

SPREADS WHICH ARE NOT DUAL SPREADS

A. Bruen and J. C. Fisher

(received April 25, 1969)

In this note we show the existence of a spread which is not a dual spread, thus answering a question in [1]. We also obtain some related results on spreads and partial spreads.

Let $\Sigma = \text{PG}(2t-1, F)$ be a projective space of odd dimension $(2t-1, t \geq 2)$ over the field F . In accordance with [1] we make the following definitions. A partial spread S of Σ is a collection of $(t-1)$ -dimensional projective subspaces of Σ which are pairwise disjoint (skew). S is maximal if it is not properly contained in any other partial spread; in particular, if every point of Σ is contained in some member of S then S is a spread. If each $(2t-2)$ -dimensional projective subspace of Σ contains exactly one member of S then S is called a dual spread. $|S|$ will denote the number of subspaces in S .

THEOREM 1. If F is finite then S is a spread if and only if S is a dual spread.

Proof. Suppose S is a spread which is not a dual spread of Σ . Let δ be any correlation of Σ (for the existence of such a δ see [3, page 41]). Then S^δ , the image of S under δ , is a partial spread which is not a spread. But $|S^\delta| = |S|$ and F is finite so we obtain a contradiction. Similarly every dual spread is a spread.

For simplicity we now specialize to the case $t=2$ and we assume that F is commutative to facilitate the notion of regulus. We say a spread S is regular provided that, for every line ℓ of Σ which is not in S , the lines of S meeting ℓ form a regulus R of Σ . Not all spreads are regular: we can obtain a new non-regular spread S' from S by the process of replacing some regulus R by its opposite regulus R' . If S' can be obtained from a regular spread S by finitely many iterations of such a process, S is called subregular.

THEOREM 2. Every regular spread S of Σ is a dual spread.

Proof. Let π be any plane of Σ ; π contains at most one line of S . To show that there must be one let l be any line of π which is not in S . The lines of S meeting l form a regulus R . Let p and q be any two lines of the opposite regulus R' different from l . p and q meet π in distinct points P and Q not on l . The line PQ of π meets l and hence meets three lines of R' . Thus PQ is a line of R , that is, of S .

A straightforward extension of this argument yields the following result.

THEOREM 3. Let S be a spread which is a dual spread. Suppose S contains a regulus R . Then the spread S' obtained from S by replacing the regulus R by its opposite regulus R' is also a dual spread.

COROLLARY. Every subregular spread is a dual spread.

THEOREM 4. There exists a spread S of Σ such that

- (1) S is not a dual spread;
- (2) no four lines of S are contained in a regulus.

Proof. Let F be infinite and countable. Choose any plane π and list the points in π (P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots) and the points not in π (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, \dots). Through P_1 construct the line $l_1 = P_1 Q_1$. Suppose l_1, \dots, l_n have been constructed such that (i) no l_i is in π , (ii) no two l_i intersect, and (iii) no four l_i are in a regulus. We now show that l_{n+1} can be constructed in such a way that (i) - (iii) are satisfied also by $\{l_1, \dots, l_{n+1}\}$.

If n is odd, let $X = P_j$ be the first point in π which is on none of the lines l_1, \dots, l_n and $Y = Q_k$ the first point not in π such that (a) Y is on none of the n planes Xl_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and (b) XY does not belong to any one of the $\binom{n}{3}$ reguli determined by l_1, \dots, l_n . Then put $l_{n+1} = XY = P_j Q_k$.

If n is even, let $X = Q_s$ be the first point not in π which is on none of the l_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $Y = P_t$ the first point in π such that (a) and (b) are satisfied. Then put $l_{n+1} = XY = Q_s P_t$.

Clearly l_1, \dots, l_{n+1} satisfy conditions (i) - (iii). Furthermore, our construction guarantees that each point of Σ is on a line of S . Thus the theorem is proved.

There is an interesting consequence of Theorem 4.

COROLLARY. Maximal partial spreads W , which are not spreads, exist in Σ .

Proof. Consider the image W of S under any correlation of Σ .

Remark. The above corollary is also true if F is finite (for an example in $PG(3, 4)$ see [4]). One of the authors [2] has constructed such maximal partial spreads W , with

$$q^2 - q + 1 \leq |W| \leq q^2 - q + 2 \text{ in } PG(3, q), \text{ for any } q.$$

REFERENCES

1. R.H. Bruck and R.C. Bose, The construction of translation planes from projective spaces. *J. Algebra* 1 (1964) 85-102.
2. A. Bruen, Blocking sets in finite projective planes. (unpublished).
3. P. Dembowski, *Finite geometries* (Springer-Verlag, 1968).
4. D.M. Mesner, Sets of disjoint lines in $PG(3, q)$. *Canad. J. Math.* 19 (1967) 273-280.

University of Toronto