

Despite the period indicated in the book title, 1820 to 1900, most of the book covers the period from Independence to the 1850s. Skimming over the Reforma period, the French Intervention, the Liberal Restoration, and the first 14 years of the Porfiriato, the final chapter examines a much different political situation. The last decade of the nineteenth century exhibited the sharp decline of the liberal, egalitarian early independence era. The temporal leap from the mid nineteenth century to its last decade is a bit disorienting. Unlike previous chapters, in which Schaefer convincingly marshals extensive research to intervene in historiographical debates, this chapter tacks between the recent revisionist trend toward a postmortem rehabilitation of Díaz's rule and what Schaefer terms the "black legend" (181), which emphasizes the arbitrary and roughshod treatment of Mexico's peasants and workers by the Porfiriato's agents. Despite much of his evidence pointing in the other direction, Schaefer hews close to the revisionist perspective.

In sum, the author's examination of liberalism as an egalitarian strain in Mexico's legal culture is worth reading. Written straightforwardly, it is accessible to upper-level undergraduates and graduates alike. Historians of liberalism, Mexico, and legal culture in Latin America will find it insightful. Libraries will do well to purchase copies. It is a significant contribution to legal studies, scholarship on liberalism, and local histories of Latin America.

Utah Valley University
Orem, Utah
mlentz@uvu.edu

MARK W. LENTZ

HISPANISM

The Spirit of Hispanism: Commerce, Culture, and Identity across the Atlantic, 1875–1936. By Diana Arbaiza. Notre Dame: Notre Dame University Press, 2020. Pp. x, 244. Notes. Bibliography. Index. \$55.00 cloth; \$43.99 eBook.
doi:10.1017/tam.2020.120

Some battles are constantly re-fought. In this elegantly written, carefully researched, and timely book, Diana Arbaiza's interpretation of the cultural dynamics of Hispanism at a time of intense political polarization offers some important new insights into similar struggles elsewhere across place and time. Although much has been written about Hispanism, both as a literary movement and as a political force in the decades leading up to the Spanish Civil War, Arbaiza reminds us that it also promoted a nationalist economic agenda that was rooted in the same anxieties. Even in its own time, it was clear that the political agenda of literary and cultural Hispanists was to create a "discourse of imperial nostalgia," but Arbaiza clearly documents what should have been more obvious all along—that part of that nostalgia was for a colonial-style, extractive, resource-based, closed commercial trade network (3). In other words, Hispanism was

not just seeking to recreate an idealized cultural past—it was intent on reconstructing the material one as well.

Arbaiza identifies peninsular Hispanism as “an overlooked site of Spanish thought on global capitalism and Spain’s marginal role within it” (3). She characterizes it as neo-imperialist, which certainly implies something more aggressive than mere nostalgia. Arbaiza argues that Hispanist politicians and cultural arbiters wanted to strengthen national unity and raise Spain’s international status by returning to a centuries-old line of Spanish economic thought; the Spanish economy should be based on preferential commercial exchange with its former colonies in America.

As a cultural and literary movement, Hispanism sought to overcome the humiliating loss of Cuba, Puerto Rico, and the Philippines in the Spanish-American War of 1898 by valorizing the Spanish people as an ethnic (or even racial) group that was inherently superior because of its humane, anti-materialistic nature. As the industrializing countries of the North Atlantic dismissed Spaniards as backward, corrupt, and lethargic, Hispanist writers and policymakers in Spain itself tried to recreate an insular global commercial network by appealing to a sense of a shared past, culture, and language.

Chapter 1 explores the origin, evolution, and eventual subversion of the idea that Hispanic people were lazy, anti-mercantile, and “inept in economic activities,” most often asserted in contraposition to Anglo-Saxon people (23). Arbaiza centers this economic argument as a key component of Hispanism: Hispanists recast themselves as being idealistic and altruistic—not motivated by base material instincts—rather than as lacking an entrepreneurial or industrial talent. This required a specifically Hispanic critique of capitalism itself. Chapter 2 follows this line of argument with a detailed case study of the “virtuous identitarian trait” of peninsular Hispanism, deeply rooted in values of honor and chivalry from the Spanish Golden Age (25).

Chapter 3 outlines the holistic integration between the economic and cultural goals of Hispanism, with particular emphasis on the work of Rafael Altamira, a progressive Hispanist historian and polymath who “understood the Spanish character as a moral bastion against the excesses of capitalism” (116) and who advocated for pan-Hispanic cultural and economic partnerships.

Chapter 4 focuses on Ramiro de Maeztu, whose transformation from socialism to falangism makes him a paradigmatic figure of the Generation of ‘98. Arbaiza argues that underneath his better-known political arc lies an equally significant reconfiguration of his understanding of the ideal economy. Maeztu tried to define a third way between capitalism and communism and ended up advocating for an anti-modernist, Catholic-inflected Hispanism that blunted the worst effects of both. Finally, in Chapter 5, Arbaiza moves her site of analysis from individuals and writers to regional commercial interests, specifically the reforms attempted in Catalonia (Barcelona) and Biscay (Bilbao). She makes the important point that commercial Hispanism meant

different things in different parts of the country. For example, port cities with long histories of mercantile exchange with Spanish America were animated by a more “commercial Hispanism,” namely a desire to recreate an economic rapprochement with Spanish America that was based on trade, not just a sort of spiritually elevated claim to superior arts, literature, and culture (189).

Diana Arbaiza has written a powerful, thought-provoking book that reminds readers that Hispanism was much more than an aesthetic and literary movement that helped to bring respectability to reactionary political forces: it also offered a powerful economic critique of modern industrial capitalism. As she writes, “The very cultural identity and values Hispanism presented as a source of transatlantic unity worked against the commercial interests many Hispanists saw as the linchpin of Spain’s future progress” (15). The insightful discussion of the connections between Hispanists’ cultural and economic anxieties and the subsequent rise of a strain of reactionary political authoritarianism is an important addition to the scholarship of this movement, and it could be repurposed to help us understand similar dynamics that have emerged in the twenty-first century.

University of Guelph
Guelph, Ontario, Canada
kracine@uoguelph.ca

KAREN RACINE

TOURISM IN PERU

Making Machu Picchu: The Politics of Tourism in Twentieth-Century Peru. By Mark Rice. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2018. Pp. xvi, 233. Abbreviations. Illustrations. Notes. Bibliography. Index. \$90.00 cloth; \$29.95 paper. doi:10.1017/tam.2020.121

Today the highland Peruvian site of Machu Picchu attracts around 1.5 million visitors each year. This fifteenth-century Inca complex figures prominently not only in discourses of Peruvian national identity, but also in global conversations about heritage and conservation, through its designation as a UNESCO World Heritage Site. Yet, Machu Picchu’s entrée in these arenas is relatively recent. In this engaging study, Mark Rice asks, “How. . . did Machu Picchu transform from a site so obscure that few, if any, remember its original name to such a powerful representation of Peru?” (2). Over five chapters, he charts the twentieth-century evolution of tourism to Machu Picchu and the nearby city of Cuzco as the result of complex negotiations among local, regional, national, and international interests. This study is a significant contribution to tourism studies of the Americas, which thus far have not focused extensively on the Andean region.

Working with a rich archive of primary sources, including some collections not heretofore studied, as well as with influential figures in Cuzco-based tourism, Rice elaborates three general periods that shaped Machu Picchu as a tourist destination. During the first,