

# A CLASS OF POLYNOMIALS IN SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS IN SPACES WITH AN INDEFINITE METRIC

C.-Y. LO

**1. Introduction.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space with the usual product  $[x, y]$  and with an indefinite inner product  $(x, y)$  which, for some orthogonal decomposition

$$H = H_1 \oplus H_2$$

in  $H$ , is defined by

$$(x, y) = [x_1, y_1] - [x_2, y_2],$$

where

$$x = x_1 + x_2, \quad y = y_1 + y_2, \quad x_1, y_1 \in H_1; \quad x_2, y_2 \in H_2,$$

and  $\dim H_1 = \kappa$ , a fixed positive integer. Such a space  $H$  will be called a space  $\Pi_\kappa$  with an indefinite metric. Another axiomatic definition of the space  $\Pi_\kappa$  was given by I. S. Iohvidov and M. G. Kreĭn in **(1)**; we follow their terminology here and use the results of their paper.

A linear operator  $A$  in  $\Pi_\kappa$  is called symmetric if it maps a dense domain<sup>1</sup>  $D(A)$  in  $\Pi_\kappa$  into  $\Pi_\kappa$  and has the property

$$(Ax, y) = (x, Ay) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in D(A).$$

A linear operator  $A^*$  defined in  $\Pi_\kappa$  is called the adjoint of a linear operator  $A$  with a dense domain  $D(A)$  in  $\Pi_\kappa$  if  $A^*$  is the maximum operator such that

$$(Ax, y) = (x, A^*y) \quad \text{for all } x \in D(A) \text{ and all } y \in D(A^*).$$

A symmetric operator is said to be self-adjoint if  $A = A^*$ .

L. S. Pontryagin **(2)** proved that for any self-adjoint operator  $A$  there is a  $\kappa$ -dimensional invariant non-negative subspace  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let us consider the minimal polynomial  $P_\mu(\lambda)$  (degree  $P_\mu(\lambda) = \mu$ ) of the operator induced by  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then the operator<sup>2</sup>  $P_\mu(A)$  annihilates  $\mathcal{L}$ . Let  $\bar{P}_\mu(\lambda)$  be the complex conjugate of the polynomial  $P_\mu(\lambda)$ . We have for any vector  $x \in D(A^*)$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{L}$ ,

$$(P_\mu(A)x, y) = (x, \bar{P}_\mu(A)y) = 0,$$

Received September 28, 1966. This paper is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

<sup>1</sup>We shall always denote the domain of an operator  $A$  by  $D(A)$ .

<sup>2</sup>We agree that  $A^0 = I$ , the identity operator for any operator  $A$ .

that is, the linear manifold  $\{P_\mu(A)y: y \in D(A^\mu)\}$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{J}$ . Hence, by Lemma 1.2 (1), we can deduce that this linear manifold is non-positive, that is, for all  $y \in D(A^\mu)$

$$(P_\mu(A)y, P_\mu(A)y) \leq 0.$$

It is, therefore, natural to ask whether for any polynomial  $P(\lambda)$  which satisfies the above condition, the operator  $P(A)$  annihilates a certain  $\kappa$ -dimensional non-negative invariant subspace  $\mathcal{J}$  of the operator  $A$ . We shall show that the answer is affirmative (a similar assertion was proved by I. S. Iohvidov and M. G. Kreĭn (1) for unitary operators).

## 2. A class of polynomials.

*Definition 2.1.* A class  $\mathcal{N}_A$  of polynomials  $P_n(\lambda)$  is said to be a class of definitizing<sup>3</sup> polynomials with respect to a self-adjoint operator  $A$  in  $\Pi_\kappa$  if

$$(P_n(A)x, P_n(A)x) \leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in D(A^m),$$

where  $m (\geq n = \text{degree } P_n(\lambda))$  is a natural number.

The class  $\mathcal{N}_A$  is always not empty since  $\bar{P}_\mu(\lambda) \in \mathcal{N}_A$  as shown in the Introduction.

Before we investigate the class  $\mathcal{N}_A$ , we shall prove a few lemmas for later use. A linear operator  $U$  in  $\Pi_\kappa$  is said to be unitary if

$$(Ux, Ux) = (x, x) \text{ for all } x \in \Pi_\kappa$$

and if  $U$  maps  $\Pi_\kappa$  onto  $\Pi_\kappa$ .

*Definition 2.2.* An operator  $U$  is said to be  $\zeta$ -Cayley-Neumann connected with a self-adjoint operator  $A$  if the non-real complex conjugate numbers  $\zeta, \bar{\zeta}$  are not proper values of  $A$  and if  $U$  is defined by the following formulae:

$$y = (Ax - \zeta x), \quad Uy = (Ax - \bar{\zeta}x) \quad \text{for } x \in D(A).$$

I. S. Iohvidov and M. G. Kreĭn (1, §8) proved that such an operator  $U$  is unitary. The definition is, therefore, well-defined.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *If a unitary operator  $U$  is  $\zeta$ -Cayley-Neumann connected with a self-adjoint operator  $A$ , then  $A^m U = U A^m$  and  $D(A^m) = U D(A^m)$  for any natural number  $m$ .*

*Proof.* We shall prove that  $(A - \zeta I)D(A^n) = D(A^{n-1})$  for any natural number  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  the result is obvious since

$$(A - \zeta I)D(A) = D(U) = \Pi_\kappa = D(A^0).$$

For  $n > 1$  we can prove the above assertion by induction.

<sup>3</sup>The word "definitizing" appeared in the translation of the paper by I. S. Iohvidov and M. G. Kreĭn; see *Spectral theory of operators in spaces with an indefinite metric*. II, Transl. Amer. Math. Soc. (2), 34 (1963), 283-374.

By Definition 2.2 we have  $U = (A - \bar{\zeta}I)(A - \zeta I)^{-1}$ . It follows that  $U(A - \zeta I) = (A - \bar{\zeta}I)$ . Hence we have

$$A^m U(A - \zeta I)x = U(A - \zeta I)A^m x = UA^m(A - \zeta I)x \quad \text{for all } x \in D(A^{m+1}).$$

Since  $(A - \zeta I)D(A^{m+1}) = D(A^m)$ , we know, for any  $y \in D(A^m)$ , that there exists an  $x \in D(A^{m+1})$  such that  $y = (A - \zeta)x$ . Therefore we have  $A^m U y = UA^m y$  for all  $y \in D(A^m)$ ; that is  $UA^m = A^m U$ .

Since  $(A - \zeta I)D(A^{m+1}) = D(A^m)$  and, similarly,  $(A - \bar{\zeta}I)D(A^{m+1}) = D(A^m)$ , we have

$$UD(A^m) = U(A - \zeta I)D(A^{m+1}) = (A - \zeta I)D(A^{m+1}) = D(A^m).$$

The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2.4. *If  $A$  is a self-adjoint operator in  $\Pi_\kappa$ , then  $D(A^m)$ , the domain of the operator  $A^m$ , is dense in  $\Pi_\kappa$  for any natural number  $m$ .*

*Proof.* By definition we have  $D(A) = \Pi_\kappa$ . For  $m > 1$  we prove this lemma by induction. As in Lemma 2.3 there exist non-real complex conjugate numbers  $\zeta$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$  which are not proper values of  $A$  such that  $(A - \zeta I)D(A^{n+1}) = D(A^n)$  and  $(A - \bar{\zeta}I)D(A) = \Pi_\kappa$ . Now let  $x = (A - \bar{\zeta})x'$  be any vector in  $\Pi_\kappa$  such that  $(x, y) = 0$ , for all  $y \in D(A^{n+1})$ . It thus follows that for any  $y \in D(A^{n+1})$  we have

$$0 = ((A - \bar{\zeta})x', y) = (x', (A - \zeta)y),$$

that is,

$$(x', z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in D(A^n).$$

Since  $D(A^n)$  is dense in  $\Pi_\kappa$ , we have  $x' = \theta$ , the zero vector. Hence  $x = (A - \bar{\zeta}I)x' = \theta$ . It thus follows that  $D(A^{n+1})$  is dense in  $\Pi_\kappa$ .

THEOREM 2.5. *Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint operator in  $\Pi_\kappa$  and let  $P_n(\lambda)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$ . Then the polynomial  $P_n(A)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{N}_A$  of definitizing polynomials if and only if there exists a  $\kappa$ -dimensional non-negative invariant subspace  $\mathcal{J}$  of the operator  $A$  such that  $P_n(A)$  annihilates  $\mathcal{J}$ .*

*Proof.* The sufficiency was shown in the Introduction. It remains to prove the necessity.

Let  $\bar{P}_n(\lambda)$  be the complex conjugate polynomial of  $P_n(\lambda)$  and consider the subspace  $N = \bar{P}_n(A)D(A^m)$  ( $m \geq n$ ) and its orthogonal complement  $M$ . It is easy to see that  $N$  is a non-positive subspace. By Theorem 4.1 (1, § 15) we have

$$\Pi_\kappa = M_1 \oplus N_1 \oplus (G \dot{+} F),$$

where  $G$  is the common isotropic subspace,  $\dim G = \dim F = q$ , and  $F$  is skew-connected with  $G$ ;  $M = M_1 \oplus G$ ,  $N = N_1 \oplus G$ , the subspace  $N_1$  being a negative subspace. Hence it is easy to see that  $M_1$  is a space of  $\Pi_{\kappa'}$  type, where  $\kappa' = \kappa - q$ .

Let  $U$  be a unitary operator which is  $\zeta$ -Cayley-Neumann connected to

the operator  $A$ . We shall prove that  $UM = M$ . In fact, if  $x \in M$  we have, by Lemma 2.3,

$$(x, \bar{P}_n(A)y) = (Ux, U\bar{P}_n(A)y) = (Ux, \bar{P}_n(A)Uy) = 0$$

for all  $y \in D(A^m)$ . Since  $UD(A^m) = D(A^m)$ , we have  $Ux \perp N$ , and hence  $UM \subset M$ . Similarly, we have  $U^{-1}M \subset M$ . It thus follows that  $UM = M$ . Therefore by Theorem 4.4 (1, §16) the operator  $U$  has a  $\kappa$ -dimensional non-negative invariant subspace  $\mathcal{J} (\subset M)$ . Hence by Theorem 2.7 (1, §8) it is also an invariant subspace of  $A$ . For any  $x \in \mathcal{J}$  and all  $y \in D(A^m)$ , we have

$$(x, \bar{P}_n(A)y) = (P_n(A)x, y) = 0.$$

Since  $D(A^m)$  is dense in  $\Pi_\kappa$ , by Lemma 2.4 we have  $P_n(A)x = \theta$ , for any  $x \in \mathcal{J}$ . The theorem is proved.

We shall show that all the polynomials  $P_n(\lambda)\bar{P}_n(\lambda)$  have a common factor if  $P_n(\lambda) \in \mathcal{N}_A$ .

Let  $\mathcal{J}_+$  be a  $\kappa$ -dimensional non-negative invariant subspace of a self-adjoint operator  $A$ , let  $\lambda_i$  ( $\text{Im } \lambda_j > 0$ ),  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$  ( $0 \leq r \leq \kappa$ ) and  $\mu_j$  ( $\mu_j = \bar{\mu}_j$ ),  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  ( $0 \leq s \leq \kappa$ ), be all the proper values of the operator induced by  $A$  in  $\mathcal{J}_+$ , and let  $\sigma_i$  and  $r_j$  be the multiplicities corresponding to  $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_j$ , respectively. The collection of the pairs  $(\lambda_i, \sigma_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , and  $(\mu_j, r_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , is invariant with respect to  $\mathcal{J}_+$  (1, §16, Theorem 4.5 and §8, Theorem 6). We define the characteristic polynomial of  $\mathcal{J}_+$  by the formulae:

$$P_\kappa(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^r (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{\sigma_i} \prod_{j=1}^s (\lambda - \mu_j)^{r_j}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i + \sum_{j=1}^s r_j = \kappa.$$

Each fixed real proper value  $\mu_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ) has corresponding to it a definite selection of elementary divisors (cf. 1, §4, Theorem 4)

$$(\lambda - \mu_j)^{\rho_{j1}}, (\lambda - \mu_j)^{\rho_{j2}}, \dots, (\lambda - \mu_j)^{\rho_{jk_j}},$$

where  $\rho_{j1} \geq \rho_{j2} \geq \dots \geq \rho_{jk_j} \geq 1$  and  $\rho_{j1} + \rho_{j2} + \dots + \rho_{jk_j} = r_j$ . The number  $k_j$  of the elementary divisors, unlike the number  $r_j$ , is, in general, not an invariant of  $A$  but depends on the choice of the subspace  $\mathcal{J}$ . The last equation shows that only a finite number of different choices of  $\rho_{j1}, \rho_{j2}, \dots, \rho_{jk_j}$  is possible. In particular, we can select those of the subspaces for which the first exponent  $\rho_{j1}$  is a minimum. Let this minimum be  $\rho(\mu_j)$ . If we make a similar selection for each of the proper values  $\mu_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ), we obtain an invariant subspace  $\mathcal{J}_+$  in which the operator  $A$  corresponds not only to a unique characteristic polynomial  $P_\kappa(\lambda)$  but also to a unique minimal polynomial

$$P_\mu(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^r (\lambda - \lambda_i)^{p_i} \prod_{j=1}^s (\lambda - \mu_j)^{\rho(\mu_j)},$$

where the number  $p_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ),  $\rho(\mu_j)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ), and

$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^r p_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \rho(\mu_j)$$

are also invariant of  $A$ .

We can carry these arguments further. From the subspaces  $\mathcal{J}_+$  with  $\rho_{j_1} = \rho(\mu_j)$  we can select those with minimal  $\rho_{j_2}$ , then those with minimal  $\rho_{j_3}$ , and so on. In this way we can prescribe those subspaces  $\mathcal{J}_+$  in which  $A$  corresponds to elementary divisors of minimal degree (in the sense that exponents are selected on the dictionary principle, beginning with the first; thereby obtaining the “shortest” Jordan chains).

*Definition 2.6.* A  $\kappa$ -dimensional non-negative subspace  $\mathcal{J}_+$  invariant with respect to a self-adjoint operator  $A$  is called *regular* if the Jordan chain for operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{J}_+$  is the shortest in the sense explained above. The polynomial  $P_{2\mu}(\lambda) = P_\mu(\lambda)\bar{P}_\mu(\lambda)$  (where  $P_\mu(\lambda)$  is defined above) is called the *characteristic polynomial of the self-adjoint operator  $A$* .

It is obvious that  $\bar{P}_\mu(\lambda)$  and  $P_\mu(\lambda)$  belong to  $\mathcal{N}_A$  and that any minimal polynomial  $P_m(\lambda)$  of the operator induced by  $A$  in  $\mathcal{J}_+$  is divisible in  $P_\mu(\lambda)$ .

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint operator in  $\Pi_\kappa$  and let  $P_n(\lambda)$  and  $\bar{P}_n(\lambda)$  be complex conjugate polynomials of degree  $n$ . Then the polynomial  $P_n(\lambda)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{N}_A$  of definitizing polynomials if and only if  $P_n(\lambda)\bar{P}_n(\lambda)$  is divisible by the characteristic polynomial of the operator  $A$ .*

*Proof.* The sufficiency is obvious. Now let us show the necessity. Let us define, for a polynomial

$$P_n(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i),$$

the polynomial

$$P_n'(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i'),$$

where  $\lambda_i' = \lambda_i$  if  $\text{Im } \lambda_i \geq 0$  and  $\lambda_i' = \bar{\lambda}_i$  if  $\text{Im } \lambda_i < 0$ . Clearly,  $P_n'(\lambda) \in \mathcal{N}_A$  if  $P_n(\lambda) \in \mathcal{N}_A$ . By Theorem 2.5 there exists a  $\kappa$ -dimensional non-negative invariant subspace  $\mathcal{J}$  of the operator  $A$  such that  $P_n'(A)$  annihilates  $\mathcal{J}$ . Hence  $P_n'(\lambda)$  is divisible by the minimal polynomial  $P_m(\lambda)$  of the operator induced by  $A$  in  $\mathcal{J}$ . It thus follows that the characteristic polynomial of  $\mathcal{J}$  has no root which has a negative imaginary part. Hence  $P_m(\lambda)$  is divisible by  $P_\mu(\lambda)$ . Since  $P_n(\lambda)\bar{P}_n(\lambda) = P_n'(\lambda)\bar{P}_n'(\lambda)$ , we have  $P_n(\lambda)\bar{P}_n(\lambda)$  is divisible by  $P_\mu(\lambda)\bar{P}_\mu(\lambda)$ . The theorem is proved.

*Acknowledgments.* It is a pleasure to express my gratitude to Professor I. Halperin for suggesting the problem to me and for his encouragement and guidance.

## REFERENCES

1. I. S. Iohvidov and M. G. Kreĭn, *Spectral theory of operators in spaces with an indefinite metric*. I, Transl. Amer. Math. Soc. (2), **13** (1960), 105–176; II, Transl. Amer. Math. Soc. (2), **34** (1963), 283–374.
2. L. S. Pontryagin, *Hermitian operators in spaces with indefinite metric*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **8** (1944), 243–280. (Russian)

*Laurentian University,  
Sudbury, Ontario*