

Structure of triplite LiFeSO₄F powder synthesized through an ambient two-step solid-state route

F.-F. Ma, J.-W. Mao, G.-Q. Shao,^{a)} S.-H. Fan, C. Zhu, A.-L. Zhang, G.-Z. Xie, J.-N. Gu, and J.-L. Yan *State Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Materials Synthesis and Processing, Wuhan University of Technology, 122 Luoshi Road, Wuhan 430070, China*

(Received 31 March 2017; accepted 6 December 2017)

The triplite LiFeSO₄F displays both the highest potential ever reported for an Fe-based compound, as well as a comparable specific energy with that of popular LiFePO₄. The synthesis is still a challenge because the present approaches are connected with long time, special equipments or organic reagents, etc. In this work, the triplite LiFeSO₄F powder was synthesized through an ambient two-step solid-state route. The reaction process and phase purity were analyzed, coupled with structure refinement and electrochemical test. © 2018 International Centre for Diffraction Data. [doi:10.1017/S0885715618000040]

Key words: LiFeSO₄F, triplite, fluorosulfate, solid-state synthesis

I. INTRODUCTION

Three polymorphs of LiMSO₄F fluorosulfates crystallize in triplite (M = Fe and Mn; monoclinic, C2/c) (Ati et al., 2011, 2012a; Barpanda et al., 2011a; Tripathi et al., 2012, 2013; Lee and Park, 2014; Kim et al., 2015; Kim and Kang, 2017), tavorite (M = Fe, Co, Ni, and Mg; triclinic, \overline{P}) (Sebastian et al., 2002; Ati et al., 2010; Salanne et al., 2012; Tripathi et al., 2012; Jalem et al., 2014; Sobkowiak et al., 2014; Eriksson et al., 2015), and sillimanite (M = Zn; orthorhombic, *Pnma*) (Barpanda et al., 2011b; Tripathi et al., 2012) structures. They are being extensively explored for using as cathodes (M = Fe, Mn, Co, and Ni) and electrolytes (M = Zn and Mg) in Li-ion batteries. Their solid solutions (Barpanda et al., 2010, 2011a; Ramzan et al., 2010; Cai et al., 2011; Radha et al., 2012; Tripathi et al., 2012) adopt one of three isostructures or just mixed phases varying with compositions and synthesis conditions.

The fluorosulfate family is explored because of the following reasons. (a) The popular olivine LiFePO₄ phosphate has a redox potential of 3.45 V (Padhi et al., 1997) and ionic conductivity of 2×10^{-9} S cm⁻¹ at 147 °C with onedimensional (1D) Li-ion diffusion (Amin et al., 2007). To increase the ionic conductivity and alter dimensionality of Li⁺ transport in this cathode material, the fluoride anion is incorporated to produce a 3D tavorite LiFePO₄F fluorophosphate (2.8 V; $0.6-5.4 \times 10^{-7}$ S cm⁻¹ at 27–50 °C) (Ramesh et al., 2010; Prabu et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014). (b) Two methods are induced to compensate the unfavorable decrease of potential. The first is using other redox couple with a higher potential (e.g. V³⁺/V⁴⁺, 4.2 V) to replace Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ (Barker et al., 2005). The second is to constitute a different polyanion moiety, e.g. Li₂FeSiO₄ orthosilicate (3.1 V) (Girish and Shao, 2015) and LiFeSO₄F fluorosulfate with the potential of 3.6 V $(7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \text{ at } 25 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ and } 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ S cm}^{-1} \text{ at } 147 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C})$ for tavorite (Ati et al., 2010; Recham et al., 2010; Yahia *et al.*, 2012) or 3.9 V for triplite (Ati *et al.*, 2011, 2012a; Barpanda *et al.*, 2011a; Yahia *et al.*, 2012). The last one displays both the highest potential ever reported for an Fe-based compound, as well as a comparable specific energy (588 Wh/kg) with that of LiFePO₄ (587 Wh/kg) (Ati *et al.*, 2012a).

The triplite $LiMSO_4F$ (*M* = Fe and Mn) can be synthesized by ambient direct solid-state (Radha et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2015; Kim and Kang 2017), pressure-controlled solidstate [e.g. autoclave (Ati et al., 2011; Barpanda et al., 2011a; Ati et al., 2012a), vacuum (Liu et al., 2011), or vacuum hot-pressing (Dong et al., 2013)], extended solvothermal (Tripathi et al., 2012), microwave solvothermal (Tripathi et al., 2013), spark plasma sintering (Ati et al., 2012a), ballmilling (Ati et al., 2012a), and ionothermal (Barpanda et al., 2011a) (only for M = Mn) methods. Several unit-cell parameters for triplite LiFeSO₄F were reported (Ati et al., 2011; Tripathi et al., 2012, 2013; Kim et al., 2015; Kim and Kang, 2017). We find till now (dated to October 30, 2017) one Crystallographic Information File (CIF), i.e. ICSD 187799 (Tripathi et al., 2013), has been deposited in the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD), Germany. The corresponding triplite LiFeSO₄F was obtained through a microwave solvothermal route in an autoclave using tetraethylene glycol (TEG) as a reaction medium.

In this work, the triplite $LiFeSO_4F$ powder was synthesized through an ambient two-step solid-state route. The reaction process analysis, phase determination/refinement, galvanostatic cycling and cyclic voltammetry tests were performed.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Sample preparation

The white $FeSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ powder (labeled as OS150_60) was pre-synthesized by heating commercial $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (99⁺ wt%) at 150 °C for 60 min in argon. Then $FeSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ and LiF (99⁺ wt%) mixture, with the stoichiometric ratio of

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: gqshao@whut.edu.cn

LiFeSO₄F, was ball-milled with zirconia balls for 2 h in alcohol (99⁺ wt%), dried at 60 °C for 12 h in vacuum, and formed into pellets. Pellets were kept in alumina crucibles and calcined once or twice in argon. After ball-milling, three series of brownish gray powders were obtained: (i) in a FeSO₄ • H₂O/LiF mole ratio of 1:1 for 45 min at different temperatures (400, 450, or 500 °C); (ii) at 450 °C for 45 min in different ratios (1:1, 1:1.05, or 1:1.1 mol%); (iii) at 450 °C in a ratio of 1:1.05 in different holding time (45, 45 + 90, or 45 + 225 min), while the last two samples were calcined twice at the same temperature and well ground between. The corresponding samples were thus labeled as S400_45_1.0, S450_45_1.05, and S450_45+90_1.05 and so on.

B. Characterization

The reaction process of $FeSO_4 \cdot H_2O/LiF$ (1:1, mol%) was studied by TG-DSC-MS (STA 449F3 & QMS403 C Aëolos, Netzsch Co. Ltd, Germany) from room temperature (RT) to 600 °C. Mass spectrometer monitored all of the possible gases such as H₂O, SO₃, SO₂, SO, O₂, F₂, H₂S, and HF. Phase analysis $(5^{\circ} \le 2\theta \le 80^{\circ})$ was carried out by XRD (RU-200B/D/Max-rB, Rigaku Co. Ltd, Japan) at a scan rate of 10°/min. Crystal structure determination (5° $\leq 2\theta \leq$ 140°) was carried out using CuK α radiation ($\lambda_{\alpha 1} = 1.54060$ Å, 40 kV, 40 mA) with a diffractometer (D8 Adv., Bruker Co. Ltd, Germany) in flat plate $\theta/2\theta$ geometry at 0.0194303°/s. Testing conditions included a divergence slit of 1.0 mm, an antiscatter slit of 7.39 mm, a primary soller slit of 2.5°, a second soller slit of 2.5°, and a detector slit of 12.27 mm. The structure refinement and quantitative analvsis of phases were performed by Rietveld method implemented in GSAS/EXIGUI software (Larson et al., 2004) using the model of (Li1, Fe1)_{8f}(Li2, Fe2)_{8f}{ S_{8f} [O_{8f}]₄} F_{8f} (Tripathi et al., 2013). The LiFeSO₄F electrode and cointype cell were prepared using the same method as LiFePO₄F (Chen et al., 2014). Galvanostatic cycling was performed between 1.5 and 4.5 V using a CT2001A tester (Wuhan Land Electronics Co., Ltd., China) in a constantcurrent mode at 0.05 C. Cyclic voltammetry analysis was carried out between 2.0 and 4.5 V vs. Li/Li^+ at 0.1 mV s⁻¹ using a CHI660e system (Shanghai Chenhua Instr. Co. Ltd, China).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Reaction process FeSO₄ · H₂O/LiF mixture

Figure 1 shows TG-DSC and MS spectra of the FeSO₄• H₂O/LiF mixture from RT to 600 °C. The parallel DSC tests for three times between 420 and 530 °C are shown together. The weight loss of -9.1 wt% ranging from 250 to 350 °C and the endothermic peak at 303 °C [Figure 1(a)], as well as ion current peak of H₂O evolving at 292 °C [Figure 1(b)] can be attributed to the loss of H₂O from FeSO₄• H₂O. The rest water was removed at higher temperature which can be seen from the MS result (cf. theoretical loss of -10.6 wt%). At the same time, the tavorite LiFeSO₄• H₂O with



Figure 1. (Colour online) TG-DSC (a) and MS (b) spectra of the FeSO₄• H_2O/LiF mixture in argon from RT to 600 °C. The parallel DSC tests for three times between 420 and 530 °C are shown together.

LiF (Ati et al., 2010).

$$FeSO_4 \cdot H_2O + LiF \xrightarrow{303\,^{\circ}C,Ar} tav-LiFeSO_4F + H_2O \uparrow (1)$$

The endothermic peak around 474–485 °C is corresponding to the thermodynamically favored transformation from tav- to tri-LiFeSO₄F. The peaks in parallel tests at 458–462 °C (labeled by question marks) are incognizable for the moment which disappear in the third test [Figure 1(a)].

tav-LiFeSO₄F
$$\xrightarrow{474-485\,^{\circ}C, Ar}$$
 tri-LiFeSO₄F (2)

The calculated configurational enthalpy (ΔH), entropy (ΔS) and free energy change (ΔG) for the tav- to tri-LiFeSO₄F transformation are 2.12 ± 0.79 kJ mol⁻¹, 0.01153 kJ mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, and -1.32 ± 0.79 (25 °C)/-6.79 ± 0.79 kJ mol⁻¹ (500 °C), respectively. The positive ΔH means endothermic. The *T* ΔS arising from disorder is enough to overcome the positive ΔH , thus ΔG becomes more exothermic at higher temperature (vs. RT) to promote synthesis process (Radha *et al.*, 2012).

The tri-LiFeSO₄F has been synthesized at 250 °C for 14 days in autoclave (Tripathi *et al.*, 2012), at 350 °C for 1 h in microwave autoclave (Tripathi *et al.*, 2013), at 300 °C for 72 h in autoclave (Ati *et al.*, 2011), and at 400 °C for 1 h by a direct solid-state approach (Kim *et al.*, 2015; Kim and

Kang, 2017). Considering the thermodynamical, kinetic, and synthetic condition within experimental error, the deductive transformation temperature around 474–485 °C is reasonable. Based on the TG-DSC-MS analysis, the optimized condition to synthesize highly pure tri-LiFeSO₄F in this work is set for two-step calcination.

The endothermic peak around 501-505 °C (-6.9 wt% loss), as well as ion current peaks of SO₂ and SO evolving at 506 °C can be attributed to the decomposition of tri-LiFeSO₄F.

tri-LiFeSO₄F
$$\xrightarrow{501\,\circ\text{C, Ar}} \frac{3-x}{12}$$
 Fe₂(SO₄)₃ + $\frac{3+x}{12}$ Fe₂O₃
+ LiF + $\frac{x}{2}$ SO₂ \uparrow + $\frac{1-x}{4}$ SO \uparrow (0 < $x \le 1$)
(3)

If decomposition were completed, the weight loss would be -18.0 wt%. The "SO" is not a stable product that its appearance is most likely the result of fragmentation in MS (Ati *et al.*, 2010). The analysis result does not appear to be affected whether there was "SO" released.

The endothermic peak at 546 °C corresponds to the eutectic temperature of $Fe_2(SO_4)_3/LiF$ mixture, considering that their melting points are 480 °C and 845 °C, respectively. The latter is usually used as a component of molten salts. The ion current peaks of SO₂ and SO evolving at 565 °C may be because of two kinds of gases releasing continuously in the melt via Eq. (3). The possibility of $Fe_2(SO_4)_3$ decomposition is excluded considering its thermodynamics (Majzlan *et al.*, 2005) and no SO₃ releases.

MS tests show that there are H_2O , SO_2 , and SO released at high temperature. Gases found or deduced by other researchers such as H_2S (Ati *et al.*, 2010), HF (Ati *et al.*, 2010), and F_2 (Guo *et al.*, 2014) have not been detected. This means the final reaction products should be highly pure or major tri-LiFeSO₄F with tiny Fe₂(SO₄)₃, Fe₂O₃, and LiF [Eq. (3)].

B. Phase identification and optimization

Figure 2 shows XRD patterns for three series of powders prepared from mixtures of $FeSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ (pre-synthesized from $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$) and LiF in different conditions. Powders of Serie iii were tested at a scan rate of 0.0194303°/s, others at 0.1667°/s (10°/min). Results show that pure $FeSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ (OS150_60) is obtained by calcining $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ at 150 °C for 60 min in argon. For powders of Serie i [Figure 2(a)], the main phase of tri-LiFeSO₄F is obtained using $FeSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ / LiF (1 : 1 mol%) for 45 min at 400, 450, or 500 °C while the minor phase is Fe_2O_3 . The purity of tri-LiFeSO₄F in S450_45_1.0 (93 ± 3 wt%) is higher than that in S400_45_1.0 (87 ± 3 wt%) and S500_45_1.0 (85 ± 3 wt%).

For powders of Serie ii [Figure 2(b)], while synthesizing at 450 °C for 45 min in different ratios (1 : 1, 1 : 1.05, or 1 : 1.1 mol%), adding a little excessive LiF can effectively suppress the decomposition of reaction products [Eq. (3)]. The purity of tri-LiFeSO₄F in S450_45_1.05 (97.3 ± 1.5 wt%) is higher than that in S450_45_1.0 (93 ± 3 wt%) and S450_45_1.1 (94 ± 3 wt%).

Two-step solid-state route is then performed at 450 °C in a ratio of 1 : 1.05 in different holding time (45 min, 45 + 90 min,



Figure 2. (Colour online) XRD patterns for three series of powders prepared from mixtures of $FeSO_4 \cdot H_2O$ (OS150_60) and LiF in different conditions: (i) in a mole ratio of 1:1 for 45 min at 400, 450, or 500 °C (S400_45_1.0, S450_45_1.0, and S500_45_1.0); (ii) at 450 °C for 45 min in a ratio of 1:1, 1:1.05, or 1:1.1 (S450_45_1.0, S450_45_1.05, and S450_45_1.1); (iii) at 450 °C in a ratio of 1:1.05 for 45, 45 + 90, or 45 + 225 min (S450_45_1.05, S450_45 + 90_1.05, and S450_45 + 225_1.05). Patterns for Serie i are shown in Figure 2(a). Patterns for Series ii and iii are shown in Figure 2(b).

or 45 + 225 min), producing powders of Serie iii [Figure 2(b)]. The last two samples (S450_45 + 90_1.05 and S450_45 + 225_1.05) were calcined twice at the same temperature and well ground between. The sample S450_45 + 90_1.05 attains the highest purity of tri-LiFeSO₄F phase (98.1 ± 1.5 wt%) which are better than the two-step synthesized S450_45 + 225_1.05 (96.8 ± 1.5 wt%) and the one-step synthesized S450_45 1.05 (97.3 ± 1.5 wt%).

All of the above samples can match tri-LiFeSO₄F well without signal of tavorite. The final reaction products are highly pure or major tri-LiFeSO₄F with tiny Fe₂O₃, Fe₂(SO₄)₃, and LiF (the latter two are amorphous), also confirmed by TG-DSC-MS test. Phases found or deduced by other researchers such as FeSO₄ (Liu *et al.*, 2011; Kim and Kang, 2017), FeS₂ (Recham *et al.*, 2010), Li₂Fe(SO₄)₂ (Ati *et al.*, 2012a; Kim and Kang, 2017), Li₂SO₄ (Guo *et al.*, 2014; Kim *et al.*, 2015; Kim and Kang, 2017), have not been detected in this work.

Compared with the published investigations, this work has its distinctions: (i) shorter time, cf. 14 days (Tripathi et al., 2012); (ii) simplified equipments, cf. autoclave (Ati et al., 2011; Tripathi et al., 2012, 2013) or microwave (Tripathi et al., 2013); (iii) none organic reagents, cf. TEG (Tripathi et al., 2012, 2013) or 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium-bis-trifluoromethylsulfonyl-imide ionic liquid (Ati et al., 2011); (iv) two-step, carbon-free, and solid-state calcination, cf. one-step with impurities (Kim et al., 2015) or onestep using sucrose $(C_{12}H_{22}O_{11})$ and poly-vinylidene fluoride (Kim and Kang, 2017). Particularly, there is one CIF file of tri-LiFeSO₄F deposited in ICSD till now (ICSD 187799, dated to October 30, 2017) (Tripathi et al., 2013). The reported triplite phase was obtained through a microwave solvothermal route in an autoclave using TEG as a reaction medium, being under a more rigorous condition.

C. Structure refinement and electrochemical test

Figure 3 shows the final observed, calculated, and difference profiles of the tri-LiFeSO₄F structure $(S450_45 +$ 90 1.05) via Rietveld refinement (see the online supplementary material). The inset shows the magnified section in the range of $35-65^{\circ}$. The Li⁺-ion migration channels in the directions of [0 1 0] were shown in Figure 4(a) and in the directions of $[1 \ 0 \ 1]$ shown were in Figure 4(b), respectively. Agreement indices $(R_{\rm p}, R_{\rm wp}, \text{ and } R_{\rm F}^2)$ and goodness-of-fit (χ^2) in different Li/Fe occupancies were shown in Figure 4(c). Table I lists Rietveld refinement parameters of the tri-LiFeSO₄F structure. Results show the Rietveld refinement converges with acceptable goodness-of-fit ($\chi^2 = 1.01$) and agreement indices ($R_p =$ 1.25%, $R_{\rm wp} = 1.61\%$, $R_{\rm exp} = 1.60\%$, and $R_{\rm F}^2 = 13.9\%$). The fit results do not show any anomalies. The obtained structural and thermal parameters are reasonable and in full accord with ICSD 187799 (Tripathi et al., 2013), but with some discrepancies to another model (Ati *et al.*, 2011). The MO_4F_2 (M = Fe, Li) octahedra are alternatively composed of F-F and O-O atoms. They share edges with each other forming two crystallographically zigzag chains along the directions of [0 1 0] and [1 0 1] (Yahia et al., 2012). Two chains are connected by sharing F–O edges. The F atoms are in a cis-arrangement,



Figure 3. (Colour online) Final observed (dots), calculated (line), and difference profiles of the tri-LiFeSO₄F structure via Rietveld refinement. The inset shows the magnified section in the range of $35-65^{\circ}$.



Figure 4. (Colour online) The Li⁺-ion migration channels in the directions of [0 1 0] (a) and [1 0 1] (b), respectively. Agreement indices $(R_p, R_{wp}, \text{and } R_F^2)$ and goodness-of-fit (χ^2) in different Li/Fe occupancies (c).

in contrast with the corner-shared tavorite isomorph (*trans* F–F). The SO₄ tetrahedra only share corners with other polyhedral (Chung *et al.*, 2012). The move in the [0 1 0] direction is partly restricted, unlike the tav-LiFeSO₄F and resulted in a modest conductivity. There is significant disorder of Li and Fe on two sites [Figure 4(c)], while Li has a preference toward site 2 [0.450(6)–Li1/0.550(6)–Li2] and Fe toward site 1 [0.550(6)–Fe1/0.450(6)–Fe2]. But Li and Fe atoms are distributed in a 50–50 proportion in the tav-LiFeSO₄F (Recham *et al.*, 2010). The entropy associated with the disordered nature of Li and Fe sites results in triplite being the

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0885715618000040 Published online by Cambridge University Press

TABLE I. Rietveld refinement parameters of the tri-LiFeSO₄F structure.

Ions	Туре	Wyckoff	x	У	z	Occ.	$U_{\rm iso}$ (Å ²)
Li ⁺ /Fe ²⁺	Li1/Fe1	8 <i>f</i>	0.6458 (8)	0.8965 (17)	0.8493 (10)	0.450 (6)/0.550 (6)	0.009 (2)
Li ⁺ /Fe ²⁺	Li2/Fe2	8 <i>f</i>	0.9474 (10)	0.2467 (20)	-0.0012 (14)	0.550 (6)/0.450 (6)	0.010 (3)
S ⁶⁺	S1	8 <i>f</i>	0.3290 (11)	0.5909 (19)	0.1977 (14)	1	0.012 (1)
F^{-}	F1	8 <i>f</i>	0.9858 (13)	0.3917 (20)	0.6119 (14)	1	0.017 (2)
O^{2-}	01	8 <i>f</i>	0.2185 (10)	0.6373 (20)	0.2015 (11)	1	0.011 (5)
O^{2-}	O2	8 <i>f</i>	0.5758 (10)	0.5314 (16)	0.1368 (13)	1	0.011 (5)
O^{2-}	O3	8 <i>f</i>	0.6887 (8)	0.4184 (20)	0.4141 (11)	1	0.019 (5)
O^{2-}	O4	8 <i>f</i>	0.6391 (16)	0.7694 (12)	0.3594 (20)	1	0.013 (6)
χ^2	$R_{\rm p}$	\hat{R}_{wp}	R _{exp}	$R_{\rm F}^2$	Nobs	N _{constr.}	
1.01	1.25%	1.61%	1.60%	13.9%	674	10	

Space group: C2/c (No.15); monoclinic; Z = 8; M_r = 177.84; $\rho_{cal.}$ = 3.3128 g cm⁻³.

a = 13.0367(4) Å; b = 6.3976(1) Å; c = 9.8425(6) Å; $\beta = 119.6876(17)$; V = 713.15(2) Å³.



Figure 5. (Colour online) Charge/discharge profile of the tri-LiFeSO₄F cell in the first cycle at 0.05 C. The inset (a) and (b) show the corresponding differential capacity plot and cyclic voltammogram in which cycle numbers are indicated, respectively.

thermodynamically preferred polymorph of LiFeSO₄F (Radha *et al.*, 2012; Tripathi *et al.*, 2012, 2013). The intrinsic disorder in the precursor with hydroxyl groups, which decomposes upon solid-state conversion, favors the produce of triplite phase; and two-step calcination favors the transformation for hydroxyl groups to tavorite then to triplite, because it occurs very slowly (Tripathi *et al.*, 2013).

The voltage plateau on 3.9 V in the initial charge/discharge profile (Figure 5), and a pair of peaks in the differential capacity plot [the inset (a)] and cyclic voltammogram [the inset (b)] around 3.9 V are in good agreement with the Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} redox couple of tri-LiFeSO₄F. Results support those reported from charge/discharge profiles and differential capacity plots (Ati et al., 2011, 2012a; Barpanda et al., 2011a; Tripathi et al., 2012, 2013; Kim et al., 2015; Kim and Kang, 2017). The unexpected peak of 3.6 V [the insets (a) and (b)] may belong to the tavorite LiFeSO₄F phase (Ati et al., 2010; Recham et al., 2010; Yahia et al., 2012), even though the XRD had not detected it. Maybe this is because that the cyclic voltammogram test (none reports before) is more sensitive than the XRD. Besides, it seems to exist a trace $LiFeSO_4F_{1-r}(OH)_r$ phase with a couple centered at 3.3 V [the inset (a)], which could be attributed to the preparation process of powder (Ati *et al.*, 2012b), electrode, and cell with trace water from an external environment (Ati *et al.*, 2010). Deep research on the relationship among the phase purity, preparation, and electrochemical performance is under proceeding. The triplite has a higher operating potential than the tavorite because its vacancy destabilizing effect of Li⁺-ion is larger. Under the edge-sharing geometry, the effect occurs because of strong Fe³⁺ · · · Fe³⁺ repulsive interaction around the vacancy (Ati *et al.*, 2012a; Chung *et al.*, 2012; Lee and Park, 2014).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The Rietveld refinement of triplite LiFeSO₄F explains the relation of high operating potential and modest conductivity to the structure, which is confirmed by the electrochemical test. The disorder of Li and Fe is also confirmed which is associated with entropy. The latter results in triplite being the thermodynamically preferred polymorph of LiFeSO₄F.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0885715618000040.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge J.-H. Liu, D. Chen, and B. Li in their group, and Professor B.-L. Wu in GUT. This work was supported by Research Foundation of State Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Materials Synthesis and Processing, WUT, China (Grant Nos. 2015-KF-4 and 2016-KF-4).

APPENDIX

Further details of the crystal structure investigation may be obtained from FIZ Karlsruhe–Leibniz Institute for Information Infrastructure, 76344 Eggenstein–Leopoldshafen, Germany (https://www.fiz-karlsruhe.de/en/leistungen/kristallographie/kristallstrukturdepot.html) on quoting the appropriate CSD number (G.-Q. Shao *et al.*, The crystal structure of triplite LiFeSO₄F, CSD 432778, 2017.3.20).

- Amin, R., Balaya, P., and Maier, J. (2007). "Anisotropy of electronic and ionic transport in LiFePO₄ single crystals," Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 10, A13–A16.
- Ati, M., Walker, W. T., Djellab, K., Armand, M., Recham, N., and Tarascon, J.-M. (2010). "Fluorosulfate positive electrode materials made with polymers as reacting media," Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 13, A150–A153.
- Ati, M., Melot, B. C., Chotard, J. N., Rousse, G., Reynaud, M., and Tarascon, J. M. (2011). "Synthesis and electrochemical properties of pure LiFeSO₄F in the triplite structure," Electrochem. Commun. 13, 1280–1283.
- Ati, M., Sathiya, M., Boulineau, S., Reynaud, M., Abakumov, A., Rousse, G., Melot, B., Van Tendeloo, G., and Tarascon, J.-M. (2012a).
 "Understanding and promoting the rapid preparation of the triplite-phase of LiFeSO₄F for use as a large-potential Fe cathode," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 134, 18380–18387.
- Ati, M., Sougrati, M. T., Rousse, G., Recham, N., Doublet, M. L., Jumas, J. C., and Tarascon, J. M. (**2012b**). "Single-step synthesis of $FeSO_4F_{1-y}OH_y$ $(0 \le y \le 1)$ positive electrodes for Li-based batteries," Chem. Mater. **24**, 1472–1485.
- Barker, J., Gover, R. K. B., Burns, P., and Bryan, A. (2005). "A symmetrical lithium-ion cell based on lithium vanadium fluorophosphate, LiVPO₄F," Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 8, A285–A287.
- Barpanda, P., Recham, N., Chotard, J.-N., Djellab, K., Walker, W., Armand, M., and Tarascon, J.-M. (**2010**). "Structure and electrochemical properties of novel mixed Li($Fe_{1-x}M_x$)SO₄F (*M*=Co, Ni, Mn) phases fabricated by low temperature ionothermal synthesis," J. Mater. Chem. **20**, 1659–1820.
- Barpanda, P., Ati, M., Melot, B. C., Rousse, G., Chotard, J.-N., Doublet, M.-L., Sougrati, M. T., Corr, S. A., Jumas, J.-C., and Tarascon, J.-M. (2011a). "A 3.90 V iron-based fluorosulphate material for lithium-ion batteries crystallizing in the triplite structure," Nat. Mater. 10, 772–779.
- Barpanda, P., Chotard, J. N., Delacourt, C., Reynaud, M., Filinchuk, Y., Armand, M., Deschamps, M., and Tarascon, J. M. (2011b). "LiZnSO₄F made in an ionic liquid: a ceramic electrolyte composite for solid-state lithium batteries," Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 50, 2526–2531.
- Cai, Y., Chen, G., Xu, X., Du, F., Li, Z., Meng, X., Wang, C., and Wei, Y. (2011). "First-principles calculations on the LiMSO₄F/MSO₄F (M=Fe, Co, and Ni) systems," J. Phys. Chem. C 115, 7032–7037.
- Chen, D., Shao, G.-Q., Li, B., Zhao, G.-G., Li, J., Liu, J.-H., Gao, Z.-S., and Zhang, H.-F. (2014). "Synthesis, crystal structure and electrochemical properties of LiFePO₄F cathode material for Li-ion batteries," Electrochim. Acta 147, 663–668.
- Chung, S. C., Barpanda, P., Nishimura, S. I., Yamada, Y., and Yamada, A. (2012). "Polymorphs of LiFeSO₄F as cathode materials for lithium ion batteries – a first principle computational study," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 14, 8678–8682.
- Dong, J., Yu, X., Sun, Y., Liu, L., Yang, X., and Huang, X. (2013). "Triplite LiFeSO₄F as cathode material for Li-ion batteries," J. Power Sources 244, 716–720.

- Eriksson, R., Sobkowiak, A., Ångström, J., Sahlberg, M., Gustafsson, T., Edström, K., and Björefors, F. (2015). "Formation of tavorite-type LiFeSO₄F followed by *in situ* X-ray diffraction," J. Power Sources 298, 363–368.
- Girish, H.-N., and Shao, G.-Q. (2015). "Advances in high-capacity Li₂MSiO₄ (*M*=Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, ...) cathode materials for lithium-ion batteries," RSC Adv. 5, 98666–98686.
- Guo, Z., Wei, Y., Zhang, D., Bie, X., Zhang, Y., Zhu, K., Zhang, R., and Chen, G. (2014). "Excellent thermal stability of tavorite Li_xFeSO₄F used as a cathode material for lithium ion batteries," RSC Adv. 4, 64200–64203.
- Jalem, R., Nakayama, M., and Kasuga, T. (2014). "Lithium ion conduction in tavorite-type LiMXO₄F (*M*–X: AlP, MgS) candidate solid electrolyte materials," Solid State Ion. 262, 589–592.
- Kim, M., and Kang, B. (2017). "Highly-pure triplite 3.9 V-LiFeSO₄F synthesized by a single-step solid-state process and its high electrochemical performance," Electrochim. Acta 228, 160–166.
- Kim, M., Jung, Y., and Kang, B. (2015). "High electrochemical performance of 3.9 V LiFeSO₄F directly synthesized by a scalable solid-state reaction within 1 h," J. Mater. Chem. A 3, 7583–7590.
- Larson, A. C., and Von Dreele, R. B. (2004). "General Structure Analysis System (GSAS) (Report LAUR 86-748) (Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico).
- Lee, S., and Park, S. S. (2014). "Comparative study of tavorite and triplite LiFeSO₄F as cathode materials for lithium ion batteries: structure, defect chemistry, and lithium conduction properties from atomistic simulation," J. Phys. Chem. C 118, 12642–12648.
- Liu, L., Zhang, B., and Huang, X.-j. (2011). "A 3.9V polyanion-type cathode material for Li-ion batteries," Prog. Nat. Sci. Mater. Int. 21, 211–215.
- Majzlan, J., Navrotsky, A., Stevens, R., Donaldson, M., Woodfield, B. F., and Boerio-Goates, J. (2005). "Thermodynamics of monoclinic Fe₂(SO₄)₃," J. Chem. Thermodyn. 37, 802–809.
- Padhi, A. K., Nanjundaswamy, K. S., and Goodenough, J. B. (1997). "Phospho-olivines as positive-electrode materials for rechargeable lithium batteries," J. Electrochem. Soc. 144, 1188–1194.
- Prabu, M., Reddy, M. V., Selvasekarapandian, S., Rao, G. V. S., and Chowdari, B. V. R. (2012). "Synthesis, impedance and electrochemical studies of lithium iron fluorophosphate, LiFePO₄F cathode," Electrochim. Acta 85, 572–578.
- Radha, A. V., Furman, J. D., Ati, M., Melot, B. C., Tarascon, J. M., and Navrotsky, A. (**2012**). "Understanding the stability of fluorosulfate Li-ion battery cathode materials: a thermochemical study of $\text{LiFe}_{1-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{SO}_4\text{F}$ $(0 \le x \le 1)$ polymorphs," J. Mater. Chem. **22**, 24446–24452.
- Ramesh, T. N., Lee, K. T., Ellis, B. L., and Nazar, L. F. (2010). "Tavorite lithium iron fluorophosphate cathode materials: phase transition and electrochemistry of LiFePO₄F-Li₂FePO₄F," Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 13, A43–A47.
- Ramzan, M., Lebègue, S., and Ahuja, R. (2010). "Crystal and electronic structures of lithium fluorosulphate based materials for lithium-ion batteries," Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter 82, 125101–125105.
- Recham, N., Chotard, J.-N., Dupont, L., Delacourt, C., Walker, W., Armand, M., and Tarascon, J.-M. (2010). "A 3.6 V lithium-based fluorosulphate insertion positive electrode for lithium-ion batteries," Nat. Mater. 9, 68–74.
- Salanne, M., Marrocchelli, D., and Watson, G. W. (2012). "Cooperative mechanism for the diffusion of Li⁺ ions in LiMgSO₄F," J. Phys. Chem. C 116, 18618–18625.
- Sebastian, L., Gopalakrishnan, J., and Piffard, Y. (2002). "Synthesis, crystal structure and lithium ion conductivity of LiMgFSO₄," J. Mater. Chem. 12, 374–377.
- Sobkowiak, A., Roberts, M. R., Häggström, L., Ericsson, T., Andersson, A. M., Edström, K., Gustafsson, T., and Björefors, F. (2014). "Identification of an intermediate phase, Li_{1/2}FeSO₄F, formed during electrochemical cycling of tavorite LiFeSO₄F," Chem. Mater. 26, 4620–4628.
- Tripathi, R., Popov, G., Ellis, B. L., Huq, A., and Nazar, L. F. (2012). "Lithium metal fluorosulfate polymorphs as positive electrodes for Li-ion batteries: synthetic strategies and effect of cation ordering," Energy Environ. Sci. 5, 6238–6246.
- Tripathi, R., Popov, G., Sun, X., Ryan, D. H., and Nazar, L. F. (2013). "Ultra-rapid microwave synthesis of triplite LiFeSO₄F," J. Mater. Chem. A 1, 2990–2994.
- Yahia, M. B., Lemoigno, F., Rousse, G., Boucher, F., Tarascon, J.-M., and Doublet, M.-L. (2012). "Origin of the 3.6 V to 3.9 V voltage increase in the LiFeSO₄F cathodes for Li-ion batteries," Energy Environ. Sci. 5, 9584–9594.