PLANT-DERIVED AEROSOL-SMOKE AND SMOKE SOLUTIONS INFLUENCE AGRONOMIC PERFORMANCE OF A TRADITIONAL CEREAL CROP, TEF

By HABTEAB GHEBREHIWOT, MANOJ KULKARNI, MICHAEL BAIRU and JOHANNES VAN STADEN[†]

Research Centre for Plant Growth and Development, School of Life Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal Pietermaritzburg, Private Bag X01, Scottsville 3209, South Africa

(Accepted 1 November 2012; First published online 20 December 2012)

SUMMARY

The positive role of plant-derived smoke on seed germination and post-germination processes is well documented. The present study examined if plant-derived smoke with various methods of application influence the agronomic performance of a traditional cereal crop, tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter]. Comparisons were made in potted tef plants, which germinated from seeds treated with smoke-water (1:500 dilution), Karrikinolide₁ (KAR₁, 10^{-8} M) solutions and seeds pretreated with cool aerosol-smoke for 10 min (rinsed and unrinsed afterwards). The smoke-related treatments modified a number of physiological, morphological and agronomic features of *Eragrostis tef*. Compared with the control, KAR₁ and aerosol-smoke treatment of the seeds significantly improved plant height. All the smoke-related treatments significantly promoted stem-thickness whereas number of tillers and grain and dry biomass responded positively to aerosol-smoke and smoke-water treatments. These findings indicate that the plant-derived smoke treatment has a great potential to improve grain and dry biomass yields of tef. Moreover, due to its positive role in improving stem-thickness, smoke treatment may assist in combating lodging problems in cereals such as rice, wheat and barley, provided they are responsive to smoke treatments.

INTRODUCTION

Tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] is a warm-season C₄ annual grass, a species of lovegrass native to northeast Africa. It belongs to *Poaceae*, sub-family *Chlorideae*, tribe *Chlorideae* and genus *Eragrostis* (Gibbs-Russell *et al.*, 1990). Of the entire genus *Eragrostis* (>350 species), tef is the only species that is grown to produce grain (2500–3000 seeds per gram) for human consumption in the Horn of Africa, Eritrea and Ethiopia. It is also grown on a limited basis for livestock feed in Australia, Kenya, South Africa, India, the Americas and Canada (Costanza *et al.*, 1980; Purseglove, 1972). Currently, the crop is grown on a large scale covering the greatest land acres in Ethiopia (Ketema, 1997; Zeid *et al.*, 2011), feeding over one hundred million people (National Research Council, 1996). The grains are ground into flour, fermented and baked to produce a fluffy flat pancake known as *Injera*. Though tef is primarily grown for its grain, its straw makes high-quality hay, preferred by most livestock and fetches premium market prices (Ketema, 1993). Tef is generally considered as a reliable cereal crop adapted to a large variety of agro-ecological conditions (Purseglove, 1972). There

†Corresponding author. Email: rcpgd@ukzn.ac.za

are a number of constraints that hamper its production which include non-genetic low grain and straw yield levels (Ketema, 1997, Teklu and Tefera, 2005), drought (Ayele, 1993; Takele, 2001) and lodging (Assefa *et al.*, 1999; Ketema, 1997). Owing to its naturally weak stem and unbalanced top-heavy weights (especially during periods of seed production), tef tufts collapse on themselves causing an estimated average yield loss of 17% (Ketema, 1993). Despite the long history of tef cultivation in the specified region (>2000 years) and its importance as a source of staple food and highpriced livestock feed (D'Andrea, 2008; Ketema, 1997), agronomic research outputs contributing towards advancing crop yield by alleviating the genetic and agronomic constraints of the crop are limited. It is projected that tef has great potential for improvement and could produce higher yield if it receives adequate research attention (Ketema, 1997; Teklu and Tefera, 2005).

One of the major goals in agronomy is the increased production of food, feed and fibre. This is generally intended to be achieved through efforts aimed at overcoming production constraints and improving the productivity of the land and crops using environmentally safe and renewable resources. In this context, great potential is embodied in the recent discovery of plant-derived smoke for promoting seed germination and enhancing plant growth (De Lange and Boucher, 1990). Currently, plant-derived smoke-water and smoke-isolated karrikinolide₁ (KAR₁) play a positive role in enhancing seed germination of many hard-to-germinate and rare and threatened species (Van Staden et al., 2000). Although the mechanisms of action still remain unknown, these smoke solutions have been also shown enhancing seedling growth of a wide range of agricultural and horticulture crops (Brown and Van Staden, 1999; Kulkarni et al., 2007; Sparg et al., 2006). Smoke-aided studies conducted on various vegetables have also reported a consensual positive role of smoke solutions in promoting economically important yield components (Kulkarni et al., 2007, 2008; Van Staden et al., 2006). More importantly, seeds of tef (SA-Brown) treated with various smoke solutions showed higher germination percentage and produced vigorous seedlings under relatively high temperature and low osmotic potential (Ghebrehiwot et al., 2008). However, as yet no comprehensive greenhouse or field studies have been conducted to substantiate the net effect and possible role of plant-derived smoke treatments on grain and dry biomass (straw) yields of tef.

Thus, in an attempt to boost cereal crop production and alleviate the chronic lodging problems associated with tef, the current study assessed the effects of plantderived smoke on certain economically important morphological, physiological and agronomic features of *Eragrostis tef*. The specific objectives were to examine the effects of various smoke applications (smoke-water, aerosol-smoke and KAR₁) on growth, yield and general wellbeing of a common tef variety (SA-Brown). The study took a number of response variables that directly or indirectly influence grain yield, dry biomass production and resistance to lodging (Kashiwagi *et al.*, 2008; Zuber *et al.*, 1999). The effects of the smoke-related treatments on characters, such as leaf area, chlorophyll and caroteniod concentration, were also assessed as indicators of plants' growing conditions, photosynthetic efficiency and stress (Boardman, 1977). Since long, pigment quantification is being used as an indicator of plant wellbeing and greenness and thereby as an indirect measure of photosynthetic rate (Ma *et al.*, 1995).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seed source

Mature, one-year-old tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] seeds (cultivar SA-Brown) were purchased from McDonald's Seeds (Pty) Ltd, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa. The seeds were stored at 5 $^{\circ}$ C until used.

Smoke-water, KAR_1 and aerosol-smoke treatments

The procedure of producing smoke-water solution from different plant materials has been described by many researchers (Brown, 1993; Van Staden et al., 2004). In this study, dry Themeda triandra Forssk (Poaceae) leaf material (5 kg) was burnt in a 20-L metal drum and the smoke generated was funneled to pass through a glass column containing 500 mL of tap water for 45 min (Baxter et al., 1994). This smoke-extract was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper and was used as the stock solution. The test solution was prepared by diluting 1 mL of smoke-extract with 500 mL of distilled water (1:500 v/v). A pure KAR₁ (3 methyl-2H-furo[2,3-c]pyran-2-one) compound used in this experiment was isolated from plant-derived smoke-water as described by Van Staden et al. (2004). The aerosol-smoke treatment was applied on tef seeds (200 g), which were placed in sieves and exposed to cooled (\sim 28 °C) aerosol-smoke for 10 min. This was achieved by placing the sieves inside a chimney (150-cm high) above a slowly smoking mixture of semi-dry combusting leaves of Themeda triandra Forssk (Baxter et al., 1994). From previous studies, 10 min of cool aerosol-smoke treatment provided maximum stimulatory effects on germination and vigour of test seeds with no negative effects (Sparg et al., 2006). However, this is not always the case as often prolonged exposure of seeds to aerosol-smoke inhibits germination and postgermination growth processes (Light et al., 2002). Therefore, in this study following the aerosol-smoke treatment, the seeds were divided into two equal batches with one of the batches rinsed (AS-R) and the other left unrinsed (AS-UR). The AS-R seed lot was rinsed with two washes of 500 mL of distilled water and then air-dried, whereas the second batch was left unrinsed. To block the effect of rinsing, the control seeds were also divided into two batches, i.e. control-rinsed (Con-R) and control-unrinsed (Con-UR).

Greenhouse growth experiment

This pot experiment was carried out in a greenhouse maintained at 23 ± 2.5 °C, relative humidity between 25% and 52% and a mid-day photosynthetic photon flux density of $405 \pm 7.5 \ \mu \text{mol} \ \text{m}^{-2} \ \text{s}^{-1}$. The tef plants were grown from seeds that were directly sown in terracotta pots (250 mm in diameter and 210 mm in height) filled with 25% of pure river sand (with no silt and clay) mixed with 75% of composted pine bark. All plants grew in the specified soil media and no fertilizer was applied during the entire growing season. At first, 10 seeds per pot were sown, afterwards thinned

to a single plant per pot at trifoliate stage. The experiment comprised the following six treatments: AR-R, AR-U, SW (1:500 v/v), KAR₁ (10⁻⁸ M) and Con-R and Con-UR, with four sub-samples and each treatment was duplicated three times giving a total of 72 pots. The smoke-water and KAR₁ treatments consisted of drenching the seeded pots with test solutions (SW, 1:500 v/v and KAR₁, 10^{-8} M) only once during germination initiation period. Before applying the smoke solutions, all the seeded pots were flushed with little, approximately 500 mL, tap water to initiate germination. Afterwards, the smoke solutions were applied into their respective pots and were allowed to reside in the soil for 48 h without further watering to avoid leaching of the smoke solutions. In the greenhouse, the pots were randomly placed on a 1-m-high greenhouse bench and were manually flushed with tap water to field capacity every third day and at times the plants showed mild signs of water stress (wilting). The watering frequency was scheduled to be low to simulate water-stress conditions in the tef growing countries of Eritrea and Ethiopia. Within the greenhouse, the pots were randomly rotated on weekly basis to minimize positional effects. The experiment was conducted from the beginning of December 2011 till 15 March 2012.

Growth measurements and observations

Determination of photosynthetic pigment content:. Determination of photosynthetic pigments and leaf area was carried out when plants were two months old, as aging causes rapid decline in pigment concentration and leaf area (Watson, 1947). Quantification of the photosynthetic pigments, chlorophyll a, b and carotenoid, were performed following the protocols described by Lichtenthaler (1987). In brief, 0.3-g fresh leaf samples (the third leaf from the shoot-tip) were destructively sampled from each of the six treatments and homogenized using a mortar and pestle in 15-mL ice-cold acetone with the addition of 5 g of fine acid-washed sand. Thereafter, the solution was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper and separated using a Benchtop centrifuge (Hettich Universal, Tuttlingen, Germany) at 5000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. The absorbance of the resultant filtrate was measured at three wavelengths (i.e. 470, 645 and 662 nm) with four technical replicates. The pigment content was estimated using Lichtenthaler's (1987) following formulae; values were calculated as micrograms per gram fresh weight (FW):

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Chlorophyll a} \ (C_a) = 11.75 \ A_{662} - 2.350 \ A_{645}, \\ \mbox{Chlorophyll b} \ (C_b) = 18.61 \ A_{645} - 3.960 \ A_{662}, \\ \mbox{Total carotenoids} = 1000 \ A_{470} - 2.270 \ C_a - 81.4 \ C_b/227. \end{array}$

Data collection on selected morphological and agronomic performances

During the course of growth (>100 days), several measurements were recorded through destructive or non-destructive sampling methods. These parameters included leaf area, plant height, stem diameter, number of tillers, grain yield and dry biomass production. Estimating the leaf area consisted of random sampling of five matured culms (tillers) from a single potted plant of each of the six experimental treatments. Thereafter, out of the five tillers, 30 individual leaves (excluding the leaf-sheath) from similar positions along the culm were sampled. In the laboratory, leaf area was measured using a LI-3100 area meter (LI-COR, Inc., USA). Estimation of the stem-thickness consisted of non-destructively measuring stem diameters of the second internode of 20 randomly selected tillers from each of the six treatments, and the measurements were taken using a Digital 0–150 mm Vernier Caliper. Up to physiological maturity, plant height was measured using a 3-m power-tape. Plant height measurements were taken from the soil surface to the cone-shaped shoot-tip of inflorescence of each plant. Prior to harvest, the total number of tillers of all plants was recorded. Up to physiological maturity (when plants turned yellowish and dry) all plants were cut at the soil surface and the cut materials were kept in paper bags and oven-dried at 70 °C for one week and weighed. In the laboratory, seeds were collected by manual thrashing. Cleaning the seeds consisted of separating grains from chaff residues by winnowing (by means of a current of air). Thereafter, the chaff residues were returned to the biomass obtained from each respective plant and the resulting total dry biomass was weighed and recorded.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted and means of the treatments were compared using Duncan's multiple range test at 5% level of significance. GenStat[®] 14th Edition statistical package release 14.1 (VSN International, Hemel Hempstead, UK) was used for statistical analysis.

RESULTS

Effects on photosynthetic pigments

Results of the quantification of photosynthetic pigments indicated that all the smoke-related treatments, i.e. smoke-water (1:500 v/v), aerosol-smoke (both AR-R and AR-UR) and KAR₁ significantly influenced the relative abundance of various photosynthetic pigments (Table 1). Compared with Con-UR, rinsing had no significant effect on all of the photosynthetic pigments studied (therefore, both Con-R and Con-UR will be hereafter referred to as control). Compared with the control, all the smoke-related treatments significantly (p < 0.001) increased the synthesis and/or accumulation of chlorophyll b relative to chlorophyll a, which in turn decreased the chlorophyll a to chlorophyll b ratio significantly. The control plants had the lowest total chlorophyll a to b ratio but the highest total chlorophyll to carotenoid ratio (Table 1). In comparison with the control, the carotenoid concentration decreased by all the smoke-related treatments, with the KAR₁-treated plants showing a significantly 1.6-fold lower concentration.

Effects on morphological and agronomic performances

Results of the one-way ANOVA conducted to detect the effect of the smokerelated treatments on morpho-agronomic performances of tef are provided in Table 2. Compared with Con-UR, rinsing had no significant effect on all of the parameters

Table 1 Effect of smoke-water, aerosol-smoke and karrikinolide₁ on photosynthetic pigments of potted tef plants. Parameters analysed include chlorophyll a (Chll a), Chlorophyll b (Chll b), total chlorophyll (Chll a + b), chlorophyll a and b ratio (Chll a/b), total carotenoids (Carot.) and total chlorophyll to carotenoids ratio (Chll/Carot.).

Treatments	Chll a $(\mu g)/g$ FW	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Chll b } (\mu g)/g \\ \text{FW} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Chll a + b } (\mu g)/g \\ \text{FW} \end{array}$	Chll a/b	Carot. $(\mu g)/g$ FW	Chll/Carot.
Control-UR Control-R SW AS-UR AS-R KAR ₁	1179 ± 4.5^{c} 1177 ± 4.5^{c} 1233 ± 2.4^{a} 1233 ± 5.1^{a} 1231 ± 3.5^{a} 1214 ± 3.1^{b}	$\begin{array}{c} 493 \pm 1.6^{\rm e} \\ 495 \pm 1.7^{\rm e} \\ 1071 \pm 4.3^{\rm c} \\ 1151 \pm 1.8^{\rm b} \\ 1055 \pm 5.8^{\rm d} \\ 1364 \pm 6.3^{\rm a} \end{array}$	$1672 \pm 5.4^{\rm c} \\ 1664 \pm 5.2^{\rm c} \\ 2304 \pm 3.7^{\rm c} \\ 2384 \pm 5.7^{\rm b} \\ 2286 \pm 3.4^{\rm d} \\ 2578 \pm 5.3^{\rm a} \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.39 \pm 0.09^{a} \\ 2.34 \pm 0.07^{a} \\ 1.15 \pm 0.06^{b} \\ 1.07 \pm 0.05^{c} \\ 1.17 \pm 0.09^{b} \\ 0.89 \pm 0.05^{d} \end{array}$	329 ± 1.3^{a} 327 ± 1.1^{a} 283 ± 1.1^{b} 265 ± 2.7^{c} 286 ± 2.4^{b} 209 ± 1.7^{d}	5.08 ± 0.07^{d} 5.17 ± 0.04^{d} 8.13 ± 0.04^{c} 9.00 ± 0.08^{b} 8.00 ± 0.07^{c} 12.36 ± 0.1^{a}

Notes: Results are denoted as mean \pm SE.

Different letters in columns indicate statistically significant treatment effect based on Duncan's Multiple Range Test at 5% probability.

 $Control-UR = control unrinsed; Control-R = control rinsed; SW = smoke-water; KAR_1 = Karrikinolide_1; AS-R = aerosol-smoke-treated and rinsed; AS-UR = aerosol-smoke-treated but unrinsed; FW = fresh weight.$

Table 2 Effect of plant-derived smoke solutions and aerosol-smoke treatments on selected morphoagronomic performances of tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter].

	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Leaf area} \\ (\text{cm}^2) \end{array}$	Plant height (cm)	Stem-thickness (mm)	Tillers (no.) per plant	Grain yield (g) per plant	Dry biomass (g) per plant
Treatment	(n = 30)	(n = 11)	(n = 20)	(n = 11)	(n = 11)	(n = 11)
Control-UR Control-R SW AS-UR AS-R KAR ₁	$\begin{array}{c} 20.2 \pm 1.9^{a} \\ 21.6 \pm 1.9^{a} \\ 23.5 \pm 1.3^{a} \\ 19.5 \pm 1.5^{a} \\ 23.6 \pm 1.0^{a} \\ 20.1 \pm 1.0^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 121\pm5^{c}\\ 120\pm5^{c}\\ 125\pm2^{b,c}\\ 136\pm3^{a,b}\\ 134\pm3^{a,b}\\ 143\pm3^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.3 \pm 0.08^{b} \\ 2.3 \pm 0.02^{b} \\ 2.6 \pm 0.06^{a} \\ 2.5 \pm 0.04^{a} \\ 2.7 \pm 0.05^{a} \\ 2.6 \pm 0.07^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 29.5 \pm 3.5^{b} \\ 30.4 \pm 3.1^{b} \\ 39.2 \pm 0.8^{a} \\ 42.2 \pm 3.5^{a} \\ 44.5 \pm 3.0^{a} \\ 37.5 \pm 2.0^{a,b} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.6 \pm 0.12^d \\ 4.6 \pm 0.12^d \\ 6.4 \pm 0.13^c \\ 9.5 \pm 0.58^a \\ 8.3 \pm 0.12^b \\ 4.6 \pm 0.12^d \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 57.5 \pm 0.8^{d} \\ 56.5 \pm 0.5^{d} \\ 79.8 \pm 4.3^{b,c} \\ 93.4 \pm 0.9^{a,b} \\ 102.7 \pm 6.7^{a} \\ 65.2 \pm 8.6^{c,d} \end{array}$

Notes: Results are denoted as mean \pm SE.

Different letters in columns indicate statistically significant treatment effect based on Duncan's Multiple Range Test at 5% probability.

Control-UR = control unrinsed; control-R = control rinsed; SW = smoke-water; $KAR_1 = Karrikinolide_1$; AS-R = Aerosol-smoke-treated and rinsed; AS-UR = Aerosol-smoke-treated but unrinsed.

studied. All the smoke-related treatments had no significant (p < 0.078) effect on leaf area. Compared with the control, aerosol-smoke (both AR-R and AR-UR) and KAR₁ treatments significantly (p < 0.001) improved plant height. Stem-thickness showed significant increase in response to all the smoke-related treatments. In comparison with the control (29.5 ± 3.5), smoke-water (39.2 ± 0.8) and the short-term aerosol-smoke treatment of the seeds for 10 min (both AS-R = 44.5 ± 3.0 and AS-UR = 42.2 ± 3.5) resulted in significant increase in the number of tillers per plant (Table 2).

Grain yield per plant showed significant (p < 0.001) variation in response to the smoke treatments applied (Table 2). In comparison with the control, seeds pretreated with aerosol-smoke for 10 min (both AS-R = 8.27 ± 0.12 and AS-UR = 9.54 ± 0.58) produced significantly 2.1-fold and 1.8-fold higher grain yield per plant respectively (Table 2). The aerosol-smoke treated but AS-UR seeds produced slightly higher grain

yield compared with the AS-R seeds. Slight increase in grain and dry biomass yields was also observed in response to smoke-water treatment. However, the KAR₁ (10^{-8} M) treatment of tef seeds had no significant effect on grain and dry biomass yields. In contrast, compared with the control, seeds pretreated with cool aerosol-smoke for 10 min (both AS-UR and AS-R) produced 1.6- to 1.8-fold higher dry biomass per plant (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Effects on photosynthetic pigment content

Photosynthetic pigments are essential components of plant growth and development. The assessment of photosynthetic pigments, and consequently their reciprocal ratio, is an important diagnostic tool that measures the greenness, senescence and overall plant growth conditions (Torres Netto et al., 2005). For example, chlorophyll a/b ratio is an indicator of the functional pigment equipment and light adaptation, while the total chlorophyll to total carotenoid ratio is the best indicator of greenness/stress in plants (Lichtenthaler, 1987; Torres Netto et al., 2005). Ma et al. (1995) also observed strong positive correlation between greenness and photosynthesis rate in soybean. A high concentration of total leaf carotenoid is a well-recognized plant response to stress (Hendry and Price, 1993). In the current study, all the smokerelated treatments (aerosol, SW and KAR₁) significantly reduced the chlorophyll a/b ratio compared with the control (Table 1). Under normal growth conditions, the light harvesting pigment protein LHC-I of the photosynthetic pigment system (PS I) has a chlorophyll a/b ratio of about 3 (Lichtenthaler, 1987). In this greenhouse pot experiment only the control plants had a ratio close to 3 (2.39). The literature indicates that shade plants have lower chlorophyll a/b ratios compared with light plants due to much higher LHC-II (in PS II, LHC-II is variable) in shade plants (Boardman, 1977; Lichtenthaler, 1987). However, since the control plants had a much higher ratio (more than two-fold), the reduction in chlorophyll a/b ratio could be attributed to treatment effects rather than light/shade effects. The smoke-related treatments also affected positively the morphology and agronomic performances of tef plants (Table 2). Considering the superior performance of smoke-treated plants compared with the controls, the reduction in chlorophyll a/b ratio could be an adaptive response by the plants to counteract the effect of variation in pigment proteins of various photosystems resulted from growth conditions (Chow et al., 1990).

All smoke-treated plants had higher total chlorophyll to total carotenoid ratios, although figures are generally higher than those reported in the literature, i.e. ratio of 4.2–7; we recorded ratios as high as 12.36. The results, however, are in line with our visual observations, since treatments with higher ratios were much greener than those with lower ratios. This could also in part explain the superior agronomic performance of plants that germinated from smoke-treated seeds. However, a more stringent study is required to accurately gauge the role of smoke in pigment synthesis and degradation, photosystems, up/down regulation of pigment proteins as well as photosynthetic apparatus. Recently, there has been a report that these smoke treatments (SW and

 KAR_1) at a lower concentration improved the photosynthetic apparatus of micropropagated 'Williams' bananas (Aremu *et al.*, 2012).

Effects on morpho-agronomic performance of tef

Our previous study on the effect of plant-derived smoke solutions on germination of tef (see Ghebrehiwot *et al.*, 2008) showed that the tef cultivar used in the present study (i.e. SA-Brown) responded positively to smoke treatments. The smoke solutions tested also improved post-germination vigour indices of tef grown under relatively high temperature and low osmotic potential. However, there was no empirical information on whether plant-derived smoke treatments using various application methods could possibly influence growth and agronomic performances of tef.

One of the well-identified agronomic challenges of tef production is the non-genetic low grain yield potential of the currently available cultivars (Ketema, 1993, 1997). In addition to this, lodging and premature seed shedding cause significant losses in grain yield (Assefa *et al.*, 1999; Ketema, 1997). Therefore, genetic improvement of tef should generate a suit of morpho-agronomic characters associated with higher yielding capacity and lodging-resistant traits, i.e. shorter plants and thicker basal culm internodes (Assefa *et al.*, 1999). In other words, breeders must find a delicate balance between apparently unlinked twin traits, i.e. increasing yields and prevention of lodging (Pinthus, 1973). Nevertheless, findings of this greenhouse pot experiment showed that plant-derived smoke has a great potential in improving grain and dry biomass yields of tef.

The results of the present study clearly showed that a short-term (10 min) aerosolsmoke treatment of tef seeds or treating seeds with plant-derived smoke solutions significantly promoted a number of growth and agronomic parameters directly or indirectly related to yield. Compared with the control, nearly all the smoke-related treatments improved plant height, stem-thickness, number of tillers and grain and dry biomass per plant (Table 2). These findings generally agree with previous studies done by Sparg et al. (2006) and Kulkarni et al. (2007, 2008) in which smoke treatments of various application methods in maize (Zea mays L.), okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench.) and tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.) significantly improved seedling length, number of leaves, stem-thickness and plant dry weight. In this study, the highest grain and dry biomass yields were obtained from seeds pretreated with shortterm aerosol-smoke (both AR-R and AR-UR). Compared with the rinsed seeds, not rinsing the seeds, previously treated with aerosol-smoke did not significantly affect all the parameters studied except grain yield per plant. The highest grain yield per plant was produced from aerosol-smoke pretreated unrinsed seeds. This indicates that rinsing the seeds after a short-term exposure (10 min) to aerosol-smoke may not be needed, as only prolonged exposure to aerosol-smoke negatively impacts germination and post-germination growth processes (Light et al., 2002; Sparg et al., 2006).

Another interesting result is that the KAR₁ treatment (10^{-8} M) did not significantly affect grain and dry biomass yields of tef (Table 2). KAR₁ treatment of tef seeds produced the tallest plants and significantly increased stem-thickness (Table 2).

However, despite its positive role on seed germination (Light *et al.*, 2009), KAR_1 treatment of tef seeds resulted in statistically indifferent number of tillers and grain and dry biomass yields compared with the control.

One of the most significant findings of this study is the positive role of the smokerelated treatments in promoting grain and dry biomass yields of tef. Though these yield traits of tef vary greatly with genotypes (Ketema, 1997), the smoke-aided increase in grain and dry biomass found in the present study compared well with other field studies. For instance, Zeid *et al.* (2011) compared the grain yield per plant of 16 tef cultivars and stated that the average grain yield per plant was <2 g, and a yield increase of up to 3.3 g per plant was often observed under greenhouse conditions. Ketema (1997) evaluated the dry biomass yield per plant of 2255 pure accessions of tef and found that the average dry biomass per plant of tef was 41 g. Compared with the averages provided by these authors, results of the present study demonstrate that aerosol-smoke treatment of tef (both AR-R and AR-UR) produced more than two-fold higher grain and dry biomass per plant.

It is also interesting that all smoke-related treatments improved stem-thickness of tef in a much similar fashion as that of the commonly used plant growth promoters such as ethephon (Berry *et al.*, 2000; Tripathi *et al.*, 2003). The positive role of smoke in promoting stem-thickness (a trait negatively associated with lodging index) implies that smoke may play a positive role in effectively reducing grain yield losses due to lodging in other cereals, such as rice, wheat and barley, provided they are responsive to smoke treatments. Furthermore, the positive role of smoke in promoting tillering capacity (a trait positively correlated to grain and dry biomass) may entail significant yield improvements and may assist in combating chronic lodging problems of tef production. It is generally expected that the smoke-induced increase in stem-thickness with simultaneous increase in the number of tillers can improve resistance to lodging (Kashiwagi *et al.*, 2008; Tripathi *et al.*, 2003).

Within the context of lodging, the undesirable role of the smoke-related treatments was the associated significant increase in plant height (Table 2). Plant height is positively correlated with lodging index (Hundera *et al.*, 2000; Yu *et al.*, 2007). In other words, the more the plants grow taller the more they become vulnerable to loading. In lodging-prone cereals, such as tef (*Eragrostis tef*) (Assefa *et al.*, 1999; Keterma, 1997), rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) (Kashiwagi *et al.*, 2008) and spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) (Tripathi *et al.*, 2003), an increase in plant height is an undesirable trait, yet an unavoidable consequence of agronomic practices, e.g. irrigation, high fertilizer levels and heavy seeding rate (D'Andrea, 2008; Pinthus, 1973; Tripathi *et al.*, 2003). Although field studies are further needed to substantiate the net effect and interaction of these factors (irrigation, fertilizers and seeding rate) with smoke, the smoke-induced increase in plant height can be reduced by selecting dwarf cultivars of tef (Assefa *et al.*, 1999) and the use of optimal agronomic practices such as fertilization (Erkossa and Teklewold, 2009) and seeding rate (Ketema, 1997).

In general, findings of this study suggest that plant-derived smoke has a great potential to improve agronomic outputs of tef. It is economically significant that plant-derived smoke may further play a positive role in lowering the cost of chemical fertilizers and thus improve economic returns (Erkossa and Teklewold, 2009; Gezahegn and Tekalign, 1995). In the tef producing countries such as Eritrea and Ethiopia, costly and environment unfriendly inorganic chemical fertilizers are applied to alleviate soil fertility problems (Erkossa and Teklewold, 2009; Ketema, 1997).

CONCLUSIONS

Though further field studies are obviously needed to verify the net role of plantderived smoke treatments on tef production, findings of this greenhouse study provide a general indication that plant-derived smoke in either a gaseous or solution form can improve agronomic outputs of a cereal crop, *Eragrostis tef.* Of all the various methods of smoke application tested, the short-term (10 min) cool aerosol-smoke pretreatment of tef seeds before sowing produced healthier plants, which offered higher grain and dry biomass yields. Therefore, relatively simple and affordable techniques of aerosolsmoke treatment of tef seeds before sowing can be an option for the resource-limited tef growers in Eritrea and Ethiopia to improve their tef production.

Acknowledgements. We thank the University of KwaZulu-Natal for financial support. We are grateful to Simo Welcome Ngcobo for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- Aremu, A. O., Bairu, M. W., Finnie, J. F. and Van Staden, J. (2012). Stimulatory role of smoke-water and karrikinolide on the photosynthetic pigment and phenolic contents of micropropagated 'Williams' bananas. *Plant Growth Regulation* 67:271–279.
- Assefa, K., Ketema, S., Tefera, H., Nguyen, H., Blum, A., Ayele, M., Bai, G., Belay, S. and Kefyalew, T. (1999). Diversity among germplasm lines of the Ethiopian cereal tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter]. *Euphytica* 106:87–97.
- Ayele, M. (1993). Use of excised-leaf water content in breeding tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter] for moisture stressed areas. Acta Agronomica Hungarica 42:261–265.
- Baxter, B., Van Staden, J., Granger, J. and Brown, N. A. C. (1994). Plant-derived smoke and smoke extracts stimulate seed germination of the fire-climax grass *Themeda triandra* Forssk. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 34:217–223.
- Berry, P., Griffin, J., Sylvester-Bradley, R., Scott, R., Spink, J., Baker, C. and Clare, R. (2000). Controlling plant form through husbandry to minimize lodging in wheat. *Field Crops Research* 67:59–81.
- Boardman, N. K. (1977). Comparative photosynthesis of sun and shade plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 28:355–377.
- Brown, N. A. C. (1993). Promotion of germination of fynbos seeds by plant-derived smoke. New Phytologist 123:575-583.
- Brown, N. A. C. and Van Staden, J. (1999). Plant-derived smoke: an effective seed pre-soaking treatment for wildflower species and with potential for horticultural and vegetable crops. *Seed Science Technology* 26:669–673.
- Chow, W., Anderson, J. and Melis, A. (1990). The photosystem stoichiometry in thylakoids of some Australian shade-adapted plant species. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 17:665–674.
- Costanza, S., De Wet, J. and Harlan, J. (1980). Literature review and numerical taxonomy of *Eragrostis tef* (T'ef). *Economic Botany* 334:413–424.
- D'Andrea, A. C. (2008). T'ef (*Eragrostis tef*) in ancient agricultural systems of highland Ethiopia. *Economic Botany* 62:547–566.
- De Lange, J. H., and Boucher, C. (1990). Autecological studies on Audouinia capitata (Bruniaceae). I. Plant-derived smoke as a seed germination cue. South African Journal of Botany 56:700–703.
- Erkossa, T. and Teklewold, H. (2009). Agronomic and economic efficiency of manure and urea fertilizers use on vertisols in Ethiopian highlands. *Agricultural Sciences in China* 8:352–360.

- Gezahegn, A. and Tekalign, M. (1995). Determinants of demand for fertilizer in a Vertisol cropping system in Ethiopia. *Tropical Agriculture* (Trinidad) 72:165–169.
- Ghebrehiwot, H. M., Kulkarni, M. G., Kirkman, K. P. and Van Staden, J. (2008). Smoke water and a smoke-isolated butenolide improve germination and seedling vigor of *Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter under high temperature and low osmotic potential. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 194:270–277.
- Gibbs-Russell, G. E., Watson, L., Koekemoer, M., Smook, L., Barker, N. P., Anderson, H. M. and Dallwitz, M. J. (1990). Grasses of Southern Africa. *Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa*, No. 58. Pretoria, South Africa: National Botanic Gardens/Botanical Research Institute, p. 58.
- Hendry, G. A. and Price, A. H. (1993). Stress indicators: chlorophylls and carotenoids. In *Methods in Comparative Plant Ecology*, 148–152 (Eds G. A. F. Hendry and J. P. Grime). London: Chapman and Hall.
- Hundera, F., Nelson, L. A., Baenziger, P. S., Bechere, E. and Tefera, H. (2000). Association of lodging and some morpho-agronomic traits in tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc.) Trotter]. *Tropical Agriculture* 77:169–173.
- Kashiwagi, T., Togawa, E., Hirotsu, N. and Ishimaru, K. (2008). Improvement of lodging resistance with QTLs for stem diameter in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 117:749–757.
- Ketema, S. (1993). Tef (Eragrostis tef): Breeding, Genetic Resources, Agronomy, Utilization and Role in Ethiopian Agriculture. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Institute of Agricultural Research.
- Ketema, S. (1997). Tef [Eragrostis tef (Zucc.) Trotter.]. Promoting the Conservation and Use of Underutilized and Neglected Crops, Vol. 12. Rome, Italy: Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Gatersleben/International Plant Genetic Resources Institute.
- Kulkarni, M. G., Ascough, G. D. and Van Staden, J. (2007). Effects of foliar applications of smoke-water and a smoke-isolated butenolide on seedling growth of okra and tomato. *HortScience* 42:179–182.
- Kulkarni, M. G., Ascough, G. D. and Van Staden, J. (2008). Smoke-water and a smoke-isolated butenolide improve growth and yield of tomatoes under greenhouse conditions. *HortTechnology* 18:449–454.
- Lichtenthaler, H. K. (1987). Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. In Methods in Enzymology, 350–382 (Eds R. Douce and L. Packer). New York: Academic Press.
- Light, M. E., Daws, M. I. and Van Staden, J. (2009). Smoke-derived butenolide: towards understanding its biological effects. South African Journal of Botany 75:1–7.
- Light, M. E., Gardner, M. J., Jäger, A. K. and Van Staden, J. (2002). Dual regulation of seed germination by smoke solutions. *Plant Growth Regulation* 37:135–141.
- Ma, B. L., Morrison, M. J. and Voldeng, H. D. (1995). Leaf greenness and photosynthetic rates in soybean. Crop Science 35:1411–1414.
- National Research Council (1996). Lost crops of Africa, vol. I, Grains, 215–235. National Academy Press, Washington DC, USA.
- Pinthus, M. J. (1973). Lodging in wheat, barley and oats: the phenomenon, its causes and preventative measures. Advances in Agronomy 2:209–263.
- Purseglove, J. W. (1972). Tropical monocotyledons I. London: William Clowes.
- Sparg, S. G., Kulkarni, M. G. and Van Staden, J. (2006). Aerosol-smoke and smoke-water stimulation of seedling vigor of a commercial maize cultivar. *Crop Science* 46:1336–1340.
- Takele, A. (2001). Canopy temperatures and excised leaf water loss of tef [Eragrostis tef (Zucc.) Trotter] cultivar under water deficit conditions at anthesis. Acta Agronomica Hungarica 49:109–117.
- Teklu, Y. and Tefera, H. (2005). Genetic improvement in grain yield potential and associated agronomic traits of tef (*Eragrostis tef*). *Euphytica* 141:247–254.
- Torres Netto, A. T., Campostrini, E., De Oliveira, J. G. and Bressan-Smith, R. E. (2005). Photosynthetic pigments, nitrogen, chlorophyll fluorescence and SPAD-502 readings in coffee leaves. *Scientia Horticulturae* 104:199–209.
- Tripathi, S. C., Sayre, K. D., Kaul, J. N. and Narang, R. S. (2003). Growth and morphology of spring wheat culm and their association with lodging: effects of genotype, N levels and ethephon. *Field Crops Research* 84:271– 290.
- Van Staden, J., Brown, N. A. C., Jäger, A. K. and Johnson, T. A. (2000). Smoke as a germination cue. Plant Species Biology 15:167–178.
- Van Staden, J., Jäger, A. K., Light, M. E. and Burger, B. V. (2004). Isolation of the major germination cue from plant-derived smoke. South African Journal of Botany 70:654–659.
- Van Staden, J., Sparg, S. G., Kulkarni, M. G. and Light, M. E. (2006). Post-germination effects of the smoke-derived compound 3-methyl-2*H*-furo[2,3-c]pyran-2-one, and its potential as a preconditioning agent. *Field Crops Research* 98:98–105.

- Watson, D. J. (1947). Comparative physiological studies on the growth of field crops I. Variation in net assimilation rate and leaf area between species and varieties, and within and between years. *Annals of Botany* 11:41–76.
- Yu, J. K., Graznak, E., Breseghello, F., Tefera, H. and Sorrells, M. E. (2007). QTL mapping of agronomic traits in tef [*Eragrostis tef* (Zucc) Trotter]. *BMC Plant Biology* 7:30.
- Zeid, M., Belay, G., Mulkey, S., Poland, J. and Sorrells, M. E. (2011). QTL mapping for yield and lodging resistance in an enhanced SSR-based map for tef. *Theoretical and Applied Geneticas* 122:77–93.
- Zuber, U., Winzeler, H., Messmer, M. M., Keller, B., Schmid, J. E. and Stamp, P. (1999). Morphological traits associated with lodging resistance of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 182:17– 24.