cambridge.org/pax

Original Article

*The article has been updated since its original publication. See https://doi.org/10. 1017/S1478951521000560.

Cite this article: Seiler A *et al.* (2021). Death in delirious palliative-care patients occurs irrespective of age: A prospective, observational cohort study of 229 delirious palliative-care patients. *Palliative and Supportive Care* **19**, 274–282. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S1478951520000887

Received: 14 July 2020 Revised: 12 August 2020 Accepted: 23 August 2020

Key words:

Delirium; Palliative-care patients; Precipitating factors; Predisposing factors

Author for correspondence:

Annina Seiler, Department of Consultation-Liaison-Psychiatry and Psychosomatic Medicine, University Hospital Zurich, Culmannstrasse 8, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland. E-mail: annina.seiler@usz.ch

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press



Death in delirious palliative-care patients occurs irrespective of age: A prospective, observational cohort study of 229 delirious palliative-care patients

Annina Seiler, PH.D.^{1,2}, David Blum, M.D., PH.D.², Caroline Hertler, M.D.², Markus Schettle, M.D.², Carl Moritz Zipser, M.D.³, Leonie Bode, M.D.¹, Samuel Gehrke, M.D.¹, Jutta Ernst, PH.D.⁴, Maria Schubert, PH.D.⁵, Roland von Känel, M.D.¹ and Soenke Boettger, M.D.¹

¹Department of Consultation-Liaison Psychiatry and Psychosomatic Medicine, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ²Department of Radiation Oncology, Competence Center Palliative Care, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ³Department of Neurology and Neurophysiology, Balgrist University Hospital, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ⁴Center of Clinical Nursing Science, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland and ⁵School of Health Professions, University of Applied Science, Zurich, Switzerland

Abstract

Objectives. Patients with terminal illness are at high risk of developing delirium, in particular, those with multiple predisposing and precipitating risk factors. Delirium in palliative care is largely under-researched, and few studies have systematically assessed key aspects of delirium in elderly, palliative-care patients.

Methods. In this prospective, observational cohort study at a tertiary care center, 229 delirious palliative-care patients stratified by age: <65 (N = 105) and ≥ 65 years (N = 124), were analyzed with logistic regression models to identify associations with respect to predisposing and precipitating factors.

Results. In 88% of the patients, the underlying diagnosis was cancer. Mortality rate and median time to death did not differ significantly between the two age groups. No intergroup differences were detected with respect to gender, care requirements, length of hospital stay, or medical costs. In patients \geq 65 years, exclusively predisposing factors were relevant for delirium, including hearing impairment [odds ratio (OR) 3.64; confidence interval (CI) 1.90– 6.99; *P* < 0.001], hypertension (OR 3.57; CI 1.84–6.92; *P* < 0.001), and chronic kidney disease (OR 4.84; CI 1.19–19.72; *P* = 0.028). In contrast, in patients <65 years, only precipitating factors were relevant for delirium, including cerebral edema (OR 0.02; CI 0.01–0.43; *P* = 0.012). Significance of results. The results of this study demonstrate that death in delirious palliative-care patients occurs irrespective of age. The multifactorial nature and adverse outcomes of delirium across all age in these patients require clinical recognition. Potentially reversible factors should be detected early to prevent or mitigate delirium and its poor survival outcomes.

Introduction

Delirium is an acute neurocognitive disorder commonly seen in patients with advanced illness, characterized by disturbances in consciousness or attention, and cognition. Typically, delirium is characterized by an abrupt onset and fluctuating course (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

The etiology of delirium is complex and usually multifactorial, resulting from a combination of risk factors, typically termed either "predisposing" or "precipitating" factors (Inouye et al., 2014). Predisposing factors are medical conditions and comorbidities that preexist in a patient, like male gender, older age, and hearing, visual, or cognitive impairment. Conversely, precipitating factors, which often are modifiable, refer to contributing risk factors that are critical activators of a delirium episode, like the consumption of sedative medications, infections, surgery, and abnormalities in various laboratory results (e.g., electrolyte imbalance, metabolic abnormalities) (Bush et al., 2018). Generally, these predisposing and precipitating factors increase the risk of developing delirium (Boettger et al., 2015; Seiler et al., 2019; Zipser et al., 2019). Furthermore, patients with multiple predisposing risk factors are more susceptible to precipitating factors than patients with only one predisposing factor (Laurila et al., 2008).

The prevalence of delirium in palliative-care patients is high, ranging from 35% to 55% at the time of admission (Hosie et al., 2013; Ryan et al., 2013; Lawlor et al., 2014; de la Cruz et al., 2015; Mercadante et al., 2017) and from 88% to 93% in the terminally ill (Hosie et al., 2013;

Bush et al., 2017; Seiler et al., 2019). Delirium is frequently underdiagnosed, particularly the hypoactive form, which is the most common manifestation in palliative-care patients (Friedlander et al., 2004). Delirium in palliative-care patients has negative consequences for both short- and long-term prognosis, including significant morbidity and mortality, increased health and personal care requirements, elevated healthcare costs, and the need for institutionalization (Lawlor and Bush, 2014; Dani et al., 2017; Tosun Tasar et al., 2018; Seiler et al., 2019).

Elderly patients, by definition, those ≥ 65 years old, as well as patients suffering from dementia, are particularly vulnerable to developing delirium (Witlox et al., 2010; Inouye et al., 2014; Fong et al., 2017). Reasons for this are the high prevalence of comorbid conditions, like cancer, hypertension, coronary artery disease, diabetes, malnutrition, bladder dysfunction, constipation, sensory impairment, or substance abuse (Thakur et al., 2013; Inouye et al., 2014). In the geriatric population (i.e., patients ≥ 65), the prevalence of delirium ranges between 25.5% and 70% with highest rates occurring in intermediate and intensive care units and within the palliative-care settings (Fong et al., 2009; González et al., 2009; McCoy et al., 2017; Tosun Tasar et al., 2018).

Despite major advances in delirium research, specifically involving geriatric populations, delirium is largely underresearched in the context of palliative care, with relatively few studies having systematically assessed key aspects of delirium in elderly palliative-care patients. Although age and dementia are among the most frequently reported risk factors for developing delirium, their association in palliative-care patients remains elusive. The overall purposes of this study were, therefore, (1) to examine age as a risk factor for delirium in palliative-care patients; (2) to assess group differences with respect to predisposing and precipitating factors among delirious palliative-care patients <65 vs. \geq 65 years old; and (3) to identify the most relevant predisposing and precipitating risk factors for delirium in elderly patients in palliative care.

Methods

Study design, patients, and procedures

The present study is based on previous work by Seiler et al. (2019), which investigated the predisposing and precipitating risk factors for delirium in palliative-care patients. This study is a sub-analysis of "*Delir-Path*", a large prospective observational project aiming to enhance the prevention, early detection, and management of hospital-acquired delirium in surgical and intensive care patients (Schubert, 2013–2015). Details regarding the study design and methods of the *Delir-Path* project can be found elsewhere (Schubert et al., 2018; Seiler et al., 2019; Zipser et al., 2019).

The *Delir-Path* enrolled 29'278 eligible patients, out of these, 410 were managed at the Competence Center for Palliative Care. In this study, only delirious palliative-care patients (N= 229) were included in the analyses (Figure 1). For the purpose of this study, the term 'palliative-care patients' refers to patients with terminal illnesses that are unlikely to be controlled with treatment, having a prognosis of weeks to 6 months (Hui et al., 2014; National Cancer Institute, 2019).

All study procedures were in accordance with the World Health Organization's 2013 Declaration of Helsinki. The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Canton of Zurich (KEK), Switzerland (KEK-ZH-Nr. 2012-0263).

A waver of informed consent was obtained from the KEK. Data were collected and reported in accordance with guidelines in the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) statement (Strobe, 2009). Demographic and medical information was retrieved via the electronic medical chart

Determination of delirium

For the determination of delirium, both the Delirium Observation Screening (DOS) scale and a delirium construct based on the delirium criteria of disturbances in alertness, attention, and cognition as described in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition (DSM-5) (European Delirium Association & American Delirium Society, 2014) and the electronic Patient Assessment-Acute Care (ePA-AC), a daily nursing assessment (Hunstein et al., 2012) were used. This delirium construct in combination with the DOS accurately detected 97% of patients diagnosed with delirium, as specified by the DSM-IV-TR (Seiler et al., 2019).

(Klinikinformationssystem, KISIM, CisTec AG, Zurich).

The DOS was administered thrice daily over the first three days of hospitalization to all patients \geq 65 years and to patients <65 years upon clinical suspicion of incident delirium. Once delirium was verified, DOS screening was continued three times per day until remission. In addition, medical and functional parameters were assessed with the ePa-AC daily (Hunstein et al., 2012). Study nurses were specifically trained in applying the DOS through lectures on epidemiology and diagnostic criteria for delirium, in conjunction with case reports, eLearning, literature research, and an assessment of training success.

Characterization of predisposing and precipitating factors for delirium

A number of predisposing and precipitating factors have been described for palliative-care patients (Kang et al., 2013; Inouye et al., 2014; Hosker and Bennett, 2016; Bush et al., 2018; Schubert et al., 2018; Zipser et al., 2019). The characterization of the predisposing and precipitating factors for delirium utilized in this study (Table 1) can be found elsewhere (Seiler et al., 2019).

Measures

The delirium observational screening scale

The 13-item DOS scale was used to screen for delirium (Schuurmans et al., 2003). This scale was originally developed to facilitate the early detection of delirium based on DSM-IV criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). The following items are included in the questionnaire: disturbances of consciousness (item 1), attention (items 2–4), thought processes (items 5 and 6), orientation (items 7 and 8), memory (item 9), psychomotor behavior (items 10, 11 and 13), and affect (item 12). Each item is rated as a binary variable: normal (0) or abnormal (1). A total score is computed by aggregating the items throughout recordings; the cut-off score for delirium was \geq 3.

Comorbidities

The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was used to assess multimorbidity and frailty (Charlson et al., 1987). The CCI aggregates patient age and multiple medical conditions, including myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, dementia, chronic pulmonary



Fig. 1. Screening algorithm for the Delir-Path.

disease, rheumatic disease, diabetes mellitus, renal disease, liver disease, peptic ulcer disease, malignancy, hemiplegia, or paraplegia, and AIDS/HIV. Medical conditions are scored on a scale from 1 to 6. The total comorbidity score derives from the weighted conditions, with higher scores indicating greater comorbidity. The CCI has displayed good reliability and is strongly correlated with mortality and progression-free survival (Williams et al., 2016).

Statistical methods

All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26.0 software (IBM Corp., Released, 2017). Descriptive statistics were summarized using means and standard deviations or medians and interquartile ranges for continuous variables or as counts and percentages for categorical variables. Dichotomizations were chosen for the CCI (<2 vs. \geq 2), frailty (mobility impaired vs. not impaired), residence status prior to admission (institution vs. home), and cerebral edema (presence or absence of edema was defined as hypodensity on CT scans or as T2/FLAIR hyperintensity on MRI scans). All continuous variables were tested with the Shapiro-Wilk's test to determine normal distribution. Age-group differences in continuous outcomes were determined with either Student's t-test or Mann-Whitney U test for parametric and nonparametric data, respectively, and categorical variables with the Pearson's χ^2 or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. All inferential tests were two-tailed and the criterion for statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

For the purpose of this study, a subgroup of delirious palliative-care patients was selected. Subsequently, data were

dichotomized into two age groups: patients <65 years (referenced with 0) and patients \geq 65 years old (referenced with 1). Odds ratio (OR) >1 indicate a strong association with the patient age group \geq 65 years (when compared with the variable reference level) whereas ORs <1 represent strong associations with the patient age group <65 years (when compared with the variable reference level). Simple logistic regression models were used to determine effect sizes of sociodemographic and medical characteristics among delirious palliative-care patients expressed as OR with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). As a last step, multiple logistic regression models were used to identify predisposing and precipitating risk factors in delirious in palliative-care patients. Multiple regression models were computed with their respective ORs and CIs, based on the results of the simple logistic regressions models, by entering variables with a *P*-value <0.15. The model was verified using Cox–Snell's and Nagelkerke's r^2 tests.

Results

Demographics and clinical characteristics of delirious palliative-care patients

Detailed patient demographics and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 2. Out of 410 palliative-care patients, 105 (46%) were delirious patients <65 years and 124 (54%) were delirious patients \geq 65 years.

Overall, 88% of the delirious palliative-care patients had cancer as an underlying diagnosis. Relative to delirious patients <65 years, delirious patients \geq 65 years had more comorbidities (CCI P < 0.001) and were more likely to be discharged to assisted living
 Table 1. Diagnostic clusters with their respective included diagnoses according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision (ICD-10)

	ICD-10 chapters
Cancer classification	
Head and neck	C00-C14
Lung	C30-C39
Skin	C43-C44
Breast	C50
Gynaecological	C51-C58
Haematological	C81-C96
Brain	C70-C72
Secondary neoplasms	C79
Medical disorders	
Sepsis-related disorders	
Streptococcal septicemia; other septicemia	A40-A41
Herpetic sepsis	B00.7
Systemic inflammatory response syndrome	R65
Malnutrition	E40-E46
Electrolyte imbalances	E87
Vascular syndromes of brain in cerebrovascular diseases	
Cerebral vascular syndromes	G46
Cerebral infarction	163
Cerebral edema	G93.6
Diseases of the circulatory system	
Ischemic heart disease	120-125
Diseases of the respiratory system	
Viral pneumonia	J12-J15
Lung edema	J81
Pleural effusion	J90
Diseases of the liver	
Hepatic failure	K72
Diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue	
Pressure sores	L89
Diseases of the genitourinary system	
Acute renal failure	N17
Chronic renal failure	N18
Cystitis	N30
Cachexia	R64
Pain	R52
Neurological disorders	
Organic, including symptomatic, mental disorders	F00
Alzheimer's disease	F01
Vascular dementias	F02
Dementia due to elsewhere defined disorders	F03

(Continued)

 Table 1. (Continued.)

	ICD-10 chapters
Dementia unspecified	
Other degenerative diseases of the nervous system	
Alzheimer's disease	G30
Localized atrophies (frontal temporal dementia)	G31
Senile and alcohol-induced degenerations	G31.1-G31.2
Degenerations unspecified	G31.8-G31.9
Degenerations due to elsewhere defined disorders	G32
Episodic and paroxysmal disorders: Epilepsies	G40-G41
Psychiatric disorders	
Substance use disorders	F10-F19

(OR 2.28). Of note, death in delirious palliative-care patients occurred irrespective of patient age and median time to death was comparable. There were no inter-group differences with respect to gender, mode of admission, hospital health insurance, length of hospital stay, care requirements, or medical costs in delirious patients.

Determination of predisposing and precipitating factors

Simple logistic regression identified the following predisposing factors as relevant for delirious patients \geq 65 years vs. those <65 years: hearing impairment (OR 3.41), hypertonia (OR 4.02), ischemic heart disease (OR 4.40), cardiac insufficiency (OR 18.82), valvular heart disease (OR 10.12), chronic kidney disease (OR 7.74), chronic pneumonitis (OR 4.29), and diabetes mellitus (OR 2.28). No significant inter-group differences were found in the presence of frailty, cachexia, malnutrition, or substance use disorder.

By simple logistic regression, no relevant precipitating factors were identified for patients \geq 65 years. The strongest precipitating factor among patients <65 years was the presence of cerebral edema (OR 0.09). No significant inter-group differences were found for the presence of sepsis, electrolyte imbalances, pneumonia, lung edema, pleural effusion, liver failure, pressure sores, cystitis, or pain.

Multiple regressions analysis for predisposing and precipitating factors for delirium

Table 3 summarizes the multiple regression analyses performed to identify predisposing and precipitating risk factors in delirious patients < and \geq 65. The strongest predisposing risk factors among patients \geq 65 years vs. those <65 years were kidney disease (OR 4.84), hearing impairment (OR 3.64), and hypertonia (OR 3.57). No associations were identified for neoplastic disease, brain metastases, ischemic heart disease, cardiac insufficiency, chronic pneumonitis, or diabetes mellitus. For patients \geq 65 years, no relevant precipitating factor was identified. The only relevant precipitating factor among patients <65 years was cerebral edema (OR 0.02)

Predisposing and precipitating factors for delirious palliative care among delirious patients <65 and \geq 65 years are depicted in Figure 2.

Table 2. Sociodemographic and medical characteristics of delirious palliative-care patients younger 65 years vs. 65 years and older

	Delirious patients <65 years (N = 105)	Delirious patients \geq 65 years) (N = 124) OR (95% Cl)		P-value
Age in years M (SD)	54.4 (10.2)	76.5 (7.5) —		<0.001
Gender (%)				
Male	61	66		0.491
Female	41	34		0.491
Charlson comorbidity index ^a	2.0 (1.0); 2.0 (2)	2.6 (1.7); 3.0 (4) —		<0.001
Neoplastic disease (%)	95	81	0.22 (0.08, 0.60)	0.002
Tumor type (%)				
Gynaecological	24	15		0.130
Lung	17	19		0.773
Brain	13	6		0.064
Head and neck	9	10		0.822
Skin	11	3	0.26 (0.42, 0.83)	0.019
Haematological	2	3		0.690
Breast	3	0		0.095
Secondary neoplasm	64	52		0.182
Mode of admission N (%)				
Emergency	67	76		0.143
Elective	31	21		0.095
Stay prior admission N (%)				
Home	81	75		0.339
Assisted/Nursing	2	3		0.111
Hospital	11	10		0.703
Other	2	3		0.690
Hospital health insurance (%)				
General health insurance	53	85		0.058
Semiprivate health insurance	4	11		0.076
Private health insurance	3	5		0.513
Discharge to N (%)				
Home	33	19	0.46 (0.25, 0.84)	0.014
Assisted living	9	18	2.28 (1.04, 5.02)	0.041
Other hospital	2	3		0.999
Rehabilitation	8	7		0.999
Length of stay in days ^a	25.3 (23.1); 21 (22)	21.4 (17.0); 17.0 (16)		0.150
Care requirements per case in h ^a	204 (352.8); 124.7 (129)	169.9 (206.5); 115.7 (150)		0.358
Medical costs per case (CHF) ^a	54'374 CHF (80'415 CHF); 36'015 CHF (36'867 CHF)	49'679 CHF (60'939 CHF); 34'620 CHF (33'973 CHF)		0.616
Death N (%)	48 (46%)	64 (52%)		0.427
Time to death (days) ^a	22.8 (22.4); 17 (20)	20.8 (18.6); 16 (18)		0.437

Abbreviations: M, mean; SD, standard deviation; N, number; CHF, Swiss Franc.

^aMean, standard deviation; median, interquartile range.

Bold values indicated significant results.

Discussion

This study evaluated and compared predisposing and precipitating factors for delirium in palliative-care patients <65 and \geq 65 years old. Interestingly, most of the predisposing and precipitating factors that we identified in patients <65 years resembled those we found in the more elderly (\geq 65 years). There were no age-group-related differences for most of the examined predisposing and precipitating factors. The only risk factors detected

Table 3. Summary of multiple regression model for the predisposing and precipitating factors of the delirious palliative-care patients between those younger than 65 years vs. those 65 years and older

	<i>B</i> (SE)	Exp (<i>B</i>)	95% CI lower-upper	Р	
Predisposing factors					
Hearing impairment	1.29 (0.33)	3.64	1.90-6.99	<0.001	
Brain neoplasm	-0.76 (0.62)	0.47	0.14-1.58	0.222	
Hypertonia	1.27 (0.34)	3.57	1.84-6.92	<0.001	
Ischemic heart diseases	0.73 (0.55)	2.08	0.71-6.05	0.180	
Cardiac insufficiency	2.25 (1.18)	9.51	0.94–96.67	0.057	
Kidney disease	1.58 (0.72)	4.84	1.19-19.72	0.028	
Chronic pneumonitis	0.77 (0.69)	2.15	0.55-8.36	0.269	
Precipitating factors					
Cerebral edema	-3.75 (1.49)	0.02	0.01-0.43	0.012	
Pain	-1.05 (0.62)	0.35	0.10-1.17	0.088	
Constant	-0.15 (0.63)	0.86		0.814	

Notes. The variable age was dichotomized into two groups: patients <65 years (referenced with 0) and patients \geq 65 years (referenced with 1). Odds ratios (OR) >1 indicate a strong association with the patient age group \geq 65 years (when compared with the variable reference level) whereas ORs <1 represent strong associations with the patient age group <65 years (when compared with the variable reference level). Cox--Snell and Nagelkerke $r^2 = 0.307$ and r^2 0.410. Displayed are estimated coefficients (*B*, SE), 95% confidence intervals, and *P*-values. SE, standard error; CI, confidence interval.

Bold values indicated significant results.

specifically in patients \geq 65 years were chronic kidney disease, impaired hearing, and hypertonia.

Importantly, death in delirious palliative-care patients with varying preexisting diagnoses occurred irrespective of age. Furthermore, the length of stay, care requirements, and medical costs did not differ between groups. Our findings agree with previous results from a meta-analysis in which delirium in hospitalized older patients was associated with increased mortality, regardless of age, sex, and comorbidities (Witlox et al., 2010).

Several studies have identified risk factors for the development of delirium in the general medical population, as well as in elderly patients; albeit, with inconsistent results (Inouve and Charpentier, 1996; Pisani et al., 2007; Mehta et al., 2015; Oh et al., 2016; Schubert et al., 2018; Seiler et al., 2019; Zipser et al., 2019). Generally, age and cognitive impairment are the most prevalent risk factors for delirium and its sequelae across all patient populations (Ljubisavljevic and Kelly, 2003; Korevaar et al., 2005; Inouye et al., 2014; Bellelli et al., 2016; Maldonado, 2017). However, contradicting the current literature, we were unable to confirm the contribution of age to delirium in palliative-care patients. In our study, death in the delirious occurred irrespective of age. Of note, cognitive dysfunction can also manifest in palliative-care patients <65 years, caused by pathologies like primary and secondary brain tumors, which can result in neurological damage and, thereby, induce delirium (Stiefel and Holland, 1991; Zimmerman et al., 2011). In addition, hepatorenal or metabolic dysfunction, infections, other comorbidities, and certain medications all may reduce a terminally ill patient's ability to preserve homeostasis, resulting in neurotoxic effects, regardless of the patient's age (Zimmerman et al., 2011).

Interestingly, only a small number of predisposing factors were characteristic for delirious palliative-care patients ≥ 65 years. Elderly palliative-care patients with delirium suffered more often from chronic kidney failure, sensory impairment, and hypertonia than those <65 years. These results confirm previous findings identifying metabolic dysfunction as the causative mechanism for delirium in 44% of terminal cancer patients ≥65 (Morita et al., 2001). Furthermore, sensory impairment, either preexisting or iatrogenic, is prevalent in the elderly and may weaken sensory input to the brain, leading to confusional states (Zimmerman et al., 2011; Seiler et al., 2019) and increased mortality (Schubert et al., 2016). Finally, hypertension is highly prevalent in elderly patients, affecting nearly half of patients 65 years old or older (Rigaud and Forette, 2001). Hypertension in patients \geq 65 years also is associated with increased incidence rates for both cardiovascular and cerebrovascular complications and is, for this reason, a robust risk factor for increased mortality (Kostis et al., 2019). Lastly, we identified cardiac insufficiency as a potential predisposing risk factor in our study cohort, though the effect was not significant. This association concurs well with previous findings that report on cardiac toxicity of some cancer therapies (Hamo and Bloom, 2017). In fact, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), cancer and cardiovascular disease account for almost half of the deaths in the US (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2017)

Multiple risk factors for delirium have been identified in advanced and terminally ill cancer patients. Moreover, it is assumed that patients already at high risk of delirium, due to the presence of multiple predisposing factors, require fewer precipitating factors to develop delirium (Inouye, 1998; Inouye et al., 2014). It has been estimated that the total number of risk factors in delirious terminally ill cancer patients ranges between one and six, with a median of two (Morita et al., 2001). In our study, predisposing risk factors were exclusively contributing to delirium in delirious patients ≥ 65 years whereas precipitating factors alone were sufficient to cause delirium in patients <65 years. Cerebral edema was the strongest factor for delirium in patients <65 years. Importantly, cerebral edema occurred in only one delirious patient ≥65 years, i.e., cerebral edema was 98% less present in elderly patients. Cerebral edema can arise from a number of complications, including head trauma, vascular ischemia, intracranial lesions with devastating, even fatal consequences when untreated (Nehring et al., 2020). Furthermore, the pain was a potential precipitating risk factor (barely missing significance) for delirium in patients <65 years. In fact, pain can impact on the incidence of delirium (Sampson et al., 2020). This effect is possibly moderated through the treatment with analgesics, opioids, or other anticholinergic or sedative drugs (e.g., benzodiazepines), which can result in a longer episode of or more severe delirium (Pisani et al., 2009; Bush et al., 2018).

Clinical implications

Given that delirium is an independent predictor of adverse outcomes and mortality in palliative-care patients, the implementation of primary and secondary prevention strategies to reduce the incidence of delirium — strategies that incorporate best clinical practices for delirium management — is of considerable



Predisposing and Precipitating Factors

* Significant effects

Fig. 2. Forest plots of predisposing and precipitating factors for delirium. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals are reported for each delirium risk factor. The edges of the polygon represent 95% confidence limits.

clinical importance in this vulnerable population (Wass et al., 2008). Delirium in the palliative-care setting is often underdiagnosed (Friedlander et al., 2004). Furthermore, delirium also generates high levels of distress in patients and their family members or caregivers; and interferes with communication between patients and their relatives as patients near the end of their life (Bush et al., 2017). Implementing some standardized deliriumscreening process is an important step towards enhancing the effectiveness of delirium treatment. A number of screening tools exist, like the DOS, which has demonstrated 97% sensitivity in palliative-care settings (Seiler et al., 2019). Delirium management in palliative-care patients entails assessing and treating causative factors and potentially reversible causes, discontinuing any medication that might cause delirium, using symptom-oriented medication, and enacting environmental changes (re-orientation, early and recurrent mobilization, hearing and visual aids, pain management, adequate nutrition and hydration, etc.) (LeGrand, 2012).

Delirium can be managed successfully, even in terminally ill patients (Lawlor et al., 2000a, 2000b). Evidence suggests that delirium is reversible in approximately 50% of palliative-care patients (Lawlor et al., 2000b). Reversible causes of delirium mainly include precipitating factors like dehydration, opioid toxicity, the adverse effects of other psychoactive drugs, poor nutrition, immobilization, sleep deprivation, and complications from medical interventions or procedures (de Stoutz et al., 1995; Maddocks et al., 1996; Fong et al., 2009). Analgesics, opioids, and anticholinergic drugs are prevalent causes of acute confusional states, particularly in elderly patients (Casarett and Inouye, 2001; Friedlander et al., 2004). However, delirium can develop secondary to multiple pathologies, including electrolyte imbalance, infections, major organ failure, and central nervous system malignancies (Lawlor et al., 2000b; Breitbart and Friedlander, 2006). In some cases,

delirium can result in irreversible damage, including hypoxic encephalopathy, major organ failure, refractory hypercalcemia, and/or death (Lawlor et al., 2000b).

Delirium management in terminally ill patients who develop delirium in the last days of life is unique and creates several ethical and clinical dilemmas for healthcare professionals, frequently resulting in large deviations from the desired outcome, due to the process of dying (Akechi, 2020). In delirious terminally ill patients, the overall desired outcome is usually to provide comfort, which is often achieved using sedating drugs like analgesics and anxiolytics, at the risk of reducing alertness (Friedlander et al., 2004).

Study strengths and limitations

Among palliative-care patients, research is challenging due to terminally ill patients' vulnerability as they near the end of life, difficulties with patient accrual, and high attrition rates. Strengths of our study include the careful examination and utilization of a relatively large sample of delirious palliative-care patients to conduct our analyses. A second strength is that the sociodemographic and medical characteristics of our study population were described comprehensively. Third, we employed rigorous methodology, which included an innovative delirium-screening approach: combining the DOS score and DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for delirium. A final strength is the analysis of both predisposing and precipitating factors, stratified by age. Despite these study strengths, certain limitations must be acknowledged. First, patients were enrolled at a single tertiary care center, which might limit the generalizability of results to other settings. Second, not all potential risk factors for delirium, like endocrine disorders, were examined, and brain imaging was not routinely conducted. Third, the clinical features of delirium were only evaluated cross-sectionally. A

prospective cohort study would better delineate the delirium trajectories of palliative-care patients. Given attrition challenges in this population, multicenter collaborations could be key to recruiting cohorts large enough to allow for more comprehensive analyses.

Conclusions

Patients with terminal illnesses are at high risk of developing delirium; particularly those with multiple predisposing and precipitating risk factors. Importantly, delirium in palliative-care patients appears linked to an increased risk of death, irrespective of patients' age. Physicians need to, therefore, be aware of the multifactorial nature and adverse outcomes of delirium across all ages and recognize potentially reversible factors early enough to either prevent or reduce delirium and its poor survival outcomes.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Akechi T (2020) Optimal goal of management of delirium in end-of-life cancer care. The Lancet Oncology 21(7), 872–873.
- American Psychiatric Association (2000) *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV-TR)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- American Psychiatric Association (2013) Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- **Bellelli G, Morandi A, Di Santo SG, et al.** (2016) "Delirium Day": A nationwide point prevalence study of delirium in older hospitalized patients using an easy standardized diagnostic tool. *BMC Medicine* **14**, 106.
- Boettger S, Jenewein J and Breitbart W (2015) Delirium and severe illness: Etiologies, severity of delirium and phenomenological differences. *Palliative & Support Care* **13**(4), 1087–1092.
- Breitbart W and Friedlander M (2006). Confusion/ delirium. In Bruera E, Higginson I, Ripamonti C and von Gunten C (eds), *Palliative Medicine*. London: London Hodder Press, pp. 688–700.
- Bush SH, Tierney S and Lawlor PG (2017) Clinical assessment and management of delirium in the palliative care setting. Drugs 77(15), 1623–1643.
- Bush SH, Lawlor PG, Ryan K, et al. (2018) Delirium in adult cancer patients: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. Annals of Oncology 29(Suppl. 4), iv143-iv165.
- Casarett DJ and Inouye SK (2001) Diagnosis and management of delirium near the end of life. Annals of Internal Medicine 135(1), 32-40.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2017). Leading Causes of Death. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/leading-causes-of-death.htm (Last accessed June 23, 2020).
- Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, et al. (1987) A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. Journal of Chronic Diseases 40(5), 373–383.
- Dani M, Owen LH, Jackson TA, et al. (2017) Delirium, frailty, and mortality: Interactions in a prospective study of hospitalized older people. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A* 73(3), 415–418.
- de la Cruz M, Ransing V, Yennu S, et al. (2015) The frequency, characteristics, and outcomes among cancer patients with delirium admitted to an acute palliative care unit. *The Oncologist* **20**(12), 1425–1431.
- de Stoutz ND, Bruera E and Suarez-Almazor M (1995) Opioid rotation for toxicity reduction in terminal cancer patients. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* 10(5), 378–384.
- **European Delirium Association & American Delirium Society** (2014) The DSM-5 criteria, level of arousal and delirium diagnosis: Inclusiveness is safer. *BMC Medicine* **12**, 141–141.
- Fong TG, Tulebaev SR and Inouye SK (2009) Delirium in elderly adults: Diagnosis, prevention and treatment. *Nature Reviews Neurology* 5(4), 210–220.

- Fong TG, Inouye SK and Jones RN (2017) Delirium, dementia, and decline. *JAMA Psychiatry* 74(3), 212–213.
- Friedlander MM, Brayman Y and Breitbart WS (2004) Delirium in palliative care. Oncology (Williston Park) 18(12), 1541–1550; discussion 1551–1543.
- González M, Martínez G, Calderón J, et al. (2009) Impact of delirium on short-term mortality in elderly inpatients: A prospective cohort study. *Psychosomatics* 50(3), 234–238.
- Hamo CE and Bloom MW (2017) Cancer and heart failure: Understanding the intersection. *Cardiac Failure Review* **3**(1), 66–70.
- Hosie A, Davidson PM, Agar M, *et al.* (2013) Delirium prevalence, incidence, and implications for screening in specialist palliative care inpatient settings: A systematic review. *Palliative Medicine* **27**(6), 486–498.
- Hosker CMG and Bennett MI (2016) Delirium and agitation at the end of life. *BMJ* 353, i3085.
- Hui D, Nooruddin Z, Didwaniya N, et al. (2014) Concepts and definitions for "actively dying," "end of life," "terminally ill," "terminal care," and "transition of care": A systematic review. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 47(1), 77–89.
- Hunstein D, Sippel B, Rode D, et al. (2012) ePAAC[©] : Ergebnisorientiertes *PflegeAssessment AcuteCare* (Version 2.0). Wiesbaden, Germany: ePA-Competence Center (ePA-CC).
- **IBM Corp. Released** (2017) *IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0.* Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
- **Inouye SK** (1998) Delirium in hospitalized older patients: Recognition and risk factors. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology* **11**(3), 118–125; discussion 157–118.
- Inouye SK and Charpentier PA (1996) Precipitating factors for delirium in hospitalized elderly persons. Predictive model and interrelationship with baseline vulnerability. JAMA 275(11), 852–857.
- Inouye SK, Westendorp RGJ and Saczynski JS (2014) Delirium in elderly people. Lancet (London, England) 383(9920), 911–922.
- Kang JH, Shin SH and Bruera E (2013) Comprehensive approaches to managing delirium in patients with advanced cancer. *Cancer Treatment Reviews* 39(1), 105–112.
- Korevaar JC, van Munster BC and de Rooij SE (2005) Risk factors for delirium in acutely admitted elderly patients: A prospective cohort study. *BMC Geriatrics* 5(1), 6.
- Kostis WJ, Sargsyan D, Mekkaoui C, *et al.* (2019) Association of orthostatic hypertension with mortality in the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program. *Journal of Human Hypertension* **33**(10), 735–740.
- Laurila JV, Laakkonen ML, Tilvis RS, et al. (2008) Predisposing and precipitating factors for delirium in a frail geriatric population. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 65(3), 249–254.
- Lawlor PG and Bush SH (2014) Delirium diagnosis, screening and management. Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care 8(3), 286–295.
- Lawlor PG, Fainsinger RL and Bruera ED (2000a) Delirium at the end of life: Critical issues in clinical practice and research. JAMA 284(19), 2427–2429.
- Lawlor PG, Gagnon B, Mancini IL, *et al.* (2000b) Occurrence, causes, and outcome of delirium in patients with advanced cancer: A prospective study. *JAMA Internal Medicine* 160(6), 786–794.
- Lawlor PG, Davis DHJ, Ansari M, et al. (2014) An analytical framework for delirium research in palliative care settings: Integrated epidemiologic, clinician-researcher, and knowledge user perspectives. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* 48(2), 159–175.
- LeGrand SB (2012) Delirium in palliative medicine: A review. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 44(4), 583–594.
- Ljubisavljevic V and Kelly B (2003) Risk factors for development of delirium among oncology patients. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 25(5), 345–352.
- Maddocks I, Somogyi A, Abbott F, et al. (1996) Attenuation of morphine-induced delirium in palliative care by substitution with infusion of oxycodone. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 12(3), 182–189.
- Maldonado JR (2017) Acute brain failure: Pathophysiology, diagnosis, management, and sequelae of delirium. *Critical Care Clinics* 33(3), 461-519.
- McCoy TH Jr, Hart KL and Perlis RH (2017) Characterizing and predicting rates of delirium across general hospital settings. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 46, 1–6.
- Mehta S, Cook D, Devlin JW, et al. (2015) Prevalence, risk factors, and outcomes of delirium in mechanically ventilated adults. *Critical Care Medicine* 43(3), 557–566.

- Mercadante S, Adile C, Ferrera P, et al. (2017) Delirium assessed by Memorial Delirium Assessment Scale in advanced cancer patients admitted to an acute palliative/supportive care unit. Current Medical Research and Opinion 33(7), 1303–1308.
- Morita T, Tei Y, Tsunoda J, et al. (2001) Underlying pathologies and their associations with clinical features in terminal delirium of cancer patients. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* **22**(6), 997–1006.
- National Cancer Institute, N. (2019) NCI Dictionary of Cancer Terms. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute at the National Institutes of Health.
- Nehring SM, Tadi P and Tenny S (2020) Cerebral Edema. In Hauber, S (ed), StatPearls, Treasure Island. FL: StatPearls Publishing. Available at: https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK537272/.
- **Oh ES, Sieber FE, Leoutsakos JM, et al.** (2016) Sex differences in hip fracture surgery: Preoperative risk factors for delirium and postoperative outcomes. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* **64**(8), 1616–1621.
- Pisani MA, Murphy TE, Van Ness PH, et al. (2007) Characteristics associated with delirium in older patients in a medical intensive care unit. JAMA Internal Medicine 167(15), 1629–1634.
- Pisani MA, Murphy TE, Araujo KLB, et al. (2009) Benzodiazepine and opioid use and the duration of intensive care unit delirium in an older population. Critical Care Medicine 37(1), 177–183.
- Rigaud A-S and Forette B (2001) Hypertension in older adults. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A 56(4), M217–M225.
- Ryan DJ, O'Regan NA, Caoimh RO, et al. (2013) Delirium in an adult acute hospital population: Predictors, prevalence and detection. BMJ Open 3(1), 1–9.
- Sampson EL, West E and Fischer T (2020) Pain and delirium: Mechanisms, assessment, and management. European Geriatric Medicine 11(1), 45–52.
- Schubert M (2013–2015) Delir-Path: Impact of a Multiprofessional, Multicomponent Delirium Management Protocol on the Incidence of Hospital Acquired Delirium and Associated Costs in Surgical Intensive Care Patients. Zurich: Forschungsdatenbank, Universität Zürich.
- Schubert CR, Fischer ME, Pinto AA, et al. (2016) Sensory impairments and risk of mortality in older adults. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A* 72(5), 710–715.

- Schubert M, Schurch R, Boettger S, et al. (2018) A hospital-wide evaluation of delirium prevalence and outcomes in acute care patients – a cohort study. BMC Health Services Research 18(1), 550.
- Schuurmans MJ, Shortridge-Baggett LM and Duursma SA (2003) The Delirium Observation Screening Scale: A screening instrument for delirium. *Research and Theory for Nursing Practice* 17(1), 31–50.
- Seiler A, Schubert M, Hertler C, et al. (2019) Predisposing and precipitating risk factors for delirium in palliative care patients. *Palliative & Support Care* 2019, 1–10. doi:10.1017/S1478951519000919.
- Stiefel F and Holland J (1991) Delirium in cancer patients. *International Psychogeriatrics* 3(2), 333–336.
- Strobe S (2009) Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology. Bern: Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine (ISPM).
- Thakur R, Banerjee A and Nikumb V (2013) Health problems among the elderly: A cross-sectional study. *Annals of Medical and Health Sciences Research* 3(1), 19–25.
- Tosun Tasar P, Sahin S, Akcam NO, et al. (2018) Delirium is associated with increased mortality in the geriatric population. *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice* 22(3), 200–205.
- Wass S, Webster PJ and Nair BR (2008) Delirium in the elderly: A review. Oman Medical Journal 23(3), 150–157.
- Williams GR, Mackenzie A, Magnuson A, et al. (2016) Comorbidity in older adults with cancer. *Journal of Geriatric Oncology* 7(4), 249–257.
- Witlox J, Eurelings LS, de Jonghe JF, *et al.* (2010) Delirium in elderly patients and the risk of postdischarge mortality, institutionalization, and dementia: A meta-analysis. *JAMA* **304**(4), 443–451.
- Zimmerman K, Rudolph J, Salow M, et al. (2011) Delirium in palliative care patients: Focus on pharmacotherapy. American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine* 28(7), 501–510.
- Zipser CM, Deuel J, Ernst J, et al. (2019) The predisposing and precipitating risk factors for delirium in neurosurgery: A prospective cohort study of 949 patients. Acta Neurochirurgica 161(7), 1307–1315.