}$. Now the computation

$$\psi_x(\mathbb{1}_x \cdot \delta_x) = \|\xi(x)\|^{-2} (\pi(\mathbb{1}_x)\xi(x) | \xi(x)) = 1$$

implies that ψ_x is a state on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$.

We claim that the pair $(\mu, \{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}})$ satisfies the equation (3.1). By (2.5) for all $f \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ we have

$$\psi(f) = (L(f)\xi | \xi) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}^x} (\pi(f(u))\xi(s(u)) | \xi(x)) \Delta_\lambda(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda(x). \tag{3.5}$$

To prove (3.1), it suffices to show that for λ -almost every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ we have

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}^x \setminus \mathcal{G}_x^x} (\pi(f(u))\xi(s(u)) | \xi(x)) \Delta_\lambda(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0.$$

Equivalently, it suffices to show that for λ -almost every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, for each bisection $U \subseteq \mathcal{G} \setminus \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}_x^x$ such that $u \in \mathcal{G}^x \cap U$, and for each $a \in B(u)$, we have

$$(\pi(a)\xi(s(u)) | \xi(x)) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Fix a bisection $U \subseteq \mathcal{G} \setminus \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $g \in \Gamma_c(U; \mathcal{B})$ with $\text{supp } g \subseteq U$. Since $r(\gamma) \neq s(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \text{supp } g \subseteq U$, and since $\text{supp } g$ is compact, we can cover $\text{supp } g$ by finitely many open sets $U_i \subseteq U$ such that $r(U_i) \cap s(U_i) = \emptyset$. Choose $h_i \in C_0(r(U_i), [0, 1]) \subseteq \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$ such that $\sum_i h_i^2$ is identically 1 on $r(\text{supp } g)$. Then each $s((\text{supp } h_i)U) \cap \text{supp } h_i \subseteq r(U_i) \cap$

$s(U_i) = \emptyset$. Since each h_i is centralised by ψ , we then have

$$\psi(g) = \sum_i \psi(h_i^2 * g) = \sum_i (h_i * g * h_i) = 0.$$

Define $q : \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$q(x) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}^x} \overline{(\pi(g(u))\xi(s(u)) \mid \xi(x)) \Delta_\lambda(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Since $\psi(g) = 0$, we have $\psi(q(x)g) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Applying (3.5) for ψ together with (S1) for the $*$ -functor $\hat{\pi}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \psi(q(x)g) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} q(x) \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}^x} (\pi(g(u))\xi(s(u)) \mid \xi(x)) \Delta_\lambda(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \left| \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}^x} (\pi(g(u))\xi(s(u)) \mid \xi(x)) \Delta_\lambda(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right|^2 d\lambda(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Thus $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}^x} (\pi(g(u))\xi(s(u)) \mid \xi(x)) \Delta_\lambda(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ for λ -almost every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$.

Since \mathcal{B} has enough sections, we can fix a countable set $\{g_n\}$ of elements of $\Gamma_c(U; \mathcal{B})$ such that for each $u \in U$, the set $\{g_n(u) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a dense subset of $B(u)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$X_n := \left\{ x \in U : \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}^x \cap U} (\pi(g_n(u))\xi(s(u)) \mid \xi(x)) \neq 0 \right\} \text{ and let } X := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n.$$

Equation (3.7) implies that $\lambda(X) = 0$. For any $x \in r(U)$ the set $U \cap \mathcal{G}^x$ is a singleton; we write u^x for the unique element of $U \cap \mathcal{G}^x$. Then for $x \in U \setminus X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(\pi(g_n(u^x))\xi(s(u^x)) \mid \xi(x)) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}^x \cap U} (\pi(g_n(u))\xi(s(u)) \mid \xi(x)) = 0.$$

By choice of g_n , the set $\{g_n(u^x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a dense subset of $B(u^x)$. It follows that $(\pi(a)\xi(s(u^x)) \mid \xi(x)) = 0$ for all $a \in B(u^x)$, giving (3.6). So ψ is given by (3.1).

To see that each ψ_x centralises $A(x)$, note that since ψ centralises $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$, the formula (3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} (\pi((f * g)(u))\xi(x) \mid \xi(x)) \Delta_\lambda(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} (\pi((g * f)(u))\xi(x) \mid \xi(x)) \Delta_\lambda(u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $f, g \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$. Therefore for λ -almost every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we have

$$\sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} (\pi((f * g)(u))\xi(x) \mid \xi(x)) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} (\pi((g * f)(u))\xi(x) \mid \xi(x)). \tag{3.8}$$

Fix $a \in A(x)$, $v \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $b \in B(v)$ so that $a \cdot \delta_x$ and $b \cdot \delta_v$ are typical spanning elements of $A(x)$ and $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ respectively. Choose $f \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$ such that $f(x) = a$ and choose a bisection $V \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ containing v and an element $g \in \Gamma_c(V, \mathcal{B}) \subseteq \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ such that $g(x) = b$.

For this f, g the sums on both sides of (3.8) collapse and we get

$$(\pi(ab)\xi(x)|\xi(x)) = (\pi(ba)\xi(x)|\xi(x)) \text{ for } \lambda\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}.$$

Since $(a \cdot \delta_x) * (b \cdot \delta_v) = ab \cdot \delta_v$, the formula (3.3) for ψ_x implies that

$$\psi_x((a \cdot \delta_x) * (b \cdot \delta_v)) = \psi_x((b \cdot \delta_v) * (a \cdot \delta_x)).$$

Thus ψ_x centralises $A(x)$.

Definition 3.3. Theorem 3.1(ii) allows us to use the map Θ of Theorem 3.1(i) to define a map $\tilde{\Theta}$ from the collection of pairs (μ, C) consisting of a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and a μ -equivalence class of fields of states of the $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ centralising the $A(x)$; specifically,

$$\tilde{\Theta}(\mu, [\Psi]_\mu) = \Theta(\mu, \Psi) \text{ for all } (\mu, \Psi).$$

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a Fell bundle with singly generated fibres over a locally compact second countable Hausdorff étale groupoid \mathcal{G} . Suppose that $\gamma \mapsto \mathbb{1}_\gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is continuous. Let D be a continuous \mathbb{R} -valued 1-cocycle on \mathcal{G} and let τ be the dynamics on $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ given by $\tau_t(f)(\gamma) = e^{itD(\gamma)} f(\gamma)$. Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\tilde{\Theta}$ restricts to a bijection between the simplex of KMS_β states of $(C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}), \tau)$ and the pairs $(\mu, [\Psi]_\mu)$ as in Definition 3.3 such that.*

- (i) μ is a quasi-invariant measure with Radon–Nikodym cocycle $e^{-\beta D}$; and
- (ii) for μ -almost every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we have

$$\psi_{s(\eta)}(a \cdot \delta_u) = \psi_{r(\eta)}((\mathbb{1}_\eta a \mathbb{1}_\eta^*) \cdot \delta_{\eta u \eta^{-1}}) \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x, a \in B(u) \text{ and } \eta \in \mathcal{G}_x.$$

Remark 3.5. In principal, the condition in Theorem 3.4(ii) depends on the particular representative $\Psi = \{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ of the μ -equivalence class $[\Psi]_\mu$. But if $\Psi = \{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ and $\Psi' = \{\psi'_x\}$ represent the same equivalence class, then $\psi_x = \psi'_x$ for μ -almost every x , and so Ψ satisfies (ii) if and only if Ψ' does.

Before starting the proof, we establish some notation. Let U be a bisection. For each $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we write $U^x := r^{-1}(x) \cap U$ and $U_x := s^{-1}(x) \cap U$. The maps $x \mapsto U^x : r(U) \rightarrow U$ and $x \mapsto U_x : s(U) \rightarrow U$ are homeomorphisms and we can view them as the inverses of r and s respectively. We also write $T_U : r(U) \rightarrow s(U)$ for the homeomorphism given by $T_U(x) = s(U^x)$.

Proof. Suppose that ψ is a KMS_β state on $(C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}), \tau)$. Since $D|_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} = 0$, the KMS_β condition implies that $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}; \mathcal{B})$ is contained in the centraliser of ψ . By Theorem 3.1 there is a pair $(\mu, [\Psi]_\mu)$, consisting of a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and a μ -equivalence class $[\Psi]_\mu$ of μ -measurable fields of states ψ_x on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ that centralise the $A(x)$, that satisfies (3.1). We claim that μ and $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ satisfy (i) and (ii).

First note that for a bisection U , $f \in \Gamma_c(U; \mathcal{B})$ and $g \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$, the multiplication formula in $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ implies that

$$f * g(\gamma) = \sum_{\alpha\beta=\gamma} f(\alpha)g(\beta) = \begin{cases} f(U^x)g((U^x)^{-1}\gamma) & \text{if } x = r(\gamma) \in r(U) \\ 0 & \text{if } r(\gamma) \notin r(U). \end{cases}$$

Similarly

$$g * \tau_{i\beta}(f)(\gamma) = \begin{cases} e^{-\beta D(U_x)} g(\gamma(U_x)^{-1}) f(U_x) & \text{if } x = s(\gamma) \in s(U) \\ 0 & \text{if } s(\gamma) \notin s(U). \end{cases}$$

Since ψ is a KMS_β state, we have $\psi(f * g) = \psi(g * \tau_{i\beta}(f))$. Formula (3.1) for ψ gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r(U)} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x(f(U^x)g((U^x)^{-1}u) \cdot \delta_u) d\mu(x) \\ = \int_{s(U)} e^{-\beta D(U_x)} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x(g(u(U_x)^{-1})f(U_x) \cdot \delta_u) d\mu(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

To see (i), fix a bisection U and let $q \in C_c(s(U))$. Since \mathcal{B} has enough sections, we can define $h : U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by $h(\gamma) = q(s(\gamma))\mathbb{1}_\gamma$. Since $\gamma \mapsto \mathbb{1}_\gamma$ is continuous, h extends to a continuous section \tilde{h} on \mathcal{G} . Now we apply (3.9) with $f := \tilde{h}$ and $g := \tilde{h}^*$. The sums in both sides collapse to the single term $u = x$. Since $U^x = U_{T_U(x)}$, we have

$$\int_{r(U)} \psi_x(|q(T_U(x))|^2 \mathbb{1}_x \mathbb{1}_x^* \cdot \delta_x) d\mu(x) = \int_{s(U)} e^{-\beta D(U_x)} \psi_x(|q(x)|^2 \mathbb{1}_x \mathbb{1}_x^* \cdot \delta_x) d\mu(x).$$

Note that $(\lambda a) \cdot \delta = \lambda(a \cdot \delta)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{1}_x \mathbb{1}_x^* = \mathbb{1}_{A(x)} = \mathbb{1}_x$. Since $\mathbb{1}_x \cdot \delta_x = 1_{C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})}$ and ψ_x is a state on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$, we have

$$\int_{r(U)} |q(T_U(x))|^2 d\mu(x) = \int_{s(U)} e^{-\beta D(U_x)} |q(x)|^2 d\mu(x).$$

Thus μ is a quasi-invariant measure with Radon–Nikodym cocycle $e^{-\beta D}$.

For (ii), let $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, $u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$, $a \in B(u)$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{G}_x$. Let $\tilde{a} \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}_x; \mathcal{B})$ such that \tilde{a} is supported in a bisection U and $\tilde{a}(u) = a$. Since U is a bisection, it follows that $\tilde{a}(v) = 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{G}_x \setminus \{u\}$. Fix a bisection V containing η such that $s(V) \subseteq s(U)$. Fix $q \in C_c(\mathcal{G}^{(0)})$ such that $q \equiv 1$ on a neighbourhood of x and $\text{supp } q \subseteq s(V)$. Define $h \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ by

$$h(\gamma) = \begin{cases} q(s(\gamma))\mathbb{1}_\gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in V \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since ψ is a KMS_β state, we have

$$\psi((\tilde{a} * h^*) * h) = \psi(h * \tau_{i\beta}(\tilde{a} * h^*)). \tag{3.10}$$

We compute both sides of (3.10). For the left-hand side, we first apply the formula (3.1) for ψ to get

$$\psi((\tilde{a} * h^*) * h) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{G}_y^y} \psi_y((\tilde{a} * h^*) * h)(v) \cdot \delta_v) d\mu(y). \tag{3.11}$$

Since h is supported on the bisection V , $h^* * h$ is supported on $s(V)$ and we have

$$(\tilde{a} * h^* * h)(v) = \sum_{\alpha\beta=v} \tilde{a}(\alpha)(h^* * h)(\beta) = \tilde{a}(v)(h^* * h)(s(v)).$$

Since \tilde{a} is supported in U ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{G}_y^y} \psi_y((\tilde{a} * h^* * h)(v) \cdot \delta_v) &= \sum_{v \in \mathcal{G}_y^y \cap U} \psi_y((\tilde{a}(v)(h^* * h)(s(v))) \cdot \delta_v) \\ &= \psi_y((\tilde{a}(U_y)(h^* * h)(y)) \cdot \delta_{U_y}). \end{aligned}$$

Putting this in (3.11) and applying the definition of h , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi((\tilde{a} * h^*) * h) &= \int_{s(V)} \psi_y((\tilde{a}(U_y)(h^* * h)(y)) \cdot \delta_{U_y}) d\mu(y) \\ &= \int_{s(V)} |q(y)|^2 \psi_y(\tilde{a}(U_y) \cdot \delta_{U_y}) d\mu(y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

For the right-hand side, we start by applying the formula (3.1) for ψ :

$$\psi(h * \tau_{i\beta}(\tilde{a} * h^*)) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{G}_z^z} \psi_z((h * \tau_{i\beta}(\tilde{a} * h^*))(w) \cdot \delta_w) d\mu(z).$$

Two applications of the multiplication formula in $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ give

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(h * \tau_{i\beta}(\tilde{a} * h^*)) &= \int_{r(V)} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{G}_z^z} \psi_z((h(V^z)\tau_{i\beta}(\tilde{a} * h^*)((V^z)^{-1}w)) \cdot \delta_w) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{r(V)} e^{-\beta D(U_{T_V(z)}(V^z)^{-1})} \psi_z((h(V^z)\tilde{a}(U_{T_V(z)})h(V^z)^*) \cdot \delta_{V^z U_{T_V(z)}(V^z)^{-1}}) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{r(V)} e^{-\beta D(U_{T_V(z)}(V^z)^{-1})} |q(T_V(z))|^2 \psi_z((\mathbb{1}_{V^z}\tilde{a}(U_{T_V(z)})\mathbb{1}_{V^z}^*) \cdot \delta_{V^z U_{T_V(z)}(V^z)^{-1}}) d\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since for each $z \in r(V)$, we have $V^z = V_{T_V(z)}$ and $z = r(V_{T_V(z)})$, the variable substitution $y = T_V(z)$ gives

$$\psi(h * \tau_{i\beta}(\tilde{a} * h^*)) = \int_{s(V)} |q(y)|^2 \psi_{r(V_y)}((\mathbb{1}_{V_y}\tilde{a}(U_y)\mathbb{1}_{V_y}^*) \cdot \delta_{V_y U_y (V_y)^{-1}}) d\mu(y). \tag{3.13}$$

Putting $y = x$ in (3.13), we have $U_y = u$ and $V_y = \eta$. Since $|q(x)|^2 = 1$, condition (ii) now follows from (3.12) and (3.13).

For the other direction, suppose that $(\mu, [\Psi]_\mu)$ satisfies (i) and (ii). The formula (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 gives a state $\psi := \Theta(\mu, \Psi)$ on $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$. We aim to show that ψ is a KMS_β state. It suffices to show that for each bisection U , each $f \in \Gamma_c(U; \mathcal{B})$, and each $g \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ we have

$$\psi(f * g) = \psi(g * \tau_{i\beta}(f)). \tag{3.14}$$

Fix a representative $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \in [\Psi]_\mu$. The left-hand side of (3.14) is

$$\psi(f * g) = \int_{r(U)} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \psi_x((f(U^x)g((U^x)^{-1}u)) \cdot \delta_u) d\mu(x). \tag{3.15}$$

To compute the right-hand side, we start with the multiplication formula in $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ and the formula (3.1) for ψ :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(g * \tau_{i\beta}(f)) &= \int_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^*} \psi_x((g * \tau_{i\beta}(f))(u) \cdot \delta_u) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{x \in s(U)} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^*} e^{-\beta D(U_x)} \psi_x((g(u(U_x)^{-1})f(U_x)) \cdot \delta_u) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since μ is quasi-invariant with Radon–Nikodym cocycle $e^{-\beta D}$, the substitution $x = T_U(y)$ gives

$$\psi(g * \tau_{i\beta}(f)) = \int_{r(U)} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_{T_U(y)}^{T_U(y)}} \psi_{T_U(y)}((g(u(U_{T_U(y)})^{-1})f(U_{T_U(y)})) \cdot \delta_u) d\mu(y).$$

Since $U_{T_U(y)} = U^y$, we obtain

$$\psi(g * \tau_{i\beta}(f)) = \int_{r(U)} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_{U^y}^{U^y}} \psi_{U^y}((g(u(U^y)^{-1})f(U^y)) \cdot \delta_u) d\mu(y).$$

Applying the identity $\mathcal{G}_{U^y}^{T_U(y)}(U^y)^{-1} = (U^y)^{-1}\mathcal{G}_y^y$, we can rewrite the sum as

$$\psi(g * \tau_{i\beta}(f)) = \int_{r(U)} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{G}_y^y} \psi_{U^y}((g((U^y)^{-1}v)f(U^y)) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}vU^y}) d\mu(y). \tag{3.16}$$

To simplify further, fix $v \in \mathcal{G}_y^y$. Using that $\mathbb{1}_{U^y} \mathbb{1}_{U^y}^* = \mathbb{1}_y$, equation (3.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_{U^y} \left((g((U^y)^{-1}v)f(U^y)) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}vU^y} \right) \\ &= \psi_{U^y} \left((g((U^y)^{-1}v)\mathbb{1}_{U^y} \mathbb{1}_{U^y}^* f(U^y)) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}vU^y} \right) \\ &= \psi_{U^y} \left(\left((g((U^y)^{-1}v)\mathbb{1}_{U^y}) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}vU^y} \right) \left((\mathbb{1}_{U^y}^* f(U^y)) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}U^y} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\mathbb{1}_{U^y}^* f(U^y)) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}U^y} \in A(T_U(y))$, which is in the centraliser of $\psi_{T_U(y)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_{U^y} \left((g((U^y)^{-1}v)f(U^y)) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}vU^y} \right) \\ &= \psi_{U^y} \left(\left((\mathbb{1}_{U^y}^* f(U^y)) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}U^y} \right) \left((g((U^y)^{-1}v)\mathbb{1}_{U^y}) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}vU^y} \right) \right) \\ &= \psi_{U^y} \left((\mathbb{1}_{U^y}^* f(U^y)g((U^y)^{-1}v)\mathbb{1}_{U^y}) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}vU^y} \right) \text{ by (3.4)}. \end{aligned}$$

We apply (ii) with $\eta = U^y$. Recall that $T_U(y) = s(U^y)$ and so $r(\eta) = y$. So for μ -almost every y , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_{U^y} \left((g((U^y)^{-1}v)f(U^y)) \cdot \delta_{(U^y)^{-1}vU^y} \right) \\ &= \psi_y \left((\mathbb{1}_{U^y} \mathbb{1}_{U^y}^* f(U^y)g((U^y)^{-1}v)\mathbb{1}_{U^y}) \cdot \delta_v \right) \\ &= \psi_y \left((f(U^y)g((U^y)^{-1}v)) \cdot \delta_v \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in each term of (3.16) gives

$$\psi(g * \tau_{i\beta}(f)) = \int_{r(U)} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{G}_y^y} \psi_y((f(U^y)g((U^y)^{-1}v)) \cdot \delta_v) d\mu(y)$$

which is precisely (3.15). So (3.14) holds, and ψ is a KMS_β state for τ .

By specialising our arguments to $\beta = 0$, we can use our results to describe the trace space of the cross-section algebra of a Fell bundle with singly generated fibres. This is particularly important given the role of the trace simplex of a simple C^* -algebra in Elliott’s classification program.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a Fell bundle with singly generated fibres over a locally compact second-countable Hausdorff étale groupoid \mathcal{G} . Then $\tilde{\Theta}$ restricts to a bijection between the trace space of $(C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}), \tau)$ and the pairs $(\mu, [\Psi]_\mu)$ consisting of a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and a μ -equivalence class $[\Psi]_\mu$ of μ -measurable fields of states ψ_x of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ that centralise the $A(x)$ such that:*

- (i) μ is a quasi-invariant measure with Radon–Nikodym cocycle 1;
- (ii) for μ -almost every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we have

$$\psi_{s(\eta)}(a \cdot \delta_u) = \psi_{r(\eta)}((\mathbb{1}_\eta a \mathbb{1}_\eta^*) \cdot \delta_{\eta u \eta^{-1}}) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x, a \in B(u) \text{ and } \eta \in \mathcal{G}_x.$$

Proof. The KMS condition at inverse temperature 0 reduces to the trace property. So we just need to observe that the proof of Theorem 3.4 does not require the automatic τ -invariance of KMS states for τ .

4. KMS states on twisted groupoid C^* -algebras

To apply our results to twisted groupoid C^* -algebras, we recall how to regard a twisted groupoid C^* -algebra as the cross-sectional algebra of a Fell bundle with one-dimensional fibres. This is standard; we just include it for completeness.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let \mathcal{G} be a locally compact second countable Hausdorff étale groupoid, and let $\sigma \in Z^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{T})$. Let $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{C}$ and equip \mathcal{B} with the product topology. Define $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ by $p(\gamma, z) = \gamma$. Then:*

- (i) $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a Fell bundle with respect to the multiplication and involution given by

$$(\gamma, z)(\eta, w) = (\gamma\eta, \sigma(\gamma, \eta)zw) \text{ and } (\gamma, z)^* = (\gamma^{-1}, \overline{\sigma(\gamma, \gamma^{-1})z}); \tag{4.1}$$

- (ii) for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$, the fibre $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)$ is singly generated by $\mathbb{1}_\gamma := (\gamma, 1)$. The map $\gamma \mapsto \mathbb{1}_\gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is continuous;
- (iii) there is an injective $*$ -homomorphism Φ from $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$ onto $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ such that

$$\Phi(f)(\gamma) = (\gamma, f(\gamma)) \text{ for all } f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma) \text{ and } \gamma \in \mathcal{G};$$

This homomorphism extends to an isomorphism $\Phi : C^(\mathcal{G}, \sigma) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$;*

- (iv) for each $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, there is an isomorphism $\Upsilon : C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ such that

$$\Upsilon(W_u) = (u, 1) \cdot \delta_u \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x.$$

Proof. For (i), since \mathbb{C} is a Banach space, \mathcal{B} is the trivial upper-semi continuous Banach bundle. We check (F1)–(F5): The conditions (F1) and (F2) follow from (4.1) easily. To see (F3), let $a := (\gamma, z)$ and $b := (\eta, w)$. An easy computation using (4.1) shows that

$$(ab)^* = ((\eta\gamma)^{-1}, \overline{\sigma(\gamma\eta, \eta^{-1}\gamma^{-1})\sigma(\gamma, \eta)\overline{zw}}), \text{ and}$$

$$b^*a^* = ((\eta\gamma)^{-1}, \sigma(\eta^{-1}, \gamma^{-1})\overline{\sigma(\eta, \eta^{-1})\sigma(\gamma, \gamma^{-1})\overline{zw}}).$$

Two applications of the cocycle relation give us

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\eta^{-1}, \gamma^{-1})\sigma(\gamma\eta, \eta^{-1}\gamma^{-1})\sigma(\gamma, \eta) &= \sigma(\gamma\eta, \eta^{-1})\sigma(\gamma, \gamma^{-1})\sigma(\gamma, \eta) \\ &= \sigma(\eta, \eta^{-1})\sigma(\eta, r(\eta))\sigma(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}) \\ &= \sigma(\eta, \eta^{-1})\sigma(\gamma, \gamma^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$. For (F4), let $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. Since $x^{-1} = x = x^{-1}x$, the operations (4.1) make sense in the fibre $B(x)$ and turn it into a $*$ -algebra. Also for $a = (x, z) \in B(x)$, we have $\|aa^*\| = |c(x^{-1}, x)z\overline{z}| = |z|^2 = \|a\|^2$. Thus $B(x)$ is a C^* -algebra. For (F5), note that each fibre $B(\gamma)$ is a full left Hilbert $A(r(\gamma))$ -module and a full right Hilbert $A(s(\gamma))$ -module. Equations (2.1) and (2.2) follow from (4.1).

Part (ii) is clear. To see (iii), note that the multiplication and involution formulas in $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$ and $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ show that Φ is a $*$ -homomorphism. Since each section $g \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ has the form $g(\gamma) = (\gamma, z_{g,\gamma})$ for some $z_{g,\gamma} \in \mathbb{C}$, we can define $\tilde{\Phi} : \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$ by $\tilde{\Phi}(g)(\gamma) = z_{g,\gamma}$. An easy computation shows that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is the inverse of Φ and therefore Φ is a bijection. For each I -norm-decreasing representation L of $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$, the map $L \circ \Phi$ is a $*$ -representation of $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(f)\|_{\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})} &= \sup\{\|L(\Phi(f))\| : L \text{ is an } I\text{-norm decreasing representation of } \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\|L'(f)\| : L' \text{ is a } *\text{-representation of } C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)\} \\ &= \|f\|_{C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Φ is norm decreasing and therefore extends to an isomorphism of C^* -algebras.

For (iv), take $W_u, W_v \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$. We have

$$\Upsilon(W_u W_v) = \sigma(u, v)\Upsilon(W_{uv}) = \sigma(u, v)((uv, 1) \cdot \delta_{uv}).$$

To compare this with $\Upsilon(W_u)\Upsilon(W_v)$, we calculate, applying (3.4) in the second equality:

$$\Upsilon(W_u)\Upsilon(W_v) = ((u, 1) \cdot \delta_u) * ((v, 1) \cdot \delta_v) = (u, 1)(v, 1) \cdot \delta_{u,v} = \sigma(u, v)((uv, 1) \cdot \delta_{uv}).$$

Thus Υ is a $*$ -homomorphism. The map $\tilde{\Upsilon} : C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma)$ given by $\tilde{\Upsilon}((u, z) \cdot \delta_u) = zW_u$ is an inverse for Υ , so Υ descends to an isomorphism of C^* -algebras.

In parallel with Section 3, we say that a collection $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ of states ψ_x on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma)$ is a μ -measurable field of states if for every $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$, the function

$$x \mapsto \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(u)\psi_x(W_u)$$

is μ -measurable.

We apply Theorem 3.4 to the Fell bundle of Lemma 4.1 to compute the KMS states of $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$. The key point is that for this Fell bundle, each $A(x) = \mathbb{C}1_{C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma)} \subseteq C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma) = C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ is central. Thus every state ψ_x of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ centralises $A(x)$.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let \mathcal{G} be a locally compact second-countable Hausdorff étale groupoid, and let $\sigma \in Z^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{T})$. Let D be a continuous \mathbb{R} -valued 1-cocycle on \mathcal{G} and let $\tilde{\tau}$ be the dynamics on $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$ given by $\tilde{\tau}_t(f)(\gamma) = e^{itD(\gamma)} f(\gamma)$. Take $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. There is a bijection between the simplex of KMS_β states of $(C^*(\mathcal{G}, \sigma), \tilde{\tau})$ and the pairs $(\mu, [\Psi]_\mu)$ consisting of a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and a μ -equivalence class $[\Psi]_\mu$ of μ -measurable fields of states on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma)$ such that:*

- (i) μ is a quasi-invariant measure with Radon–Nikodym cocycle $e^{-\beta D}$;
- (ii) for each representative $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \in [\Psi]_\mu$ and for μ -almost every $x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, we have

$$\psi_x(W_u) = \sigma(\eta u, \eta^{-1}) \sigma(\eta, u) \overline{\sigma(\eta^{-1}, \eta)} \psi_{r(\eta)}(W_{\eta u \eta^{-1}}) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x, \text{ and } \eta \in \mathcal{G}_x.$$

The state corresponding to the pair $(\mu, [\Psi]_\mu)$ is given by

$$\psi(f) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(u) \psi_x(W_u) d\mu(x) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Lemma 4.1 yields a Fell bundle \mathcal{B} over \mathcal{G} , an isomorphism $\Phi : C^*(\mathcal{G}, \sigma) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$, and an isomorphism $\Upsilon : C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$. The isomorphism Φ intertwines the dynamics $\tilde{\tau}$ and τ induced by D on $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$ and $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$. We aim to apply Theorem 3.4.

Let ψ be a KMS_β state of $(C^*(\mathcal{G}, \sigma), \tilde{\tau})$. Then $\varphi := \psi \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is a KMS_β state on $(C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}), \tau)$ and Theorem 3.4 gives a pair $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}})$ of a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and a μ -measurable field of states on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$ satisfying (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.4. Let $\psi_x := \varphi_x \circ \Upsilon$. For each $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$, the function $x \mapsto \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(u) \psi_x(W_u) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \varphi_x((u, f(u)) \cdot \delta_u)$ is μ -measurable. Therefore $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ is a μ -measurable field of states on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma)$.

To see that $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ satisfies (ii), let $u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{G}_x$. A computation in $\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{C}$ shows that

$$\mathbb{1}_\eta(u, z) \mathbb{1}_\eta^* = (\eta, 1)(u, 1)(\eta, 1)^* = (\eta u \eta^{-1}, \sigma(\eta u, \eta^{-1}) \sigma(\eta, u) \overline{\sigma(\eta^{-1} z, \eta)}).$$

Now applying part (ii) of Theorem 3.4 to $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ with η and $a = (u, 1)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_x(W_u) &= \varphi_x((u, 1) \cdot \delta_u) \\ &= \varphi_{r(\eta)}\left((\eta u \eta^{-1}, \sigma(\eta u, \eta^{-1}) \sigma(\eta, u) \overline{\sigma(\eta^{-1}, \eta)}) \cdot \delta_{\eta u \eta^{-1}}\right) \\ &= \sigma(\eta u, \eta^{-1}) \sigma(\eta, u) \overline{\sigma(\eta^{-1}, \eta)} \varphi_{r(\eta)}((\eta u \eta^{-1}, 1) \cdot \delta_{\eta u \eta^{-1}}) \\ &= \sigma(\eta u, \eta^{-1}) \sigma(\eta, u) \overline{\sigma(\eta^{-1}, \eta)} \psi_{r(\eta)}(W_{\eta u \eta^{-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

To see (4.2), fix $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$. Applying the formula (3.1) for φ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f) &= \varphi(\Phi(f)) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \varphi_x(\Phi(f)(u) \cdot \delta_u) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(u) \varphi_x((u, 1) \cdot \delta_u) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(u) \psi_x(W_u) d\mu(x). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

So the KMS_β state ψ yields a pair $(\mu, [\psi]_\mu)$ satisfying (i) and (ii), and ψ is then given by (4.2).

For the converse, fix $(\mu, \{\psi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}})$ satisfying (i) and (ii). Let $\varphi_x = \psi_x \circ \Upsilon^{-1}$. For $g \in \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B})$ and $u \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, let $z_{g,u} \in \mathbb{C}$ be the element such that $g(u) = (u, z_{g,u})$. The function $x \mapsto \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} \varphi_x(g(u) \cdot \delta_u) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} z_{g,u} \psi_x(W_u)$ is μ -measurable. Therefore $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}}$ is a μ -measurable field of states on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$. Each φ_x centralises $A(x)$ because $A(x) = \mathbb{C}1_{C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})}$ is central in $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \mathcal{B})$. By (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_x((u, z) \cdot \delta_u) &= \psi_x \circ \Psi^{-1}((u, z) \cdot \delta_u) \\ &= \psi_x(zW_u) \\ &= z\sigma(\eta u, \eta^{-1})\sigma(\eta, u)\overline{\sigma(\eta^{-1}, \eta)}\psi_{r(\eta)}(W_{\eta u \eta^{-1}}) \\ &= \psi_{r(\eta)}(z\sigma(\eta u, \eta^{-1})\sigma(\eta, u)\overline{\sigma(\eta^{-1}, \eta)}W_{\eta u \eta^{-1}}) \\ &= \varphi_{r(\eta)}((\eta u \eta^{-1}, z\sigma(\eta u, \eta^{-1})\sigma(\eta, u)\overline{\sigma(\eta^{-1}, \eta)}) \cdot \delta_{\eta u \eta^{-1}}) \\ &= \varphi_{r(\eta)}((\mathbb{1}_\eta(u, z)\mathbb{1}_\eta^*) \cdot \delta_{\eta u \eta^{-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\mu, \{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}})$ is a pair as in Theorem 3.4. Therefore there is a KMS_β state $\varphi := \Theta(\mu, \Psi)$ on $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ satisfying (3.1). Now $\psi = \varphi \circ \Phi$ is a KMS_β on $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$ and by (4.3) ψ satisfies (4.2).

Remark 4.3. Corollary 4.2 applied to the trivial cocycle $\sigma \equiv 1$ recovers the results of Neshveyev in [15, theorem 1.3].

5. KMS states on the twisted C^* -algebras of higher-rank graphs

5.1. Higher-rank graphs

Let Λ be a k -graph with vertex set Λ^0 and degree map $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^k$ in the sense of [9]. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we write $\Lambda^n := \{\lambda \in \Lambda : d(\lambda) = n\}$. A k -graph Λ is said to be *finite* if Λ^n is finite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$. Given $u, v \in \Lambda^0$, we write $u\Lambda v := \{\lambda \in \Lambda : r(\lambda) = u \text{ and } s(\lambda) = v\}$. We say Λ is *strongly connected* if $u\Lambda v \neq \emptyset$ for every $u, v \in \Lambda^0$. A k -graph Λ has no sources if $u\Lambda^n \neq \emptyset$ for every $u \in \Lambda^0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and it is row finite if $u\Lambda^n$ is finite for all $u \in \Lambda^0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$.

A \mathbb{T} -valued 2-cocycle c on Λ is a map $c : \Lambda^{(2)} := \{(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda : s(\lambda) = r(\mu)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that $c(r(\lambda), \lambda) = c(\lambda, s(\lambda)) = 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $c(\lambda, \mu)c(\lambda\mu, \nu) = c(\mu, \nu)c(\lambda, \mu\nu)$ for all composable triples (λ, μ, ν) . We write $Z^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$ for the group of all \mathbb{T} -valued 2-cocycles on Λ .

Let $\Omega_k := \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k : m \leq n\}$. Then Ω_k is a k -graph with $r(m, n) = (m, m)$, $s(m, n) = (n, n)$, $(m, n)(n, p) = (m, p)$ and $d(m, n) = n - m$. We identify Ω_k^0 with \mathbb{N}^k by $(m, m) \mapsto m$. The set

$$\Lambda^\infty := \{x : \Omega_k \rightarrow \Lambda : x \text{ is a functor that intertwines the degree maps}\}$$

is called the *infinite-path space* of Λ . For $l \in \mathbb{N}^k$, the shift map $\sigma^l : \Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \Lambda^\infty$ is given by $\sigma^l(x)(m, n) = x(m + l, n + l)$ for all $x \in \Lambda^\infty$ and $(m, n) \in \Omega_k$.

Let Λ be a strongly connected finite k -graph. The set

$$\text{Per } \Lambda := \{m - n : m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k, \sigma^m(x) = \sigma^n(x) \text{ for all } x \in \Lambda^\infty\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^k$$

is subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^k and is called *periodicity group* of Λ (see [6, proposition 5.2]).

5.2. The infinite-path groupoid

Suppose that Λ is a row finite k -graph with no sources. The set

$$\mathcal{G}_\Lambda := \{(x, l, y) \in \Lambda^\infty \times \mathbb{Z}^k \times \Lambda^\infty : l = m - n, m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k \text{ and } \sigma^m(z) = \sigma^n(z)\}$$

is a groupoid with $(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda)^{(0)} = \{(x, 0, x) : x \in \Lambda^\infty\}$ identified with Λ^∞ , structure maps $r(x, l, y) = x, s(x, l, y) = y, (x, l, y)(y, l', z) = (x, l + l', z)$ and $(x, l, y)^{-1} = (y, -l, x)$. This groupoid is called *infinite-path groupoid*. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ with $s(\lambda) = s(\mu)$ let

$$Z(\lambda, \mu) := \{(\lambda x, d(\lambda) - d(\mu), \mu x) \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda : x \in \Lambda^\infty \text{ and } r(x) = s(\lambda)\}.$$

The sets $\{Z(\lambda, \mu) : \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda, s(\lambda) = s(\mu)\}$ form a basis for a locally compact Hausdorff topology on \mathcal{G}_Λ in which it is an étale groupoid (see [9, proposition 2.8]).

Let $\Lambda_{s^*s} \Lambda := \{(\mu, \nu) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda : s(\mu) = s(\nu)\}$. Let \mathcal{P} be a subset of $\Lambda_{s^*s} \Lambda$ such that

$$(\mu, s(\mu)) \in \mathcal{P} \text{ for all } \mu \in \Lambda, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_\Lambda = \bigsqcup_{(\mu, \nu) \in \mathcal{P}} Z(\mu, \nu). \tag{5.1}$$

There is always such a \mathcal{P} [11, lemma 6.6]. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$, we write (μ_α, ν_α) for the element of \mathcal{P} such that $\alpha \in Z(\mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)$. Let $\hat{d} : \mathcal{G}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^k$ be the function defined by $\hat{d}(x, n, y) = n$. Given a 2-cocycle c on Λ , [11, lemma 6.3] says that for every composable pair $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$ there are $\lambda, \iota, \kappa \in \Lambda$ and $y \in \Lambda^\infty$ such that

$$v_\alpha \lambda = \mu_\beta \iota, \quad \mu_\alpha \lambda = \mu_{\alpha\beta} \kappa, \quad v_\beta \iota = v_{\alpha\beta} \kappa, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\alpha = (\mu_\alpha \lambda y, \hat{d}(\alpha), \nu_\alpha \lambda y), \quad \beta = (\mu_\beta \iota y, \hat{d}(\beta), \nu_\beta \iota y) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha\beta = (\mu_{\alpha\beta} \kappa y, \hat{d}(\alpha\beta), \nu_{\alpha\beta} \kappa y).$$

Furthermore, the formula

$$\sigma_c(\alpha, \beta) = c(\mu_\alpha, \lambda) \overline{c(\nu_\alpha, \iota)} c(\mu_\beta, \iota) \overline{c(\nu_\beta, \iota)} c(\mu_{\alpha\beta}, \kappa) c(\nu_{\alpha\beta}, \kappa)$$

is a continuous 2-cocycle on \mathcal{G}_Λ and does not depend on the choice of λ, ι, κ . Theorem 6.5 of [11] shows that continuous 2-cocycles on \mathcal{G}_Λ obtained from different partitions $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'$ are cohomologous.

Let Λ be a strongly connected finite k -graph and take $c \in Z^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \Lambda_{s^*s} \Lambda$ be as in (5.1). For each $x \in \Lambda^\infty$, define $\sigma_c^x : \text{Per } \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by $\sigma_c^x(p, q) := \sigma_c((x, p, x), (x, q, x))$. Clearly $\sigma_c^x \in Z^2(\text{Per } \Lambda, \mathbb{T})$. By [12, lemma 3.3] the cohomology class of σ_c^x is independent of x . So by the argument of Section 2.4 there is a bicharacter ω_c on $\text{Per } \Lambda$ that is cohomologous to σ_c^x for all $x \in \Lambda^\infty$.

5.3. KMS states for the preferred dynamics on a twisted k -graph C^* -algebra

Given a finite k -graph Λ and given $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $A_i \in M_{\Lambda^0}$ be the matrix with entries $A_i(u, v) := |u \Lambda^{e_i} v|$. Writing $\rho(A_i)$ for the spectral radius of A_i , define $D : \mathcal{G}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $D(x, n, y) = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \ln \rho(A_i)$. The function D is locally constant and therefore it is a continuous \mathbb{R} -valued 1-cocycle on \mathcal{G}_Λ . Lemma 12.1 of [6] shows that there a unique probability measure M on Λ^∞ with Radon–Nycodym cocycle e^D . This measure is a Borel measure and satisfies

$$M(x \in \Lambda^\infty : \{x\} \times \text{Per } \Lambda \times \{x\} \neq \mathcal{G}_x^x) = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Given $\sigma \in Z^2(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$, D induces a dynamics τ on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma)$ such that $\tau_t(f)(x, m, y) = e^{itD(x,m,y)} f(x, m, y)$. Following [6] we call this dynamics the *preferred dynamics*.

COROLLARY 5.1. *Suppose that Λ is a strongly connected finite k -graph. Let $c \in Z^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$ and let \mathcal{P} be as in (5.1). Suppose that $\omega_c \in Z^2(\text{Per } \Lambda, \mathbb{T})$ is a bicharacter cohomologous to $\sigma_c^x(p, q) = \sigma_c((x, p, x), (x, q, x))$ for all $x \in \Lambda^\infty$. Let τ be the preferred dynamics on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma_c)$. Let M be the measure described at (5.2). There is a bijection between the simplex of KMS_1 states of $(C^*(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma_c), \tau)$ and the set of M -equivalence classes $[\Psi]_M$ of fields $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ of tracial states ψ_x on $C^*(\text{Per } \Lambda, \omega_c)$ such that for all $W_p \in \text{Per } \Lambda$ and $\eta := (y, m, x) \in (\mathcal{G}_\Lambda)_x$, we have*

$$\psi_x(W_p) = \sigma_c(\eta, (x, p, x)) \sigma_c((y, m + p, x), \eta^{-1}) \overline{\sigma_c(\eta^{-1}, \eta)} \psi_y(W_p). \tag{5.3}$$

The state ψ corresponding to the class $[\Psi]_M$ satisfies

$$\psi(f) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{p \in \text{Per } \Lambda} f(x, p, x) \psi_x(W_p) dM(x) \quad \text{for all } f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma).$$

Proof. To prove the result, we first establish a bijection between the KMS_1 states and the fields of states ψ_x satisfying (5.3). We will then show that if ψ is a KMS_1 state then in the corresponding field of states, M -almost all of the ψ_x are tracial.

Fix $x \in \Lambda^\infty$ such that $\{x\} \times \text{Per } \Lambda \times \{x\} = \mathcal{G}_x^x$. Let $\delta^1 b$ be the 2-coboundary such that $\omega_c = \delta^1 b \sigma_c^x$. Composing the isomorphism $W_p \mapsto b(p)W_p$ of $C^*(\text{Per } \Lambda, \omega_c)$ onto $C^*(\text{Per } \Lambda, \sigma_c^x)$ and the isomorphism $W_p \mapsto W_{(x,p,x)} : C^*(\text{Per } \Lambda, \sigma_c^x) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma_c)$, we obtain an isomorphism $\Phi : C^*(\text{Per } \Lambda, \omega_c) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma_c)$ such that

$$\Phi(W_p) = b(p)W_{(x,p,x)} \text{ for all } p \in \text{Per } \Lambda.$$

Since M is the only probability measure on Λ^∞ with Radon–Nikodym cocycle e^D , by Corollary 4.2 it suffices to show that there is a bijection between the fields of states on $C^*(\text{Per } \Lambda, \omega_c)$ satisfying (5.3) and the M -measurable fields of states on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma_c)$ satisfying Corollary 4.2(ii).

Let $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ be an M -measurable field of states on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma_c)$ satisfying Corollary 4.2(ii). Then clearly $\{\varphi_x \circ \Phi\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ is a field of states on $C^*(\text{Per } \Lambda, \omega_c)$. Applying Corollary 4.2(ii) with η and $u = (x, p, x)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_x \circ \Phi)(W_p) &= \varphi_x(b(p)W_{(x,p,x)}) \\ &= b(p) \sigma_c((y, m + p, x), \eta^{-1}) \overline{\sigma_c(\eta, (x, p, x))} \sigma_c(\eta^{-1}, \eta) \varphi_y(W_{(y,p,y)}) \\ &= \sigma_c((y, m + p, x), (x, p, x)) \overline{\sigma_c(\eta, (x, p, x))} \sigma_c(\eta^{-1}, \eta) \varphi_y \circ \Phi(W_p). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely let $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ be a field of states on $C^*(\text{Per } \Lambda, \omega_c)$ satisfying (5.3). Since M is a Borel measure on Λ^∞ , for all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma)$, the function

$$x \mapsto \sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}_x^x} f(u) (\psi_x \circ \Phi^{-1})(W_u) = \sum_{p \in \text{Per } \Lambda} f(x, p, x) \overline{b(p)} \psi_x(W_p)$$

is continuous and hence is M -measurable. Therefore $\{\psi_x \circ \Phi^{-1}\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ is a M -measurable field of states on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_x^x, \sigma_c)$.

Now applying (5.3) to $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ with η and W_p we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_x \circ \Phi^{-1})(W_u) &= \psi_x(\overline{b(p)W_p}) \\ &= \overline{b(p)}\sigma_c((y, m + p, x), \eta^{-1})\sigma_c(\eta, (x, p, x))\overline{\sigma_c(\eta^{-1}, \eta)}\psi_y(W_p) \\ &= \sigma_c((y, m + p, x), (x, p, x))\sigma_c(\eta, (x, p, x))\overline{\sigma_c(\eta^{-1}, \eta)}(\psi_y \circ \Phi^{-1})(W_u). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that if ψ is a KMS₁ state then M -almost all of the ψ_x are tracial. Given $f \in C_0(\Lambda^\infty)$ and $p \in \text{Per } \Lambda$, there is a function $f_p \in C^*(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma)$ such that $f_p(x, q, y) = \delta_{p,q}\delta_{x,y}f(x)$ for all $(x, q, y) \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$. As discussed in [6, remark 7.2], for $p \in \text{Per } \Lambda$, we have $D(x, p, x) = 0$ for all x , and so $\tau_t(f_p) = f_p$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, for $p, q \in \text{Per } \Lambda$ and $f, g \in C_0(\Lambda^\infty)$, we have $\psi(f_p g_q) = \psi(g_q \tau_{i\beta}(f_p)) = \psi(g_q f_p)$. The final statement of the corollary therefore shows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{r \in \text{Per } \Lambda} (f_p g_q)(x, r, x) \psi_x(W_r) dM(x) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{r \in \text{Per } \Lambda} (g_q f_p)(x, r, x) \psi_x(W_r) dM(x).$$

We have $(f_p g_q)(x, r, x) = \delta_{p+q,r} \sigma_c^x(p, q) f(x) g(x)$ and $W_{p+q} = \overline{\omega_c(p, q)} W_p W_q$, and similarly $(g_q f_p)(x, r, x) = \delta_{p+q,r} \sigma_c^x(q, p) f(x) g(x)$ and $W_{p+q} = \omega_c(q, p) W_q W_p$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sigma_c^x(p, q) \overline{\omega_c(p, q)} (fg)(x) \psi_x(W_p W_q) dM(x) \\ = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sigma_c^x(q, p) \overline{\omega_c(q, p)} (fg)(x) \psi_x(W_q W_p) dM(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $f, g \in C_0(\Lambda^\infty)$, we deduce that

$$\sigma_c^x(p, q) \overline{\omega_c(p, q)} \psi_x(W_p W_q) = \sigma_c^x(q, p) \overline{\omega_c(q, p)} \psi_x(W_q W_p) \quad \text{for } M\text{-almost all } x. \tag{5.4}$$

By definition of ω_c , we have $\sigma_c^x(p, q) \overline{\sigma_c^x(q, p)} = \omega_c(p, q) \overline{\omega_c(q, p)}$ for all x . Rearranging gives $\sigma_c^x(p, q) \omega_c(p, q) = \sigma_c^x(q, p) \omega_c(q, p)$ for all x . Thus (5.4) gives $\psi_x(W_p W_q) = \psi_x(W_q W_p)$ for M -almost all x . Since $\text{Per } \Lambda$ is countable, it follows that ψ_x is a trace for M -almost every x .

5.4. KMS states and invariance

Given a strongly connected finite k -graph Λ , let \mathcal{I}_Λ be the interior of the isotropy $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda)$ in \mathcal{G}_Λ . Then \mathcal{I}_Λ is clopen by [12, proposition 2.1]. Define $\mathcal{H}_\Lambda := \mathcal{G}_\Lambda / \mathcal{I}_\Lambda$ and let $\pi : \mathcal{G}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$ be the quotient map. Let $c \in Z^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$ and let \mathcal{P} be as in (5.1). Suppose that $\omega_c \in Z^2(\text{Per } \Lambda, \mathbb{T})$ is a bicharacter cohomologous to $\sigma_c^x(p, q) = \sigma_c((x, p, x), (x, q, x))$ for all $x \in \Lambda^\infty$. By [12, lemma 3.6] there is a continuous \widehat{Z}_{ω_c} -valued 1-cocycle \tilde{r}^σ on \mathcal{H}_Λ such that

$$\tilde{r}_{\pi(\gamma)}^\sigma(p) = \sigma(\gamma, (y, p, y)) \sigma((x, m + p, y) \gamma^{-1}) \overline{\sigma(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma)}$$

for all $\gamma = (x, m, y) \in \mathcal{G}_\Lambda$ and $p \in Z_{\omega_c}$; [12, corollary 4.8] show that $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ is simple if and only if the action B of \mathcal{H}_Λ on $\Lambda^\infty \times \widehat{Z}_{\omega_c}$ such that

$$B_{\pi(\gamma)}(s(\gamma), \chi) = (r(\gamma), \tilde{r}_{\pi(\gamma)}^\sigma \cdot \chi) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda \text{ and } \chi \in \widehat{Z}_{\omega_c}$$

is minimal. The action of \widehat{Z}_{ω_c} on itself by multiplication induces an action on the state space $S(C^*(Z_{\omega_c}))$ of the associated C^* -algebra, and so the action B of \mathcal{H}_Λ on $\Lambda^\infty \times \widehat{Z}_{\omega_c}$ just described induces an action B^* of \mathcal{H}_Λ on $\Lambda^\infty \times S(C^*(Z_{\omega_c}))$.

COROLLARY 5.2. *Suppose that Λ is a strongly connected finite k -graph. Let $c \in Z^2(\Lambda, \mathbb{T})$ and let \mathcal{P} be as in (5.1). Let $\omega_c \in Z^2(\text{Per } \Lambda, \mathbb{T})$ be a bicharacter cohomologous to $\sigma_c^x(p, q) = \sigma_c((x, p, x), (x, q, x))$ for all $x \in \Lambda^\infty$. Let τ be the preferred dynamics on $C^*(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma_c)$ and let M be the measure of (5.2). Then there is a bijection between the simplex of the KMS_1 states of $(C^*(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma_c), \tau)$ and the set of M -equivalence classes $[\psi]_M$ of states $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ on $C^*(Z_{\omega_c}) \cong C(\widehat{Z}_{\omega_c})$ that are invariant under the action B , in the sense that*

$$B_{\pi(\gamma)}(s(\gamma), \psi_{r(\gamma)}) = (r(\gamma), \psi_{s(\gamma)}) \text{ for all } \gamma \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.1 and Lemma 2.1.

5.5. A question of uniqueness for KMS_1 states

If $c = 1$, the results of [6] show that $C^*(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma_1)$ has unique KMS_1 state if and only if it is simple (see [[6], theorem 11.1 and section 12]). Corollary 4.8 of [12] shows that $C^*(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma_c)$ is simple if and only if the action B of \mathcal{H}_Λ on $\Lambda^\infty \times \widehat{Z}_{\omega_c}$ is minimal. So it is natural to ask whether minimality of the action B characterises the presence of a unique KMS_1 state for the preferred dynamics? We have not been able to answer this question. The following brief comments describe the difficulty in doing so.

The key point in [6] that demonstrates that KMS states are parameterised by measures on the dual of the periodicity group of the graph is the observation that in the absence of a twist, the centrality of the copy of $C^*(\text{Per } \Lambda)$ in $C^*(\Lambda)$ can be used to show that KMS states are completely determined by their values on this subalgebra. This, combined with Neshveyev’s theorems, shows that the field of states $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ corresponding to a KMS state ψ is, up to measure zero, a constant field (see [6, pages 27–28]). The corresponding calculation fails in the twisted setting.

However, we are able to show that, whether or not \mathcal{H}_Λ acts minimally on $\Lambda^\infty \times \widehat{Z}_{\omega_c}$, there is an injective map from the states of $C^*(Z_{\omega_c})$ that are invariant for the action of \mathcal{H}_Λ on \widehat{Z}_{ω_c} induced by the cocycle \tilde{r}^σ to the KMS states of the C^* -algebra. It follows in particular that the Haar state on $C^*(Z_{\omega_c})$ induces a KMS state as expected.

COROLLARY 5.3. *Let ϕ be a state on $C^*(Z_{\omega_c})$ such that $\tilde{r}_{\pi(\gamma)} \cdot \phi = \phi$ for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_\Lambda$. Then there is a KMS_1 state ψ_ϕ of $(C^*(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma), \tau)$ such that*

$$\psi_\phi(f) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \sum_{p \in \text{Per } \Lambda} f(x, p, x) \phi(W_p) dM(x) \text{ for all } f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma).$$

The map $\phi \mapsto \psi_\phi$ is injective. In particular, there is a KMS_1 state ψ_{Tr} of $(C^(\mathcal{G}_\Lambda, \sigma), \tau)$ such that*

$$\psi_{\text{Tr}}(f) = \int_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} f(x, 0, x) dM(x) \text{ for all } f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \sigma).$$

Proof. For each $x \in \Lambda^\infty$ define.

$$\psi_x = \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } \{x\} \times \text{Per } \Lambda \times \{x\} = \mathcal{G}_x^x \\ 0 & \text{if } \{x\} \times \text{Per } \Lambda \times \{x\} \neq \mathcal{G}_x^x. \end{cases}$$

Then $\psi_\phi := \Theta(M, \{\psi_x\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty})$ satisfies the desired formula. The first statement, and injectivity of $\phi \mapsto \psi_\phi$ follows from Corollary 5.2. The final statement follows from the first statement applied with ϕ equal to the Haar trace Tr on $C^*(Z_{\omega_c})$.

Remark 5.4. Suppose that \mathcal{H}_Λ acts minimally on $\Lambda^\infty \times \widehat{Z}_{\omega_c}$. Then in particular the induced action \tilde{B} of \mathcal{H}_Λ on \widehat{Z}_{ω_c} is minimal. So if ϕ is a state of $C^*(Z_{\omega_c})$ that is invariant for \tilde{B} as in Corollary 5.3, then continuity ensures that the associated measure is invariant for translation in Z_{ω_c} , so must be equal to the Haar measure. So to prove that ψ_{Tr} is the unique KMS_1 state when $C^*(\Lambda, c)$ is simple, it would suffice to show that the map $\phi \mapsto \psi_\phi$ of Corollary 5.3 is surjective.

One approach to this would be to establish that if $\{\psi_x\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty}$ is an M -measurable, B^* -invariant field of states on $C^*(Z_{\omega_c})$, then the state ϕ given by $\phi := \int_{\Lambda^\infty} \psi_x dM(x)$ is \tilde{B} -invariant and satisfies $\psi_\phi = \Theta(M, \{\psi\}_{x \in \Lambda^\infty})$, but we have not been able to establish either.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the Australian Research Council, grant number DP150101595. We thank the anonymous referee for their careful reading and very helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-B. BOST and A. CONNES. Hecke algebras type III factors and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory. *Selecta Math. (New Series)* **1** (1995), 411–457.
- [2] O. BRATTELI and D.W. ROBINSON. *Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics II (2nd edition)*. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.)
- [3] T. M. CARLSEN and N. S. LARSEN. Partial actions and KMS states on relative graph C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **271** (2016), 2090–2132.
- [4] M. ENOMOTO, M. FUJII and Y. WATATANI. KMS states for gauge action on O_A . *Math. Japon.* **29** (1984), 607–619.
- [5] J. M. G. FELL and R. S. DORAN. *Representations of *-algebras, locally compact groups, and Banach *-algebraic bundles, Basic representation theory of groups and algebras, Pure and Applied Mathematics* **1** (Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988).
- [6] A. AN HUEF, M. LACA, I. RAEBURN and A. SIMS. KMS states on the C^* -algebra of a higher-rank graph and periodicity in the path space. *J. Funct. Anal.* **268** (2015), 1840–1875.
- [7] E. T. A. KAKARIADIS. KMS states on Pimsner algebras associated with C^* -dynamical systems. *J. Funct. Anal.* **269** (2015), 325–354.
- [8] A. KUMJIAN. On C^* -diagonals. *Canad. J. Math.* **38** (1986), 969–1008.
- [9] A. KUMJIAN and D. PASK. Higher-rank graph C^* -algebras. *New York J. Math.* **6** (2000), 1–20.
- [10] A. KUMJIAN and J. RENAULT. KMS states on C^* -algebras associated to expansive maps. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **134** (2006), 2067–2078.
- [11] A. KUMJIAN, D. PASK and A. SIMS. On twisted higher-rank graph C^* -algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), 5177–5216.
- [12] A. KUMJIAN, D. PASK and A. SIMS. Simplicity of twisted C^* -algebras of higher-rank graphs and crossed products by quasifree actions. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **10** (2016), 515–549.
- [13] M. LACA, N. S. LARSEN, S. NESHVEYEV, A. SIMS and S. B. G. WEBSTER. Von Neumann algebras of strongly connected higher-rank graphs. *Math. Ann.* **363** (2015), 657–678.
- [14] P. S. MUHLY and D. P. WILLIAMS. Equivalence and disintegration theorems for Fell bundles and their C^* -algebras. *Dissertationes Math.* **456** (2008), 1–57.
- [15] S. NESHVEYEV. KMS states on the C^* -algebras of non-principal groupoids. *J. Operator Theory* **70** (2013), 513–530.
- [16] D. OLESEN, G. K. PEDERSEN and M. TAKESAKI. Ergodic actions of compact abelian groups. *J. Operator Theory* **3** (1980), 237–269.
- [17] J. RENAULT. *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **793** (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980).
- [18] I. RAEBURN and D. P. WILLIAMS. *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^* -algebras*. Math. Surv. Monog. **60** (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1998).

- [19] A. SIMS, B. WHITEHEAD and M. F. WHITTAKER. Twisted C^* -algebras associated to finitely aligned higher-rank graphs. *Documenta Math.* **19** (2014), 831–866.
- [20] K. THOMSEN. KMS weights on graph C^* -algebras. *Adv. Math.* **309** (2017), 334–391.
- [21] D. P. WILLIAMS. *Crossed products of C^* -algebras*. Math. Surv. Monog. **134** (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2007).
- [22] D. YANG. Type III von Neumann algebras associated with 2-graphs. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **44** (2012), 675–686.