Math. Struct. in Comp. Science (2009), vol. 19, pp. 1265–1278. © Cambridge University Press 2009 doi:10.1017/S0960129509990193

# **Mobile Petri nets**

# A. ASPERTI<sup>†</sup> and N. BUSI

<sup>†</sup>Dept. of Comp. Sci., Univ. of Bologna, Mura Anteo Zamboni 7, 40127 Bologna, Italy Email: asperti@cs.unibo.it

Received 27 April 2009; revised 25 June 2009

# In memory of Nadia Busi

We add mobility to Place-Transition Petri nets: tokens are names for places, and an input token of a transition can be used in its postset to specify a destination. Mobile Petri nets are then further extended to dynamic nets by adding the possibility of creating new nets during the firing of a transition. In this way, starting from Petri nets, we define a simple hierarchy of nets with increasing degrees of dynamicity. For each class in this hierarchy, we provide its encoding in the former class.

Our work was largely inspired by the join-calculus of Fournet and Gonthier, which turns out to be a (well-motivated) particular case of dynamic Petri nets. The main difference is that, in the preset of a transition, we allow both non-linear patterns (name unification) and (locally) free names for input places (that is, we remove the *locality* constraint, and preserve *reflexion*).

# 1. Introduction

Petri nets are widely accepted as the main distributed model for concurrent computations. Unfortunately, Petri nets are too static to be used directly as a specification language for distributed programming. In particular, they offer no direct way to express processes with changing structure, that is, communicating agents that can be dynamically linked to other agents, possibly depending on previous communications. To bridge this gap, we define a hierarchy of nets with increasing degrees of dynamicity. The first step is to add mobility in the sense of Milner et al. (1992), namely the possibility of passing a reference to a process (a channel name) in a communication. From the point of view of Petri nets, we can think of channels as places, and mobility amounts to considering tokens as names (actually, tuples of names) for places. The destinations in the postset of a transition can then depend on the input tokens that have been read in the preset of the same transition. As a simple example, we consider a variant of the print-spooler in Fournet and Gonthier (1996). Available printers send their names and their type (colour or black and white) to a place named *ready*, while users send their requests with the name of the file and the type of printer required to a place named *job*. For example, the configuration where the black and white printer named laser is ready and we have two pending requests to print the file file1 on a black and white printer and the file file2 on a colour printer is described by the marking

ready(laser, bw), job(file1, bw), job(file2, c).

The print spooler matches a ready printer with a request and sends the file to the printer, as described by the following transition:

 $ready(PRINTER, TYPE), job(FILE, TYPE) \triangleright PRINTER(FILE).$ 

Note the use of unification in the preset: the offer and the request match only if they have the same type. Firing this transition in the previous marking, gives the new configuration

*job*(*file*2, *c*), *laser*(*file*1).

The next step is to allow a transition to generate not only a new marking, but also a new set of transitions to be added to the system. This amounts to saying that the postset of a transition is actually ... just another net!

In specifying this net, we shall need a binding operator (vY) to distinguish the local names Y from non-local ones. When spawning a net during the firing of a transition, local names will be instantiated to fresh names, while non-local names will preserve their current meaning.

These nets will be called dynamic nets. As a simple example showing the expressive power of dynamic nets, consider the encoding of call-by-name  $\lambda$ -calculus.

**Example 1 (call-by-name**  $\lambda$ -calculus). A  $\lambda$ -term M is encoded as a net  $(vv)[[M]]_v$  where:

$$\begin{split} \llbracket x \rrbracket_v &= (\emptyset, x(v)) \\ \llbracket \lambda x.M \rrbracket_v &= (\{v(x, u) \triangleright \llbracket M \rrbracket_u\}, \emptyset) \\ \llbracket (M N) \rrbracket_v &= (v\{x, u\}) (\llbracket M \rrbracket_u \oplus (\{x(w) \triangleright \llbracket N \rrbracket_w\}, u(x, v))). \end{split}$$

The operator  $\oplus$  denotes the sum of two nets defined in the obvious way (see Definition 5).

Intuitively, you may think of v as the 'root' of the term. A variable sends to the server for x its 'root', which is the position where the actual value for x should be instantiated. A term  $\lambda x.M$  waits 'on its root' for two names x and u:

— the first name is the name of the server for the variable x,

— *u* is the new 'root' for *M* after the  $\beta$ -reduction.

Finally, an application (M N) creates two local names x and u:

- x is a server waiting for requests from variables: when a variable sends its 'position' w to x, the server spawns a new instance of the argument N at position w.
- *u* is the root for *M*: on this channel, the application sends the name of the server and its own root (which, whenever *M* is 'reduced' to  $\lambda x.M'$ , must become the new root for *M'*).

This encoding is, in essence, borrowed from Milner et al. (1992).

Dynamic nets were directly inspired by the join-calculus (Fournet and Gonthier 1996), which in turn owes a debt to the Chemical Abstract Machine (Berry and Boudol 1992). The main difference between dynamic nets and the join-calculus is that, in the preset of a transition, we allow both non-linear patterns (name unification) and (locally) free names for input places. Using the terminology of Fournet and Gonthier (1996), we remove the locality constraints, and just keep reflexion. While locality is clearly relevant for implementation (each subnet is an independent reaction-site, which can be physically

distributed without effort), the theoretical motivations behind this assumption are much less evident and deserve deeper investigation. In fact, the locality constraint of the joincalculus imposes a programming style that is not always intuitive, and in some cases really frustrating. For example, the encoding of the  $\lambda$ -calculus in the join-calculus (regarded as a dynamic net) is

$$\begin{split} & [\![x]\!]_v = (\emptyset, x(v)) \\ & [\![\lambda x.M]\!]_v = (v\{k\})(\{\{k(x, u) \triangleright [\![M]\!]_u\}, v(k)) \\ & [\![(M|N)]\!]_v = (v\{x, u\})([\![M]\!]_u \oplus (\{x(w) \triangleright [\![N]\!]_w, u(k) \triangleright k(x, v)\}, \emptyset)), \end{split}$$

which is considerably more contrived than the one given earlier.

# 2. Nets

In this section we recall the definition of Petri nets, and give the formal definition of mobile and dynamic nets.

### 2.1. Petri nets

We recall the main definitions of Place/Transition nets without capacity constraints on places (see, for example, Reisig (1985)). We provide a characterisation of this model using a notation that is both convenient and consistent with our generalisations.

**Definition 2.** Given a set X, a multiset over X is a function  $m : X \to (\mathcal{N} \cup \{\omega\})$ . The set of all multisets over X is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_X$ . Let  $dom(m) = \{x \in X \mid m(x) > 0\}$ . A multiset m is said to be empty if m(x) = 0 for all  $x \in X$ . Let

$$\mathcal{M}_X^{post} = \{ m \in \mathcal{M}_X \mid dom(m) \text{ is finite} \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_X^{pre} = \{ m \in \mathcal{M}_X^{post} \mid m \text{ is not empty } \land \forall x \in X, m(x) \in \mathcal{N} \}.$$

Let  $i < \omega$  and, for any  $i \in \mathcal{N}$ , let  $i + \omega = \omega + i = \omega + \omega = \omega$ . We write  $m \subseteq m'$  if  $m(x) \leq m'(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . The operator  $\oplus$  denotes multiset union:  $(m \oplus m')(x) = m(x) + m'(x)$ . The operator  $\setminus$  denotes multiset difference:  $(m \setminus m')(x) = m(x) \oplus m'(x)$ , where  $\oplus$  is a partial operation over natural numbers defined by  $i \oplus j = i - j$  if i > j,  $i \oplus j = 0$  if  $i \leq j$ , and  $\omega \oplus i = \omega$ .

Let X be a denumerable set of names, which will be used to indicate places in the net, ranged over by x, y, and so on.

**Definition 3.** Let N = (vY)(T, m) where  $Y \subseteq X$  is the set of places,  $T \subseteq \mathcal{M}_X^{pre} \times \mathcal{M}_X^{pre}$  is the set of transitions and  $m \in \mathcal{M}_X^{pre}$  is the initial marking. N is a P/T net if all names occurring in its initial marking and in its transitions are contained in the set of places, that is, if  $dom(m) \cup \bigcup_{(c,p) \in T} (dom(c) \cup dom(p)) \subseteq Y$ .

An element of  $\mathcal{M}_X$  is called a marking. Given a marking *m* and a place *x*, we say that *x* contains m(x) tokens. A transition t = (c, p) will be written in the form  $c \triangleright p$ , where *c* is

called the *preset* of t and represents the tokens to be 'consumed'; p is called the *postset* of t and represents the tokens to be 'produced'.

Let  $t = c \triangleright p$  be a transition: it is *enabled* at *m* if  $c \subseteq m$ ; the execution of *t* enabled at *m* produces the marking  $m' = (m \setminus c) \oplus p$ . This is written as  $m[t\rangle m'$ .

# 2.2. Mobile nets

Mobile nets are a variation of coloured nets (Jensen 1992), where the colours of the tokens are tuples of names. The new feature of mobile nets is the fact that the postset of the transitions is not static, but depends on the colours of the tokens the transition consumes. For instance, returning to the print-spooler example of the Introduction, we can have a transition like

$$ready(PRINTER, TYPE), job(FILE, TYPE) \triangleright PRINTER(FILE).$$

As in  $\pi$ -calculus and join-calculus, we use names to represent both places and placeholders (variables) for names. In the example above, the upper case names are variables: they will be instantiated to actual names at the moment of firing. In general, given two names *a* and *b*, the notation a(b) has a different meaning if it occurs in a marking or in the preset of a transition. In the former case, *b* is an actual parameter, while in the latter, *b* is a formal parameter (which binds occurrences of the same name in the postset of the same transition). So the preset of a transition defines a pattern (possibly non-linear) that has to be unified with a subset of the current marking to enable the transition.

**Definition 4.** Given two sets X and Y, let  $\mathcal{M}_{X,Y} = X \to (Y \to (\mathcal{N} \cup \omega))$ . Let  $dom(m) = \{(x, y) \mid m(x)(y) > 0\},\$ 

$$\mathcal{M}_{X,Y}^{post} = \{m \in \mathcal{M}_{X,Y} \mid dom(m) \text{ is finite}\}$$

and

$$M_{XY}^{pre} = \{ m \in \mathcal{M}_{XY}^{post} \mid m \text{ is not empty } \land \forall x \in X, y \in Y, m(x)(y) \in \mathcal{N} \}.$$

The operator  $\oplus$  is defined by  $(m \oplus m')(x)(y) = m(x)(y) + m(x)(y)$ , and the operator  $\setminus$  is defined by  $(m \setminus m')(x)(y) = m(x)(y) \oplus m(x)(y)$ .

The set of token colours is defined as the set of finite (possibly empty) sequences on  $X : \mathscr{C} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mid n > 0 \land x_i \in X, i = 1, \ldots, n\}.$ 

In the following, we use  $\vec{x}, \vec{y},...$  to denote finite tuples of names. The *length* of a tuple is defined by  $|(x_1,...,x_n)| = n$  and the *selection* of the *i*th element by  $\pi_i(x_1,...,x_n) = x_i$  for i = 1,...,n. The operation of concatenation is represented by juxtaposition. With abuse of notation, we use x instead of (x) when no confusion may arise. Given a partial function  $\rho$  on X, we define substitution on names and on name tuples by

$$x\rho = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } (x, y) \in \rho, \\ x & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$(x_1, \dots, x_n)\rho = (x_1\rho, \dots, x_n\rho).$$

Let  $n(\rho) = \bigcup_{(x,y)\in\rho} \{x, y\}.$ 

Given  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{X,\mathscr{C}}$ , the substitution on all names in m is defined by

$$(m\rho)(x)(\vec{y}) = \sum_{v\rho = x \land \vec{z}\rho = \vec{y}} m(v)(\vec{z}),$$

whereas the substitution performed just on the names occurring in the token colours (used in the following for pattern instantiation) is

$$(m \star_b \rho)(x)(\vec{y}) = \sum_{\vec{z}\rho = \vec{y}} m(x)(\vec{z}).$$

Similarly, the definition of free and bound names is different in patterns (presets) and markings (postsets).

The free and bound names of m seen as a pattern are

$$fn_P(m) = \{ x \mid \exists \vec{y}(m(x)(\vec{y}) > 0) \}, bn_P(m) = \{ x \mid \exists z, \vec{y}, i, \pi_i(\vec{y}) = x \land m(z)(\vec{y}) > 0) \},$$

respectively.

The free and bound names of m seen as a marking are

$$fn_M(m) = fn_P(m) \cup bn_P(m), bn_M(m) = \emptyset,$$

respectively.

In a transition, the bound names of the preset are binders for the postset. We define the *free names* of a transition t and of a set of transitions T by

$$fn(c \triangleright p) = fn_P(c) \cup (fb_M(p) \setminus bn_P(c)),$$
  
$$fn(T) = \bigcup_{t \in T} fn(t),$$

respectively.

**Definition 5.** Let N = (vY)(T, m), where  $Y \subseteq X$  is the set of places,  $T \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{X,\mathscr{C}}^{pre} \times \mathcal{M}_{X,c}^{post}$ is the set of transitions and  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{X,\mathscr{C}}$  is the initial marking. N is a mobile net if  $fn_M(T) \cup fn(m) \subseteq Y$ . An element of  $M_{X,\mathscr{C}}$  is called a marking. Given a marking m, a place x and a colour  $\vec{y}$ , we say that x contains  $m(x)(\vec{y})$  tokens of colour  $\vec{y}$ . A transition t = (c, p) is usually written in the form  $c \triangleright p$ . Let  $t = c \triangleright p$  be a transition: it is enabled at m if there exists  $\rho \subseteq bn_P(c) \times X$  such that  $c \star_b \rho \subseteq m$ ; the execution of t enabled at m with substitution  $\rho$  produces the marking  $m' = (m \setminus c \star_b \rho) \oplus p\rho$ . This is written as  $m[t]_{\rho}m'$ .

The closure condition on the names in the net is a sufficient condition to guarantee that a name will not be used as a place name if it has not been declared in the set of places of the net.

We use the following concrete notation for markings:

- $m = x(\vec{y})$  is the marking with a single token of colour  $\vec{y}$  in the place x, that is  $m(x)(\vec{y}) = 1$  and  $m(v)(\vec{z}) = 0$  if  $v \neq x$  or  $\vec{y} \neq \vec{z}$ ;
- $m = \bigoplus^{\infty} x(\vec{y}) = x(\vec{y})^{\infty}$  is the marking with  $\omega$  tokens of colour  $\vec{y}$  in the place x, that is  $m(x)(\vec{y}) = \omega$  and  $m(v)(\vec{z}) = 0$  if  $v \neq x$  or  $y \neq z$ .

#### 2.3. Dynamic nets

A dynamic net is a mobile net where the set of places and transitions may increase during the execution: instead of just producing new tokens, a transition can generate a new subnet. As a consequence, the current state of the net is no longer represented by a marking, but by a net.

**Definition 6.** DN is the least set satisfying the equation

$$X = \{ (vY)(T,m) \mid Y \subseteq_{fin} X, T \subseteq_{fin} \{ c \triangleright N \mid c \in \mathcal{M}_{X,\mathscr{C}}^{pre}, N \in X \}, m \in \mathcal{M}_{X,\mathscr{C}} \}.$$

If  $(vY)(T,m) \in DN$ , then Y is the set of *places*, T the set of *transitions* and m the marking.

Besides the bound names in the preset of a transition, we also have that the names Y act as binders on (T,m) in (vY)(T,m). We define free names of transitions, sets of transitions and nets as follows:

$$fn(c \triangleright N) = fn_P(c) \cup (fn(N) \setminus bn_P(c)),$$
  

$$fn(T) = \cup_{t \in T} fn(t),$$
  

$$fn((vY)(T,m)) = (fn(T) \cup fn_M(m)) \setminus Y.$$

In the definition of substitution on transitions and nets we must avoid the possibility of names that are intended to be free being captured by a binder; if the side condition is not satisfied, we have to perform alpha conversion on the transition (or on the net) first.

Let  $t = c \triangleright N$  and  $bn_P(c) \cap n(\rho) = \emptyset$ . Then

$$t\rho = c\rho \triangleright N\rho,$$
  
$$T\rho = \{t\rho \mid t \in T\}.$$

Let N = (vY)(T, m) and  $Y \cap n(\rho) = \emptyset$ . Then

$$N\rho = (vY)(T\rho;m\rho).$$

Let  $N_1 = (vY_1)(T_1, m_1)$  and  $N_2 = (vY_2)(T_2, m_2)$ . If  $Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $fn(N_1) \cap Y_2 = \emptyset$  and  $fn(N_2) \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$ , we define

$$N_1 \oplus N_2 = (v Y_1 \cup Y_2)(T_1 \cup T_2; m_1 \oplus m_2).$$

If  $Y_1 \cap Y_2 = \emptyset$ , we define

$$(v Y_1)N_2 = (v Y_1 \cup Y_2)(T_2, m_2).$$

**Definition 7.** A dynamic net N is an element of the set DN that is closed, that is,  $fn(N) = \emptyset$ . Let  $N_1 = (vY_1)(T_1, m_1)$  and  $t = c \triangleright N$  be a transition in  $T_1$ . We say that t is enabled at  $N_1$  if and only if there exists  $\rho \subseteq bn(c) \times X$  such that  $c \star_b m_1 \subseteq m_1$ ; the execution of t enabled at  $N_1$  with substitution  $\rho$  produces the new net  $N_2 = (vY_1)[(T_1, m_1 \setminus c \star_b \rho) \oplus N\rho]$ . This is written as  $N_1[t]_{\rho}N_2$ .

# 3. Encodings

In this section we define the encoding of mobile nets in Petri nets, and of dynamic nets in mobile nets. The encoding is proved correct with respect to interleaving, but we claim that it also works for step and causal semantics.

# 3.1. Encoding mobile nets into Petri nets

We can simulate a mobile net using a Petri net as follows. We represent the presence of a token with colour  $\vec{y}$  in the place x of the mobile net by means of a token in the place called  $(x, \vec{y})$ . Given a transition in the mobile net, for each possible instantiation of the bound names in its preset, we provide a corresponding transition in the Petri net.

Let N = (vY)(T;m) be a mobile net. We will construct the corresponding Petri net  $N_{Petri}$ .

We first construct the set C of all tuples that may occur in the execution of N. Let

$$lenColours = \{ |\vec{y}| \mid \exists x, m(x)(\vec{y}) > 0 \lor \exists (c \triangleright p) \in T, \exists x, c(x)(\vec{y} > 0 \lor p(x)(\vec{y}) > 0) \}.$$

If the set *lenColours* has a maximum element *n*, then  $C = \{\vec{x} \in C \mid |\vec{x}| \le n\}$ , otherwise  $C = \mathscr{C}$ .

Now we define the mapping of a marking of N on a marking of  $N_{Petri}$ :

$$U(m)(x, \vec{y}) = m(x)(\vec{y}).$$

Given a transition t and an instantiation  $\rho$  of its bound names, the corresponding transition in  $N_{Petri}$  is

$$U(c \triangleright p, \rho) = U(c \star_b \rho) \triangleright U(p\rho).$$

Finally, let  $N_{Petri} = (v Y_{Petri})(T_{Petri}, m_{Petri})$ , where

$$\begin{array}{lll} Y_{Petri} &=& Y \times C, \\ T_{Petri} &=& \{U(t,\rho) \mid t \in T \land \rho \in X \rightarrow X\}, \\ m_{Petri} &=& U(m). \end{array}$$

We have that  $N_{Petri}$  is a Petri net. Moreover, each move in the mobile net N is matched by a move in the Petri net  $N_{Petri}$  and vice versa.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{X,\mathscr{C}}$ .

— If  $m_1[t\rangle_{\rho}m_2$ , then  $U(m_1)[U(t,\rho)\rangle U(m_2)$ .

— If  $U(m_1)[t'\rangle m'_2$ , then there exist  $t, \rho, m_2$  such that  $m_1[t\rangle_{\rho}m_2, t' = U(t, \rho)$  and  $m'_2 = U(m_2)$ .

If the set of places and transitions of N are finite, the set of places and transitions of  $N_{Petri}$  are finite.

# 3.2. Encoding dynamic nets into mobile nets

The translation of a dynamic net into a mobile net is a bit tricky. So we will start with an example. Consider the net

$$(v\{A, B\})(\{A(X) \triangleright N'\}, A(A), A(B)),$$

where

$$N' = (\{X(W), Y(Z) \triangleright W(Z)\}, A(Y), Y(B)).$$

The external net N has initial marking A(A), A(B) and a single transition that reads a token X from A and spawns a new instance of the subnet N'. N' has initial marking A(Y), Y(B) and contains a single transition

$$X(W), Y(Z) \triangleright W(Z).$$

The first (really rough) idea for transforming this net into a mobile net is to shift the internal transition to the external level (leaving the internal marking inside):

$$(v\{A, B, Y\})$$
  $(\{A(X) \triangleright A(Y), Y(B), X(W), Y(Z) \triangleright W(Z)\}, A(A), A(B)).$ 

Obviously, we have a lot of problems here, which we will consider in turn. First, the internal transition is now always (potentially) enabled, while it should be activated by the firing of the external one. Thus, we need an explicit enabling place for each subnet; we will also use this place to pass actual parameters for the free names in the subnet-transitions. For uniformity, we also add an enabling place for the initial net. According to this idea, our pseudo-mobile net is now modified as follows:

 $(v\{A, B, Y\})$   $(\{en_N(), A(X) \triangleright A(Y), Y(B), en_{N'}(X)^{\infty} en_{N'}(X), X(W), Y(Z) \triangleright W(Z)\},$  $A(A), A(B), en_N()^{\infty}).$ 

The second problem is that the spawning process should generate new instances at each firing of the external rule. So, we must use new names to distinguish between these different instances. In particular, a name X of a channel should become a pair  $X, X\delta$  where  $X\delta$  denotes the particular instance of the channel X in use. These names are taken from a tank (an infinite supplier of fresh names) at the moment of the firing.

When we send an information to a channel A, we shall also pass, as the first component of the message, the particular instance of A we are referring to.

$$\begin{array}{l} (v\{A, B, Y\}) \quad (\{en_N(this), A(this, X, X\delta), tank(new) \triangleright \\ A(this, Y, new), Y(new, B, this), en_{N'}(new, X, X\delta)^{\infty}, \\ en_{N'}(this, X, X\delta), X(X\delta, W, W\delta), Y(Y\delta, Z, Z\delta) \triangleright W(W\delta, Z, Z\delta) \}, \\ A(v_0, A, v_0), A(v_0, B, v_0), en_N(v_0)^{\infty}, \bigoplus_{i>0} tank(v_i)). \end{array}$$

The final problem is that the second transition is not yet a mobile transition in our sense. The problem is the receiving channel on X. The obvious idea would be to consider all its possible instantiations, but this would mean that too many transitions would be activated, instead of just the single one whose name is received from the enabler. The solution is to modify the structure of the tokens slightly so that they identify the place they belong to: so each token in a place A will always be a tuple starting with A. Now, we can instantiate X to an arbitrary (known) place of the net, and still be sure that it will actually only consume tokens from the place whose name was indicated by the enabler.

We will do this in two steps. First we enrich the structure of tokens:

$$(v\{A, B, Y\}) \quad (\{en_N(this), A(A, this, X, X\delta), tank(new) \triangleright \\ A(A, this, Y, new), Y(Y, new, B, this), en_{N'}(new, X, X\delta)^{\infty}, \\ en_{N'}(this, X, X\delta), X(X, X\delta, W, W\delta), Y(Y, Y\delta, Z, Z\delta) \triangleright \\ W(W, W\delta, Z, Z\delta) \}, \\ A(A, v_0, A, v_0), A(A, v_0, B, v_0), en_N(v_0)^{\infty}, \bigoplus_{i>0} tank(v_i)).$$

Next we consider all possible instances of the second transition by substituting X for one of the places A, B or Y:

$$\begin{array}{l} (v\{A, B, Y\}) \quad (\{en_N(this), A(A, this, X, X\delta), tank(new) \triangleright \\ A(A, this, Y, new), Y(Y, new, B, this), en_{N'}(new, X, X\delta)^{\infty}, \\ en_{N'}(this, X, X\delta), A(X, X\delta, W, W\delta), Y(Y, Y\delta, Z, Z\delta) \triangleright \\ W(W, W\delta, Z, Z\delta)\}, \\ en_{N'}(this, X, X\delta), B(X, X\delta, W, W\delta), Y(Y, Y\delta, Z, Z\delta) \triangleright \\ W(W, W\delta, Z, Z\delta)\}, \\ en_{N'}(this, X, X\delta), Y(X, X\delta, W, W\delta), Y(Y, Y\delta, Z, Z\delta) \triangleright \\ W(W, W\delta, Z, Z\delta)\}, \\ A(A, v_0, A, v_0), A(A, v_0, B, v_0), en_N(v_0)^{\infty}, \bigoplus_{i>0} tank(v_i)). \end{array}$$

Let us provide an example of a token game in the two nets. The dynamic net has initial marking A(A), A(B). By firing the (unique) transition with input token A(A), we get the new marking  $A(B), A(Y_1), Y_1(B)$ , where  $Y_1$  is a fresh name. Moreover, the transition

 $A(W), Y_1(Z) \triangleright W(Z)$ 

is now added to the system. We now have several possibilities. Suppose we fire the 'external' transition again with input token  $A(Y_1)$ . The marking becomes

$$A(B), Y_1(B), A(Y_2), Y_2(B),$$

and the new transition

$$Y_1(W), Y_2(Z) \triangleright W(Z)$$

is activated. Now we can fire this transition, getting the marking  $A(B), A(Y_2), B(B)$ . The same firing sequence is simulated in the mobile net by the following steps:

$$\begin{array}{c} A(A, v_{0}, A, v_{0}), A(A, v_{0}, B, v_{0}), en_{N}(v_{0})^{\infty}, \bigoplus_{i>0} tank(v_{i}) \\ \Rightarrow \\ A(A, v_{0}, B, v_{0}), A(A, v_{0}, Y, v_{1}), Y(Y, v_{1}, B, v_{0}), \\ en_{N}(v_{0})^{\infty}, en_{N'}(v_{1}, A, v_{0})^{\infty}, \bigoplus_{i>1} tank(v_{i}) \\ \Rightarrow \\ A(A, v_{0}, B, v_{0}), Y(Y, v_{1}, B, v_{0}), A(A, v_{0}, Y, v_{2}), Y(Y, v_{2}, B, v_{0}), \\ en_{N}(v_{0})^{\infty}, en_{N'}(v_{1}, A, v_{0})^{\infty}, en_{N'}(v_{2}, Y, v_{1})^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i>2} tank(v_{i}) \\ \Rightarrow \\ A(A, v_{0}, B, v_{0}), A(A, v_{0}, Y, v_{2}), B(B, v_{0}, B, v_{0}), \\ en_{N}(v_{0})^{\infty}, en_{N'}(v_{1}, A, v_{0})^{\infty}, en_{N'}(v_{2}, Y, v_{1})^{\infty} \bigoplus_{i>2} tank(v_{i}). \end{array}$$

Given a net N = (vY)(T, m), we use *locals*<sub>N</sub> to denote Y, and *trans*<sub>N</sub> to denote T and *mark*<sub>N</sub> to denote m.

**Definition 9.** Let  $N_1, N_2 \in DN$ .  $N_1$  occurs in  $N_2$  if and only if  $N_1 = N_2$  or there exist c and N such that  $(c \triangleright N) \in T_2$  and  $N_1$  occurs in N. We say N1 occurs properly in  $N_2$  if and only if  $N_1$  occurs in  $N_2$  and  $N_1 \neq N_2$ .

Let N = (vY)(T, m) be a dynamic net. We assume that the names occurring in the binders are all different. This condition can be easily fulfilled by performing an alpha conversion that substitutes each bound name with a fresh name.

We now construct a corresponding mobile net  $N_M$ .

For each N' = (vY')(T', m') occurring in N, let:

—  $en_{N'}$  be a fresh name;

—  $free_{N'}$  be a sequence containing exactly one occurrence of every name in  $fn(T') \setminus Y'$ .

 $en_{N'}$  is a place used to enable the corresponding net N'; the colour of tokens in  $en_{N'}$  will represent the current instantiation of the free names of N' occurring in the transitions of N'.

Let  $Places = \bigcup_{N' \text{ occurs in } N} locals_{N'}$ . This will be the subset of places in  $N_M$  that correspond to places in N.

Let tank;  $v_i$  for  $i \in \mathcal{N}$  be fresh names. The tank will contain a token for each colour  $v_i$ , for i > 0, whereas the name  $v_0$  will be associated with the names occurring in the (unique) instance of the net N.

Given a function  $\delta X \to X$  that associates to each name a name-instance, we proceed to map markings in N to markings in  $N_M$ . Given, a marking  $m \in \mathcal{M}_{X,\mathcal{C}}$ , we define:

$$d^{\delta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1, x_1\delta, \dots, x_n, x_n\delta,$$
  

$$D^{\delta}(m)(x)(x, x\delta, y_1, y_1\delta, \dots, y_n, y_n\delta) = m(x)(y_1, \dots, y_n),$$
  

$$D^{\delta}(m)(x)(y_0, y_0\delta, y_1, y'_1, \dots, y_n, y'_n) = 0 \text{ if } y_0 \neq x \lor \exists i, 1 \leq i \leq n, y'_i \neq y_i\delta.$$

Let X' be a denumerable set of fresh names. Let  $\delta_0 : X \to X'$  be a bijection. Now we transform each transition occurring in N in a set of transitions in  $N_M$ . Let *this, new* be fresh names, and  $t = c \triangleright N'' \in trans_{N'}$ . Let

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} this & \text{if } x \in loc_{N'} \\ new & \text{if } x \in loc_{N''} \\ \delta_0(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
  
$$D_1(t) = en_{N'}(this, d^{\delta}(free_{N'}) \oplus D^{\delta}(c) \oplus tank(new) > D^{\delta}(m'') \oplus \bigoplus^{\infty} en_{N''}(new, d^{\delta}(free_{N''})).$$

Let  $(c \star_f \rho) = \sum_{\rho(z)=x} c(x)(\vec{y})$  (substitution on place names only);

$$D_2(c \triangleright p, \rho) = c \star_f \rho \triangleright p,$$
  

$$D_3(t, \rho) = D_2(D_1(t), \rho),$$
  

$$D_4(N') = \{D_3(t, \rho) \mid t \in trans_{N'} \land \rho \subseteq (fn_P(c) \cap free_{N'}) \times Places\}.$$

Let

$$\delta_1(x) = \begin{cases} v_0 & \text{if } x \in locals_N \\ \delta_0(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The mobile net corresponding to N is  $N_M = (v Y_M)(T_M, m_M)$ , where

$$Y_M = Places \cup \{tank\} \cup \{en_{N'} \mid N' \circ ccursinN\},$$
  

$$T_M = \sum_{N' \text{ occurs in } N} D_4(N'),$$
  

$$m_M = D^{\delta_1}(m) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>0} tank(v_i) \oplus \bigoplus^{\infty} en_N(v_0).$$

In our encoding we need transitions that put infinitely many tokens of the same colour into a place: to simulate the generation of a new subnet from the firing of a transition in  $N_M$  puts an infinite number of tokens into the enabling place corresponding to the subnet. We could avoid this kind of transition by extending our model to *contextual nets* (Montanari and Rossi 1995), where a transition can 'read' a token from a place without consuming it.

For uniformity in our encoding, we also add an enabling place for the initial net and for subnets with an empty set of transitions; we could avoid adding these redundant places to obtain a more compact net.

Let N = (vY)(T, m). To relate the behaviour of N to the behaviour of  $N_M$ , we need to decorate the nets properly occurring in N with some information linking them to the corresponding part of  $N_M$ . To this end, we decorate each of these nets with the corresponding enabling place and two occurrences of the names free in its transitions; one of these occurrences will be modified by the instantiations performed on the net, while the other is left unchanged. From this information, we obtain the actual instantiation of the free names when a copy of the net is generated. Moreover, we decorate each transition of these nets with all the corresponding transitions in  $N_M$  (obtained by instantiation of the free names), recording for each of them the instantiation it originates from. The last information is used to say which one of the corresponding transitions will be enabled at the generation of the net.

The decorated form of N is  $(vY)(\{c \triangleright dec(N) \mid c \triangleright N \in T\}, m)$ , where:

$$dec((vY)(T,m)) = ((vY)(dec(T,N),m); free_N; en_N; free_N), dec(T,N) = \cup_{t \in T} dec(t,N), dec(c \triangleright N',N) = \{(c \triangleright dec(N'), D_3(c \triangleright N', \rho), \rho)) \mid \rho \in (fn_P(c) \cap free_N) \rightarrow Places\}.$$

The substitution on decorated nets is defined by

$$(N, \vec{x}, en, \vec{y})\rho = (N\rho, \vec{x}\rho, en, \vec{y}),$$
  
$$(t, t', \sigma) = (t\rho, t', \sigma).$$

Given a transition  $t = c \triangleright (N'', \vec{x}; en; \vec{y})$ , the firing rule on decorated nets is  $N[t\rangle_{\rho}N'$  if and only if  $c \star_b \rho \subseteq m$  and  $N' = (vY)((T, m \setminus c \star_b \rho) \oplus N''' \rho)$ , where  $N''' = (vY'')(\pi_1(T''), m'')$ .

The relation between the current state of the net N and the net  $N_M$  is recorded by a tuple R = (V, En, P, Tr), where:

-V is a set of names corresponding to the current content of the tank;

- En contains elements of the form  $(en, v, \vec{z})$ , meaning that  $N_1$  contains a subnet whose corresponding enabling place and instance in  $N_M$  are en and v, respectively, and when it has been generated its free names were instantiated to  $\vec{z}$ ;
- *P* contains elements of the form (x, (y, v)), meaning that the place x in  $N_1$  corresponds with the instance v of the place y in the net  $N_M$ ;
- Tr contains elements of the form  $(t, (t', v, \rho)))$ , associating the transition t of  $N_1$  with the instance v of the transition t in  $N_M$ , and  $\rho$  gives the instantiation of each free name with its actual value when t has been generated.

The initial relation between the net N and the initial marking of  $N_M$  is

$$R_0 = (V_0, En_0, P_0, Tr_0),$$

where

$$V_{0} = \{v_{i} \mid i > 0\},\$$
  

$$En_{0} = \{(en_{N}, v_{0}, ())\},\$$
  

$$P_{0} = \{(y, (y, v_{0})) \mid y \in loc_{N}\},\$$
  

$$Tr_{0} = \{(t, (D_{1}(t), v_{0}, \emptyset)) \mid t \in trans_{N}\}.\$$

Given a current state  $N_1$  of the net N and a corresponding relation R = (V, En, P, Tr), we map the marking  $m = mark_{N_1}$  in a marking  $F_R(m)$  of the net  $N_M$  as follows:

$$F_{R}(m)(tank)(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v \in V, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$F_{R}(m)(en)(v, (Pz_{1})...(Pz_{n})) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (en, v, z_{1}, ..., z_{n}) \in En, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$F_{R}(m)(\pi_{1}(Px))((Px)(Py_{1})...(Py_{n})) = m(x)(y_{1}, ..., y_{n}).$$

$$F_{R}(m)(x)(y_{1}, y'_{1}, ..., y_{n}, y'_{n}) = 0 \text{ if } x \neq y_{1} \lor$$

$$\exists i, 1 \leq i \leq n \land \forall x, (x, (y_{i}, y'_{i})) \notin P.$$

We map a substitution  $\rho$  referring to tokens in  $N_1$  to the corresponding substitution  $S_R(\rho)$ on tokens in  $N_M$  using

$$S_R(\rho) = \bigcup_{(x,y)\in\rho} \{ (x, \pi_1(Py)), (\delta_0(x), \pi_2(Py)) \}.$$

We are now ready to state the correspondence between N and  $N_M$ .

**Theorem 10.** Let  $N_1$  be the current state of the net N and R = (V; En; P; Tr) be the associated relation.

- If  $N_1[t\rangle_{\rho}N_2$ , with  $t = c \triangleright (N_3, \vec{x}, en, \vec{y})$ , then there exists  $(t, t_M, v_{this}, \tau) \in Tr$  such that  $F_R(mark_{N_1})[t_M\rangle_{\rho_M}F_{R'}(mark_{N_2})$ , where, given  $v_{new} \in V$ ,

$$\rho_M = \{(this; v_{this}, (new, v_{new})\} \cup S_R(\rho \cup \tau)$$

and R = (V', En', P', Tr'), with

$$V' = V \setminus \{v_{new}\},\$$
  

$$En' = En \cup \{(en; v_{new}; \vec{x})\},\$$
  

$$P' = P \cup \{(\sigma(y), (y, v)) \mid y \in local_{N_3}\},\$$
  

$$Tr' = Tr \cup \{(t, (t', v, \{\vec{x}/\vec{y}\}) \mid \exists \rho, (t, t', \rho) \in trans_{N_4} \land \rho \subseteq \{\vec{x}/\vec{y}\})\},\$$

where  $N_4$  is the net obtained by alpha conversion of the place names in  $N_3$  with the substitution  $\sigma$ , which has been added to  $N_1$  to obtain  $N_2$ .

- If  $F_R(mark_{N_1})[t_M\rangle_{\rho_M}m'_M$ , then there exists  $(t, t_M, \rho_M(this), \tau) \in Tr$ , with  $t = c \triangleright (N_3, \vec{x}, en, \vec{y})$ , such that  $N_1[t\rangle_{\rho}N_2$  and  $m'_M = F_{R'}(mark_{N_2})$ , where

$$\rho = \{ (x, y) \mid x \in bn_P(c) \land \exists z_1, z_2, (x, z_1) \in \rho_M \land (y, (z_1, z_2)) \in P \}$$

and R' = (V', En', P', Tr'), with

$$\begin{array}{ll} V' &= V \setminus \{\rho_M(new)\}, \\ En' &= En \cup \{(en, \rho_M(new), \vec{x})\}, \\ P' &= P \cup \{(\sigma(y), (y, v)) \mid y \in local_{N_3}\}, \\ Tr' &= Tr \cup \{(t, (t', v, \{\vec{x}/\vec{y}\}) \mid \exists \rho, (t, t', \rho) \in trans_{N_4} \land \rho \subseteq \{\vec{x}/\vec{y}\})\}, \end{array}$$

where  $N_4$  is the net obtained by alpha conversion of the place names in N3 with the substitution  $\sigma$ , which has been added to  $N_1$  to obtain  $N_2$ .

# 4. Conclusions

We have enriched Petri nets with mobility (mobile nets) and reflexion (dynamic nets). We propose dynamic nets as both a new foundational model of concurrency and a formal basis for a specification language for distributed programming. From the theoretical point of view, there is obviously still a lot more work to be done. We claim that our encoding of dynamic nets in Petri nets should also work for step and process semantics, but these notions need further investigation before the theorem can be proved. In the spirit of dynamic nets as a specification language, it would also be interesting to study observational semantics. We are currently investigating a higher-order extension of our nets to allow the possibility of using nets as token colours, which would allow explicit transmission of processes (Sangiorgi 1993). We believe that we could use techniques similar to those described in this paper to translate these higher-order nets into dynamic nets. Another interesting extension would be to permit recursive definitions, which are not covered by the current approach.

Finally, we would again like to acknowledge the great influence that the join-calculus had on our definition of dynamic nets. The proper subset of dynamic nets that corresponds to terms of the join-calculus seems to have nice properties of locality that would be interesting to study in more detail (for instance, its encoding in mobile nets is much simpler than for the general case). However, dynamic nets seem to provide a higher degree of dynamicity than the join-calculus, so the encoding of dynamic nets in the join-calculus would provide an interesting test case for assessing the expressivity of the latter formalism. Even if a simple encoding could be written, it might still be better to use dynamic nets at the specification level, and view the join-calculus as a sort of intermediate 'machine' language leading towards a real distributed implementation.

# References

Berry, G. and Boudol, G. (1992) The chemical abstract machine. *Theoretical Computer Science* **96** (1) 217–248.

Jensen, K. (1992) Coloured Petri Nets, EATCS Monographs in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag.

Milner, R., Parrow, J. and Walker, D. (1992) A calculus of mobile processes. *Information and Computation* **100** (1) 1–77.

Montanari, U. and Rossi, F. (1995) Contextual nets. Acta Inf. 32 (6) 545-596.

Reisig, W. (1985) Petri Nets: An Introduction, EATCS Monographs in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag.

Sangiorgi, D. (1993) Expressing Mobility in Process Algebra, Ph.D. thesis, University of Edinburgh.