

## KOSZUL COMPLEXES AND POLE ORDER FILTRATIONS

ALEXANDRU DIMCA<sup>1</sup> AND GABRIEL STICLARU<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Laboratoire de Mathématiques J. A. Dieudonné, Unité Mixte de Recherche 7351,  
Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique, University of Nice Sophia Antipolis,  
06100 Nice, France (dimca@unice.fr)*

<sup>2</sup>*Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Ovidius University, Boulevard Mamaia 124,  
900527 Constanta, Romania (gabrielsticlaru@yahoo.com)*

(Received 19 April 2012)

*Abstract* We study the interplay between the cohomology of the Koszul complex of the partial derivatives of a homogeneous polynomial  $f$  and the pole order filtration  $P$  on the cohomology of the open set  $U = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus D$ , with  $D$  the hypersurface defined by  $f = 0$ . The relation is expressed by some spectral sequences. These sequences may, on the one hand, in many cases be used to determine the filtration  $P$  for curves and surfaces and, on the other hand, to obtain information about the syzygies involving the partial derivatives of the polynomial  $f$ . The case of a nodal hypersurface  $D$  is treated in terms of the defects of linear systems of hypersurfaces of various degrees passing through the nodes of  $D$ . When  $D$  is a nodal surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , we show that  $F^2H^3(U) \neq P^2H^3(U)$  as soon as the degree of  $D$  is at least 4.

*Keywords:* projective hypersurfaces; singularities; Milnor algebra; syzygies; mixed Hodge structure; pole order filtration

2010 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 14C30; 13D40  
Secondary 32S35; 13D02

### 1. Introduction

Let  $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  be the graded ring of polynomials in  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  with complex coefficients and let us denote by  $S_r$  the vector space of homogeneous polynomials in  $S$  of degree  $r$ . For any polynomial  $f \in S_N$  we define the *Jacobian ideal*  $J_f \subset S$  as the ideal spanned by the partial derivatives  $f_0, \dots, f_n$  of  $f$  with respect to  $x_0, \dots, x_n$ . Following the notation of Eisenbud [19], for  $n = 2$  we use  $x, y, z$  instead of  $x_0, x_1, x_2$  and  $f_x, f_y, f_z$  instead of  $f_0, f_1, f_2$ .

We define the corresponding graded *Milnor algebra* (or *Jacobian algebra*) by

$$M(f) = S/J_f. \quad (1.1)$$

The study of such Milnor algebras is related to the singularities of the corresponding projective hypersurface  $D: f = 0$  (see [6]) as well as to the mixed Hodge theory of the hypersurface  $D$  and of its complement  $U = \mathbb{P}^n \setminus D$  (see the foundational article by

Griffiths [23] and also [9, 15, 18] and references therein). For mixed Hodge theory we refer the reader to [25].

In fact, such a Milnor algebra can be seen, up to a twist in grading, as the first (or the last) homology (or cohomology) of the Koszul complex of the partial derivatives  $f_0, \dots, f_n$  in  $S$  (see [6] or [11, Chapter 6]). As such, it is related to certain natural  $E_1$ -spectral sequences associated with the pole order filtration and converging to the cohomology of the complement  $U$  introduced in [9] and discussed in detail in [11, Chapter 6].

In §2 we recall and improve the construction of these spectral sequences and show that they degenerate at the  $E_2$ -terms when all the singularities of  $D$  are weighted homogeneous and  $\dim D = 1$ ; in the curve case we use the more classical notation  $C$  instead of  $D$  (see Theorem 2.4 (iii)). In the curve case this result gives a positive answer to an old conjecture by the first author (see the claim just before Remark (3.11) in [9]). Such a degeneracy at the  $E_2$ -terms is also shown to occur for nodal surfaces (see Theorem 5.1 (i)).

In §3 we assume that  $n = 2$  and use this approach to determine the pole order filtration  $P^*$  on the cohomology group  $H^2(U)$  for a number of cases (see Examples 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, the latter being a new example where  $F^2 \neq P^2$  on  $H^2(U)$ ). In Example 3.1 we also describe these spectral sequences completely for the case in which  $C$  is a nodal curve.

In §4 we discuss the syzygies of nodal hypersurfaces. For instance, we show that for a nodal curve there are no non-trivial relations

$$R_m: af_x + bf_y + cf_z = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

with  $a, b, c$  homogeneous of degree  $m < N - 2$ , and we describe completely the relations of degree  $m = N - 2$  in terms of the irreducible factors  $f_j$  of  $f$  (see Theorem 4.1). Note that  $f_j$  has a different meaning for  $n = 2$  and for  $n > 2$ . In [17] the vanishing part in Theorem 4.1 was extended to nodal hypersurfaces of arbitrary dimension using a different approach.

**Definition 1.1.** For a hypersurface  $D: f = 0$  with isolated singularities we introduce three integers as follows.

- (i) The *coincidence threshold*  $\text{ct}(D)$  is defined as

$$\text{ct}(D) = \max\{q: \dim M(f)_k = \dim M(f_s)_k \text{ for all } k \leq q\},$$

with  $f_s$  a homogeneous polynomial in  $S$  of degree  $N$  such that  $D_s: f_s = 0$ , is a smooth hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

- (ii) The *stability threshold*  $\text{st}(D)$  is defined as

$$\text{st}(D) = \min\{q: \dim M(f)_k = \tau(D) \text{ for all } k \geq q\},$$

where  $\tau(D)$  is the total Tjurina number of  $D$ , i.e. the sum of all the Tjurina numbers of the singularities of  $D$ .

(iii) The *minimal degree of a non-trivial syzygy*  $\text{mdr}(D)$  is defined as

$$\text{mdr}(D) = \min\{q: H^n(K^*(f))_{q+n} \neq 0\},$$

where  $K^*(f)$  is the Koszul complex of  $f_0, \dots, f_n$ , and the grading is defined in the next section.

If a relation as in (1.2) is of minimal degree among the relations modulo the trivial relations (4.5), then one has  $\text{mdr}(D) = m$ , i.e. our notion is the natural one. Moreover, it follows from (2.17) that one has

$$\text{ct}(D) = \text{mdr}(D) + N - 2. \tag{1.3}$$

By definition, it follows that for any such hypersurface  $D$  that is not smooth, we have  $N - 2 \leq \text{ct}(D) \leq (n + 1)(N - 2)$  and, using [6], we get  $\text{st}(D) \leq (n + 1)(N - 2) + 1$ . With this handy notation, we can state the following result, a consequence of the vanishings in Theorem 4.1 obtained via Hodge theory, using (2.17).

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $C: f = 0$  be a nodal curve of degree  $N$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . One then has  $\text{ct}(C) \geq 2N - 4$ .*

Recall that the Hilbert–Poincaré series of a graded  $S$ -module  $E$  of finite type is defined by

$$\text{HP}(E)(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (\dim E_k) t^k \tag{1.4}$$

and that we have

$$\text{HP}(M(f_s)) = \frac{(1 - t^{N-1})^{n+1}}{(1 - t)^{n+1}}. \tag{1.5}$$

In particular, if we set  $T = T(n, N) = (n + 1)(N - 2)$ , it follows that  $M(f_s)_j = 0$  for  $j > T$  and  $\dim M(f_s)_j = \dim M(f_s)_{T-j}$  for  $0 \leq j \leq T$ .

Theorem 1.2 determines the dimensions of  $M(f)_q$  for all  $q < 2N - 3$  in the case of a nodal curve  $C$ . The next dimension for such a curve is given by

$$\dim M(f)_{2N-3} = n(C) + \sum_{j=1,r} g_j = g + r - 1, \tag{1.6}$$

where  $n(C) = \tau(C)$  is the total number of nodes of  $C$  and the  $g_j$  are the genera of the normalizations of the irreducible components  $C_j$  of  $C$ , whose number is  $r$ . The genus of the smooth curve  $C_s: f_s = 0$  is given by

$$g = \frac{(N - 1)(N - 2)}{2} \tag{1.7}$$

(see (3.2) and (3.3)). For more general curves we have, as a consequence of Theorem 2.4, the following relation between the Milnor algebra  $M(f)$  and the geometry of  $U$ .

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $C: f = 0$  be a curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $N$  having only isolated weighted homogeneous singularities. Then*

$$\dim M(f)_{2N-3} + \dim P^2 H^2(U) = 2g + r - 1 = \dim H^2(U) + \tau(C),$$

where  $g$  is given by (1.7),  $r$  is the number of irreducible components of  $C$  and  $\tau(C)$  is the total Tjurina number of  $C$ .

For a highly singular curve  $C$ , we can have much lower values for  $\text{ct}(C)$  than those given by Theorem 1.2, namely,  $\text{ct}(C) = N - 2$  or  $\text{ct}(C) = N - 1$  (see Example 4.2).

On the other hand, it follows from (1.6) that for a nodal curve  $C$  one has  $\text{ct}(C) = 2N - 4$  if and only if  $C$  is not irreducible, i.e. if  $r > 1$ . One of the main results in [16], restated as the first equality in (1.6), implies that for a *rational nodal curve* (i.e. one for which  $g_j = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ ) one has  $\text{st}(C) \leq 2N - 3$ . This yields the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.4.** *For a rational nodal curve  $C$ , the Hilbert–Poincaré series  $\text{HP}(M(f))$  is completely determined by the degree  $N$  and the number of nodes  $n(C)$ . In particular,  $\text{st}(C) = 2N - 3$  unless  $C$  is a generic line arrangement, in which case  $\text{st}(C) = 2N - 4$  for  $N > 3$  and  $\text{st}(C) = 1$  for  $N = 3$ .*

For the case of hyperplane arrangements, an interesting approach to the study of the Jacobian ideal  $J_f$  is given in the recent paper [8].

At the other extreme, there are nodal curves with  $\text{ct}(C) = 3N - 6$ , as implied by the description given in Example 4.3 (i) of the Hilbert–Poincaré series  $\text{HP}(M(f))$  for any hypersurface having exactly one node.

To state the next result, we must recall some notation. For a finite set of points  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  we define as

$$\text{def } S_m(\mathcal{N}) = |\mathcal{N}| - \text{codim}\{h \in S_m \mid h(a) = 0 \text{ for any } a \in \mathcal{N}\}$$

the *defect (or superabundance) of the linear system of polynomials in  $S_m$  vanishing at the points in  $\mathcal{N}$*  (see [11, p. 207]). In [21], this positive integer is called the *failure of  $\mathcal{N}$  to impose independent conditions on homogeneous polynomials of degree  $m$* . In § 4 we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $D: f = 0$  be a degree  $N$  nodal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the set of its nodes. Then*

$$\dim H^n(K^*(f))_{nN-n-1-k} = \text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N})$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq nN - 2n - 1$  and

$$\dim H^n(K^*(f))_j = \tau(D) = |\mathcal{N}|$$

for  $j \geq n(N - 1)$ . In other words,

$$\dim M(f)_{T-k} = \dim M(f_s)_k + \text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N})$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq nN - 2n - 1$ , where  $T = T(n, N) = (n + 1)(N - 2)$ . In particular,  $\dim M(f)_T = \tau(D)$ , i.e.  $\text{st}(D) \leq T$ .

Note that this theorem determines the dimensions  $\dim M(f)_j$  in terms of the defects of linear systems for any  $j \geq N - 1$ , i.e. for all  $j$ , since the dimensions  $\dim M(f)_j = \dim S_j$  for  $j < N - 1$  are well known. The last equality, namely  $\dim M(f)_T = \tau(D)$ , decreases by one the upper bound for  $\text{st}(D)$  obtained in [6, Corollary 9] in the case of nodal hypersurfaces. A similar result for hypersurfaces  $D$  having arbitrary isolated singularities is obtained in [13].

Illustrations of how to apply Theorem 1.5 are given in Example 4.3. Using Theorems 1.5 and 4.1 and Corollary 1.4, we get the following information on the *position of the nodes of a nodal curve*.

**Corollary 1.6.** *Let  $C: f = 0$  be a degree  $N$  nodal curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the set of its nodes. Then  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N}) = 0$  for  $k > N - 3$  and  $\text{def } S_{N-3}(\mathcal{N}) = r - 1$ , where  $r$  is the number of irreducible components of  $C$ .*

*Moreover, if the curve  $C$  is, in addition, rational, then all the defects  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N})$  are completely determined by the degree  $N$  and the number of nodes  $n(C)$ .*

In fact, a recent result by Kloosterman (see [24, Proposition 3.6]) implies that the first part of Corollary 1.6 holds for any curve  $C$  with the property that any singular point of  $C$  that is not a node is a unibranch singularity (see Remark 4.4 for more details on this).

In the final section we use Theorem 1.5 to determine the pole order filtration  $P^*$  on the cohomology groups  $H^*(U)$  and the corresponding spectral sequences when  $D$  is a nodal surface. In particular, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $S: f = 0$  be a nodal surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $N$  and let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the set of its nodes. Then, if  $U = \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus S$  and  $S_s$  is a smooth surface of degree  $N$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ , the following equalities hold:*

$$\dim \text{Gr}_P^2(H^3(U)) = h^{1,1}(S_s) - 1 - \text{def } S_{N-4}(\mathcal{N})$$

and

$$\dim \text{Gr}_F^2(H^3(U)) = h^{1,1}(S_s) - 1 - |\mathcal{N}|.$$

*In particular,  $P^2H^3(U) = F^2H^3(U)$  if and only if the nodal surface  $S$  is smooth or  $N < 4$ .*

This result complements the results in [18] (where arbitrary dimensions are considered, but only in the case of degrees  $N = 3$  and  $N = 4$ ) for the case of nodal surfaces, and answers the question posed therein as to whether the inequality  $P^2H^3(U) \neq F^2H^3(U)$  holds for any surface with  $|\mathcal{N}| = 1$  and  $N \geq 4$ .

Numerical experiments with the CoCoA package\* and the SINGULAR package† have played a key role in the completion of this work.

\* CoCoA: a system for doing computations in commutative algebra. Available at <http://cocoa.dima.unige.it>.

† SINGULAR 3-1-3: a computer algebra system for polynomial computations. Available at [www.singular.uni-kl.de](http://www.singular.uni-kl.de). Developed by W. Decker, G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister and H. Schönemann.

**2. Pole order filtrations, spectral sequences and Koszul complexes**

Let  $X$  be a smooth complex quasi-projective variety and let  $D \subset X$  be a reduced divisor. We denote by  $i: D \rightarrow X$  and  $j: U \rightarrow X$  the corresponding inclusions, where  $U = X \setminus D$ . Let  $\Omega_X^*$  (respectively,  $\Omega_U^*$ ) denote the de Rham sheaf complex of regular differential forms on  $X$  (respectively,  $U$ ). Grothendieck’s theorem then states that

$$\mathbb{H}^*(U, \Omega_U^*) = H^*(U), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\mathbb{C}$ -coefficients are used for the cohomology groups unless indicated otherwise. Moreover, as explained in [7], the isomorphism  $j_*\Omega_U^* = Rj_*\Omega_U^*$  (a consequence of the fact that  $j$  is an affine morphism) implies the natural identification

$$\mathbb{H}^*(X, j_*\Omega_U^*) = H^*(U). \tag{2.2}$$

The sheaf complex  $j_*\Omega_U^*$  has a natural decreasing filtration, called the *pole order filtration*, given by

$$P^s j_*\Omega_U^p = 0$$

if  $p < s$  and given by

$$P^s j_*\Omega_U^p = \Omega_X^p((p - s + 1)D) \tag{2.3}$$

if  $p \geq s$  (see [7]). In other words, a rational differential form  $\omega$  is in  $P^s j_*\Omega_U^p$  if it has a pole of order at most  $p - s + 1$  along the divisor  $D$  (with special attention needed for the case of  $p = s - 1$ ). A word of warning: the corresponding filtration is denoted by  $F$  in [9] and is slightly different. However, the proof of the main results from [9] or [11] quoted below apply word for word to the present setup.

Using the filtration (2.3), we define the pole order filtration on the cohomology of  $U$  by setting

$$P^s H^*(U) = \text{im}(\mathbb{H}^*(X, P^s j_*\Omega_U^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^*(X, j_*\Omega_U^*) = H^*(U)). \tag{2.4}$$

The main result from [7] is the following theorem (see also [27] for another proof and conditions for equality).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that the smooth variety  $X$  is proper and let  $F$  denote the Hodge filtration on the cohomology of  $U$ . Then  $F^s H^*(U) \subset P^s H^*(U)$  for any  $s$ .*

From now on consider the case  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$  and recall that Bott’s vanishing theorem gives us

$$H^k(X, \Omega_X^p(sD)) = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

for any  $k > 0, s > 0$  (see [1]). The polar filtration, even if it is an infinite filtration, gives rise to a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q}(U) = \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \text{Gr}_P^p(j_*\Omega_U^*)) \tag{2.6}$$

whose limit term is exactly

$$E_\infty^{p,q}(U) = \text{Gr}_P^p(H^{p+q}(U)). \tag{2.7}$$

Now, using the standard spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^p(X, \text{Gr}_P^s(j_*\Omega_U^q)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(X, \text{Gr}_P^s(j_*\Omega_U^*)) \tag{2.8}$$

and the vanishings implied by (2.5), we obtain a description of the  $E_1$ -term of our spectral sequence without involving hypercohomology groups, namely,

$$E_1^{p,q}(U) = H^{p+q}(H^0(X, \text{Gr}_P^p(j_*\Omega_U^*))). \tag{2.9}$$

This expression for  $E_1^{p,q}(U)$  can be interpreted as follows. Let  $A^*(U) = H^0(X, j_*\Omega_U^*)$  be the de Rham complex of regular forms defined on the affine open set  $U$ . It follows from Grothendieck’s theorem (Theorem 2.1), that one has

$$H^m(A^*(U)) = H^m(U) \tag{2.10}$$

for any integer  $m$ . On the other hand, we have a very explicit description of these rational differential forms defined on  $U$ . Let  $f = 0$  be a reduced equation for the divisor  $D$  and let  $N$  be the degree of the homogeneous polynomial  $f$ . Denote by  $\Omega^p = H^0(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}^p)$  the global (polynomial) differential  $p$ -forms on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , regarded as a graded  $S$ -module in the usual way (i.e.  $\deg(h dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_q}) = p + q$  if  $h \in S_p$ ). Then a differential  $p$ -form  $\omega \in A^p(U)$ , for  $p \geq 0$ , is given by

$$\omega = \frac{\Delta(\gamma)}{f^s} \tag{2.11}$$

for some integer  $s > 0$ ,  $\gamma \in \Omega_{sN}^{p+1}$  and  $\Delta: \Omega^{p+1} \rightarrow \Omega^p$  being the  $S$ -linear map given by the contraction with the Euler field (see [11, Chapter 6] for details). When  $\omega$  is not a constant function on  $U$  (the case covered by  $s = 1$  and  $\gamma = a \cdot df$  for  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ), the minimal  $s$  in this formula is by definition the order of  $\omega$  along the divisor  $D$ . We can define a polar filtration on the complex  $A^*(U)$  by setting  $P^s A^p(U) = 0$  if  $p < s$  and

$$P^s A^p(U) = \left\{ \omega = \frac{\Delta(\gamma)}{f^{p-s+1}} \mid \gamma \in \Omega_{(p-s+1)N}^{p+1} \right\} \tag{2.12}$$

if  $p \geq s$ . This decreasing filtration induces a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q}(A) = H^{p+q}(\text{Gr}_P^p(A^*(U))). \tag{2.13}$$

Using Bott’s vanishing theorem (2.5) and (2.9), we see that this new spectral sequence coincides with the spectral sequence  $E_1^{p,q}(U)$ . In particular, they both induce the same filtration on their common limit, which is  $H^*(U)$ .

Note that  $A^0(U)$  (respectively,  $E_1^{0,0}(A) = H^0(\text{Gr}_P^0(A^*(U)))$ ) contains the constant functions on  $U$ . Let us denote by  $\tilde{A}^*(U)$  (respectively,  $E_1^{p,q}(\tilde{A})$ ) the complex (respectively, the spectral sequence) obtained from the above complex  $A^*(U)$  (respectively, spectral sequence  $E_1^{p,q}(A)$ ) by replacing  $A^0(U)$  (respectively,  $E_1^{0,0}(A)$ ) by  $A^0(U)/\mathbb{C}$  (respectively,  $E_1^{0,0}(\tilde{A}) = E_1^{0,0}(A)/\mathbb{C}$ ). It is clear that the cohomology of the complex  $\tilde{A}^*(U)$  (respectively, the limit of the spectral sequence  $E_1^{p,q}(\tilde{A})$ ) is  $\tilde{H}^*(U)$ , the reduced cohomology of  $U$ .

It turns out that the  $E_1$ -term of the spectral sequence  $E_r^{p,q}(\tilde{A})$  can be described in terms of the Koszul complex of the partial derivatives  $f_j$  of  $f$  with respect to the variable  $x_j$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n$  (see [9], [11, Chapter 6] and [14, Remark 2.10]). This Koszul complex can be represented by the complex of graded  $S$ -modules

$$K^*(f): 0 \rightarrow \Omega^0 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.14}$$

where the differentials are given by the wedge product with the differential  $df$ , and hence these differentials are homogeneous of degree  $N$ . This complex has a natural subcomplex

$$K'^*(f): 0 \rightarrow \Omega'^0 \rightarrow \Omega'^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega'^{n+1} \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.15}$$

where  $\Omega'^p = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega_{kN}^p$ .

Consider the associated double complex  $(B, d', d'')$ , with  $B^{s,t} = \Omega_{(t+1)N}^{s+t+1}$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $-1 \leq s+t \leq n$  and  $B^{s,t} = 0$  otherwise, and differentials  $d' = d$ , the exterior derivative of a form, and  $d''(\omega) = -df \wedge \omega$ . Note that  $d'd'' + d''d' = 0$  and let  $(B^*, D_f = d' + d'')$  be the associated total complex of this double complex. In fact, the complex  $B^*$  is the same as the reduced version of the subcomplex  $K'^*$ , but with a new differential.

As for any total complex,  $B^*$  comes with two natural decreasing filtrations, one such being

$$F^p B^k = \bigoplus_{s \geq p-1} B^{s,k-s}.$$

The contraction operator  $\Delta$  defines a morphism of filtered complexes  $\delta: B^* \rightarrow \tilde{A}^*(U)$  by setting

$$\delta(\omega) = \frac{\Delta(\omega)}{f^{t+1}} \quad \text{for } \omega \in B^{s,t}. \tag{2.16}$$

With this notation, we have the following result (see [9], [11, Chapter 6] and [14, Remark 2.10]).

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $E_r^{p,q}(f)$  be the  $E_1$ -spectral sequence associated with the filtration  $F$  on  $(B^*, D_f)$ . The following statements then hold.*

- (i) *The morphism  $\delta$  induces an isomorphism of  $E_1$ -spectral sequences*

$$E_r^{p,q}(f) \rightarrow E_r^{p,q}(\tilde{A}).$$

- (ii) *There is a natural identification*

$$E_1^{p,q}(f) = H^{p+q+1}(K^*(f))_{(q+1)N}.$$

**Remark 2.3.**

- (i) In the case  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ , it is known that  $F^1 H^k(U) = H^k(U)$  for any integer  $k > 0$  (see [9, Theorem 2.2] (there is an equals sign missing in the statement, but the proof of the equality is clearly done) or the proof of Corollary 1.32 [11, pp. 185–186]).



- (ii) One has  $P^{k+1}H^k(U) = 0$  for any integer  $k > 0$ . To see this, just use the fact that the hypercohomology of a sheaf complex  $\mathcal{F}^*$  with  $\mathcal{F}^j = 0$  for  $j < p$  satisfies  $\mathbb{H}^j(\mathcal{F}^*) = 0$  for  $j < p$ . In particular,  $P^2H^1(U) = 0$ , i.e. we always have  $\text{Gr}_P^1(H^1(U)) = H^1(U)$  and  $\text{Gr}_P^j(H^1(U)) = 0$  for  $j \neq 1$ .

Assume now that the hypersurface  $D$  has only isolated singularities. The non-zero terms in the  $E_1$ -term of the spectral sequence  $E_r^{p,q}(f)$  are sitting on two lines, given by  $L: p + q = n$  and  $L': p + q = n - 1$ . Indeed, one has to use the fact that in this case  $H^m(K^*(f)) = 0$  for  $m < n$  (see [22, 26]). For a term  $E_1^{p,q}(f)$  situated on the line  $L$ , we have

$$E_1^{p,q}(f) = H^{n+1}(K^*(f))_{(q+1)N} = M(f)_{(q+1)N-n-1}.$$

We now describe the terms on the line  $L'$ . In order to do this, let  $f_s \in S_N$  denote a polynomial of degree  $N$  defining a smooth hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . It is easy to show that

$$t^N \text{HP}(H^n(K^*(f)))(t) = \text{HP}(H^{n+1}(K^*(f)))(t) - \text{HP}(H^{n+1}(K^*(f_s)))(t), \tag{2.17}$$

using the fact that Euler characteristics do not change when replacing a (finite-type) complex by its cohomology. Note also that

$$\text{HP}(H^{n+1}(K^*(f_s))) = t^{n+1} \text{HP}(M(f_s)) = t^{n+1} \frac{(1 - t^{N-1})^{n+1}}{(1 - t)^{n+1}} \tag{2.18}$$

is completely determined by the degree  $N$ . It follows that the term

$$E_1^{p,q}(f) = H^n(K^*(f))_{(q+1)N}$$

situated on the line  $L'$  has dimension

$$\dim H^n(K^*(f))_{(q+1)N} = \dim M(f)_{(q+2)N-n-1} - \dim M(f_s)_{(q+2)N-n-1}. \tag{2.19}$$

We now want to relate the spectral sequence  $E_r^{p,q}(A)$  to some simpler, locally computable spectral sequences in the case when  $D$  has only isolated singularities, say at the points  $a_1, \dots, a_m$ . Consider the morphism of restriction

$$\rho: \text{Gr}_P^p(j_*\Omega_U^*) \rightarrow i_{1*} \text{Gr}_P^p((j_*\Omega_U^*)/\Omega_X^*) | \Sigma$$

obtained by factoring out the regular forms, taking the restriction from  $X$  to the singular locus  $\Sigma$  of  $D$ , and then extending via  $i_{1*}$ , where  $i_1: \Sigma \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion. For  $p < 0$  this morphism is easily seen to be a quasi-isomorphism, i.e. it induces isomorphisms at stalk level. For  $p = 0$ , the kernel  $K_\rho$  of  $\rho$  is the sheaf  $\Omega_X^0 = \mathcal{O}_X$  (placed in degree 0). We know that, for the case  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ ,

$$\mathbb{H}^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$$

for  $q > 0$ . It follows that the morphisms

$$\rho^k: \mathbb{H}^k(X, \text{Gr}_P^0(j_*\Omega_U^*)) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^k(X, i_{1*} \text{Gr}_P^0((j_*\Omega_U^*)/\Omega_X^*) | \Sigma)$$

are isomorphisms for any  $k \geq 1$ .

As explained in [9] (with the notable difference that in [9] there is no quotient taken, which leads to an infinite-dimensional  $E_1$ -term), the complex  $((j_*\Omega_U^*)/\Omega_X^*) \mid \Sigma$  is the direct sum of the complexes  $\tilde{A}^*(D, a_j)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , where each  $\tilde{A}^*(D, a_j)$  is the local analogue of the complex  $\tilde{A}^*(U)$  above. These complexes come with a pole order filtration defined exactly as in the global case, and for each  $j$  there is an  $E_1$ -spectral sequence  $E_r(D, a_j)$  with

$$E_1^{p,q}(D, a_j) = H^{p+q}(\mathrm{Gr}_P^p(\tilde{A}^*(D, a_j)))$$

and converging to  $\tilde{H}^*(B_j \setminus D)$ , where  $B_j$  is a small ball in  $X$  centred at  $a_j$ . It follows that  $\rho$  induces a morphism of  $E_1$ -spectral sequences

$$\rho^{p,q}: E_1^{p,q}(A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1,m} E_1^{p,q}(D, a_j)$$

with the property that  $\rho^{p,q}$  is an isomorphism for any  $p \leq 0$  and  $p + q \geq 1$ .

Moreover, when each singularity  $(D, a_j)$  is weighted homogeneous, it follows from the description of the local spectral sequence  $E_1^{p,q}(D, a_j)$  (see [9, Example 3.6]) that all the differentials  $d_1: E_1^{n-1-t,t}(D, a_j) \rightarrow E_1^{n-t,t}(D, a_j)$  are isomorphisms for  $t \geq n - 1$ . In this way we have proved the following improvement of Theorem (3.9) in [9]. (For the converse claim in (iii), see [9, Corollary 3.10].)

**Theorem 2.4.**

- (i) *Let  $D$  be a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ , having only isolated singularities. Then the morphism of  $E_1$ -spectral sequences*

$$\rho^{p,q}: E_1^{p,q}(A) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1,s} E_1^{p,q}(D, a_j)$$

*is an isomorphism for any  $p \leq 0$  and  $p + q \geq 1$ .*

- (ii) *If, in addition, the singularities of  $D$  are weighted homogeneous, then in the spectral sequence  $E_1^{p,q}(A)$  the differential*

$$d_1: E_1^{n-1-t,t}(A) \rightarrow E_1^{n-t,t}(A)$$

*is injective for  $t = n - 1$  and is bijective for  $t \geq n$ .*

- (iii) *If  $D$  is a reduced curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , then  $D$  has only isolated weighted homogeneous singularities if and only if the  $E_1$ -spectral sequences  $E_r^{p,q}(U)$ ,  $E_r^{p,q}(\tilde{A})$  and  $E_r^{p,q}(f)$  degenerate at the  $E_2$ -term, i.e.  $E_2 = E_\infty$  for any of these  $E_1$ -spectral sequences.*

This result, especially parts (ii) and (iii), is perhaps related to the results in [3] and [4].

**3. Some examples of spectral sequences in the case of plane curves**

Let  $C: f = 0$  be a reduced curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $N$ . Let  $C_j: f_j = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r$  be the irreducible components of  $C$ . The complement  $U$  has at most three non-zero cohomology

groups. The first of them,  $H^0(U)$ , is one dimensional and of Hodge type  $(0, 0)$ , so there is nothing of interest here. Moreover,  $\tilde{H}^0(U) = 0$ .

The second,  $H^1(U)$ , is  $(r - 1)$  dimensional and, for  $r > 1$ , is of Hodge type  $(1, 1)$  by Remark 2.3. It follows in this case that  $P^1H^1(U) = F^1H^1(U) = H^1(U)$ . Moreover,  $H^1(U)$  has a basis given by

$$\omega_j = \frac{df_j}{N_j f_j} - \frac{df_r}{N_r f_r} \tag{3.1}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, r - 1$ , where  $N_j = \deg(f_j)$  (see [9, Example 4.1]).

**Example 3.1.** We discuss first the case when  $C: f = 0$  is a nodal curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $N$ . Using [27, Corollary 0.12] for  $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ ,  $i = 2$ , it follows that  $P^2H^2(U) = F^2H^2(U)$ , since for a nodal curve  $\alpha_f = 1$ . We now look at the non-zero terms in the  $E_1$ -term of the spectral sequence  $E_r^{p,q}(f)$ . They are sitting on two lines given by  $L: p + q = 2$  and  $L': p + q = 1$ .

We look first at the terms on the line  $L$ . The term  $E_1^{2,0}(f) = H^3(K^*)_N$  is isomorphic as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space with  $M(f)_{N-3}$ , and hence has dimension  $g$  (as defined in (1.7)), which is determined by  $N = \deg(f)$  alone. Hence, the corresponding limit term  $E_\infty^{2,0}(U) = P^2H^2(U)$  has dimension at most  $g$ . On the other hand,  $\dim F^2H^2(U) = g$  (see [18, Theorem 2.2] or a direct proof in [16, Proposition 4.1]). The above argument gives an alternative proof of the equality  $F^2H^2(U) = P^2H^2(U)$  in this case.

The term  $E_1^{1,1}(f) = H^3(K^*)_{2N}$  is isomorphic to  $M(f)_{2N-3}$ . To compute its dimension note that, by [18, Theorem 2.2], we have  $\dim(I/J_f)_{2N-3} = \text{Gr}_F^1(H^2(U))$ , where  $I$  is the ideal in  $S$  of polynomials vanishing at all the singular points of  $C$ . It was shown in [16, Proposition 4.1] that

$$\dim(I/J_f)_{2N-3} = \sum_{j=1,r} g_j,$$

where  $g_j$  is the genus of the normalization of the curve  $C_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . On the other hand, we showed in [16, Lemma 4.2] that  $\dim(S/I)_{2N-3} = n(C)$ , the total number of nodes of  $C$ . It follows that

$$\dim M(f)_{2N-3} = n(C) + \sum_{j=1,r} g_j. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, the dimension of the corresponding limit term  $E_\infty^{1,1}(U) = \text{Gr}_F^1(H^2(U)) = \text{Gr}_F^1(H^2(U))$  is  $\sum_{j=1,r} g_j$ , as noted above.

The term  $E_1^{2-q,q}(f) = H^3(K^*)_{(q+1)N}$  for  $q \geq 2$  is isomorphic to  $M(f)_{(q+1)N-3}$ , which has dimension  $n(C)$ . Furthermore, the corresponding limit terms  $E_\infty^{2-q,q}(U) = \text{Gr}_F^{2-q}(H^2(U))$  clearly vanish for  $q \geq 2$ .

We look now at the terms on the line  $L'$ . It follows from (3.2), (1.7) and the duality  $\dim M(f_s)_{2N-3} = \dim M(f_s)_{N-3}$  that the term  $E_1^{1,0}(f) = H^2(K^*)_N$  has dimension  $n(C) + \sum_{j=1,r} g_j - g$ . If we compare with the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [16], we see that the total number of nodes  $n(C)$  is given by  $\sum_{j=1,r} n_j + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} d_i d_j$ , where  $n_j$  is

the number of nodes on the curve  $C_j$  and  $d_k$  is the degree of the curve  $C_k$ . Using both Remark 2.3 and formula (4.1) in the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [16], we conclude that

$$\dim E_1^{1,0}(f) = \dim E_\infty^{1,0}(f) = r - 1. \tag{3.3}$$

The dimension of the other terms  $E_1^{1-q,q}(f) = H^2(K^*)_{(q+1)N}$  for  $q \geq 1$  is equal to  $n(C)$ . Moreover, the corresponding limit terms  $E_\infty^{1-q,q}(U) = \text{Gr}_F^{1-q}(H^1(U))$  clearly vanish for  $q \geq 1$ .

It follows that the differential  $d_1: E_1^{1,0}(f) \rightarrow E_1^{2,0}(f)$  is the zero map (not to decrease the dimension of  $E_2^{1,0}(f)$ , which is the dimension of the limit), a fact not shared by curves with general weighted homogeneous singularities as seen in Examples 3.2 and 3.3. The other differentials  $d_1: E_1^{1-q,q}(f) \rightarrow E_1^{2-q,q}(f)$  for  $q \geq 1$  are all injective (any non-zero kernel would kill some terms needed in the limit via some  $d_r$  with  $r \geq 2$ ), as happens for any curve with weighted homogeneous singularities in view of Theorem 2.4.

**Example 3.2.** Consider the curve  $C: x(x^2y + xy^2 + z^3) = 0$ , which is the union of a smooth cubic  $C: x^2y + xy^2 + z^3 = 0$  and an inflectional tangent  $L: x = 0$ . It is easy to see that for this case  $\tilde{H}^0(U) = 0$ ,  $H^1(U)$  is one dimensional and  $H^2(U)$  is two dimensional, with classes of Hodge type  $(2, 1)$  and  $(1, 2)$ . In particular,  $F^2H^2(U)$  is one dimensional.

On the other hand, the spectral sequence  $E_1(f)$  has the following non-zero terms:  $E_1^{1,0}$ , which is two dimensional;  $E_1^{2,0}$ , which is three dimensional; and all  $E_1^{p,q}$  for  $p + q = 1$  or  $p + q = 2$  and  $q > 0$ , which are five dimensional, since  $\tau(C) = 5$ . The computation for the other dimensions are based on formula (2.18) and a computation, using CoCoA or SINGULAR, of the Hilbert–Poincaré series

$$\text{HP}(M(f))(t) = 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 7t^3 + 6t^4 + 5t^5 + \dots$$

with stabilization threshold  $\text{st}(C) = 5$ . It follows that  $d_1: E_1^{1,0} \rightarrow E_1^{2,0}$  has a one-dimensional kernel  $E_2^{1,0} = H^1(U)$ , and a two-dimensional cokernel  $E_2^{2,0} = P^2H^2(U)$ . In particular, the inclusion  $F^2 \subset P^2$  is strict on  $H^2(U)$ , as mentioned in [9, Remark 2.6].

**Example 3.3.** Now consider the irreducible curve  $C: x^2y^2 + xz^3 + yz^3 = 0$ , which has two cusps  $A_2$  as singularities. It is then easy to see that  $\tilde{H}^0(U) = 0 = H^1(U)$ , and that  $H^2(U)$  is two dimensional, with classes of Hodge type  $(2, 1)$  and  $(1, 2)$ . In particular,  $F^2H^2(U)$  is one dimensional.

On the other hand, the spectral sequence  $E_1(f)$  has the following non-zero terms:  $E_1^{1,0}$ , which is one dimensional;  $E_1^{2,0}$ , which is three dimensional; and all  $E_1^{p,q}$  for  $p + q = 1$  or  $p + q = 2$  and  $q > 0$ , which are four dimensional, since  $\tau(C) = 4$ . Indeed, the computation, using CoCoA or SINGULAR, in this case yields

$$\text{HP}(M(f))(t) = 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 7t^3 + 6t^4 + 4t^5 + \dots$$

with stabilization threshold  $\text{st}(C) = 5$ . It follows that  $d_1: E_1^{1,0} \rightarrow E_1^{2,0}$  is injective and has a two-dimensional cokernel  $E_2^{2,0} = P^2H^2(U)$ . In particular, the inclusion  $F^2 \subset P^2$  is strict on  $H^2(U)$ , as mentioned in [14, Remark 2.5].

**Example 3.4.** Now consider the irreducible curve  $C: x^3z^4 + xy^5z + x^7 + y^7 = 0$ , which has a *non-weighted homogeneous singularity* located at  $(0:0:1)$  with Milnor number  $\mu = 12$  and Tjurina number  $\tau = 11$ . It is then easy to see that  $\tilde{H}^0(U) = 0 = H^1(U)$ , and  $H^2(U)$  has dimension 18, with classes of Hodge type  $(2, 1)$  and  $(1, 2)$ . In particular,  $\dim F^2H^2(U) = 9$ .

On the other hand, the spectral sequence  $E_1(f)$  has the following non-zero terms:  $E_1^{2,0}$ , which is 15 dimensional; and all  $E_1^{p,q}$  for  $p + q = 1$  or  $p + q = 2$  and  $q > 0$ , which are 11 dimensional, since  $\tau(C) = 11$ , except  $E_1^{1,1}$  which is again 15 dimensional. Indeed, the computation using COCOA or SINGULAR in this case yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HP}(M(f))(t) = & 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 10t^3 + 15t^4 + 21t^5 + 25t^6 + 27t^7 \\ & + 27t^8 + 25t^9 + 21t^{10} + 15t^{11} + 12t^{12} + 11t^{13} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

with stabilization threshold  $\text{st}(C) = 13$ . It follows that  $d_1: 0 = E_1^{1,0} \rightarrow E_1^{2,0}$  is the zero map, and hence  $\dim E_2^{2,0} = 15$ . The other differentials  $d_1: E_1^{1-t,t} \rightarrow E_1^{2-t,t}$  for  $t \geq 1$  have a one-dimensional kernel, which can be seen using Theorem 2.4 (i) and [10, Proposition (3.4), Example (3.5) (i) and Corollary (4.3)], where it is shown that in this case the differentials  $d_2: E_2^{1-t,t} \rightarrow E_2^{3-t,t-1}$  are injective for  $t > 0$  in the local setting. It follows that  $E_3 = E_\infty$  has the following non-zero terms:  $E_3^{2,0}$  of dimension 14, and  $E_3^{1,1}$  of dimension 4. In particular, one has

$$\dim F^2H^2(U) = 9 < 14 = \dim P^2H^2(U).$$

#### 4. The syzygies of nodal hypersurfaces

First we give a geometric interpretation of a syzygy  $R_m$  as in (1.2) in the case  $n = 2$  using [2, §2.1]. Let  $F_f$  be the Milnor fibre of  $f$ , which is the smooth affine surface in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  given by the equation  $f(x, y, z) = 1$ . Then there is a monodromy isomorphism  $h: F_f \rightarrow F_f$  given by multiplication by  $\lambda = \exp(2\pi i/N)$  and an induced monodromy operator  $h^1: H^1(F_f) \rightarrow H^1(F_f)$ . The eigenvalues of  $h^1$  are exactly the  $N$ th roots of unity, and for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$  there is a rank 1 local system  $L_k$  on  $U$  such that

$$H^*(F_f)_{\lambda^k} = H^*(U, L_k), \tag{4.1}$$

where on the left-hand side we have the corresponding eigenspace and on the right-hand side we have the twisted cohomology of  $U$  with coefficients in  $L_k$  (for details see [12, Proposition 6.4.6]).

Let  $\mathcal{L}_k$  be the Deligne extension of  $L_k$  over the nodal curve  $C$  such that the eigenvalues of the residue of the connection are contained in the interval  $[0, 1)$ . In our case, the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_k$  is precisely  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-k)$  (see [2, Equation (2.1.2)]) and we have the following relation with the Hodge filtration on  $H^*(F_f)$ :

$$\text{Gr}_F^p H^{p+q}(F_f)_{\lambda^k} = H^q(\mathbb{P}^2, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^p(\log C) \otimes \mathcal{L}_k). \tag{4.2}$$

In particular, we get

$$\text{Gr}_F^1 H^1(F_f)_{\lambda^k} = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(\log C) \otimes \mathcal{L}_k). \tag{4.3}$$

Now, the curve  $C$  being nodal, it follows that  $H^1(F_f)_{\lambda^k} = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, N - 1$  (see [12, Corollary 6.4.14] for a stronger result).

Assume now that we have a non-zero syzygy  $R_m$  as in (1.2) with  $m < N - 2$ . Consider the non-zero 2-form  $\omega \in \Omega_{m+2}^2$  given by  $\omega = a \, dy \wedge dz - b \, dx \wedge dz + c \, dx \wedge dy$  and note that  $df \wedge \omega = 0$ . The 1-form

$$\alpha = \frac{\Delta(\omega)}{f} \tag{4.4}$$

is an element of  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1(\log C) \otimes \mathcal{L}_k)$ , with  $k = N - 2 - m > 0$ . To see this, use the formula for  $d\alpha$  given in [11, Equation (1.10), p. 181]. Moreover,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , since the kernel of  $\Delta: \Omega^2 \rightarrow \Omega^1$  is the free  $S$ -module spanned by  $\sigma = \Delta(dx \wedge dy \wedge dz)$  and  $df \wedge \sigma = Nf \, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \neq 0$ . But this is in contradiction to  $H^1(F_f)_{\lambda^k} = 0$  in view of (4.3).

Next we describe all the syzygies  $R_m$  as in (1.2) with  $n = 2$  and  $m = N - 2$ . This is the same as describing  $H^2(K^*(f))_N$ , and we know from the previous section that  $\dim H^2(K^*(f))_N = r - 1$  (see (3.3)), i.e. this is essentially to lift the basis  $\omega_j$  in (3.1) to a basis of  $H^2(K^*(f))_N$ . Note that

$$\omega_j = \frac{\alpha_j}{N_j N_r f},$$

where  $\alpha_j = N_r f_1 \cdots \hat{f}_j \cdots f_r \, df_j - N_j f_1 \cdots \hat{f}_r \cdots df_r$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r - 1$ , with  $\hat{f}_j$  meaning that the factor  $f_j$  is missing. Define  $\beta_j = -f_1 \cdots \hat{f}_j \cdots \hat{f}_r \, df_j \wedge df_r$  and note that

$$\Delta(\beta_j) = -f_1 \cdots \hat{f}_j \cdots \hat{f}_r \Delta(df_j \wedge df_r) = \alpha_j.$$

For  $r = 2$ ,  $\beta_1$  is a good lifting since  $df \wedge \beta_1 = 0$  and we are done. However, for  $r > 2$ ,  $\beta_j$  is not a good lifting, since in general one has

$$df \wedge \beta_j = - \sum_{k \neq j; k \neq r} f^2 / (f_k f_j f_r) \, df_k \wedge df_j \wedge df_r = f g_j \, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

for some  $g_j \in S_{N-3}$  that is non-zero in general. (A formula for  $g_j$  is given in Theorem 4.1 using the Jacobian determinant  $\text{Jac}(f_k, f_j, f_r)$  of the three functions  $f_k, f_j, f_r$  with respect to  $x, y$  and  $z$ .)

To correct this problem, we look for a modification of the form

$$\gamma_j = \beta_j + h_j \sigma,$$

where  $h_j \in S_{N-3}$  and  $\sigma = \Delta(dx \wedge dy \wedge dz)$  as above. Now  $df \wedge \gamma_j = (f g_j + N f h_j) \, dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = 0$  if we choose  $h_j = -g_j / N$ . The resulting  $\gamma_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r - 1$  yield a basis of  $H^2(K^*(f))_N$ .

Hence, we have proved the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $C: f = 0$  be a nodal curve of degree  $N$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Then, for any  $q < N$ ,  $H^2(K^*(f))_q = 0$ , and  $H^2(K^*(f))_N$  is  $(r - 1)$  dimensional with a basis given by*

$$\gamma_j = -f_1 \cdots \hat{f}_j \cdots \hat{f}_r \, df_j \wedge df_r + h_j \sigma$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, r - 1$ . Here  $r$  is the number of irreducible components of  $C$ ,  $f_j = 0$  are reduced equations for these components,  $\sigma = \Delta(dx \wedge dy \wedge dz)$ ,  $h_1 = 0$  if  $r = 2$ , and

$$h_j = \frac{\sum_{k \neq j; k \neq r} f / (f_k f_j f_r) \text{Jac}(f_k, f_j, f_r)}{N}$$

if  $r > 2$ .

For an arbitrary curve  $C$  having  $r$  irreducible components  $C_j: f_j = 0$ , the above elements  $\gamma_j$  yield  $r - 1$  linearly independent elements in  $H^2(K^*(f))_N$ , which are killed by  $d_1$ . It may happen that  $\dim H^2(K^*(f))_N > r - 1$ , as we have seen in Example 3.2.

The corresponding vanishing result in the general case of nodal hypersurfaces is considered in [17], but in this general case there is no description of an explicit basis of the lowest-degree (possibly non-zero) syzygies as in Theorem 4.1. For an alternative proof of the vanishing part (without using Hodge theory) in a more general curve setting, see [20].

**Example 4.2.** In this example we look at some curves having low-degree relations  $R_m$ , as in (1.2).

- (i) It is clear that a curve  $C: f = 0$  admits a relation of degree  $m = 0$  if and only if, up to a linear coordinate change, we have that the equation  $f$  is independent of  $z$ . In this case,

$$\text{HP}(M(f))(t) = \frac{(1 - t^{N-1})^2}{(1 - t)^3}.$$

Hence,  $\text{ct}(C) = N - 2$  (this is the minimal possible value) and  $\text{st}(C) = 2N - 4$ .

- (ii) The curve  $C: x^p y^q + z^N = 0$  for  $p + q = N$  admits an obvious relation of degree 1, namely,

$$q x f_x - p y f_y = 0.$$

In this case,  $\text{ct}(C) = N - 1$ .

- (iii) The curve  $C: z^p(x^q + y^q) + x^N + y^N = 0$  for  $p + q = N$  admits an obvious relation of degree  $2p$ , namely,

$$z^{p-1} x (q z^p + N y^p) f_x + z^{p-1} y (q z^p + N x^p) f_y - \frac{1}{p} (q z^p + N y^p) (q z^p + N x^p) f_z = 0.$$

It is easy to see that this relation is not a consequence of the trivial relations  $T_{ij}$  in (4.5). On the other hand, a computation in the case  $N = 7, p = 4$  shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HP}(M(f))(t) &= 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 10t^3 + 15t^4 + 21t^5 + 25t^6 + 27t^7 \\ &\quad + 27t^8 + 25t^9 + 21t^{10} + 16t^{11} + 12t^{12} + 9t^{13} + 8t^{14} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

with stabilization threshold  $\text{st}(C) = 14$ . It follows that  $\text{ct}(C) = 10$ , which implies via (1.3) that  $\text{mdr}(C) = 5$ , i.e. the above relation does not in general have minimal degree. However, this is the case for  $p = N - 2$ , when the curve  $C$  has a node at  $(0:0:1)$  and the corresponding relation has degree  $2N - 4$ .

This is a very special case of Theorem 1.5 stated in § 1, which we now prove.

**Proof.** Choose the coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $H_0: x_0 = 0$  is transverse to  $D$ , i.e. the intersection  $H_0 \cap D$  is smooth. It follows as in [6] that the partial derivatives  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  of  $f$  form a regular system in  $S$ ; in particular, they vanish at a finite set of points on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , say  $p_1, \dots, p_r$ . Some of these points, say  $p_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , are the nodes on  $D$ , i.e. the points in the set  $\mathcal{N}$ . It follows that the divisors  $D_j: f_j = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  intersect transversely at any point  $p_j \in \mathcal{N}$ . To see this, one may work in the affine chart  $x_0 = 1$ , where  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  may be used as coordinates, and use the definition of nodes as the singularities where the hessian of a (local) equation is non-zero.

Assume that we have a non-zero element in  $H^n(K^*(f))_{nN-n-1-k}$  for some  $0 \leq k \leq s$ , with  $s = nN - 2n - 1$ . This is the same as having a relation

$$R_m: a_0 f_0 + a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_n f_n = 0,$$

where the  $a_j \in S$  are homogeneous of degree  $m = s - k$  and  $R_m$  is not a consequence of the relations

$$T_{ij}: f_j f_i - f_i f_j = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Since  $p_j$  is not a singularity for  $D$  for  $j > q$ , it follows that  $f_0(p_j) \neq 0$  in this range. Hence, for  $j > q$ , the relation  $R_m$  implies that the germ of the function induced by  $a_0$  at  $p_j$  (dividing by some homogeneous polynomial  $b_j$  of degree  $m$  such that  $b_j(p_j) \neq 0$ ) belongs to the ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_{p_j}$  spanned by the local equations of the divisors  $D_1, \dots, D_n$ .

We now apply the Cayley–Bacharach theorem as stated in [21, Theorem CB7].

Let  $\Gamma$  be the zero-dimensional subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  defined by the partial derivatives  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ . Let  $\Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma''$  be the subschemes of  $\Gamma$ , residual to one another in  $\Gamma$ , and such that the support of  $\Gamma'$  is the set  $\mathcal{N}' = \{p_{q+1}, \dots, p_r\}$  and the support of  $\Gamma''$  is the set  $\mathcal{N}$ . Intuitively,  $\Gamma'$  is the ‘restriction’ of the scheme  $\Gamma$  to  $\mathcal{N}'$ , and  $\Gamma''$  is the ‘restriction’ of the scheme  $\Gamma$  to  $\mathcal{N}$ . In particular, the scheme  $\Gamma''$  is reduced.

Note that the above discussion implies that the dimension of the family of hypersurfaces  $a_0$  of degree  $m = s - k$  containing  $\Gamma'$  (modulo those containing all of  $\Gamma$ ) is exactly the dimension of  $H^n(K^*(f))_{nN-n-1-k}$ .

On the other hand, for  $s$  as above and  $0 \leq k \leq s$ , the Cayley–Bacharach theorem states that this dimension is equal to the defect  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N})$ , thus proving the first claim in Theorem 1.5.

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^n(K^*(f))_j &= \dim H^{n+1}(K^*(f))_{j+N} - \dim H^{n+1}(K^*(f_s))_{j+N} \\ &= \dim M(f)_{j+N-n-1} - \dim M(f_s)_{j+N-n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $j \geq n(N - 1)$  is equivalent to  $j + N - n - 1 > (n + 1)(N - 2)$ , and hence  $\dim M(f)_{j+N-n-1} = \tau(D) = |\mathcal{N}|$  and  $\dim M(f_s)_{j+N-n-1} = 0$ , thus proving the second claim in Theorem 1.5. □

**Example 4.3.** We use the notation from Theorem 1.5 and set  $T = (n + 1)(N - 2)$ .

- (i) If  $|\mathcal{N}| = 1$ , then  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N}) = 0$  for  $k \geq 0$ , and therefore we have  $\text{ct}(D) = \text{st}(D) = T$ .
- (ii) If  $|\mathcal{N}| = 2$ , then  $\text{def } S_0(\mathcal{N}) = 1$  and  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N}) = 0$  for  $k \geq 1$ . It follows that  $\text{ct}(D) + 1 = \text{st}(D) = T$ .



- (iii) If  $|\mathcal{N}| = 3$ , and the three nodes are not collinear, then  $\text{def } S_0(\mathcal{N}) = 2$  and  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N}) = 0$  for  $k \geq 1$ . It follows that  $\text{ct}(D) + 1 = \text{st}(D) = T$  unless  $n = 2$ , when  $\text{ct}(D) = \text{st}(D) = T - 1$ .

For three collinear points,  $\text{def } S_0(\mathcal{N}) = 2$ ,  $\text{def } S_1(\mathcal{N}) = 1$  and  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N}) = 0$  for  $k \geq 2$ . It follows that  $\text{ct}(D) + 2 = \text{st}(D) = T$  and  $\dim M(f)_{T-1} = n + 2$ .

To have some explicit examples of these two distinct situations, consider the following two curves of degree  $N = 4$ :

$$C: f = (x^3 + y^3 + z^3)x = 0$$

and

$$C': f' = x^2y^2 + y^2z^2 + x^2z^2 - 2xyz(x + y + z) - (2xy + 3yz + 4xz)^2 = 0.$$

The curve  $C$  then has three collinear nodes and the corresponding Hilbert–Poincaré series is

$$\text{HP}(M(f))(t) = 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 7t^3 + 6t^4 + 4t^5 + 3t^6 + \dots$$

with  $\text{st}(C) = 6$ . In fact, the coefficients of  $t^k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq 2N - 4 = 4$  are determined by Theorem 1.2 and the remaining terms are determined by Theorem 1.5.

In the same way, one may obtain

$$\text{HP}(M(f'))(t) = 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 7t^3 + 6t^4 + 3t^5 + \dots$$

with  $\text{st}(C') = 5$ , using the fact that  $C'$  has three non-collinear nodes located at  $(1:0:0)$ ,  $(0:1:0)$  and  $(0:0:1)$ .

- (iv) Here is one example of a sextic curve with six nodes. Consider the curve

$$C: f = x^2(x + z)^2(x - z)^2 - y^2(y - z)^2(y^2 + 2z^2) = 0.$$

The curve  $C$  then has six nodes, three of them on the line  $y = 0$  (namely,  $(0:0:1)$ ,  $(1:0:1)$  and  $(-1:0:1)$ ) and the other three on the line  $y - z = 0$  (namely,  $(0:1:1)$ ,  $(1:1:1)$  and  $(-1:1:1)$ ). The corresponding Hilbert–Poincaré series is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HP}(M(f))(t) = & 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 10t^3 + 15t^4 + 18t^5 + 19t^6 \\ & + 18t^7 + 15t^8 + 10t^9 + 7t^{10} + 6t^{11} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

with  $\text{st}(C) = 11$ . This result follows exactly by the same argument as above, using, in addition, the equalities  $\text{def } S_0(\mathcal{N}) = 5$ ,  $\text{def } S_1(\mathcal{N}) = 3$ ,  $\text{def } S_2(\mathcal{N}) = 1$  and  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N}) = 0$  for  $k \geq 3$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Let  $C: f = 0$  be a degree  $N$  curve in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that any singular point of  $C$  that is not a node is a unibranch singularity, and let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the set of its nodes. Then  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N}) = 0$  for  $k > N - 3$  and  $\text{def } S_{N-3}(\mathcal{N}) = r - 1$ , where  $r$  is the number of irreducible components of  $C$ .

This can be derived as follows. Let  $I'$  be the ideal of functions in  $S$  vanishing at the points in  $\mathcal{N}$ . It was then shown in [24, Proposition 3.6] that there is a minimal resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1,t} S(-b_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1,t+1} S(-a_j) \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/I' \rightarrow 0$$

such that  $0 < a_j < N$  for any  $j$ ,  $0 < b_i \leq N$  for all  $i$  and

$$|\{i : b_i = N\}| = r - 1.$$

In fact, [24, Proposition 3.6] is stated only for curves with nodes and ordinary cusps, but the only point in the proof where one uses the ordinary cusps is to derive the equality (10), which may also be obtained in our slightly more general setting from [11, Diagram 3.14, p. 201].

The above resolution implies that the Hilbert–Poincaré series of  $S/I'$  is given by the following equality:

$$\text{HP}(S/I')(t) = \frac{1 - \sum_j t^{a_j} + \sum_i t^{b_i}}{(1 - t)^3}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{N}$  is a finite set of points, it follows that this series can be rewritten as

$$\text{HP}(S/I')(t) = \frac{Q(t)}{1 - t},$$

where  $Q(t)$  is a polynomial in  $t$  of degree at most  $N - 2$ , the coefficient  $c_{N-2}$  of  $t^{N-2}$  being exactly  $r - 1$ . It follows that  $\dim(S/I')_k = |\mathcal{N}|$  for  $k \geq N - 2$  and that

$$\dim(S/I')_{N-3} = |\mathcal{N}| - c_{N-2} = |\mathcal{N}| - r + 1,$$

which proves our claim since one has  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N}) = |\mathcal{N}| - \dim(S/I')_k$  for any  $k$ .

Alternatively, one may complete the proof using the formula for the defect or superabundance  $\text{def } S_k(\mathcal{N})$  as the difference between the Hilbert polynomial and the Hilbert function given just before the statement of Lemma 3.4 in [24].

Note that the other main results of our paper *do not* extend to this more general setting. For instance, the curve  $C$  constructed in Example 4.2 (ii) for  $p = 2, q = 3, N = 5$  has as singularities two unibranch singularities located at  $(1:0:0)$  and  $(0:1:0)$  and has a relation of degree 1, i.e.  $H^2(K^*(f))_3 \neq 0$ , and hence Theorem 4.1 and its consequence, Theorem 1.2, fail in this case.

Moreover, Example 3.3 shows that the spectral sequences considered in §2 in the presence of even ordinary cusps may exhibit different behaviour than in the case of nodes. Indeed, the differential  $d_1 : E_1^{1,0} \rightarrow E_1^{2,0}$  is trivial for a nodal curve and it is non-trivial in Example 3.3.

The same example shows that Theorem 1.5 also fails in this more general setting, since  $\dim H^2(K^*(f))_4 = 1$  and  $\text{def } S_1(\mathcal{N}) = |\mathcal{N}| - \dim(S/I')_1 = 0 - 0 = 0$  since  $\mathcal{N} = \emptyset$ .

The resolutions constructed in [16] for the Jacobian ideals of Chebyshev curves show that there are no similar results to [24, Proposition 3.6] for such Jacobian ideals, not even for nodal curves.

**Remark 4.5.** For a nodal 3-fold  $D: f = 0$  in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  of degree  $N$ , the fact that  $D$  is factorial (i.e. the quotient  $S/(f)$  is a unique factorization domain) can be expressed as a vanishing property of a certain defect, namely,  $\text{def } S_{2N-5}(\mathcal{N}) = 0$  (see Cheltsov’s paper [5, Remark 1.2]). It follows that [5, Theorem 1.4] can be restated as saying that  $\text{def } S_{2N-5}(\mathcal{N}) = 0$  when  $|\mathcal{N}| < (N - 1)^2$ , which in turn may be restated in view of Theorem 1.5 as saying that the corresponding space of syzygies  $R_{2N-4}$  is trivial in such a case. On the other hand, [17, Theorem 2.1 (ii)] implies that  $R_m = 0$  for  $m < 2N - 4$  and for any nodal 3-fold  $D$  in  $\mathbb{P}^4$  of degree  $N$ .

**5. The spectral sequence in the case of a nodal surface**

Let  $S: f = 0$  be a nodal surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $N$ . Then  $S$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifold satisfying  $b_0(S) = b_4(S) = 1$ ,  $b_1(S) = b_3(S) = 0$  and the middle Betti number  $b_2(S)$  is computable. For example, using the formula  $b_2(S) = b_2(S_s) - n(S)$ , where  $S_s$  is a smooth surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $N$ , the corresponding second Betti number is given by

$$b_2(S_s) = \frac{(N - 1)^4 - 1}{N} + 2$$

and  $n(S) = \tau(S)$  is the number of nodes, i.e. the cardinal of the set of nodes  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $S$ . It follows that the complement  $U$  has at most two non-zero cohomology groups. The first of them,  $H^0(U)$ , is one dimensional and of Hodge type  $(0,0)$ , and so does not interest us. The second one,  $H^3(U)$ , is dual to  $H_c^3(U)(-3)$ , and  $H_c^3(U)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{coker}(H^2(\mathbb{P}^3) \rightarrow H^2(S))$ , the morphism being induced by the inclusion  $i: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ . It follows that the mixed Hodge structure on  $H^3(U)$  is pure of weight 4 with

$$\begin{aligned} h^{4,0}(H^3(U)) &= h^{0,4}(H^3(U)) = 0, \\ h^{3,1}(H^3(U)) &= h^{1,3}(H^3(U)) = h^{2,0}(S) = h^{2,0}(S_s) = p_g(S_s), \end{aligned}$$

where the geometric genus of  $S_s$  is given by

$$p_g(S_s) = \binom{N - 1}{3}$$

and

$$h^{2,2}(H^3(U)) = h^{1,1}(S) - 1 = h^{1,1}(S_s) - n(S) - 1.$$

In particular, we have  $P^1 H^3(U) = F^1 H^3(U) = H^3(U)$ , as in Remark 2.3.

We now look at the non-zero terms in the  $E_1$ -term of the spectral sequence  $E_r^{p,q}(f)$ . They are sitting on two lines, given by  $L: p + q = 3$  and  $L': p + q = 2$ .

We look first at the terms on the line  $L$ . The term  $E_1^{3,0}(f) = H^4(K^*)_N$  is isomorphic as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space to  $M(f)_{N-4}$ , and hence has dimension  $p_g = p_g(S_s)$ . The corresponding limit term  $E_\infty^{3,0}(U) = P^3 H^2(U)$  therefore has dimension at most  $p_g$ . On the other hand, the above formulae for  $h^{p,q}(H^3(U))$  imply that  $\dim F^3 H^2(U) = p_g$ . In this case we conclude by Theorem 2.1 that  $F^3 H^3(U) = P^3 H^3(U)$ .

The term  $E_1^{2,1}(f) = H^4(K^*)_{2N}$  is isomorphic to  $M(f)_{2N-4}$ . Theorem 1.5 and Griffiths's results for the smooth case in [23] imply that

$$\dim M(f)_{2N-4} = M(f_s)_{2N-4} + \text{def } S_{2N-4}(\mathcal{N}) = h^{1,1}(S_s) - 1 + \text{def } S_{2N-4}(\mathcal{N}). \quad (5.1)$$

The term  $E_1^{1,2}(f) = H^4(K^*)_{3N}$  is isomorphic to  $M(f)_{3N-4}$ , and hence

$$\dim M(f)_{3N-4} = M(f_s)_{3N-4} + \text{def } S_{N-4}(\mathcal{N}) = p_g + \text{def } S_{N-4}(\mathcal{N}). \quad (5.2)$$

The term  $E_1^{3-q,q}(f) = H^4(K^*)_{(q+1)N}$  for  $q \geq 3$  is isomorphic to  $M(f)_{(q+1)N-4}$ , which has dimension  $n(S)$ . Moreover, the corresponding limit terms  $E_\infty^{3-q,q}(U) = \text{Gr}_P^{3-q}(H^2(U))$  clearly vanish for  $q \geq 3$ .

We look now at the terms on the line  $L'$ . By Theorem 1.5, the term  $E_1^{2,0}(f) = H^3(K^*)_N$  has dimension  $\text{def } S_{2N-4}(\mathcal{N})$ . On the other hand,  $E_\infty^{2,0}(f) = 0$ , which implies, in view of the equality  $E_\infty^{3,0}(f) = E_1^{3,0}(f)$  established above, that in fact  $\text{def } S_{2N-4}(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ .

The dimension of the term  $E_1^{1,1}(f) = H^3(K^*)_{2N}$  is equal to  $\text{def } S_{N-4}(\mathcal{N})$ , again by Theorem 1.5. And, again,  $E_\infty^{1,1}(f) = 0$  implies, in view of the equality  $E_\infty^{3,0}(f) = E_1^{3,0}(f)$  established above, that the differential  $d_1: E_1^{1,1}(f) \rightarrow E_1^{2,1}(f)$  is injective.

The dimension of the other terms  $E_1^{2-q,q}(f) = H^3(K^*)_{(q+1)N}$  for  $q \geq 2$  is equal to  $n(C)$ , and the corresponding differentials  $d_1: E_1^{2-q,q}(f) \rightarrow E_1^{3-q,q}(f)$  are injective by Theorem 2.4 (ii).

In this way we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $S: f = 0$  be a nodal surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $N$  and let  $\mathcal{N}$  denote the set of its nodes. The following statements then hold.*

- (i) *The  $E_1$ -spectral sequences  $E_r^{p,q}(U)$ ,  $E_r^{p,q}(\tilde{A})$  and  $E_r^{p,q}(f)$  degenerate at the  $E_2$ -term, i.e.  $E_2 = E_\infty$  for any of these  $E_1$ -spectral sequences.*
- (ii) *The subspace  $P^3H^3(U) = F^3H^3(U)$  of  $H^3(U)$  has dimension*

$$p_g = \binom{N-1}{3}.$$

- (iii) *We have that*

$$\dim \text{Gr}_P^2(H^3(U)) = h^{1,1}(S_s) - 1 - \text{def } S_{N-4}(\mathcal{N})$$

and

$$\dim \text{Gr}_F^2(H^3(U)) = h^{1,1}(S_s) - 1 - n(S).$$

*In particular,  $P^2H^3(U) = F^2H^3(U)$  if and only if the nodal surface  $S$  is smooth or  $N < 4$ .*

- (iv)  $\text{def } S_{2N-4}(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ .

**Remark 5.2.**

- (i) Let  $I$  be the homogeneous ideal in  $S$  of polynomials vanishing on the set of nodes  $\mathcal{N}$ . The above formulae imply that

$$\dim \operatorname{Gr}_F^2(H^3(U)) = \dim(I/J_f)_{2N-4},$$

which is a special case of [18, Theorem 2.2].

- (ii) The ideal  $I$  defined in (i) also occurs in the following formula, which is again a consequence of Theorem 5.1:

$$\dim P^2 H^3(U) - \dim F^2 H^3(U) = \dim(S/I)_{N-4}.$$

When the number of nodes is large, this difference can also be very large. For instance, if  $S$  is a Chebyshev surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  whose affine equation is

$$T_N(x) + T_N(y) + T_N(z) + 1 = 0,$$

where  $T_N(t)$  is the degree  $N$  Chebyshev polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[t]$ , then  $I_{N-4} = 0$  (see [17, Proposition 3.1]). It follows in this case that

$$\dim P^2 H^3(U) - \dim F^2 H^3(U) = \dim S_{N-4} = \binom{N-1}{3}.$$

**Acknowledgements.** A.D. was supported by the Institut Universitaire de France.

**References**

1. R. BOTT, Homogeneous vector bundles, *Annals Math.* **66** (1957), 203–248.
2. N. BUDUR, A. DIMCA AND M. SAITO, First Milnor cohomology of hyperplane arrangements, *Contemp. Math.* **538** (2011), 279–292.
3. F. J. CALDERON-MORENO AND L. NARVAEZ-MACARRO, The module  $Df^s$  for locally quasi-homogeneous free divisors, *Compositio Math.* **134** (2002), 59–74.
4. F. J. CALDERON-MORENO, D. MOND, L. NARVAEZ-MACARRO AND F. J. CASTRO-JIMENEZ, Logarithmic cohomology of the complement of a plane curve, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **77** (2002), 24–38.
5. I. CHELTSOV, Factorial threefold hypersurfaces, *J. Alg. Geom.* **19** (2010), 781–791.
6. A. D. R. CHOUDARY AND A. DIMCA, Koszul complexes and hypersurface singularities, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **121** (1994), 1009–1016.
7. P. DELIGNE AND A. DIMCA, Filtrations de Hodge et par l'ordre du pôle pour les hypersurfaces singulières, *Annales Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* **23** (1990), 645–656.
8. G. DENHAM, H. SCHENCK, M. SCHULZE, U. WALTHER AND M. WAKEFIELD, Local cohomology of logarithmic forms, *Annales Inst. Fourier* **63** (2013), 1177–1203.
9. A. DIMCA, On the Milnor fibrations of weighted homogeneous polynomials, *Compositio Math.* **76** (1990), 19–47.
10. A. DIMCA, Differential forms and hypersurface singularities, in *Singularity theory and its applications, part I, geometric aspects of singularities* (ed. D. Mond and J. Montaldi), Springer Lecture Notes, Volume 1462, pp. 122–153 (Springer, 1991).
11. A. DIMCA, *Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces* (Springer, 1992).

12. A. DIMCA, *Sheaves in topology* (Springer, 2004).
13. A. DIMCA, Syzygies of Jacobian ideals and defects of linear systems, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie* **56**(104) (2013), 191–203.
14. A. DIMCA AND M. SAITO, On the cohomology of a general fiber of a polynomial map, *Compositio Math.* **85** (1993), 299–309.
15. A. DIMCA AND M. SAITO, A generalization of Griffiths' theorem on rational integrals, *Duke Math. J.* **135** (2006), 303–326.
16. A. DIMCA AND G. STICLARU, Chebyshev curves, free resolutions and rational curve arrangements, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **153** (2012), 385–397.
17. A. DIMCA AND G. STICLARU, On the syzygies and Alexander polynomials of nodal hyper-surfaces, *Math. Nachr.* **285** (2012), 2120–2128.
18. A. DIMCA, M. SAITO AND L. WOTZLAW, A generalization of Griffiths' theorem on rational integrals, II, *Michigan Math. J.* **58** (2009), 603–625.
19. D. EISENBUD, *The geometry of syzygies: a second course in algebraic geometry and commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Volume 229 (Springer, 2005).
20. D. EISENBUD AND B. ULRICH, The regularity of the conductor, in *A celebration of algebraic geometry* (ed. B. Hassett *et al.*), Clay Mathematics Proceedings, Volume 18, pp. 267–280 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013).
21. D. EISENBUD, M. GREEN AND J. HARRIS, Cayley–Bacharach theorems and conjectures, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **33** (1996), 295–324.
22. G.-M. GREUEL, Der Gauss–Manin–Zusammenhang isolierter Singularitäten von vollständigen Durchschnitten, *Math. Annalen* **214** (1975), 235–266.
23. PH. GRIFFITHS, On the period of certain rational integrals, I, II, *Annals Math.* **90** (1969), 460–541.
24. R. KLOOSTERMAN, Cuspidal plane curves, syzygies and a bound on the MW-rank, *J. Alg.* **375** (2013), 216–234.
25. C. PETERS AND J. STEENBRINK, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3, Volume 52 (Springer, 2008).
26. K. SAITO, On a generalization of de Rham lemma, *Annales Inst. Fourier* **26** (1976), 165–170.
27. M. SAITO, On  $b$ -function, spectrum and rational singularity, *Math. Annalen* **295** (1993), 51–74.