

Combinatorial independence and naive entropy

HANFENG LI†‡ and ZHEN RONG§

† Center of Mathematics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, China

‡ Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260-2900, USA

(e-mail: hfli@math.buffalo.edu)

§ College of Statistics and Mathematics, Inner Mongolia University of Finance and

Economics, Hohhot 010000, China

(e-mail: rongzhen@cqu.edu.cn)

(Received 9 January 2019 and accepted in revised form 10 April 2020)

Abstract. We study the independence density for finite families of finite tuples of sets for continuous actions of discrete groups on compact metrizable spaces. We use it to show that actions with positive naive entropy are Li–Yorke chaotic and untame. In particular, distal actions have zero naive entropy. This answers a question of Lewis Bowen.

Key words: naive entropy, combinatorial independence, Li–Yorke chaos, distal action, tame action

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37B40, 37B05 (Primary); 37A35, 05D10 (Secondary)

1. Introduction

Let a countably infinite group Γ act on a compact metrizable space X continuously. Motivated by the consideration in [7] for the naive entropy of measure-preserving actions, Burton introduced the *naive topological entropy* of $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ in [8]. This is also studied in [11]. For a finite open cover \mathcal{U} of X , denote by $N(\mathcal{U})$ the minimal cardinality of subcovers of \mathcal{U} . For any non-empty finite subset F of Γ , set $\mathcal{U}^F = \bigvee_{s \in F} s^{-1}\mathcal{U}$. The naive entropy of \mathcal{U} is defined as

$$h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma, \mathcal{U}) := \inf_F \frac{1}{|F|} \log N(\mathcal{U}^F),$$

where F ranges over non-empty finite subsets of Γ . The naive entropy of $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is defined as

$$h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X) := \sup_{\mathcal{U}} h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma, \mathcal{U})$$

for \mathcal{U} ranging over finite open covers of X .

It is known that the naive entropy $h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ coincides with the classical topological entropy when Γ is amenable [11, Theorem 6.8]. When Γ is sofic, if $h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X) = 0$, then

the sofic topological entropy of $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ with respect to any sofic approximation sequence of Γ is either $-\infty$ or 0 (see [8, Theorem 1.1] and [34, Propositions 4.6 and 4.16]). When Γ is non-amenable, $h^{\text{sof}}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ is either 0 or ∞ [8, Section 2.2]. Thus, for non-amenable Γ , the naive entropy just describes the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ as having positive entropy or zero entropy.

Initiated by the work of Blanchard [3, 4], the local entropy theory developed quickly [5, 6, 10, 12, 23, 25–29, 32–35]. A combinatorial approach was given to this theory in [32]. It turns out that the combinatorial approach enables us to give a unified treatment for several dynamical properties. In general, one considers tuples of subsets of X which have large independence sets in Γ (see Definition 2.1 below) and then localizes to tuples of points in X for which the tuple of subsets associated to any product neighborhood has large independence sets. Different largeness then corresponds to different dynamical properties. For instance, positive density corresponds to positive entropy for actions of amenable groups [27, 32, 35], infinite sets correspond to untameness [32, 35], and arbitrary large finite sets correspond to non-nullness [32]. The correspondence between positive density and positive entropy also holds for actions of sofic groups [34, 35], though the density is defined using the sofic approximation sequence instead.

A natural question is whether positive naive entropy can be studied using combinatorial independence. Indeed a notion of density was introduced for tuples of subsets for actions of any group in [34, Definition 3.1], and the corresponding type of tuples of points in X was also introduced in [34, Definition 3.2]. However, in general it is impossible to localize positive density from tuples of subsets to a tuple of points (see Proposition 5.2). The novelty in this paper is that we shall stay at the level of tuples of subsets and consider finite families of tuples of subsets instead of a single tuple (see Definition 2.2). It turns out that this characterizes positive naive entropy (Theorem 2.5) and we can use it to obtain some interesting properties of actions with positive naive entropy.

The action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is said to be *Li–Yorke chaotic* [6, 39] if there is an uncountable set $Y \subseteq X$ such that for any distinct $x, y \in Y$, one has

$$\limsup_{\Gamma \ni s \rightarrow \infty} \rho(sx, sy) > 0 \text{ and } \liminf_{\Gamma \ni s \rightarrow \infty} \rho(sx, sy) = 0,$$

where ρ is any given compatible metric on X . Using measure-dynamical techniques, Blanchard *et al* showed first that positive entropy implies Li–Yorke chaos for continuous maps [6]. This was extended to actions of amenable groups [32, Corollary 3.19] and sofic groups [34, Corollary 8.4] using combinatorial independence. Here using independence density for finite families of tuples of subsets we extend this implication to actions of all groups.

THEOREM 1.1. *For any countably infinite group Γ , any continuous action of Γ on a compact metrizable space with positive naive entropy is Li–Yorke chaotic.*

For sofic groups, in fact Theorem 1.1 is stronger than [34, Corollary 8.4] since there are actions with zero sofic entropy but positive naive entropy (see the discussion at the end of § 5).

For any Γ -invariant Borel probability measure μ on X , Bowen introduced the *naive entropy* (see [7, Definition 7] and [8, Definition 2.2]) of the measure-preserving action

$\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ by

$$h_{\mu}^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X) := \sup_{\mathcal{P}} \inf_F \frac{1}{|F|} H_{\mu}(\mathcal{P}^F),$$

where \mathcal{P} ranges over finite Borel partitions of X and F ranges over non-empty finite subsets of Γ . Here, for a finite Borel partition \mathcal{Q} of X , $H_{\mu}(\mathcal{Q})$ denotes the Shannon entropy $-\sum_{q \in \mathcal{Q}} \mu(q) \log \mu(q)$. It is easy to check that when Γ is amenable, $h_{\mu}^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ coincides with the classical Kolmogorov–Sinai entropy (see [11, Theorem 4.2] and [35, page 198]). When Γ is non-amenable, $h_{\mu}^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ is either 0 or $+\infty$ [7, Theorem 2.13]. Burton showed that one always has $h_{\mu}^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X) \leq h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ [8, Theorem 1.3].

The action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is called *distal* [2] if for any distinct $x, y \in X$ one has $\inf_{s \in \Gamma} \rho(sx, sy) > 0$, where ρ is any given compatible metric on X . Parry showed first that distal actions of \mathbb{Z} have zero entropy [41]. Since distal actions cannot be Li–Yorke chaotic, it was observed in [34, Corollary 8.5] that distal actions of sofic groups have sofic entropy either $-\infty$ or 0. Via reduction to actions of \mathbb{Z} , Burton [8, Example 2.2] showed that if Γ contains an element with infinite order, then any distal action of Γ has zero naive entropy. From Theorem 1.1 and the above paragraph, we conclude that this holds for all groups, which answers a question of Bowen [7, Question 8].

COROLLARY 1.2. *For any countably infinite group Γ , any distal continuous action of Γ on a compact metrizable space X has zero naive topological entropy. If μ is a Γ -invariant Borel probability measure on X , then the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ also has zero naive entropy.*

The notion of tame actions was introduced by Köhler [36] motivated by Rosenthal’s characterization of Banach spaces containing ℓ^1 [44] and is well studied [1, 9, 13–22, 24, 30, 32, 35, 38, 43]. Denote by $C(X)$ the space of all continuous \mathbb{R} -valued functions on X equipped with the supremum norm. The action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is said to be *untame* if there are some $f \in C(X)$ and some infinite subset H of Γ such that the map $\delta_s \mapsto sf$ for $s \in H$ extends to a linear Banach space isomorphism from $\ell^1(H)$ to the closed linear span of sf for $s \in H$ in $C(X)$. Tameness can also be characterized in terms of the Ellis semigroup of $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$. Using combinatorial independence it was shown that positive entropy actions are untame for amenable groups [32] and sofic groups [34]. Here we extend it to all groups in the context of naive entropy.

THEOREM 1.3. *For any countably infinite group Γ , tame continuous actions on compact metrizable spaces have zero naive entropy.*

This paper is organized as follows. We introduce the independence density for finite families of subsets in §2 and show that positive independence density characterizes positive naive entropy. Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are proved in §3 and 4, respectively. In §5 we exhibit an action with positive naive entropy but no non-diagonal orbit IE-pairs. This example shows that in general one cannot localize positive density from tuples of subsets to a tuple of points.

Throughout this article, Γ will be a countably infinite discrete group with identity element e_{Γ} , and we fix a continuous action of Γ on a compact metrizable space X . For any set H we denote by $\mathcal{F}(H)$ the set of non-empty finite subsets of H . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we write $[n]$ for $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

2. Independence density for families of tuples

In this section we introduce the independence density for finite families of subsets and prove Theorem 2.5.

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by \mathcal{A}_k the space of all k -tuples of subsets of X . Set $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_k$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\geq m} = \bigcup_{k \geq m} \mathcal{A}_k$.

Recall the notion of independence sets introduced in [32, Definition 2.1] (see also [35, Definition 8.7]).

Definition 2.1. For any $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}$, we say that $J \subseteq \Gamma$ is an *independence set* for \mathbf{A} if for any non-empty finite set $F \subseteq J$ and any map $\omega : F \rightarrow [k]$ one has $\bigcap_{s \in F} s^{-1}A_{\omega(s)} \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 2.2. For any finite $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we define the *independence density* of \mathcal{A} to be the largest $q \geq 0$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ there are some $J \subseteq F$ with $|J| \geq q|F|$ and some $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ so that J is an independence set for \mathbf{A} .

When \mathcal{A} consists of a single tuple, Definition 2.2 reduces to [34, Definition 3.1].

We say that $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ is *pairwise disjoint* (respectively *closed*) if the sets A_1, \dots, A_k are pairwise disjoint (respectively closed). We say that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is *pairwise disjoint* (respectively *closed*) if each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ is pairwise disjoint (respectively closed).

For covers \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} of X , we denote by $\mathcal{U} \vee \mathcal{V}$ the cover of X consisting of $U \cap V$ for $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$. We say that \mathcal{U} is *finer than* \mathcal{V} if every item of \mathcal{U} is contained in some item of \mathcal{V} . The following lemma is well known; see for example the proofs of [4, Proposition 1] or [35, Lemma 12.11].

LEMMA 2.3. For any finite open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and two-element open covers $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$ of X such that $\bigvee_{j=1}^n \mathcal{U}_j$ is finer than \mathcal{U} .

Let $k \geq 2$ and let Z be a non-empty finite set. Let \mathcal{W} be the cover of $\{0, 1, \dots, k\}^Z = \prod_{z \in Z} \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ consisting of subsets of the form $\prod_{z \in Z} (\{0, 1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i_z\})$, where $i_z \in [k]$ for each $z \in Z$. For a set $S \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, k\}^Z$ we write N_S for the minimal number of sets in \mathcal{W} needed to cover S . The following is the major combinatorial fact we need (see [32, Lemma 3.3] and [35, Lemma 12.13]).

LEMMA 2.4. Let $k \geq 2$ and $b > 0$. There exists $c > 0$ depending only on k and b such that for any finite set Z and $S \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, k\}^Z$ with $N_S \geq k^{b|Z|}$ there is a $J \subseteq Z$ with $|J| \geq c|Z|$ and $S|_J \supseteq [k]^J$.

The following theorem characterizes positive naive entropy in terms of finite pairwise disjoint closed families with positive independence density.

THEOREM 2.5. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $h^{nv}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X) > 0$;
- (2) there is a finite pairwise disjoint closed $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2$ with positive independence density;
- (3) there is a finite pairwise disjoint closed $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\geq 2}$ with positive independence density.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that $h^{nv}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X) > 0$. Then $h^{nv}(\Gamma, \mathcal{U}) > 0$ for some finite open cover \mathcal{U} of X . By Lemma 2.3, we can find two-element open covers $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$ of X

such that $\bigvee_{j=1}^n \mathcal{U}_j$ is finer than \mathcal{U} . We may assume that none of \mathcal{U}_j contains X . For each $1 \leq j \leq n$, write \mathcal{U}_j as $\{U_{j,1}, U_{j,2}\}$ and set $\mathbf{A}_j = (X \setminus U_{j,1}, X \setminus U_{j,2}) \in \mathcal{A}_2$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2$ is finite pairwise disjoint and closed. We claim that \mathcal{A} has positive independence density. Set $b := h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma, \mathcal{U}) / (n \log 2) > 0$. Then we have the constant $c > 0$ in Lemma 2.4 depending only on $k = 2$ and b . Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$. Then

$$h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma, \mathcal{U})|F| \leq \log N(\mathcal{U}^F) \leq \log N\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^n \mathcal{U}_j^F\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \log N(\mathcal{U}_j^F).$$

Thus, there is some $1 \leq j \leq n$ with $\frac{h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma, \mathcal{U})}{n}|F| \leq \log N(\mathcal{U}_j^F)$. Consider the map $\varphi : X \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}^F$ defined by

$$(\varphi(x))(s) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } sx \in X \setminus U_{j,i} \text{ for some } i \in [2], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $N_{\varphi(X)} = N(\mathcal{U}_j^F) \geq 2^{b|F|}$. Therefore, there is some $J \subseteq F$ with $|J| \geq c|F|$ and $\varphi(X)|_J \supseteq [2]^J$. Then J is an independence set for \mathbf{A}_j . Thus, \mathcal{A} has independence density at least c .

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is trivial.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\geq 2}$ be finite pairwise disjoint closed with independence density $q > 0$. For each $1 \leq j \leq n$, write \mathbf{A}_j as $(A_{j,1}, \dots, A_{j,k_j})$ and set $V_j = X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_j} A_{j,i}$ and $\mathcal{U}_j = \{A_{j,1} \cup V_j, \dots, A_{j,k_j} \cup V_j\}$. Then $\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$ are finite open covers of X . Set $\mathcal{U} = \bigvee_{j=1}^n \mathcal{U}_j$. We claim that $h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma, \mathcal{U}) > 0$. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$. Then there are some $J \subseteq F$ with $|J| \geq q|F|$ and some $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that J is an independence set for \mathbf{A}_j . We have

$$N(\mathcal{U}^F) \geq N(\mathcal{U}_j^F) \geq N(\mathcal{U}_j^J) \geq k_j^{|J|}$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{|F|} \log N(\mathcal{U}^F) \geq \frac{|J|}{|F|} \log k_j \geq q \log k_j \geq q \log 2.$$

Therefore, $h^{\text{nv}}(\Gamma, \mathcal{U}) \geq q \log 2 > 0$. □

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. We say that $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a *simple splitting* of \mathcal{A} if there are some $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$ and some $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $A_j = A_{j,1} \cup A_{j,2}$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}' = (\mathcal{A} \setminus \{\mathbf{A}\}) \cup \{(A_1, \dots, A_{j-1}, A_{j,1}, A_{j+1}, \dots, A_k), (A_1, \dots, A_{j-1}, A_{j,2}, A_{j+1}, \dots, A_k)\}.$$

We say that $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a *splitting* of \mathcal{A} if there are $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m = \mathcal{A}'$ such that \mathcal{A}_{j+1} is a simple splitting of \mathcal{A}_j for all $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$. Clearly splittings of pairwise disjoint families are still pairwise disjoint.

We need the following lemma (see [32, Lemma 3.7] and [35, Lemma 12.16]), which is a consequence of Karpovsky and Milman’s generalization of the Sauer–Perles–Shelah lemma [31, 45, 47].

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $k \geq 1$. Then there is some $c > 0$ depending only on k such that for any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}_k$, any simple splitting $\{\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2\}$ of $\{\mathbf{A}\}$, and any finite independence set J for \mathbf{A} , there is an $I \subseteq J$ such that $|I| \geq c|J|$ and I is an independence set for at least one of \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 .*

From Lemma 2.6, we see that for any finite $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with positive independence density, every simple splitting of \mathcal{A} has positive independence density. Via induction we get the following result.

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be finite with positive independence density. Then every splitting of \mathcal{A} has positive independence density.*

3. Positive independence density and Li–Yorke chaos

In this section we prove Theorem 3.4, which shows that positive independence density implies Li–Yorke chaos.

Notation 3.1. Let $E \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$. For $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k$, we write \mathbf{A}^E for the tuple in $\mathcal{A}_{k|E|}$ consisting of $\cap_{s \in E} s^{-1} A_{\omega(s)}$ for all $\omega \in [k]^E$ in any order.

For $K, E \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$, we say that E is K -separated if the sets Kt for $t \in E$ are pairwise disjoint. The following lemma is an analogue of [34, Lemma 8.2].

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be finite with positive independence density. Let $K \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$. Then there is some finite $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with positive independence density such that each element of \mathcal{A}' is of the form \mathbf{A}^E for some $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ and some K -separated $E \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma \setminus K)$ with $|E| = 2$.*

Proof. Denote by q the independence density of \mathcal{A} . Take a K -separated $E \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma \setminus K)$ with $q|E| \geq 2$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$. Take a maximal E -separated subset F' of F . Then $E^{-1}EF' \supseteq F$ and hence

$$|F'| \geq |F|/|E|^2.$$

Note that $|EF'| = |E| \cdot |F'|$. By assumption we can find a $J \subseteq EF'$ with $|J| \geq q|EF'|$ and some $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that J is an independence set for \mathbf{A} . For each $t \in E$, set $J_t = t^{-1}(J \cap tF') \subseteq F'$. Since $J \cap tF'$ for $t \in E$ is a partition of J , we have

$$\sum_{t \in E} |J_t| = \sum_{t \in E} |J \cap tF'| = |J| \geq q|EF'| = q|E| \cdot |F'| \geq 2|F'|.$$

Denote by η the maximum of $|J_s \cap J_t|/|F'|$ for s, t ranging over distinct elements of E . Then for each $t \in E$ there is some $W_t \subseteq J_t$ with $|W_t| \leq \eta|F'| \cdot |E|$ such that the sets $J_t \setminus W_t$ for $t \in E$ are pairwise disjoint. Thus,

$$2|F'| \leq \sum_{t \in E} |J_t| = \sum_{t \in E} |W_t| + \left| \bigcup_{t \in E} (J_t \setminus W_t) \right| \leq \eta|F'| \cdot |E|^2 + |F'|$$

and hence $\eta \geq 1/|E|^2$. Then we can find distinct $s, t \in E$ with

$$|J_s \cap J_t| = \eta|F'| \geq |F'|/|E|^2 \geq |F|/|E|^4.$$

Note that $t(J_s \cap J_t) \cup s(J_s \cap J_t) \subseteq J$ and $t(J_s \cap J_t) \cap s(J_s \cap J_t) \subseteq tF' \cap sF' = \emptyset$. Thus, $J_s \cap J_t$ is an independence set for $\mathbf{A}^{[s,t]}$. Therefore, the set \mathcal{A}' consisting of $\mathbf{A}^{[s,t]}$ for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ and distinct $s, t \in E$ has independence density at least $1/|E|^4$. □

From Lemma 3.2, via induction on n , we have the following result.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be finite with positive independence density. Let $K \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is some finite $\mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with positive independence density such that each element of \mathcal{A}' is of the form \mathbf{A}^E for some $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ and some K -separated $E \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma \setminus K)$ with $|E| = 2^n$.*

Fix a compatible metric ρ on X . For $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k$, we set

$$\text{diam}(\mathbf{A}, \rho) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \text{diam}(A_j, \rho).$$

For finite $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, we set

$$\text{diam}(\mathcal{A}, \rho) = \max_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}} \text{diam}(\mathbf{A}, \rho).$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, clearly every finite closed $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ has a closed splitting with diameter at most ε .

For $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}_k$, we set $|\mathbf{A}| = k$. For $s \in \Gamma$ and $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k$, we set $s\mathbf{A} = (sA_1, \dots, sA_k) \in \mathcal{A}_k$. The following is an analogue of [32, Theorem 3.18] and [34, Theorem 8.1].

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\geq 2}$ be finite pairwise disjoint closed with positive independence density. Then there are some $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ and a Cantor set Z contained in the union of the entries of \mathbf{A} such that for any finite set $Y \subseteq Z$ and any map $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ one has*

$$\liminf_{\Gamma \ni s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{y \in Y} \rho(sy, f(y)) = 0.$$

Proof. Take an increasing sequence $\{e_\Gamma\} \subseteq K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$ of finite subsets of Γ with union Γ . We shall construct, via induction on m , finite $\mathcal{A}_m \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with the following properties:

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}$;
- (2) for every $m \geq 2$, there are maps $\pi_m : \mathcal{A}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{m-1}$ and $\zeta_m : \mathcal{A}_m \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that for every $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}_m$ one has $|\mathbf{A}| = 2|\pi_m(\mathbf{A})|$ and each entry of $\pi_m(\mathbf{A})$ contains exactly two entries of $\zeta_m(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{A}$;
- (3) when $m \geq 2$, for every $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}_m$ defining $\xi_m(\mathbf{A}) \in \Gamma$ by $s_j = \zeta_j \pi_{j+1} \pi_{j+2} \dots \pi_m(\mathbf{A})$ for all $2 \leq j \leq m$ and $\xi_m(\mathbf{A}) = s_2 \dots s_m$, we have $\text{diam}(\xi_m(\mathbf{A})\mathbf{A}, \rho) \leq 2^{-m}$;
- (4) when $m \geq 2$, for every $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}_m$, writing $\pi_m(\mathbf{A}) = (B_1, \dots, B_\ell)$ and $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_{2\ell})$, for any map $\gamma : [2\ell] \rightarrow [\ell]$, there is some

$$u \in \Gamma \setminus \xi_{m-1}(\mathcal{A}_{m-1})^{-1} K_{m-1} \xi_{m-1}(\mathcal{A}_{m-1}) \zeta_m(\mathbf{A})$$

such that $uA_j \subseteq B_{\gamma(j)}$ for all $j \in [2\ell]$, where $\xi_1(A_1) = \{e_\Gamma\}$;

- (5) for every m , \mathcal{A}_m is pairwise disjoint and closed;
- (6) for every m , \mathcal{A}_m has positive independence density.

Suppose that we have constructed such \mathcal{A}_m over all m . Removing the elements of \mathcal{A}_m with some empty entry, we may assume that the entries of the elements of each \mathcal{A}_m are all non-empty. Since each \mathcal{A}_m is non-empty and finite, the inverse limit space $\lim_{\leftarrow m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_m$ for the maps π_m is non-empty. Thus, we can find $\mathbf{A}_m \in \mathcal{A}_m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\pi_{m+1}(\mathbf{A}_{m+1}) = \mathbf{A}_m$ for all m . For any $m \geq 2$, set $\mathbf{A}'_m = \xi_m(\mathbf{A}_m)\mathbf{A}_m$. Then, for each $m \geq 2$, $\mathbf{A}'_m \in \mathcal{A}'_{|\mathbf{A}_1|2^{m-1}}$ and each entry of \mathbf{A}'_m contains exactly two entries of \mathbf{A}'_{m+1} by (2),

and $\text{diam}(\mathbf{A}'_m, \rho) \leq 2^{-m}$ by (3). Denote by Z_m the union of the entries of \mathbf{A}'_m , and set $Z = \bigcap_{m \geq 2} Z_m$. Then Z is a Cantor set. Since $\xi_2(\mathbf{A}_2) = \zeta_2(\mathbf{A}_2)$, the entries of $\mathbf{A}'_2 = \xi_2(\mathbf{A}_2)\mathbf{A}_2 = \zeta_2(\mathbf{A}_2)\mathbf{A}_2$ are contained in the entries of $\pi_2(\mathbf{A}_2) = \mathbf{A}_1$ by (2). Thus, $Z \subseteq Z_2$ is contained in the union of the entries of \mathbf{A}_1 .

Let $Y \subseteq Z$ be finite and let f be a map $Y \rightarrow Z$. Let $K \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Take $m \geq 2$ such that distinct elements of Y lie in distinct entries of \mathbf{A}'_{m+1} , $K \subseteq K_m$, and $2^{-m} < \varepsilon$. Write $\mathbf{A}'_m = (B_1, \dots, B_\ell)$ and $\mathbf{A}'_{m+1} = (A_1, \dots, A_{2\ell})$. Then there is some map $\gamma : [2\ell] \rightarrow [\ell]$ such that for any $y \in Y$, if $y \in A_j$, then $f(y) \in B_{\gamma(j)}$. Set $t_m = \xi_m(\mathbf{A}_m)$ and $t_{m+1} = \xi_{m+1}(\mathbf{A}_{m+1}) = t_m \zeta_{m+1}(\mathbf{A}_{m+1})$. Then $\mathbf{A}_m = (t_m^{-1}B_1, \dots, t_m^{-1}B_\ell)$ and $\mathbf{A}_{m+1} = (t_{m+1}^{-1}A_1, \dots, t_{m+1}^{-1}A_{2\ell})$. By (4), there is some $u \in \Gamma \setminus \xi_m(\mathbf{A}_m)^{-1}K_m\xi_m(\mathbf{A}_m)\zeta_{m+1}(\mathbf{A}_{m+1})$ such that $ut_{m+1}^{-1}A_j \subseteq t_m^{-1}B_{\gamma(j)}$ for all $j \in [2\ell]$. For every $y \in Y$, say $y \in A_j$ for some $j \in [2\ell]$, one has $t_m ut_{m+1}^{-1}y, f(y) \in B_{\gamma(j)}$ and hence

$$\rho(t_m ut_{m+1}^{-1}y, f(y)) \leq \text{diam}(\mathbf{A}'_m, \rho) \leq 2^{-m} < \varepsilon.$$

Since $t_m ut_{m+1}^{-1} \notin K_m$, we have $t_m ut_{m+1}^{-1} \notin K$. Therefore,

$$\liminf_{\Gamma \ni s \rightarrow \infty} \max_{y \in Y} \rho(sy, f(y)) = 0.$$

We now construct the \mathcal{A}_m . We set $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$. By assumption, (5) and (6) are satisfied for $m = 1$. Assume that we have constructed \mathcal{A}_m with the above properties. Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^n \geq 2 + |\mathbf{A}|^{2|\mathbf{A}|}$ for all $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}_m$. By Lemma 3.3, we can find a finite $\mathcal{A}'_m \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with positive independence density such that each element of \mathcal{A}'_m is of the form \mathbf{A}^E for some $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}_m$ and some $\xi_m(\mathcal{A}_m)^{-1}K_m\xi_m(\mathcal{A}_m)$ -separated $E \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ with $|E| = 2^n$. Let $\mathbf{A}' \in \mathcal{A}'_m$ and write it as \mathbf{A}^E as above. Write \mathbf{A} as (A_1, \dots, A_ℓ) . Fix distinct $s_0, s_1 \in E$ and take an injection $\varphi : [\ell]^{2\ell} \rightarrow E \setminus \{s_0, s_1\}$. For all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2$, take $\omega_{i,j} : E \rightarrow [\ell]$ such that $\omega_{i,j}(s_0) = i, \omega_{i,j}(s_1) = j$, and $\omega_{i,j}(\varphi(\gamma)) = \gamma(i + (j - 1)\ell)$ for all $\gamma : [2\ell] \rightarrow [\ell]$, and set

$$A_{i,j} = \bigcap_{s \in E} s^{-1}A_{\omega_{i,j}(s)}.$$

Then $\mathbf{A}'' := (A_{1,1}, \dots, A_{\ell,1}, A_{1,2}, \dots, A_{\ell,2}) \in \mathcal{A}_{2\ell}$ is pairwise disjoint and closed, and every independence set for $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A}^E$ is an independence set for \mathbf{A}'' . The family $\mathcal{A}_{m+1} := \{\mathbf{A}'' : \mathbf{A}' \in \mathcal{A}'_m\}$ clearly satisfies the properties (5) and (6). Setting $\pi_{m+1}(\mathbf{A}'') = \mathbf{A}$ and $\zeta_{m+1}(\mathbf{A}'') = s_0$, the property (2) is verified. For any map $\gamma : [2\ell] \rightarrow [\ell]$, we have $\varphi(\gamma)A_{i,j} \subseteq A_{\gamma(i+(j-1)\ell)}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $1 \leq j \leq 2$. Since E is $\xi_m(\mathcal{A}_m)^{-1}K_m\xi_m(\mathcal{A}_m)$ -separated and $s_0 \neq \varphi(\gamma)$, we have

$$\varphi(\gamma) \notin \xi_m(\mathcal{A}_m)^{-1}K_m\xi_m(\mathcal{A}_m)s_0 = \xi_m(\mathcal{A}_m)^{-1}K_m\xi_m(\mathcal{A}_m)\zeta_{m+1}(\mathbf{A}'').$$

Thus, the property (4) also holds. Replacing each \mathbf{A}'' by a suitable closed splitting of $\{\mathbf{A}''\}$, we also make (3) hold. This finishes the induction step. □

Now Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorems 2.5 and 3.4.

4. Positive independence density and tameness

It was shown in [34, Theorem 7.1] that if $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ has positive independence density, then \mathbf{A} has an infinite independence set. With a minor modification, the proof also works for finite families in \mathcal{A} .

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be finite with positive independence density. Then at least one element of \mathcal{A} has an infinite independence set.*

The action $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ is untame exactly when there is a pairwise disjoint closed $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}_{\geq 2}$ with an infinite independence set [35, Proposition 8.14]. Then Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorems 2.5 and 4.1.

5. *An action with positive naive entropy but no non-diagonal orbit IE-pairs*

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, recall that $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in X^k$ is called an *orbit IE-tuple* (or *orbit IE-pair* when $k = 2$) if for any product neighborhood $U_1 \times \dots \times U_k$ of (x_1, \dots, x_k) in X^k , the tuple (U_1, \dots, U_k) has positive independence density [34, Definition 3.2]. When Γ is amenable, this is the same as IE-tuples defined in [32, Definition 3.1].

When Γ is amenable, $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ has positive entropy exactly when X has non-diagonal IE-pairs (see [32, Proposition 3.9] and [35, Theorem 12.19]). When Γ is sofic and Σ is a sofic approximation sequence for Γ , $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ has positive sofic entropy with respect to Σ exactly when X has non-diagonal Σ -IE-pairs (see [34, Proposition 4.16] and [35, Theorem 12.39]). For general Γ , if X has non-diagonal orbit IE-pairs, then from Theorem 2.5 we know that $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ has positive naive entropy. We shall show in Proposition 5.2 that the converse fails.

Denote by $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ the integral group ring of Γ (see [42, page 3] and [35, Section 13.1]). It consists of all functions $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with finite support. Writing f as $\sum_{s \in \Gamma} f_s s$, the addition and multiplication of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ are defined by

$$\sum_s f_s s + \sum_s g_s s = \sum_s (f_s + g_s) s, \quad \left(\sum_s f_s s\right) \left(\sum_t g_t t\right) = \sum_t \left(\sum_s f_s g_{s^{-1}t}\right) t. \tag{1}$$

It also has an involution $*$ defined by

$$\left(\sum_s f_s s\right)^* = \sum_s f_{s^{-1}} s.$$

For any countable left $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module \mathcal{M} , its Pontryagin dual $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ consisting of all group homomorphisms $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ under pointwise multiplication and convergence is a compact metrizable abelian group, and Γ acts on $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ naturally by continuous automorphisms with $(s\varphi)(x) = \varphi(s^{-1}x)$ for all $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$, $s \in \Gamma$, and $x \in \mathcal{M}$. We refer the reader to [35, 40, 46] for general information on the study of $\Gamma \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathcal{M}}$.

When $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, we may identify $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ with $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$, and the induced Γ -action on $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ is the left shift action given by $(sx)_t = x_{s^{-1}t}$ for all $x \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ and $s, t \in \Gamma$.

For any submodule \mathcal{M}' of \mathcal{M} , the restriction map yields a factor map (i.e. a continuous surjective Γ -equivariant map) $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}'}$. For $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$, we have the $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f$ and denote $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma/\mathbb{Z}\Gamma f}$ by X_f . One may identify X_f with the closed Γ -invariant subgroup of $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ consisting of $x \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ satisfying $xf^* = 0$ [37, page 311], where the convolution product xf^* is defined similar to (1).

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $a \in \Gamma$ with infinite order. Then X_{a-1} has no non-diagonal orbit IE-pairs.*

Proof. Note that $X_{a-1} = \{x \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma : x(a-1)^* = 0\}$ consists of exactly those $x \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\Gamma$ satisfying $x_{ta} = x_t$ for all $t \in \Gamma$. Let x and y be distinct points in X_{a-1} .

Then $x_s \neq y_s$ for some $s \in \Gamma$. Take open neighborhoods V_x and V_y of x_s and y_s in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} respectively such that $V_x \cap V_y = \emptyset$. Denote by U_x (respectively U_y) the set of $z \in X_{a-1}$ with $z_s \in V_x$ (respectively $z_s \in V_y$). Then U_x and U_y are neighborhoods of x and y in X_{a-1} , respectively. For any distinct $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $sa^k z \in U_x$ and $sa^m z \in U_y$ for some $z \in X_{a-1}$, then $z_{e\Gamma} = z_{a-k} = (sa^k z)_s \in V_x$ and $z_{e\Gamma} = z_{a-m} = (sa^m z)_s \in V_y$, which is impossible. Thus, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if J is an independence set for (U_x, U_y) contained in $\{sa^k : k = 1, \dots, n\}$, then $|J| \leq 1$. Therefore, (U_x, U_y) has independence density 0, whence (x, y) is not an orbit IE-pair of X_{a-1} . \square

Now let \mathbb{F}_2 be the rank-two free group with generators a and b .

PROPOSITION 5.2. *There is an action of \mathbb{F}_2 on a compact metrizable abelian group X by continuous automorphisms such that $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X$ has positive naive entropy while X has no non-diagonal orbit IE-pairs. Furthermore, there is a finite pairwise disjoint closed $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2$ with positive independence density such that no element of \mathcal{A} has positive independence density.*

Proof. We shall show that $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X_{a-1}$ satisfies the conditions. From Lemma 5.1, we know that X_{a-1} has no non-diagonal orbit IE-pairs.

Note that $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2$ has a free left $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2$ -submodule with generators $a - 1$ and $b - 1$ [42, Corollary 10.3.7(iv)] and hence $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2/\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2(a - 1)$ contains a $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2$ -submodule isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2$. Therefore, the action $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X_{a-1}$ has a factor $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright \widehat{\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{F}_2} = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{F}_2}$. As naive entropy does not increase under taking factors, we conclude that $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X_{a-1}$ has positive naive entropy.

To prove the last assertion of the proposition, assume conversely that every finite pairwise disjoint closed $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2$ with positive independence density has an element with positive independence density. Take a compatible metric ρ on X_{a-1} . Since $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X_{a-1}$ has positive naive entropy, by Theorem 2.5 there is some finite pairwise disjoint closed $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2$ with positive independence density. By the assumption, there is some $\mathbf{A}_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ with positive independence density. Inductively, assume that we have found some closed $\mathbf{A}_k \in \mathcal{A}_2$ with positive independence density. Take a finite closed splitting $\mathcal{A}_{k+1} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2$ of $\{\mathbf{A}_k\}$ such that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{A}_{k+1}, \rho) \leq \text{diam}(X_{a-1}, \rho)/2^k$. By Proposition 2.7, we know that \mathcal{A}_{k+1} has positive independence density. Then by assumption we can find some $\mathbf{A}_{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ with positive independence density. In this way we obtain a sequence $\{\mathbf{A}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of closed elements in \mathcal{A}_2 such that each \mathbf{A}_k has positive independence density and $\text{diam}(\mathbf{A}_k, \rho) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Writing $\mathbf{A}_k = (A_{k,1}, A_{k,2})$, we may assume that $A_{k+1,i} \subseteq A_{k,i}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, 2$. Then, for each $i = 1, 2$, the intersection $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_{k,i}$ is a singleton $\{x_i\}$. As $A_{1,1} \cap A_{1,2} = \emptyset$, we have $x_1 \neq x_2$. Then (x_1, x_2) is a non-diagonal orbit IE-pair, which is a contradiction. This proves the last assertion of the proposition. \square

When Γ is amenable, the independence density for each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{A}$ is a limit [35, page 287] and hence every finite $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with positive independence density has an element with positive independence density. Proposition 5.2 shows that this fails for \mathbb{F}_2 .

From [34, Propositions 4.6 and 4.16], we know that when Γ is sofic, if $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ has positive sofic entropy with respect to some sofic approximation sequence of Γ , then X has a non-diagonal orbit IE-pair. Now let $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X$ be an action in Proposition 5.2. As \mathbb{F}_2 is

sofic, X has no non-diagonal orbit IE-pairs, and $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X$ has a fixed point, we conclude that $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X$ has sofic entropy zero with respect to every sofic approximation sequence of \mathbb{F}_2 . Thus, results of [34] do not tell us that $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X$ is Li–Yorke chaotic or untame. On the other hand, since $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X$ has positive naive entropy, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 imply that $\mathbb{F}_2 \curvearrowright X$ is Li–Yorke chaotic and untame.

Acknowledgements. H. L. is partially supported by NSF and NSFC grants. We are grateful to Lewis Bowen for comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-B. Aujogue. Ellis enveloping semigroup for almost canonical model sets of an Euclidean space. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **15**(4) (2015), 2195–2237.
- [2] J. Auslander. *Minimal Flows and their Extensions (North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 153. Notas de Matemática [Mathematical Notes], 122)*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
- [3] F. Blanchard. Fully positive topological entropy and topological mixing. *Symbolic Dynamics and its Applications (New Haven, CT, 1991) (Contemporary Mathematics, 135)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992, pp. 95–105.
- [4] F. Blanchard. A disjointness theorem involving topological entropy. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **121**(4) (1993), 465–478.
- [5] F. Blanchard, E. Glasner and B. Host. A variation on the variational principle and applications to entropy pairs. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **17**(1) (1997), 29–43.
- [6] F. Blanchard, E. Glasner, S. Kolyada and A. Maass. On Li–Yorke pairs. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **547** (2002), 51–68.
- [7] L. Bowen. Examples in the entropy theory of countable group actions. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* <https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2019.18>. Published online 25 March 2019.
- [8] P. Burton. Naive entropy of dynamical systems. *Israel J. Math.* **219**(2) (2017), 637–659.
- [9] A. Chernikov and P. Simon. Definably amenable NIP groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31**(3) (2018), 609–641.
- [10] N. Chung and H. Li. Homoclinic groups, IE groups, and expansive algebraic actions. *Invent. Math.* **199**(3) (2015), 805–858.
- [11] T. Downarowicz, B. Frej and P.-P. Romagnoli. Shearer’s inequality and infimum rule for Shannon entropy and topological entropy. *Dynamics and Numbers (Contemporary Mathematics, 669)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016, pp. 63–75.
- [12] E. Glasner. *Ergodic Theory via Joinings (Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 101)*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [13] E. Glasner. On tame enveloping semigroups. *Colloq. Math.* **105**(2) (2006), 283–295.
- [14] E. Glasner. The structure of tame minimal dynamical systems. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **27**(6) (2007), 1819–1837.
- [15] E. Glasner. The structure of tame minimal dynamical systems for general groups. *Invent. Math.* **211**(1) (2018), 213–244.
- [16] E. Glasner and M. Megrelishvili. Hereditarily non-sensitive dynamical systems and linear representations. *Colloq. Math.* **104**(2) (2006), 223–283.
- [17] E. Glasner and M. Megrelishvili. Representations of dynamical systems on Banach spaces not containing ℓ_1 . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364**(12) (2012), 6395–6424.
- [18] E. Glasner and M. Megrelishvili. Representations of dynamical systems on Banach spaces. *Recent Progress in General Topology. III*. Atlantis Press, Paris, 2014, pp. 399–470.
- [19] E. Glasner and M. Megrelishvili. Eventual nonsensitivity and tame dynamical systems. *Preprint*, 2014, arXiv:1405.2588.
- [20] E. Glasner and M. Megrelishvili. Circularly ordered dynamical systems. *Monatsh. Math.* **185**(3) (2018), 415–441.
- [21] E. Glasner and M. Megrelishvili. More on tame dynamical systems. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems in their Interactions with Arithmetics and Combinatorics (Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2213)*. Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 351–392.

- [22] E. Glasner, M. Megrelishvili and V. V. Uspenskij. On metrizable enveloping semigroups. *Israel J. Math.* **164** (2008), 317–332.
- [23] E. Glasner and X. Ye. Local entropy theory. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **29**(2) (2009), 321–356.
- [24] W. Huang. Tame systems and scrambled pairs under an Abelian group action. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **26**(5) (2006), 1549–1567.
- [25] W. Huang, H. Li and X. Ye. Family independence for topological and measurable dynamics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364**(10) (2012), 5209–5242.
- [26] W. Huang, A. Maass, P. P. Romagnoli and X. Ye. Entropy pairs and a local Abramov formula for a measure theoretical entropy of open covers. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **24**(4) (2004), 1127–1153.
- [27] W. Huang and X. Ye. A local variational relation and applications. *Israel J. Math.* **151** (2006), 237–279.
- [28] W. Huang and X. Ye. Combinatorial lemmas and applications to dynamics. *Adv. Math.* **220**(6) (2009), 1689–1716.
- [29] W. Huang, X. Ye and G. Zhang. Local entropy theory for a countable discrete amenable group action. *J. Funct. Anal.* **261**(4) (2011), 1028–1082.
- [30] T. Ibarlucia. The dynamical hierarchy for Roelcke precompact Polish groups. *Israel J. Math.* **215**(2) (2016), 965–1009.
- [31] M. G. Karpovsky and V. D. Milman. Coordinate density of sets of vectors. *Discrete Math.* **24**(2) (1978), 177–184.
- [32] D. Kerr and H. Li. Independence in topological and C^* -dynamics. *Math. Ann.* **338**(4) (2007), 869–926.
- [33] D. Kerr and H. Li. Combinatorial independence in measurable dynamics. *J. Funct. Anal.* **256**(5) (2009), 1341–1386.
- [34] D. Kerr and H. Li. Combinatorial independence and sofic entropy. *Commun. Math. Stat.* **1**(2) (2013), 213–257.
- [35] D. Kerr and H. Li. *Ergodic Theory: Independence and Dichotomies (Springer Monographs in Mathematics)*. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [36] A. Köhler. Enveloping semigroups for flows. *Proc. R. Irish Acad. Sect. A* **95**(2) (1995), 179–191.
- [37] H. Li. Compact group automorphisms, addition formulas and Fuglede–Kadison determinants. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **176**(1) (2012), 303–347.
- [38] H. Li and Z. Rong. Null actions and RIM non-open extensions of strongly proximal actions. *Israel J. Math.* **235**(1) (2020), 139–168.
- [39] T. Y. Li and J. A. Yorke. Period three implies chaos. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **82**(10) (1975), 985–992.
- [40] D. Lind and K. Schmidt. A survey of algebraic actions of the discrete Heisenberg group. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **70**(4(424)) (2015), 77–142 (in Russian); Engl. transl. *Russian Math. Surveys* **70**(4) (2015), 657–714.
- [41] W. Parry. Zero entropy of distal and related transformations. *Topological Dynamics (Symposium, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, 1967)*. Benjamin, New York, 1968, pp. 383–389.
- [42] D. S. Passman. *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings (Pure and Applied Mathematics)*. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley], New York, 1977.
- [43] A. V. Romanov. Ergodic properties of discrete dynamical systems and enveloping semigroups. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **36**(1) (2016), 198–214.
- [44] H. P. Rosenthal. A characterization of Banach spaces containing ℓ^1 . *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **71** (1974), 2411–2413.
- [45] N. Sauer. On the density of families of sets. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **13** (1972), 145–147.
- [46] K. Schmidt. *Dynamical Systems of Algebraic Origin (Progress in Mathematics, 128)*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [47] S. Shelah. A combinatorial problem; stability and order for models and theories in infinitary languages. *Pacific J. Math.* **41** (1972), 247–261.