
Cancer and post-traumatic stress disorder: Diagnosis, pathogenesis and treatment considerations

JAMES K. RUSTAD, M.D., DANIELLA DAVID, M.D., AND M. BEATRIZ CURRIER, M.D.
Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida
(RECEIVED May 18, 2011; ACCEPTED June 17, 2011)

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this article was to review the literature regarding diagnosis, pathogenesis, and treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) associated with cancer.

Method: We surveyed studies examining the validity of diagnostic scales commonly used to measure PTSD in patients with cancer. Neurobiological underpinnings of PTSD and cancer, including inflammation as the physiological mechanism linking these comorbidities, were examined. Psychopharmacologic and psychotherapeutic treatment of PTSD symptoms in patients with cancer was reviewed. In addition, potential drug–drug interactions between psychotropic medications commonly used to treat PTSD and anti-cancer agents were reviewed.

Results: Multiple studies demonstrated the validity of the PTSD Checklist Civilian Version (PCL-C) in diagnosing PTSD in patients with cancer. Research has shown that PTSD as defined in DSM-IV appears to be a better model for conceptualizing distress in patients with cancer than a generalized “distress” model. Epidemiologic studies have shown an increased incidence of PTSD associated with cancer; however, literature regarding characteristics of PTSD in patients with cancer is cross-sectional in nature.

Significance of results: Future research focusing on longitudinal, prospective studies to identify patients at risk, determine causal or aggravating factors, and develop preventive interventions is needed. Further study of PTSD in patients with cancer may help increase recognition of this disorder, optimize treatment, and enhance the quality of life of these individuals.

KEYWORDS: Cancer, PTSD, Epidemiology, Pathophysiology, Drug interactions

INTRODUCTION

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a psychiatric condition that occurs following exposure to a traumatic event that involves threat of death or serious injury and evokes intense fear, helplessness, or horror (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). The National Co-morbidity Survey Replication

(NCS-R) estimated that, among adults in the United States, the lifetime prevalence of PTSD was 6.8% (Kessler et al., 2005a) and the prevalence of PTSD over a 12-month period was 3.5%, with 36.6% of cases defined as “serious” (Kessler et al., 2005b).

PTSD was first categorized as a psychiatric disorder in the third edition of *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-III) (American Psychiatric Association, 1980), amidst converging clinical evidence pointing toward a common syndromic consequence of trauma and the lobbying efforts of anti-war psychiatrists and veteran advocacy groups following the Vietnam war (McNally, 2003).

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: M. Beatriz Currier, Courtelis Center for Psychosocial Oncology, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Miami/Miller School of Medicine, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, 1475 N.W. 12th Avenue, Suite C023A, Miami, FL 33136. E-mail: becurrier@med.miami.edu

PTSD is unusual among DSM psychiatric syndromes in that it is diagnostically linked to an etiological event, the traumatic stressor. The DSM-III architects had in mind such qualifying stressors as combat, natural disaster, and rape. Fourth edition of *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM-IV) (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) significantly broadened the Criterion A traumatic stressor definition by omitting the description of the event as “outside the range of normal human experience” and prompted further debate about an already controversial psychiatric disorder. Expansion of the Criterion A stressor to include experiences such as being diagnosed with a life-threatening disease has prompted the growth of the literature on PTSD among patients with medical disorders such as cancer (Mundy & Baum, 2004).

Predictors of PTSD symptomatology following cancer diagnosis, across studies of PTSD in adult cancer patients, include psychological disturbances prior to cancer diagnosis, elevated psychological distress subsequent to the diagnosis, younger age, female gender, lower socioeconomic status and less education, poor social functioning and support, emotionally reactive temperament, avoidant coping style, and reduced physical functioning (Kangas et al., 2002). Several cross-sectional studies, as reviewed in Kangas et al. (2002), have shown an

increased incidence of PTSD among cancer patients and survivors relative to the general population, with rates ranging from 5% to 19% based on the self-reported PTSD Checklist Civilian Version (PCL-C).

McNally (2003) argues that the broadening of what comprises the Criterion A traumatic stressor in DSM-IV codified a “conceptual bracket creep” by expanding the construct of PTSD to the extent that it renders the diagnosis less meaningful and clinically useful. Indeed, some authors have suggested that PTSD may not be the right model to represent the distress that patients with cancer feel, because their experience may differ from those suffering more traditionally studied traumatic stressors (Mundy & Baum, 2004). Table 1 lists arguments for and against the conceptual fit of PTSD to patients with cancer. Although the PTSD diagnosis captures many symptoms associated with the response to a severe trauma such as cancer diagnosis and treatment, it may not encompass the continuum or multidimensionality of enduring responses to this overwhelming experience (van der Kolk & McFarlane, 1996). The assessment of stress response symptoms in cancer may be complicated by the multiplicity and indeterminate nature of the stressor event(s). Diagnostic and treatment procedures, being a witness to the suffering of fellow patients, and cancer recurrence form

Table 1. Arguments for and against the conceptual fit of PTSD to patients with cancer

For	Against
Cancer presents a threat to bodily integrity and life (Lethborg et al., 2000).	The PTSD diagnosis may not encompass the continuum or multidimensionality of enduring responses to the overwhelming cancer experience (van der Kolk & McFarlane, 1996).
Diagnosis with cancer can evoke a profound sense of fear, lack of control, and devastation in an individual (Lethborg et al., 2000).	The assessment of stress response symptoms in cancer may be complicated by the multiplicity and indeterminate nature and of the stressor event(s) (Gurevich et al., 2002).
Many treatments for cancer, such as bone marrow transplantation (BMT), are physically invasive and cause tangible damage to the body (Andrykowski, 1994). BMT may be associated with fatigue, concerns about body image (e.g. loss of hair caused by chemotherapy, swollen cheeks caused by steroids), sexuality and infertility problems, anxiety and depression, sense of loss of control, and fears about the future (Baker et al., 1999).	Researchers and clinicians have not come to a consensus on exactly what psychological symptoms are to be expected for someone surviving cancer (Alter et al., 1996). Appropriate thresholds for clinically significant responses to stress have not been established for patient populations with cancer (Gurevich et al., 2002).
There are reports that patients experiencing previous traumatic stressors list cancer as the worst event experienced (Alter et al., 1996).	Purely focusing on negative aspects such as distress and dysfunction could lead to misleading conclusions about the cancer experience (Cordova & Andrykowski, 2003).
Confirmatory factor analysis study performed by Cordova et al. (2000) showed that factor structure of PTSD in a sample of breast cancer survivors is better described by the DSM-IV three-symptom cluster than by a single, global PTSD symptom structure, lending tentative support to validity of cancer-related PTSD.	PTSD symptoms reported by cancer survivors may reflect nonspecific distress, which could be attributed to depression, anxiety, or difficulties adjusting to cancer (Green et al., 1998).

an “accumulated burden of adversity,” which leads to chronic stress responses (Gurevich et al., 2002).

Diagnostic Criterion B requires the persistent re-experiencing of a past traumatic event; however, medical-related stressors may be characterized by future-centered intrusive thoughts. As an example, Green and colleagues (1998) studied women with early-stage breast cancer and found that the most traumatizing aspects of the cancer experience were informational and abstract in nature, such as receiving the diagnosis and waiting for node dissection test results. Although this concern is not directly related to the treatment’s physical invasiveness, it triggers intrusive worries about the future as opposed to intrusive recollections of past events, which led the authors to question the conceptual fit of PTSD to patients with cancer. In addition, symptoms related to numbing of general responsiveness (Criterion C) and increased arousal (Criterion D) may reflect treatment side effects and/or disease process (Cordova & Andryowski, 2003).

In examining pathologic stress reactions to cancer diagnosis and treatment, it is important to understand normal stress reactions in this context. However, researchers and clinicians have not come to a consensus on exactly what psychological symptoms are to be expected from someone surviving cancer (Alter et al., 1996), and appropriate thresholds for clinically significant responses to cancer-related stress have not been established (Gurevich et al., 2002). This is especially important in light of research showing that even sub-threshold PTSD leads to clinically meaningful levels of functional impairment in association with post-traumatic symptoms (Stein et al., 1997).

Research has shown that following cancer diagnosis and treatment, a broad range of both positive and negative psychosocial outcomes may emerge (Cordova & Andrykowski, 2003). This suggests that purely focusing on negative aspects such as distress and dysfunction could lead to misleading conclusions about the cancer experience. In particular, patients with cancer undergoing highly challenging life circumstances may experience post-traumatic growth in several life domains, including greater personal strength, enhanced interpersonal relationships, and a richer existential and spiritual life (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 2004). The impact of perceived growth on psychological outcomes is largely undetermined (Jim & Jacobsen, 2008), but reports of post-traumatic growth among cancer patients lend weight to the view of cancer as a psychosocial transition with potential for both positive and negative outcomes (Cordova & Andrykowski, 2003).

This article will review studies examining the relationship between cancer and PTSD, pathophysiological

underpinnings of the two disorders, and the diagnosis and psychopharmacologic treatment of cancer-related PTSD symptoms.

IS PTSD A VALID DIAGNOSIS IN PATIENTS WITH CANCER?

Empirical factor analytic studies of PTSD symptoms in individuals with cancer are essential to examine the construct validity of the diagnosis, given that the model deemed to be of choice for this patient population may hold important implications with regard to assessment, diagnosis, and treatment (Asmundson et al., 2000). In factor analysis, distinct factors may correspond to unique mechanisms (Cattell, 1978); therefore, factor analytic studies can advance the understanding of post-traumatic stress reactions (Taylor et al., 1998). Exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is used to identify the underlying dimensions of a measure when there are no *a priori* expectations based on theory or previous research about its structure (Floyd & Widaman, 1995). Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) explicitly tests the fit of a hypothesized factor structure with the observed covariance structure of the data (Floyd & Widaman, 1995). EFA is not necessarily inappropriate for assessing the symptom structure of PTSD; however, CFA is a more powerful, direct method of testing a hypothesized factor structure such as PTSD as implied by DSM-IV (Cordova et al., 2000). The DSM-IV PTSD diagnostic criteria reflect a multidimensional, higher-order model of PTSD, with the second-order of PTSD giving rise to three first order symptom clusters (i.e., Criteria B, C, and D) (Cordova et al., 2000).

The PTSD PCL-C was designed specifically to assess responses to traumatic experiences encountered in the course of civilian living (Weathers et al., 1993). Several studies have sought to examine the validity of this assessment tool in patients with cancer (Table 2). Smith and colleagues (1999) performed an EFA on data collected from a sample of 111 adult patients with cancer, who had undergone bone marrow transplantation an average of 4.04 years previously, to assess the validity and psychometric properties of the PCL-C. The analysis yielded four distinct patterns of symptom responses: (1) numbing-hyperarousal, (2) dreams and memories of cancer treatment, (3) hyperarousal, and (4) responses to cancer-related reminders and avoidance-numbing. Individuals likely meriting a PTSD diagnosis based on their PCL-C scores had, relative to those with sub-clinical or absent PTSD symptom levels, significantly worse social functioning and mental health as measured by the Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI; Derogatis, 1975) and the Mental Functioning subscale of the Medical Outcomes Study Short-Form 36

Table 2. Factor analytic studies of PTSD in cancer

Author (Year)	Type of Study	Findings
Smith et al. (1999)	EFA on PCL-C data collected from a sample of 111 adult patients with cancer who had undergone bone marrow transplantation an average of 4.04 years previously	Four distinct patterns of symptom responses: 1) numbing-hyperarousal, 2) dreams and memories of cancer treatment, 3) hyperarousal, and 4) responses to cancer-related reminders and avoidance-numbing.
Cordova et al. (2000)	CFA on PCL-C data from a sample of 142 survivors of breast cancer.	Factor structure of PTSD in this sample is better described by the DSM-IV three-symptom cluster than by a single, global PTSD symptom structure.
DuHamel et al. (2004)	CFA on PCL-C data from 236 cancer survivors who received a bone marrow or stem cell transplant.	Four-first-order-factor model of PTSD with re-experiencing, avoidance, numbing, and arousal provided the best fit to the data
Shelby et al. (2005)	EFA on PCL-C data from 148 women with stage II or III breast cancer after completion of cancer treatment.	Four-factor solution including re-experiencing, avoidance, numbing, and arousal factors.

CFA, confirmatory factor analysis; EFA, exploratory factor analysis; PCL-C PTSD Checklist Civilian Version.

(MOS SF-36; Ware & Sherbourne, 1992). In addition, respondents meeting the PTSD symptom criteria on the PCL-C were significantly more distressed as measured by the Impact of Events Scale (IES; Horowitz et al., 1979) than those with some or no PTSD symptoms; this finding showed that the PCL-C related to other patient characteristics (i.e., distress) in a manner consistent with clinical expectations, therefore providing further support for construct validity of the measure. The lack of correlation between respondents' pain level and PCL-C scores provided support for the PCL-C's discriminant validity, as physical pain is not a construct conceptually linked to PTSD symptoms. The authors concluded that the PCL-C may be effective as a brief screening assessment for PTSD symptoms (Smith et al., 1999).

Cordova et al. (2000) performed a CFA to evaluate the extent to which the PTSD factor structure implied by DSM-IV was replicated in a sample of 142 breast cancer survivors. PTSD symptoms were measured using the PCL-C. The results revealed that the factor structure of PTSD in this sample is better described by the DSM-IV three-symptom cluster than by a single, global PTSD symptom structure. This finding addressed concerns about the validity of cancer-related PTSD, as Green and colleagues (1998) proposed that PTSD symptoms reported by cancer survivors may reflect nonspecific distress, which could be attributed to depression, anxiety, or difficulties adjusting to cancer. If this were the case, a CFA model with a global, first-order "distress" factor would be expected to fit the data; these results showed the first order "distress" model to be a poor fit to the data. This study substantiated the dimensional similarity of cancer-related PTSD symptoms to PTSD as conceptualized in DSM-IV. Cordova and

colleagues concluded that the results provided tentative support for the DSM-IV tripartite model of PTSD, but do not rule out competing models. Indeed, post-hoc analysis showed a second-order, four-factor model separating numbing and avoidance symptoms to be statistically superior to the DSM-IV model (Cordova et al., 2000).

DuHamel and colleagues (2004) used CFA to test seven different models of the PCL symptom structure (including the DSM-IV-based three symptom cluster model) with data from 236 cancer survivors who received a bone marrow or stem cell transplant. The results showed that a four-first-order-factor model of PTSD with re-experiencing, avoidance, numbing, and arousal provided the best fit to the data (DuHamel et al., 2004). Duhamel et al. (2004) noted that these results may reflect poor factorial validity of the PCL, or perhaps an alternative presentation to the DSM-IV conceptualization of PTSD. Disaggregating avoidance and numbing may necessitate increasing the number of avoidance and numbing symptoms to make it easier for a patient to be identified as meeting either or both cluster criteria. Duhamel et al. (2004) concluded that the PCL met Watson's (1990) criteria for comparing and evaluating measures for PTSD, which include reliability and criterion validity. However, they noted that testing the predictive validity of the three-versus four-symptom cluster models as well as different item requirements within each cluster with an external criterion, such as the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID, the gold standard for diagnosis of PTSD, First et al., 2002), may help elucidate what would be the best requirement for diagnosis of PTSD in cancer patients (DuHamel et al., 2004).

Shelby and colleagues (2005) performed an EFA on PCL-C data from 148 women with stage II or III breast

cancer after completion of cancer treatment. Their data did not support the DSM-IV PTSD symptom clusters but instead identified a four-factor solution including re-experiencing, avoidance, numbing, and arousal factors. Shelby et al. (2005) chose to conduct EFA because they were specifically interested in symptom item performance, and this strategy provides more information about item loadings across factors than does CFA. EFA allows all items to load on all factors and does not force any factor loadings to zero. Shelby et al. (2005) noted that the chronic nature of cancer may have impacted the factor solution identified in this study, which lends credence to the theory that the clinical phenomenon of PTSD may differ by trauma characteristics. Therefore, requiring individuals to have a minimum number of symptoms from each symptom cluster may be inappropriate. The data also showed that four PCL-C items (“Feeling your future will be cut short”; “Being super-alert, watchful, or on guard”; “Having physical reactions to reminders”; “Having difficulty concentrating”) may be confounded with illness or cancer treatment-related symptoms and fail to represent PTSD symptom dimensions for cancer patients. Shelby et al. (2005) proposed that removing these items may result in a more accurate measure of PTSD symptoms for cancer patients and reduce the risk of inflating PTSD symptom rates (Shelby et al., 2005).

In summary, multiple studies have demonstrated the validity of the PCL-C in diagnosing PTSD in patients with cancer. Research has shown that PTSD as defined in DSM-IV appears to be a better model for conceptualizing distress in patients with cancer than a generalized “distress” model. The divergent models identified by factor analytic investigations may differ from one another, at least in part, as a function of unique aspects of different trauma groups studied and as a result of differences in various assessment measures used to evaluate PTSD symptoms (Asmundson et al., 2000). Future research should investigate whether or not the factor structure of cancer-related PTSD differs from that of PTSD in other trauma populations by using parallel methodology to evaluate the PTSD factor structure across different populations (Cordova et al., 2000).

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS: PTSD HAS A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON PREVENTIVE HEALTH BEHAVIORS, HEALTH-RISK BEHAVIORS, AND PHYSICAL MORBIDITY

Increasing evidence shows that chronic PTSD is associated with higher risks for physical morbidity (Buckley et al., 2004). Some researchers have hypothesized that repeated stress responses with an augmented sympathetic nervous system output

places individuals with PTSD at increased risk for medical illnesses (Buckley & Kaloupek, 2001). PTSD in military veterans is associated with the presence and severity of atherosclerosis measured by coronary artery calcium (CAC) scanning, and predicts mortality independent of cardiovascular risk factors (Ahmadi et al., 2010). The documented relationship between PTSD and certain adverse health behaviors (e.g., smoking, alcohol and drug abuse/dependence, poor nutrition, lack of exercise, and decreased preventive health care visits) may also contribute to the negative correlation between PTSD and physical health (Buckley et al., 2004). Other contributing factors may include disturbed sleep physiology and psychological variables such as depression, maladaptive coping, anger, and hostility (Schnurr & Spiro, 1999).

In a study of a large cohort ($N = 826$, mean age 52 years) of consecutive treatment-seeking patients presenting to an outpatient Veterans Affairs PTSD clinic, Buckley and colleagues (2004) found poor health behavior practices as measured by the Health Risk Appraisal (HRA). Nearly one-half of the veterans >50 years of age reported that it had been >1 year since their last colorectal or prostate cancer screening examination and 11% reported never having had the test. Similarly, 39% of the veterans >50 years of age reported that it had been >1 year since their last fecal occult blood test (FOBT), with 10% reporting never having had such a screen. Buckley et al. (2004) also reported increased prevalence of cancer of any type, with 10.5% of the group having a lifetime diagnosis of cancer as compared to 6% of males aged 45–54 based on Centers for Disease Control Data).

Poor health behaviors in patients with PTSD may also lead to nonadherence with medical treatment. Nonadherence to cancer treatment can adversely impact local and distant recurrences, as evidenced by a recent retrospective study comparing clinical and pathologic features and outcomes of breast cancer patients who adhered to recommended radiation, chemotherapy, and hormonal therapies, with those of breast cancer patients who did not (Ma et al., 2008). In this study, nonadherence with tamoxifen was shown to impact 5-year local and distant disease-free survival rates.

In summary, PTSD may be associated with increased cancer risk through both direct mechanisms and adverse health behaviors.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF PTSD AND CANCER

Another factor potentially contributing to disease modulation in cancer and PTSD is the immune

system. Pro-inflammatory cytokines play a beneficial role in cancer by enhancing immunologic responses and directly inducing tumor cell death (Dinarello, 2006). However, the chronic effects of inflammatory cytokines paradoxically contribute to carcinogenesis, tumor growth, and metastasis (Dinarello, 2006). Proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., tumor necrosis factor- α [TNF- α], interleukin-1 [IL-1], and interleukin-6 [IL-6]) released during innate immune activation and inflammation can have a profound impact on behavioral symptoms in both medically ill and medically healthy individuals (Miller et al., 2008). PTSD patients have elevated serum IL-6 levels (Maes et al., 1999), and exposures to less extreme stressors are also associated with elevated cytokine levels. Elevated levels of TNF- α , IL-6, and interferon- γ (IFN- γ) were found in students just prior to taking an exam (Maes et al., 1998), and chronic stress, such as marital discord and caregiving, was associated with increases in several inflammatory biomarkers including C-reactive protein (CRP) and IL-6 (Kiecolt-Glaser et al., 2005; McDade et al., 2006). Animal and human studies show that peripheral administration of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interferon- α (IFN- α), can induce a “sickness behavior” syndrome similar to the psychophysiological alterations often experienced by cancer patients, including cognitive dysfunction, fatigue, impaired sleep, anorexia, and depression (Musselman et al., 2001).

Peripheral cytokine signals activate inflammatory responses within the brain, which interact with neurobiological substrates, including the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis and monoamine neurotransmitters implicated in anxiety (Miller et al., 2008). Cytokines may influence HPA axis function through effects on negative feedback regulation, and cause glucocorticoid resistance (Miller et al., 2009). Chronic stress can induce chronic activation of the immune system through the HPA axis. The prolonged and heightened elevation of glucocorticoid levels may lead to desensitization of glucocorticoid receptors and a glucocorticoid-resistant state in the central nervous system (CNS) and immune cells (e.g., macrophages). This, in turn, impairs two critical glucocorticoid-mediated pathways including negative feedback regulation of the HPA axis and feedback inhibition of cytokine production, which can lead to elevated levels of proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-6. In PTSD patients, both HPA axis dysregulation (Mason et al., 2002) and elevated levels of IL-6 (Maes et al., 1999) have been found.

Once cytokine signals reach the brain, they can influence the synthesis, release, and reuptake of neurotransmitters including serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine (Miller, 2009). Cytokines may exert a “double hit” on both monoamine synthesis and

reuptake, leading to depletion of serotonin. Alterations in serotonin, 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT), may play a role in the pathophysiology of PTSD, leading to symptoms of hostility, impulsivity, aggression, hypervigilance, depression, and suicidality (Heim & Nemeroff, 2009). Evidence for altered 5-HT neurotransmission in PTSD includes decreased 5-HT serum concentrations, decreased platelet 5-HT uptake site density, altered responsiveness to CNS serotonergic challenge, and demonstrated efficacy of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) (Heim & Nemeroff, 2009). Cytokine-induced depletion of serotonin may cause or exacerbate PTSD symptoms in patients with cancer. Pro-inflammatory cytokines may also contribute to alterations in behavior through their impact on regional brain activity in areas such as the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) (Paulus et al., 2004; Capuron et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2008).

In summary, proinflammatory cytokines released during innate immune activation and inflammation can deregulate the HPA axis, modify neurotransmitter synthesis and reuptake, and affect regional brain activity. These cytokine-induced alterations may potentially lead to psychiatric sequelae. Patients with cancer exposed to immune cytokines may be prone to develop PTSD symptoms because of the effects of the cytokines on these neurobiological substrates.

PHARMACOLOGIC TREATMENT OF PTSD: DRUG INTERACTIONS BETWEEN PSYCHOTROPICS AND ANTICANCER AGENTS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS ON MEDICAL OUTCOME

The American Psychiatric Association’s Practice Guidelines for PTSD list four reasons that SSRIs are first-line medications of choice for PTSD: (1) they ameliorate all three PTSD symptom clusters (re-experiencing, numbing/avoidance, and hyperarousal); (2) they are effective for psychiatric disorders frequently comorbid with PTSD such as depression, panic disorder, and social phobia; (3) they may reduce aggressive, impulsive, and suicidal behaviors that often complicate management of PTSD; and (4) they have relatively few side effects (American Psychiatric Association Work Group on ASD and PTSD, 2004). Findings from several controlled multicenter trials have shown efficacy for the SSRI and serotonin/norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (SNRI) drugs in the treatment of PTSD (Davidson et al., 2009). Two SSRIs are approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of PTSD, paroxetine IR and sertraline. SSRIs and SNRIs improve symptoms with acute treatment and also result in continued and sustained improvement, and in some cases

remission, with long-term Treatment lasting up to 15 months (Davidson et al., 2009). Research also indicates that tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) and monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs) are more effective than placebo in the short-term treatment of PTSD in male combat veterans (Davidson et al., 2009). Limited evidence supports the use of atypical antipsychotics such as risperidone as adjuvant treatment of PTSD, however these medications have been used with increasing frequency in the treatment of PTSD (Davidson et al., 2009). Although often prescribed, the evidence does not support the use of long-term benzodiazepines in managing PTSD, and these medications are contraindicated in the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies (ISTSS) PTSD treatment guidelines (Foa et al., 2008). In addition, anticonvulsant medications have been used extensively in the treatment of PTSD; however, recent randomized, placebo-controlled trials of monotherapy with tiagabine (Davidson et al., 2007) and divalproex (Davis et al., 2008; Hamner et al., 2009) have been negative.

Drug–drug interactions are an ongoing concern in the treatment of cancer, especially when cytotoxic

drugs, with narrow therapeutic windows and steep dose-toxicity curves, are being used (Beijnen & Schnellens, 2004). Many medications used in the treatment of PTSD can lead to pernicious pharmacokinetic drug interactions with anticancer agents, as psychotropic agents and anticancer agents may share a common metabolic pathway, the hepatic oxidative cytochrome P450 isoenzyme system (CYP450) (Yap et al., 2011). Pharmacokinetic drug interactions between these medications may involve CYP450 enzyme induction or inhibition. Enzyme induction results in an increased rate of metabolism and decreased serum concentration of the parent drug, and possible loss of treatment efficacy. Alternatively, enzyme inhibition results in decreased rate of metabolism and possible drug toxicity. The importance of drug interactions in the treatment of patients with cancer and co-morbid psychiatric illnesses is well illustrated by a study showing statistically significant decreases in the concentrations of the active tamoxifen metabolite following co-administration with the SSRI paroxetine (Stearns et al., 2003). Clinically relevant pharmacokinetic interactions (Table 3) and pharmacodynamic interactions

Table 3. Potential pharmacokinetic interactions between anticancer agents and psychotropic agents for PTSD treatment

Chemotherapy	Mechanism	Psychotropic agent	Clinical outcome
Cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide, docetaxel, paclitaxel, vinblastine, vincristine, vinorelbine	CYP450 3A4 inhibition by psychotropic agent	Fluvoxamine	Chemotherapy toxicity
Tamoxifen (prodrug)	CYP450 2D6 inhibition by psychotropic agent	Fluoxetine Fluoxetine	Decreased concentrations of endoxifen (active metabolite)
Irinotecan	CYP450 3A4 inhibition by anticancer agent	Paroxetine Sertraline Citalopram	SSRI toxicity
Doxorubicin, vinblastine	CYP450 2D6 inhibition by anticancer agent	Fluoxetine Escitalopram Tricyclic antidepressants	Increased concentrations of psychotropic agents
Cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide, sorafenib	CYP450 2B6 inhibition by anticancer agent	Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs)	Bupropion toxicity
Dexamethasone	CYP450 3A4 induction by dexamethasone	Serotonin/norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors Antipsychotic agents	Decreased concentrations of psychotropic agents
Docetaxel, imatinib, irinotecan, vincristine, Taxol	CYP450 3A4 induction by psychotropic agent	Bupropion Benzodiazepine, atypical antipsychotic agents Carbamazepine	Decreased concentrations of anticancer agents

CYP450, cytochrome P450 isoenzyme system.

Table 4. Potential pharmacodynamic interactions between anticancer agents and psychotropic agents for PTSD treatment

Chemotherapy	Mechanism	Psychotropic agent	Clinical outcome
Procarbazine	Monoamine oxidase Inhibition	Tricyclic antidepressants	Serotonin syndrome
		Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors	CNS toxicity
		Serotonin/norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors	
Tamoxifen	Blockade of K ⁺ rectifier channel	Mirtazapine	Increased risk of QTc prolongation
		Tricyclic antidepressants	
Doxorubicin, epirubicin	Blockade of K ⁺ rectifier channel	Atypical antipsychotic agents	Increased risk of QTc prolongation
		Tricyclic antidepressants	
Thalidomide	CNS depressant	Atypical antipsychotic agents Benzodiazepines	Increased risk of respiratory depression

(Table 4) between anticancer agents and psychotropic medications for PTSD treatment may impact medical outcome.

PSYCHOTHERAPY FOR PTSD SYMPTOMATOLOGY IN PATIENTS WITH CANCER

Cancer often takes a toll on an individual's physical and cognitive abilities, functioning, and self-concept (Breitbart & Heller, 2003). This may lead to a profound loss of meaning, which may be associated with a variety of psychiatric constructs (depression, hopelessness, desire for hastened death, and suicidal ideation). Symptoms of PTSD in patients with cancer, such as feelings of detachment and sense of a foreshortened future, may be conceptualized as manifestations of loss of meaning and purpose. Trauma-focused cognitive-behavioral therapy (TFCBT), a psychological treatment specifically addressing the patient's troubling memories of traumatic events and the personal meanings of the events and their consequences, is a well-studied treatment for chronic PTSD (Bisson et al., 2007) and may offer benefits for individuals with cancer suffering from PTSD symptoms.

Classen and colleagues (2001) evaluated the effectiveness of 1 year of supportive-expressive group psychotherapy for reducing mood disturbance and traumatic stress symptoms in women with metastatic breast cancer. This modality of therapy was unstructured and existentially based, as terminal illness often amplifies existential concerns such as death and meaning. Classen et al. (2001) found small to moderate effect sizes and concluded that the intervention has clinical value given the importance of alleviating distress in this population. Another study examined a structured group-based cognitive behav-

ior intervention in patients with nonmetastatic breast cancer (stage 0-III) following surgery for breast cancer, and showed reduction of thought intrusions, interviewer ratings of anxiety, and emotional distress across 1 year with beneficial effects maintained well past the completion of adjuvant therapy (Antoni et al., 2006).

Breitbart and Greenstein at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center in New York City designed an 8-week, group-focused, manualized support group intervention for patients with advanced cancer called Meaning Centered Group Psychotherapy (MCGP) (Greenstein & Breitbart, 2000). This therapy uses a mixture of didactics, discussion, and experiential exercises focused on themes related to meaning and purpose, and is informed by the work of psychiatrist and Holocaust survivor Viktor Frankl (Frankl, 1992). A randomized control trial in patients with advanced cancer comparing MCGP with supportive group psychotherapy showed significantly greater improvements in spiritual well-being and sense of meaning, and decreased anxiety and desire for death in the MCGP group, with even greater improvements seen 2 months after completion of therapy (Breitbart et al., 2010). This treatment represents an important part of the psychiatrist's armamentarium in palliative care, and may offer considerable benefits to patients with cancer who have PTSD symptoms.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The cancer experience qualifies as a traumatic event, and represents a unique pathway by which a person may develop PTSD. Multiple cross-sectional studies have demonstrated an increased incidence of PTSD symptoms among cancer patients and survivors relative to the general population. Prospective studies

are needed to identify disease-specific variables as potential risk factors for PTSD, including tumor site and pathology, staging, recurrence, and treatment interventions (e.g., chemotherapy, radiation). Poor health behaviors and decreased adherence to medical treatment regimens have been associated with PTSD. These behaviors may increase the risk of cancer, decrease early detection, and worsen treatment outcome. Future research examining the effect of PTSD on adherence to cancer treatment and remission, recurrence, and mortality rates will help to further elucidate this relationship.

A better understanding of the possible neurobiologic mechanisms underlying the co-morbidity of cancer and PTSD is needed. Specific attention to the interplay among the HPA axis, inflammation, and the immune system in the pathogenesis of anxiety disorders in patients with cancer is needed. Further study of bone marrow transplant patients who develop PTSD in the context of different clinical scenarios (e.g., germ-free isolation rooms, graft versus host disease, or disease recurrence) may provide a unique model to study the role of inflammation in PTSD.

Randomized-controlled treatment interventions with SSRIs and other pharmacologic and psychotherapeutic treatments for PTSD are lacking in patients with cancer-related PTSD. Future studies of PTSD in patients with cancer are needed to increase recognition, optimize treatment, improve quality of life, and determine the impact of effective PTSD treatment on cancer outcome. Although it is unlikely that the diagnostic boundaries of PTSD fully encompass the traumatic nature of the cancer experience, screening patients with cancer for PTSD symptoms will alert clinicians to debilitating symptoms such as intrusiveness, physiological arousal, and avoidance phenomena, which adversely impact functioning, healthcare use, and, ultimately, cancer outcome.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

M. Beatriz Currier has served on the speakers' bureau for Eli Lilly and Forest Laboratories.

REFERENCES

- Ahmadi, N., Hajsadeghi, F., Mirshkarlo, H.B., et al. (2010). Post-traumatic stress disorder, coronary atherosclerosis, and mortality. *The American Journal of Cardiology*, *108*, 29–33.
- Alter, C.L., Pelcovitz, D., Axelrod, A., et al. (1996). Identification of PTSD in Cancer Survivors. *Psychosomatics*, *37*, 137–143.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1980). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 3rd ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association Publishing.
- American Psychiatric Association. (1994). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association Publishing.
- American Psychiatric Association Work Group on ASD and PTSD. (2004). Practice guidelines for the treatment of patients with acute stress disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association Publishing.
- Andrykowski, M.A. (1994). Psychiatric and psychosocial aspects of bone marrow transplantation. *Psychosomatics*, *35*, 13–24.
- Antoni, M.H., Wimberly, S.R., Lechner, S.C., et al. (2006). Reduction of cancer-specific thought intrusions and anxiety symptoms with a stress management intervention among women undergoing treatment for breast cancer. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *163*, 1791–1797.
- Asmundson, G.J.G., Frombach, I., McQuaid, J., et al. (2000). Dimensionality of posttraumatic stress symptoms: A confirmatory factor analysis of DSM-IV symptom clusters and other symptom models. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *38*, 203–214.
- Baker, F., Zabora, J., Polland, A., et al. (1999). Reintegration after bone marrow transplantation. *Cancer Practice*, *7*, 190–197.
- Beijnen, J.H. & Schellens, J.H.M. (2004). Drug interactions in oncology. *The Lancet Oncology*, *5*, 489–496.
- Bisson, J.I., Ehlers, A., Matthews, R., et al. (2007). Psychological treatments for chronic post-traumatic stress disorder: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *190*, 97–104.
- Breitbart, W. & Heller, K.S. (2003). Reframing hope: Meaning-centered care for patients near the end of life. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, *6*, 979–988.
- Breitbart, W., Rosenfield, B., Gibson, C., et al. (2010). Meaning-centered group psychotherapy for patients with advanced cancer: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Psycho-oncology*, *19*, 21–28.
- Buckley, T.C. & Kaloupek, D.J. (2001). A meta-analytic examination of basal cardiovascular functioning in PTSD. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, *63*, 585–594.
- Buckley, T.C., Mozley, S.L., Bedard, M.A., et al. (2004). Preventive health behaviors, health-risk behaviors, physical morbidity, and health-related role functioning impairment in veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder. *Military Medicine*, *169*, 536–540.
- Capuron, L., Pagnoni, G., Demetrashvilli, M., et al. (2005). Anterior cingulate activation and error processing during interferon-alpha treatment. *Biological Psychiatry*, *58*, 190–196.
- Classen, C., Butler, L.D., Koopman, C., et al. (2001). Supportive-expressive group therapy and distress in patients with metastatic breast cancer: A randomized clinical intervention trial. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *58*, 494–501.
- Cordova, M.J. & Andrykowski M.A. (2003). Responses to cancer diagnosis and treatment: posttraumatic stress and posttraumatic growth. *Seminars in Clinical Neuropsychiatry*, *8*, 286–296.
- Cordova, M.J., Studts, J.L., Hann, D.M., et al. (2000). Symptom structure of PTSD following breast cancer. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *13*, 301–319.
- Davidson, J.R.T., Brady, K., Mellman, T.A., et al. (2007). The efficacy and tolerability of tiagabine in adult

- patients with post-traumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 27, 85–88.
- Davidson, J.R.T., Connor, K.M. & Zhang, W. (2009). Treatment of anxiety disorders. In: *Textbook of Psychopharmacology*, 4th ed. A.F. Schatzberg & C.B. Nemeroff (eds.), pp. 1171–1199. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Davis, L., Davidson, J.R.T., Ward, L.C., et al. (2008). Divalproex in the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in a veteran population. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology*, 28, 84–88.
- Derogatis, L. (1975). *Brief Symptom Inventory*. Baltimore: Clinical Psychometric Research.
- Dinarello, C.A. (2006). The paradox of pro-inflammatory cytokines in cancer. *Cancer and Metastasis Reviews*, 25, 307–313.
- DuHamel, K.N., Ashman, T., Keane, T.M., et al. (2004). Construct validity of the posttraumatic stress disorder checklist in cancer survivors: Analysis based on two samples. *Psychological Assessment*, 16, 255–266.
- First, M.B., Spitzer, R.L., Gibbon, M., et al. (2002). *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Patient Edition (SCID-I/P)*. New York: Biometrics Research, New York State Psychiatric Institute.
- Floyd, F.J. & Widaman, K.F. (1995). Factor analysis in the development and refinement of clinical assessment instruments. *Psychological Assessment*, 7, 286–299.
- Foa, E.B., Keane, M.J., Friedman, M.J., et al. (2008). *Effective Treatments for PTSD: Practice guidelines from the International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies*, 2nd ed. New York: Guilford Press.
- Frankl, V.E. (1992). *Man's Search for Meaning: An Introduction to Logotherapy*, 4th ed. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Green, B.L., Rowland, J.H., Krupnick, J.L., et al. (1998). Prevalence of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in women with breast cancer. *Psychosomatics*, 32, 102.
- Greenstein, M. & Breitbart, W. (2000). Cancer and the experience of meaning: A group psychotherapy program for people with cancer. *American Journal of Psychotherapy*, 54, 486–500.
- Gurevich, M., Devins, G.M. & Rodin, G.M. (2002). Stress response syndromes and cancer: conceptual and assessment issues. *Psychosomatics*, 43, 259–281.
- Hamner, M.B., Faldowski, R.A., Robert, S., et al. (2009). A preliminary controlled trial of divalproex in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Annals of Clinical Psychiatry*, 21, 89–94.
- Heim, C. & Nemeroff, C.B. (2009). Neurobiology of post-traumatic stress disorder. *CNS Spectrums*, 14, 13–24.
- Horowitz, M., Wilner, N. & Alvarez, W. (1979). Impact of Event Scale: A measure of subjective stress. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 41, 209–218.
- Jim, H.S.L. & Jacobsen, P.B. (2008). Posttraumatic stress and posttraumatic growth in cancer survivorship: A review. *The Cancer Journal*, 14, 414–419.
- Kangas, M., Henry, J.L. & Bryant, R.A. (2002). Posttraumatic stress disorder following cancer: A conceptual and empirical review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 22, 499–524.
- Kessler, R.C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., et al. (2005a). Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62, 593–602.
- Kessler, R.C., Chiu, W.T., Demler, O., et al. (2005b). Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62, 617–627.
- Kiecolt-Glaser, J.K., Loving, T.J., et al. (2005). Hostile marital interactions, proinflammatory cytokine production, and wound healing. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62, 266–272.
- Lethborg, C.E., Kissane, D., Burns, W.I., et al. (2000). “Cast adrift”: The experience of completing treatment among women with early stage breast cancer. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology*, 18, 73–90.
- Ma, A.M.T., Barone, J., Wallis, A.E., et al. (2008). Noncompliance with adjuvant radiation, chemotherapy, or hormonal therapy in breast cancer patients. *The American Journal of Surgery*, 196, 500–504.
- Maes, M., Song, C., Lin, A., et al. (1998). The effects of psychological stress on humans: Increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and a Th-1 like response in stress-induced anxiety. *Cytokine*, 10, 313–318.
- Maes, M., Lin, A., Delmeire, L., et al. (1999). Elevated serum interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-6 receptor concentrations in post-traumatic stress disorder following accidental man-made traumatic events. *Biological Psychiatry*, 45, 833–839.
- Mason, J.W., Wang, S., Yehuda, R., et al. (2002). Marked lability in urinary cortisol levels in subgroups of combat veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder during an intensive exposure treatment program. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 64, 238–246.
- McDade, T.W., Hawkey, L.C. & Cacioppo, J.T. (2006). Psychosocial and behavioral predictors of inflammation in middle-aged and older adults: The Chicago health, aging and social relations study. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 68, 376–381.
- McNally, R.J. (2003). Progress and controversy in the study of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 54, 229–252.
- Miller, A.H. (2009). Norman Cousins Lecture: Mechanisms of cytokine-induced behavioral changes: Psychoneuroimmunology at the translational interface. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 23, 149–158.
- Miller, A.H., Ancoli-Israel, S., Bower, J.E., et al. (2008). Neuroendocrine-immune mechanisms of behavioral comorbidities in patients with cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 26, 971–982.
- Miller, A.H., Maletic, V. & Raison, C.L. (2009). Inflammation and its discontents: The role of cytokines in the pathophysiology of major depression. *Biological Psychiatry*, 65, 732–741.
- Mundy, E. & Baum, A. (2004). Medical disorders as a cause of psychological trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 17, 123–128.
- Musselman, D.L., Lawson, D.H., Gumnick, J.F., et al. (2001). Paroxetine for the prevention of depression induced by high-dose interferon alpha. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 344, 961–966.
- Paulus, M.P., Feinstein, J.S., Simmons, A., et al. (2004). Anterior cingulate activation in high trait anxious subjects is related to altered error processing during decision making. *Biological Psychiatry*, 55, 1179–1187.
- Schnurr, P.P. & Spiro, A. (1999). Combat exposure, post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms, and health behaviors as predictors of self-reported physical health in

- older veterans. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 187, 353–359.
- Shelby, R.A., Golden-Kreutz, D.M. & Andersen, B.L. (2005). Mismatch of posttraumatic stress disorder (ptsd) symptoms and DSM-IV symptom clusters in a cancer sample: Exploratory factor analysis of the ptsd checklist-civilian version. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 18, 347–357.
- Smith, M.Y., Redd, W., DuHamel, K., et al. (1999). Validation of the PTSD checklist-civilian version in survivors of bone marrow transplantation. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 12, 485–499.
- Stearns, V., Johnson, M.D., Rae, J.M., et al. (2003). Active tamoxifen metabolite plasma concentrations after coadministration of tamoxifen and the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor paroxetine. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 95, 1758–1764.
- Stein, M.B., Walker, J.R., Haze, A.L., et al. (1997). Full and partial posttraumatic stress disorder: findings from a community survey. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 1114–1119.
- Taylor, S., Kuch, K., Koch, W.J., et al. (1998). The structure of posttraumatic stress symptoms. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 107, 154–160.
- Tedeschi, R.G. & Calhoun, L.G. (2004). Posttraumatic growth: conceptual foundations and empirical evidence. *Psychological Inquiry*, 15, 1–18.
- Van, der Kolk, B.A. & McFarlane, A.C. (1996). The black hole of trauma. In: *Traumatic Stress: The Effects of Overwhelming Experience on Mind, Body, and Society*. B.A. Van der Kolk, A.D. McFarlane & L. Weisaeth (eds.), pp. 3–23. New York: Guilford Press.
- Ware, J. & Sherbourne, C. (1992). The MOS 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Medical Care*, 30, 473–483.
- Watson, C. (1990). Psychometric posttraumatic stress disorder measurement techniques: A review. *Psychological Assessment: A Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 2, 460–469.
- Weathers, F.W., Litz, B.T., Herman, D.S., et al. (1993). The PTSD Checklist (PCL): Reliability, validity, and diagnostic utility. Presented at the Annual Meeting of International Society for Traumatic Stress Studies, San Antonio, Texas, October.
- Yap, K.Y.-L., Tay, W.L., Chui, W.K., et al. (2011). Clinically relevant drug interactions between anticancer drugs and psychotropic agents. *European Journal of Cancer Care*, 20, 6–32.