

TRANSLATING SOLITONS FOR THE MEAN CURVATURE FLOW IN \mathbb{R}^4

HOJOO LEE 

(Received 4 February 2022; accepted 16 March 2022; first published online 25 April 2022)

Abstract

We present a representation formula for translating soliton surfaces to the mean curvature flow in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^4 and give examples of conformal parameterisations for translating soliton surfaces.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 53A10; secondary 53A07, 53E10.

Keywords and phrases: Gauss map, mean curvature flow, solitons.

1. Introduction

Recent decades have seen intense study of solitons for the mean curvature flow. The simplest example is the grim reaper $y = \ln(\cos x)$ which moves by downward translation under the mean curvature flow. There are geometric dualities between solitons for the mean curvature flow and minimal submanifolds [4, 16, 18].

A surface is a *translator* [18] for the mean curvature flow when its mean curvature vector field agrees with the normal component of a constant Killing vector field. Translators arise as Hamilton's convex eternal solutions and Huisken–Sinestrari Type II singularities for the mean curvature flow, and provide a natural generalisation of minimal surfaces.

Altschuler and Wu [1] showed the existence of the convex, rotationally symmetric, entire graphical translator. Clutterbuck *et al.* [3] constructed the winglike bigraphical translators, which are analogous to catenoids. Halldorsson [5] proved the existence of helicoidal translators. Nguyen [14] used Scherk's minimal towers to desingularise the intersection of a grim reaper product and a plane, and obtained a complete embedded translator. See also her generalisation [15].

Our main goal is to adapt the splitting of the generalised Gauss map of oriented surfaces in \mathbb{R}^4 to construct an explicit Weierstrass-type representation formula for translators in \mathbb{R}^4 .

2. Main results

We first introduce the complexification of the generalised Gauss map. Inside the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^3$, we take the variety

$$Q_2 = \{[\zeta] = [\zeta_1 : \dots : \zeta_4] \in \mathbb{C}P^3 : \zeta_1^2 + \dots + \zeta_4^2 = 0\},$$

which becomes a model for the Grassmannian manifold $\mathcal{G}_{2,2}$ of oriented planes in \mathbb{R}^4 . Hoffman and Osserman [6, 7] defined the generalised Gauss map of a conformal immersion $\mathbf{X} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4, z \mapsto \mathbf{X}(z)$, as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}(z) = \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial z} \right] = [1 + g_1 g_2, i(1 - g_1 g_2), g_1 - g_2, -i(g_1 + g_2)] \in Q_2 \subset \mathbb{C}P^3.$$

We call the induced pair (g_1, g_2) the complexified Gauss map of the immersion \mathbf{X} .

LEMMA 2.1 (Poincaré’s lemma). *Let $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function on a simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $\partial \xi(z)/\partial \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $z \in \Omega$, then there exists a function $x : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\partial x(z)/\partial z = \xi(z)$.*

THEOREM 2.2 (Correspondence from null curves in \mathbb{C}^4 to translators in \mathbb{R}^4). *Let (g_1, g_2) be a pair of nowhere-holomorphic C^2 functions from a simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ to the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} := \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |w| < 1\}$ satisfying the compatibility condition*

$$\mathcal{F} := \frac{(g_1)_{\bar{z}}}{(1 - g_1 \bar{g}_2)(1 + |g_1|^2)} = \frac{(g_2)_{\bar{z}}}{(1 - \bar{g}_1 g_2)(1 + |g_2|^2)}, \quad z \in \Omega. \tag{2.1}$$

Assume that one of the following two integrability conditions holds on Ω :

$$0 = (g_1)_{z\bar{z}} + \left(\frac{\bar{g}_2}{1 - g_1 \bar{g}_2} - \frac{\bar{g}_1}{1 + |g_1|^2} \right) (g_1)_z (g_1)_{\bar{z}} + \frac{g_1 + g_2}{(1 - \bar{g}_1 g_2)(1 + |g_1|^2)} |(g_1)_{\bar{z}}|^2, \tag{2.2}$$

$$0 = (g_2)_{z\bar{z}} + \left(\frac{\bar{g}_1}{1 - \bar{g}_1 g_2} - \frac{\bar{g}_2}{1 + |g_2|^2} \right) (g_2)_z (g_2)_{\bar{z}} + \frac{g_1 + g_2}{(1 - g_1 \bar{g}_2)(1 + |g_2|^2)} |(g_2)_{\bar{z}}|^2. \tag{2.3}$$

- (a) Both (2.2) and (2.3) hold. (In fact, we claim that (2.2) is equivalent to (2.3).)
- (b) The complex curve $\phi := (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ defined by

$$\phi = f(1 + g_1 g_2, i(1 - g_1 g_2), g_1 - g_2, -i(g_1 + g_2)), \quad f := -2i\bar{\mathcal{F}},$$

satisfies the following three properties on the domain Ω :

- (b1) nullity: $\phi \cdot \phi = \phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 + \phi_3^2 + \phi_4^2 = 0$;
- (b2) nondegeneracy: $|\phi|^2 = |\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2 + |\phi_3|^2 + |\phi_4|^2 > 0$;
- (b3) integrability: $\partial \phi / \partial \bar{z} = (\partial \phi_1 / \partial \bar{z}, \partial \phi_2 / \partial \bar{z}, \partial \phi_3 / \partial \bar{z}, \partial \phi_4 / \partial \bar{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^4$.

- (c) Integrating the complex null immersion $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ yields a translator Σ in \mathbb{R}^4 .
 - (c1) There exists a conformal immersion $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ satisfying $\mathbf{X}_{z\bar{z}} = \phi$.

(c2) The induced metric ds^2 on the z -domain Ω by the immersion \mathbf{X} is

$$ds^2 = \frac{16|(g_1)_{\bar{z}}|^2}{|1 - g_1\bar{g}_2|^2} \cdot \frac{1 + |g_2|^2}{1 + |g_1|^2} |dz|^2 = \frac{16|(g_2)_{\bar{z}}|^2}{|1 - \bar{g}_1g_2|^2} \cdot \frac{1 + |g_1|^2}{1 + |g_2|^2} |dz|^2.$$

(c3) The pair (g_1, g_2) is the complexified Gauss map of the surface $\Sigma = \mathbf{X}(\Omega)$, that is, the generalised Gauss map of the conformal immersion \mathbf{X} is

$$[\mathbf{X}_z] = [1 + g_1g_2, i(1 - g_1g_2), g_1 - g_2, -i(g_1 + g_2)] \in \mathcal{Q}_2 \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3.$$

(c4) The surface Σ becomes a translator with translating velocity given by $-\mathbf{e}_4 = (0, 0, 0, -1)$.

PROOF. Step A. For the proof of (a), we first set up the notation

$$\mathcal{L} := (g_1)_{z\bar{z}} + \left(\frac{\bar{g}_2}{1 - g_1\bar{g}_2} - \frac{\bar{g}_1}{1 + |g_1|^2} \right) (g_1)_z (g_1)_{\bar{z}} + \frac{g_1 + g_2}{(1 - \bar{g}_1g_2)(1 + |g_1|^2)} |(g_1)_{\bar{z}}|^2,$$

$$\mathcal{R} := (g_2)_{z\bar{z}} + \left(\frac{\bar{g}_1}{1 - \bar{g}_1g_2} - \frac{\bar{g}_2}{1 + |g_2|^2} \right) (g_2)_z (g_2)_{\bar{z}} + \frac{g_1 + g_2}{(1 - g_1\bar{g}_2)(1 + |g_2|^2)} |(g_2)_{\bar{z}}|^2.$$

We first assume only (2.1). Taking the conjugation in (2.1) yields

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}} = \frac{(\bar{g}_2)_z}{(1 - g_1\bar{g}_2)(1 + |g_2|^2)} = \frac{(\bar{g}_1)_z}{(1 - \bar{g}_1g_2)(1 + |g_1|^2)}.$$

Taking this into account,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{F}_z}{\mathcal{F}} &= \frac{(g_1)_{z\bar{z}}}{(g_1)_{\bar{z}}} + \left(\frac{\bar{g}_2}{1 - g_1\bar{g}_2} - \frac{\bar{g}_1}{1 + |g_1|^2} \right) (g_1)_z + \left(\frac{1 + |g_2|^2}{1 - \bar{g}_1g_2} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{g_1}{1 + |g_1|^2} (\bar{g}_1)_z \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{L}}{(g_1)_{\bar{z}}} - \bar{F}[g_1(1 - |g_2|^2) + g_2(1 - |g_1|^2)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{F}_z}{\mathcal{F}} &= \frac{(g_2)_{z\bar{z}}}{(g_2)_{\bar{z}}} + \left(\frac{\bar{g}_1}{1 - g_2\bar{g}_2} - \frac{\bar{g}_2}{1 + |g_2|^2} \right) (g_2)_z + \left(\frac{1 + |g_1|^2}{1 - g_1\bar{g}_2} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{g_2}{1 + |g_2|^2} (\bar{g}_2)_z \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{R}}{(g_2)_{\bar{z}}} - \bar{F}[g_1(1 - |g_2|^2) + g_2(1 - |g_1|^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

From these two equalities,

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}}{(g_1)_{\bar{z}}} = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{(g_2)_{\bar{z}}},$$

which gives the desired implications: (2.2) \iff $\mathcal{L} = 0 \iff \mathcal{R} = 0 \iff$ (2.3).

Step B. We deduce several equalities which will be used in the proof of (b) and (c). According to (a), from now on, we assume that both (2.2) and (2.3) hold. Since both \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{R} vanish, the previous equalities imply

$$\mathcal{F}_z = -|\mathcal{F}|^2 [g_1(1 - |g_2|^2) + g_2(1 - |g_1|^2)].$$

Conjugating this and using the definition $f = -2i\overline{\mathcal{F}}$, we arrive at the equality

$$f_{\bar{z}} = \frac{i}{2}|f|^2[\overline{g_1}(1 - |g_2|^2) + \overline{g_2}(1 - |g_1|^2)]. \tag{2.4}$$

The compatibility condition (2.1) can be written in terms of $f = -2i\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ as

$$\overline{f} = \frac{2i(g_1)_{\bar{z}}}{(1 - g_1\overline{g_2})(1 + |g_1|^2)} = \frac{2i(g_2)_{\bar{z}}}{(1 - \overline{g_1}g_2)(1 + |g_2|^2)}. \tag{2.5}$$

It immediately follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$(fg_1)_{\bar{z}} = f_{\bar{z}}g_1 + (g_1)_{\bar{z}}f = -\frac{i}{2}|f|^2(1 - 2g_1\overline{g_2} + |g_1|^2|g_2|^2) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$(fg_2)_{\bar{z}} = f_{\bar{z}}g_2 + (g_2)_{\bar{z}}f = -\frac{i}{2}|f|^2(1 - 2\overline{g_1}g_2 + |g_1|^2|g_2|^2). \tag{2.7}$$

Another computation taking into account (2.5) and (2.6) shows that

$$(fg_1g_2)_{\bar{z}} = (fg_1)_{\bar{z}}g_2 + (g_2)_{\bar{z}}fg_1 = -\frac{i}{2}|f|^2[g_1(1 - |g_2|^2) + g_2(1 - |g_1|^2)]. \tag{2.8}$$

Step C. Our aim here is to establish the claims in (b) on the complex curve

$$\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4) = f(1 + g_1g_2, i(1 - g_1g_2), g_1 - g_2, -i(g_1 + g_2)).$$

First, the equality in (b1) is obvious. Next, by the assumptions on g_1 and g_2 , we see that $f = -2i\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ never vanishes. Assertion (b2) follows from the equality

$$|\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2 + |\phi_3|^2 + |\phi_4|^2 = 2|f|^2(1 + |g_1|^2)(1 + |g_2|^2). \tag{2.9}$$

We employ the equalities in step B to show assertion (b3). Combining (2.4), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) and the definition of ϕ , we obtain

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_1)_{\bar{z}} = |f|^2[(1 - |g_2|^2)\text{Im } g_1 + (1 - |g_1|^2)\text{Im } g_2], \\ (\phi_2)_{\bar{z}} = -|f|^2[(1 - |g_2|^2)\text{Re } g_1 + (1 - |g_1|^2)\text{Re } g_2], \\ (\phi_3)_{\bar{z}} = 2|f|^2 \text{Im } (\overline{g_1}g_2), \\ (\phi_4)_{\bar{z}} = -|f|^2[1 - 2\text{Re } (\overline{g_1}g_2) + |g_1|^2|g_2|^2]. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

These four equalities guarantee the integrability condition

$$\left(\frac{\partial\phi_1}{\partial\bar{z}}, \frac{\partial\phi_2}{\partial\bar{z}}, \frac{\partial\phi_3}{\partial\bar{z}}, \frac{\partial\phi_4}{\partial\bar{z}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^4.$$

Step D. We prove claims (c1), (c2) and (c3). Thanks to (b3), we can integrate the curve ϕ . Since Ω is simply connected, applying Lemma 2.1 to the complex curve ϕ shows the existence of a function $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{X}_{\bar{z}} = \phi = f(1 + g_1g_2, i(1 - g_1g_2), g_1 - g_2, -i(g_1 + g_2)).$$

This and the nullity of ϕ guarantee that the mapping \mathbf{X} is conformal. From (2.9), the induced metric $ds^2 = \Lambda^2|dz|^2$ by the immersion \mathbf{X} is

$$ds^2 = \Lambda^2|dz|^2 = 4|f|^2(1 + |g_1|^2)(1 + |g_2|^2)|dz|^2. \tag{2.11}$$

Since f never vanishes, this completes the proof of (c1). Combining (2.5) and (2.11) gives the equality in (c2). The integrability $\mathbf{X}_z = \phi$ and the definition of ϕ give

$$[\mathbf{X}_z] = [1 + g_1g_2, i(1 - g_1g_2), g_1 - g_2, -i(g_1 + g_2)],$$

which completes the proof of (c3).

Step E. Finally, we prove claim (c4). First, we find the normal component of the vector field $-\mathbf{e}_4 = (0, 0, 0, -1)$ in terms of g_1 and g_2 . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} (-\mathbf{e}_4)^\perp &= -\mathbf{e}_4 - \left[\left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_u}{\Lambda} \cdot (-\mathbf{e}_4) \right) \frac{\mathbf{X}_u}{\Lambda} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{X}_v}{\Lambda} \cdot (-\mathbf{e}_4) \right) \frac{\mathbf{X}_v}{\Lambda} \right] \\ &= -\mathbf{e}_4 + \frac{2}{\Lambda^2} [(\mathbf{X}_{\bar{z}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_4)\mathbf{X}_z + (\mathbf{X}_z \cdot \mathbf{e}_4)\mathbf{X}_{\bar{z}}] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{4}{\Lambda^2} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\phi_1\bar{\phi}_4) \\ \operatorname{Re}(\phi_2\bar{\phi}_4) \\ \operatorname{Re}(\phi_3\bar{\phi}_4) \\ |\phi_4|^2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.10), and (2.11) yields

$$(-\mathbf{e}_4)^\perp = \frac{1}{(1 + |g_1|^2)(1 + |g_2|^2)} \begin{bmatrix} [(1 - |g_2|^2)\operatorname{Im} g_1 + (1 - |g_1|^2)\operatorname{Im} g_2] \\ -[(1 - |g_2|^2)\operatorname{Re} g_1 + (1 - |g_1|^2)\operatorname{Re} g_2] \\ 2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{g}_1g_2) \\ -[1 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\bar{g}_1g_2) + |g_1|^2|g_2|^2] \end{bmatrix}.$$

Second, we find the mean curvature vector

$$\mathcal{H} = \Delta_{ds^2}\mathbf{X} = \frac{4}{\Lambda^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbf{X} \right) = \frac{4}{\Lambda^2} \phi_{\bar{z}}$$

on the surface $\Sigma = \mathbf{X}(\Omega)$. Using this, (2.10) and (2.11), we can write the mean curvature vector \mathcal{H} in terms of g_1 and g_2 :

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{(1 + |g_1|^2)(1 + |g_2|^2)} \begin{bmatrix} [(1 - |g_2|^2)\operatorname{Im} g_1 + (1 - |g_1|^2)\operatorname{Im} g_2] \\ -[(1 - |g_2|^2)\operatorname{Re} g_1 + (1 - |g_1|^2)\operatorname{Re} g_2] \\ 2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{g}_1g_2) \\ -[1 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\bar{g}_1g_2) + |g_1|^2|g_2|^2] \end{bmatrix}.$$

We therefore conclude that $\mathcal{H} = (-\mathbf{e}_4)^\perp$. □

REMARK 2.3 (Ilmanen’s correspondence). Theorem 2.2 generalises the classical Weierstrass construction from holomorphic null immersions in \mathbb{C}^3 to conformal minimal immersions in \mathbb{R}^3 . The key ingredient behind Theorem 2.2 is the Ilmanen correspondence between translators and minimal surfaces (see [8, 18]). We deform the

flat metric of \mathbb{R}^4 conformally to introduce the four-dimensional Riemannian manifold

$$I^4 = (\mathbb{R}^4, e^{-x_4}(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2 + dx_4^2)).$$

Any conformal immersion $\mathbf{X} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ of a downward translator with the translating velocity $-\mathbf{e}_4 = (0, 0, 0, -1)$ in Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^4 can then be identified as a conformal minimal immersion $\mathbf{X} : \Omega \rightarrow I^4$.

EXAMPLE 2.4 (The Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian translator in \mathbb{C}^2). Interesting Lagrangian translators in the complex plane \mathbb{C}^2 are described in [2, 10, 12]. In 2010, Castro and Lerma [2, Corollary 2] classified all Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian translators in \mathbb{C}^2 . Locally, they are unique up to dilations (except for the totally geodesic ones) [2, Corollary 3]. The point of this example is to explicitly recover the Hoffman–Osserman Gauss map of the Castro–Lerma translator in $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2$.

We first notice that Theorem 2.2 still holds when we regard the prescribed Gauss map (g_1, g_2) as a pair of functions from a simply connected domain Ω to the complex plane (not just the unit disc). However, in this case, the induced mapping $\mathbf{X} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ of the translator may admit the branch points where $\overline{g_1}g_2 = 1$ (or equivalently, $g_1\overline{g_2} = 1$).

Imposing the additional condition $|g_1| = 1$ produces Lagrangian translators with the velocity $-\mathbf{e}_4 = (0, 0, 0, -1)$. Then the integrability condition in (c1) for downward translators can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{X}_z = ((x_1)_z, (x_2)_z, (x_3)_z, (x_4)_z) = -\theta_z \left(\frac{1 + g_1 g_2}{g_1 - g_2}, i \frac{1 - g_1 g_2}{g_1 - g_2}, 1, -i \frac{g_1 + g_2}{g_1 - g_2} \right),$$

where θ denotes the Lagrangian angle with $ig_1 = e^{i\theta}$. The third term $(x_3)_z = -\theta_z$ can be compared to [2, Proposition 1], [10, Proposition 2.5] and [13, Proposition 2.1].

We consider a complexified Gauss map of the form,

$$(g_1(z), g_2(z)) = (e^{iv}, \mathcal{G}(u)e^{iv}), \quad z = u + iv \in \mathbb{R} + i\mathbb{R},$$

for some \mathbb{R} -valued function \mathcal{G} , and aim to solve the system (2.1) and (2.2). First, the compatibility condition (2.1) induces the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{1 + \mathcal{G}^2} \left(\mathcal{G} - \frac{d\mathcal{G}}{du} \right)$$

and a canonical solution is $\mathcal{G}(u) = (u + 1)/(u - 1)$. It is straightforward to check that

$$(g_1(z), g_2(z)) = (e^{iv}, \mathcal{G}(u)e^{iv}) = \left(e^{iv}, \frac{u + 1}{u - 1} e^{iv} \right)$$

satisfies the integrability condition (2.2). Then the induced Lagrangian translator Σ with the velocity $-\mathbf{e}_4$ admits the conformal parameterisation

$$\mathbf{X}(u, v) = (u \sin v, -u \cos v, -v, -\frac{1}{2}u^2).$$

Since the induced metric on Σ is $ds^2 = (1 + u^2)(du^2 + dv^2)$, the Lagrangian angle function $\theta(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}\pi + v$ with $ig_1 = e^{i\theta}$ is harmonic on Σ . This Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian translator Σ with the velocity $(0, 0, 0, -1)$ coincides with the Castro–Lerma

translator [2, Corollary 2] with the velocity $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ by a suitable change of coordinates.

THEOREM 2.5 (Correspondence from null curves in \mathbb{C}^3 to translators in \mathbb{R}^3). *Given a nowhere-holomorphic C^2 function $G : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ from a simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ to the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} := \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |w| < 1\}$ satisfying the translator equation*

$$G_{z\bar{z}} + 2\frac{\bar{G}|G|^2}{1-|G|^4}G_zG_{\bar{z}} + 2\frac{G}{1-|G|^4}|G_{\bar{z}}|^2 = 0, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad (2.12)$$

we associate a complex curve $\phi = \phi_G = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ by

$$\phi = \frac{2\bar{G}_z}{|G|^4 - 1}(1 - G^2, i(1 + G^2), 2G).$$

(a) *The complex curve ϕ satisfies the following three properties on the domain Ω :*

- (a1) nullity: $\phi \cdot \phi = \phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 + \phi_3^2 = 0$;
- (a2) nondegeneracy: $|\phi|^2 = |\phi_1|^2 + |\phi_2|^2 + |\phi_3|^2 > 0$;
- (a3) integrability: $\partial\phi/\partial\bar{z} = (\partial\phi_1/\partial\bar{z}, \partial\phi_2/\partial\bar{z}, \partial\phi_3/\partial\bar{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

(b) *Integrating $\mathbf{X}_z = \phi$ on Ω yields a downward translator $\Sigma = \mathbf{X}(\Omega)$ with the velocity $-\mathbf{e}_3 = (0, 0, -1)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . The prescribed map G becomes the complexified Gauss map of the induced surface $\Sigma = \mathbf{X}(\Omega)$ via the stereographic projection from the north pole. The induced metric ds^2 by the immersion \mathbf{X} is*

$$ds^2 = \frac{16|G_{\bar{z}}|^2}{(|G|^2 - 1)^2}|dz|^2.$$

PROOF. We take $(g_1, g_2) = (iG, iG)$ in Theorem 2.2. □

REMARK 2.6. For the same Weierstrass representation formula for translators in \mathbb{R}^3 with the same Gauss map equation, see the recent preprint by Martínez and Martínez-Triviño [11, Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 3.2].

EXAMPLE 2.7 (Grim reaper product as an analogue of Scherk's surface)

(a) An application of our representation formula in Theorem 2.5 to the solution

$$G(z) = G(u + iv) = \tanh u \in (-1, 1), \quad u + iv \in \mathbb{C},$$

of the translator equation (2.12) yields the conformal immersion $\mathbf{X} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$\mathbf{X}(u, v) = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (-2\tan^{-1}(\tanh u), 2v, -\ln(\cosh(2u))),$$

(b) This represents the graphical translator with the translating velocity $-\mathbf{e}_3$:

$$x_3 = \mathcal{F}(x_1, x_2) = \ln(\cos x_1), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

It can be viewed as an analogue of the classical Jenkins–Serrin minimal graph [9, 17], discovered by Scherk in 1834,

$$x_3 = \ln(\cos x_1) - \ln(\cos x_2), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \times \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right).$$

EXAMPLE 2.8 (Deformations of the grim reaper product). Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant.

- (a) We begin with the solution $G = G^\theta(z)$ of the translator equation (2.12):

$$G(z) = G(u + iv) = \frac{\cosh \theta \sinh(2u) + i \sinh \theta}{1 + \cosh \theta \cosh(2u)}, \quad u + iv \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Theorem 2.5 induces the conformal immersion $\mathbf{X}^\theta = (x_1, x_2, x_3) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by

$$\begin{cases} x_1(u, v) = -2 \cosh \theta \tan^{-1}(\tanh u), \\ x_2(u, v) = \sinh \theta \ln(\cosh(2u)) + 2v, \\ x_3(u, v) = -\ln(\cosh(2u)) + 2v \sinh \theta. \end{cases}$$

The translator $\mathbf{G}^\theta = \mathbf{X}^\theta(\mathbb{R}^2)$ has the translating velocity $(0, 0, -1)$.

- (b) Using the patch \mathbf{X}^θ , we find that the Gauss map of the translator \mathbf{G}^θ lies on a half circle. Let us introduce a new linear coordinate

$$x_0 = \frac{1}{\cosh \theta} x_2 + \frac{\sinh \theta}{\cosh \theta} x_3$$

and an orthonormal basis

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = (1, 0, 0), \quad \mathcal{U}_2^\theta = \left(0, -\frac{\sinh \theta}{\cosh \theta}, \frac{1}{\cosh \theta}\right), \quad \mathcal{U}_3^\theta = \left(0, \frac{1}{\cosh \theta}, \frac{\sinh \theta}{\cosh \theta}\right).$$

The surface \mathbf{G}^θ admits a patch

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \widehat{\mathbf{X}}^\theta(x_1, x_0) = x_1 \mathcal{U}_1 + \mathbf{T}^\theta(x_1) \mathcal{U}_2^\theta + x_0 \mathcal{U}_3^\theta.$$

Here, $\mathbf{T}^\theta(\cdot) = \cosh \theta \ln(\cos(\cdot/\cosh \theta))$ is a parabolic rescaling of the downward unit-speed grim reaper function. The surface \mathbf{G}^θ can be obtained by translating a parabolically rescaled grim reaper curve in the plane spanned by \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2^θ .

- (c) The one-parameter family $\{\mathbf{G}^\theta\}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}}$ of translators with the same translating velocity has a simple geometric description. Applying a suitable rotation in the ambient space \mathbb{R}^3 to the grim reaper product \mathbf{G}^0 with velocity $-\mathcal{U}_2^0 = (0, 0, -1)$,

$$(x_1, x_0) \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \widehat{\mathbf{X}}^0(x_1, x_0) = x_1 \mathcal{U}_1 + \mathbf{T}^0(x_1) \mathcal{U}_2^0 + x_0 \mathcal{U}_3^0,$$

we obtain the congruent surface parameterised by

$$(x_1, x_0) \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto x_1 \mathcal{U}_1 + \mathbf{T}^0(x_1) \mathcal{U}_2^\theta + x_0 \mathcal{U}_3^\theta,$$

which translates with the rotated velocity $-\mathcal{U}_2^\theta$ under the mean curvature flow. However, we observe that this rotated surface can also be viewed as a translator

with new velocity $-\cosh \theta \mathbf{U}_2^0 = (0, 0, -\cosh \theta)$. The surface \mathbf{G}^θ parameterised by

$$(x_1, x_0) \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \cosh \theta, \frac{\pi}{2} \cosh \theta\right) \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \widehat{\mathbf{X}}^\theta(x_1, x_0) = x_1 \mathbf{U}_1 + \mathbf{T}^\theta(x_1) \mathbf{U}_2^\theta + x_0 \mathbf{U}_3^\theta,$$

translates with velocity $-\mathbf{U}_2^0 = (0, 0, -1)$ under the mean curvature flow.

References

- [1] S. J. Altschuler and L. F. Wu, ‘Translating surfaces of the non-parametric mean curvature flow with prescribed contact angle’, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **2**(1) (1994), 101–111.
- [2] I. Castro and A. M. Lerma, ‘Translating solitons for Lagrangian mean curvature flow in complex Euclidean plane’, *Internat. J. Math.* **23**(10) (2012), Article no. 1250101.
- [3] J. Clutterbuck, O. C. Schnürer and F. Schulze, ‘Stability of translating solutions to mean curvature flow’, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **29**(3) (2007), 281–293.
- [4] T. H. Colding and W. P. Minicozzi II, ‘Minimal surfaces and mean curvature flow’, in: *Surveys in Geometric Analysis and Relativity*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, 20 (International Press, Somerville, MA, 2011), 73–143.
- [5] H. P. Halldorsson, ‘Helicoidal surfaces rotating/translating under the mean curvature flow’, *Geom. Dedicata* **162** (2013), 45–65.
- [6] D. A. Hoffman and R. Osserman, *The Geometry of the Generalised Gauss Map*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 28(236) (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1980).
- [7] D. A. Hoffman and R. Osserman, ‘The Gauss map of surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 and \mathbb{R}^4 ’, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **50**(3) (1985), 27–56.
- [8] T. Ilmanen, *Elliptic Regularization and Partial Regularity for Motion by Mean Curvature*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, 108(520) (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994).
- [9] H. Jenkins and J. Serrin, ‘Variational problems of minimal surface type. II. Boundary value problems for the minimal surface equation’, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **21** (1966), 321–342.
- [10] D. Joyce, Y.-I. Lee and M.-P. Tsui, ‘Self-similar solutions and translating solitons for Lagrangian mean curvature flow’, *J. Differential Geom.* **84**(1) (2010), 127–161.
- [11] A. Martínez and A. L. Martínez-Triviño, ‘A Weierstrass type representation for translating solitons and singular minimal surfaces’, Preprint, 2022, [arXiv:2201.01192](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.01192).
- [12] H. Nakahara, ‘Some examples of self-similar solutions and translating solitons for Lagrangian mean curvature flow’, *Tohoku Math. J.* (2) **65**(3) (2013), 411–425.
- [13] A. Neves and G. Tian, ‘Translating solutions to Lagrangian mean curvature flow’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365**(11) (2013), 5655–5680.
- [14] X. H. Nguyen, ‘Translating tridents’, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **34**(3) (2009), 257–280.
- [15] X. H. Nguyen, ‘Complete embedded self-translating surfaces under mean curvature flow’, *J. Geom. Anal.* **23**(3) (2013), 1379–1426.
- [16] K. Smoczyk, ‘A relation between mean curvature flow solitons and minimal submanifolds’, *Math. Nachr.* **229** (2001), 175–186.
- [17] J. Spruck, ‘Infinite boundary value problems for surfaces of constant mean curvature’, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **49** (1973), 1–31.
- [18] B. White, ‘Subsequent singularities in mean-convex mean curvature flow’, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **54**(2) (2015), 1457–1468.

HOJOO LEE, Department of Mathematics and
 Institute of Pure and Applied Mathematics,
 Jeonbuk National University, Jeonju 54896, Korea
 e-mail: compactkoala@gmail.com, kiarostami@jbnu.ac.kr