High energy electron radiography scheme with high spatial and temporal resolution in three dimension based on a e-LINAC

Y. ZHAO,^{1,2} Z. ZHANG,¹ W. GAI,^{3,4} Y. DU,⁴ S. CAO,¹ J. QIU,³ Q. ZHAO,¹ R. CHENG,¹ X. ZHOU,¹ J. REN,¹ W. HUANG,³ C. TANG,³ H. XU,¹ and W. ZHAN¹

¹Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

²Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, China

³Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA

⁴Department of Engineering Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

(RECEIVED 22 December 2015; ACCEPTED 25 February 2016)

Abstract

We present a scheme of electron beam radiography to dynamically diagnose the high energy density (HED) matter in three orthogonal directions simultaneously based on electron Linear Accelerator. The dynamic target information such as, its profile and density could be obtained through imaging the scattered electron beam passing through the target. Using an electron bunch train with flexible time structure, a very high temporal evolution could be achieved. In this proposed scheme, it is possible to obtain 10¹⁰ frames/second in one experimental event, and the temporal resolution can go up to 1 ps, spatial resolution to 1 µm. Successful demonstration of this concept will have a major impact for both future inertial confinement fusion science and HED physics research.

Keywords: Electron radiography; High energy density; High spatial resolution; High temporal resolution; Inertial confinement fusion

1. INTRODUCTION

High energy density physics (HEDP) aims to study the properties of matter under extreme states of high temperature and high pressure. This is quite important for the inertial confinement fusion research, astrophysics, as well as plasma physics study. Intense laser and particle beams have been used to produce high energy density (HED) matter in laboratory for years (Beg et al., 2006; Atzeni et al., 2004; Hora et al., 2008; Tahir et al., 2010; Dewald et al., 2013; Rygg et al., 2014) In general, HED matter can only be transiently produced in laboratory on a time scale of nanoseconds (ns). The pressure in a HED sample could exceed 1 Mbar (100 GPa), and the expansion velocity would be in the range of km/s. Therefore, diagnostic techniques with temporal resolution better than ns and spatial resolution better than 10 μ m are necessary. Typically, a low Z (atomic number) material could be compressed by high Z materials from mm to

100 μ m in size and from 10⁻² to 10² g/cm³ in density, so the imaging system must work for a quite wide dynamic range. Besides, the imaging system must be sensitive to a mixture of high Z and low Z elements as well, because it is essential to measure the moving boundary and the proportions of different materials in order to understand the hydrodynamic processes of the HED sample. What is more, to get the full image of the compression from the 4π solid angle, a time dependent imaging system in the three orthogonal directions is desirable.

Many ultrafast imaging diagnostic tools are based on the use of hard X-rays, Gama rays, electron, proton, and carbon beams or even neutron beams produced by high power laser or other pulsed power like pinch device (Edwards et al., 2002; Beg et al., 2003; Li et al., 2008, 2010; Roth et al., 2013; Sheng et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2014, 2015). High energy proton radiography developed at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) has shown its great potential for HED matter diagnostics with excellent spatial and temporal resolution (King et al., 1999; Merrill et al., 2011; Merrill, 2015). This technique is also proposed at the Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR) with 4.5 GeV proton

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Y. Zhao, Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China and Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA. E-mail: zhaoyongtao@xjtu.edu.cn, wg@anl.gov

beams (Merrill et al., 2009; Varentsov et al., 2013). Although proton beam is superior to electron beam in the aspect of penetration ability, a high energy proton accelerator and the corresponding imaging system is really costly, and a ps-scale proton beam bunch with desirable energy and intensity for radiography is not yet available in the laboratory. Besides, proton radiography facility is always much larger in size than electron radiography system.

The principle of electron radiography is similar to that of the high energy proton radiography, a resolution of $\sim 100 \,\mu m$ for a static sample has been demonstrated with 30 MeV electron beam at LANL (Merrill et al., 2007). Obviously, such a resolution is not enough for HEDP experiments, let alone that the temporal resolution was not taken into account. To fit well with the requirements for HEDP or inertial confinement fusion (ICF) studies, here we propose a practical method that uses a relatively high energy (100 MeV-GeV) electron bunches as the probe beam in order to get both high temporal and high spatial resolution. Moreover, within this proposal, it is possible to get dynamic images in the three orthogonal directions simultaneously.

2. PROPOSED ELECTRON RADIOGRAPHY **SCHEME**

The generation of high quality electron beams from accelerators based on radio frequency (RF) photocathode gun was developed 20 years ago by Fraser et al. (1987). Since then, it became a commonly used technology for accelerator and Free Electron Laser facilities (Power, 2010). A typical electron Linear Accelerator (e-LINAC) is shown schematically in Figure 1. Beams with bunch intensity ranging from a few pC to 100 nC, and bunch length of 1 ps or even less can be generated at RF of 1-12 GHz. A mode locked laser is used to produce a train of several electron bunches with bunch interval as short as 100 ps. State of the art technology allows the electron beam timing to be locked to a master clock with a timing jitter <1 ps. Normalized emittance from RF guns is typically 1 mm-mR/nC with about 1%

RF aser Master Oscillator Gun Accelerating Solenoid Cavity Cavity

Fig. 1. A typical RF photocathode electron injector system.

energy spread. This fits the proposed scheme for the high spatial resolution requirement in radiographic studies. The beam energy can be increased easily from a few MeV to GeV by adding more accelerating sections without any impact on the other beam parameters. Details can be found in Power (2010).

With a flexible beam from e-LINAC, one can easily generate a bunch group of three electron beamlets or more separated by one or more RF period. As shown in Figure 2, in order to image a target in the three orthogonal directions, here three beamlets are set in one bunch group. When the beamlets exit the accelerator, they can pass through a 1/3harmonic deflecting cavity and can be separated to three directions. With a septum magnet and achromatic matching beam lines, the three beamlets are then delivered to the target in three orthogonal directions simultaneously. In addition, a second and third bunch group can be generated at arbitrary time delays and used for a temporal evolution study of the HEDP target with ps accuracy.

The sequence imaging interval can be definitely set in order of 100 ps or even lower with the very high (around 10 GHz) RF accelerator. However, it is not easy to find such a fast imaging screen with reasonable high fluorescence conversion efficiency except a rapid charge coupled device (CCD) camera for recording the sequence of the images. To solve the problem, a new design similar with streak camera is proposed as shown schematically in Figure 3. An RF deflector could be introduced after the magnet imaging system for spatially separating and directing electron beamlets to different transverse positions on the screen. This design makes it possible to dynamically record the density revolution of an imploding HED/ICF target with rate of about 10¹⁰ frames/second during one dynamic event.

Apart from high temporal resolution, high spatial resolution, and wide dynamic responding range are also required for precise imaging of a HED/ICF target. The principle of charged particle radiography with a magnet imaging system has been demonstrated elsewhere (King et al., 1999; Merrill et al., 2007, 2009, 2011; Varentsov et al., 2013). In general, by setting a fine-designed point to point magnet imaging system, the spatial resolution is somehow



System(M)





Scattered

electrons



Fig. 3. System scheme for rapid acquisition of the imaging information, which is similar to a streak camera but recording a 2D image.

dominated by the second chromatic aberrations and proportional to the chromatic length (L_c , which is inversely proportional to the magnification of the lens system, M), the angular spread of the accepted beam (ϕ , which can be reduced to the collimate angle by setting an aperture at the Fourier plane in the beam optics), and the momentum (p) deviation from the central trajectory ($\Delta p/p$, which also can be reduced by properly collimating the magnetic imaging system). The formula for the spatial resolution Δx can be written as Eq. (1).

$$\Delta x = L_{\rm c} \cdot \phi \cdot \frac{\Delta p}{p}.$$
 (1)

Actually the scattering angle distribution and the energy struggling of the electron beam passing through an object have been deeply investigated by Tsai *et al.* (1966). The angle distribution is significantly linearly correlated to the electron energy, thus by increasing the electron beam energy, a very high spatial resolution can be achieved. Since the energy loss struggling is very sensitive to the target thickness, this makes it possible to get the density or thickness profiles from the radiographic images.

In order to illustrate the system concept design and to guide a system test experiment, numerical simulations have been carried out. A well tested particle transport simulation code, electron-gamma shower (EGS), is used for the study of the scattering angle distribution and energy struggling, and a charged particle tracking code, PARMELA, is used to simulate electron trajectories from the target exit through the focusing section and to the imaging location.

Figure 4 shows a proposed tungsten target with 10 μ m wide slots and a prototype magnet imaging system with magnification of 15× and the length from the target to the imaging screen is 2.5 m. The thickness of the target slots is 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200 μ m from T1–T5, respectively, which is used to evaluate the dynamic process of the HEDP/ICF object. The magnet imaging system is arranged in a DFDFF (D and F stand for Defocus and Focus, respectively.) pattern in the horizontal plane, where the use of the additional quadruple lenses provides additional flexibility for image adjustment. An aperture located at the Fourier plane is used



Fig. 4. Layout of a prototype magnet imaging system and the tungsten target (left-top).

to collimate the scattered electron beam of both off-axis and off-energy particles. The overall system optimizations are performed using the criteria: (1) Sufficient transmission of the electron beam through the target with a small energy spread at the imaging plane; (2) appropriate beam energy to obtain a high contrast ratio for different densities.

As illustrated in Table 1 and Figure 5, a high resolution in order of micron can be achieved with the prototype magnet imaging system, no matter an aperture is set in the trajectory or not. The transmission ratio (T. Ratio in Table 1) is high even in case that a very small aperture, for example 100 µm in diameter, is set in the central trajectory. This indicates that, the aperture will not reduce the brightness of the image too much, but will reduce the unwanted background like γ or X rays remarkably, since the solid angle subtending to the target is in order of 10^{-11} sr. The results also show that, a proper aperture is crucial to improve the spatial resolution, and it is also able to adjust the dynamic responding range of the radiography, so the calibrated intensity ratio can be used to measure the thickness of the target. The beam intensity in the simulations is 10^9 electrons/mm², which indicates that charge of nC/pulse is suitable for a mm scale target. It needs to be noted that, in case that very strong magnet fields exist in the target region (Schumaker et al., 2013),

Table 1. Transmission ratio and root mean square (RMS)resolution for 800 MeV electron radiography

Thickness (µm)	100 µm aperture		400 µm aperture		No aperture	
	T. ratio (%)	RMS (µm)	T. ratio (%)	RMS (µm)	T. ratio (%)	RMS (µm)
10	77.2	0.07	98.1	0.3	99.1	0.3
20	59.5	0.09	96	0.3	97.8	0.4
50	36.9	0.3	86.7	0.6	92.9	0.7
100	24.3	0.6	70.5	1	85	1.5
200	15	1	45.6	3	63.3	5



Fig. 5. Projection of the target image on X-axis obtained with the prototype system in Fig. 4.

the particle tracing codes can be taken into account, so that the rapidly evolving field structures can be probed as well.

3. CONCLUSION

We have presented a conceptual design of an electron radiography system for dynamic diagnostics of HED matter. The scheme has its distinct advantages as follows: (1) As an universal imaging-light-source, multiple electron bunches have a very flexible time structure, and they can be easily transported with mature RF photocathode-based electron beam technology, so there is great possibility to get the multiple dynamic images with ps accuracy in three orthogonal directions simultaneously during one experimental event; (2) by using a proper magnet imaging system, unprecedented spatial resolution can be achieved with high energy (several hundred MeV or GeV) electron beams; (3) dynamic responding range of the high energy electron radiography system is related with a collimating aperture, so through adjusting the aperture, the density and thickness information of the target could be measured in its concerned range; (4) the unwanted background from the target can be remarkably reduced by an aperture and the imaging electrons will keep in the same order meanwhile, so high signal to noise ratio can be expected. A successful implementation of this scheme may have a major impact on HEDP research or some other fields, which

needs a microscopy study in picosecond accuracy and in a wide dynamic range. An 800 MeV electron radiography system has been proposed at the High Intensity heavy-ion-Accelerator Facility (a future project) where HEDP is one of the main scientific goals (Zhao *et al.*, 2014). An engineering design of this system is under development.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge useful discussions with Joe Kwan of LBL, Andrew NG from UBC, Paul Schoessow from Euclid Techlabs, Frank Miller from LANL, Siegfried Glenzer from SLAC and Dieter Hoffmann from TU Darmstadt. Special thanks to W. Liu of ANL for providing the EGS4 simulations. This work is partially supported by the US Department of Energy under contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357, Scientific Research Program of Education Bureau of Shaanxi Province, China (Grant No. 2010JK895), Scientific Research Program Funded by Shaanxi Provincial Education Department (Program No. 15JK1793), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11435015, No. 11375138, No. U1532263, No. 11505251, No.11505248 No. 11275241,)

REFERENCES

ATZENI, S. & MEYER-TER-VEHN, J. (2004). The Physics of Inertial Fusion. USA: Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0-19-856264-1.

- BEG, F.N., KRUSHELNICK, K., LICHTSTEINER, P., MEAKINS, A., KENNE-DY, A., KAJUMBA, N., BURT, G. & DANGOR, A.E. (2003). Tabletop X-pinch for x-ray radiography. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 82, 4602–4604.
- BEG, F.N., STEPHENS, R.B., XU, H.-W., HAAS, D., EDDINGER, S., TYNAN, G., SHIPTON, E., DEBONO, B. & WAGSHAL, K. (2006). Compact X-pinch based point x-ray source for phase contrast imaging of inertial confinement fusion capsules. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 89, 101502, 3.
- DEWALD, E.L., MILOVICH, J.L., MICHEL, P., LANDEN, O.L., KLINE, J.L., GLENN, S., JONES, O., KALANTAR, D.H., PAK, A., ROBEY, H.F., KYRALA, G.A., DIVOL, L., BENEDETTI, L.R., HOLDER, J., WIDMANN, K., MOORE, A., SCHNEIDER, M.B., DÖPPNER, T., TOM-MASINI, R., BRADLEY, D.K., BELL, P., EHRLICH, B., THOMAS, C.A., SHAW, M., WIDMAYER, C., CALLAHAN, D.A., MEEZAN, N.B., TOWN, R.P.J., HAMZA, A., DZENITIS, B., NIKROO, A., MORENO, K., VAN WONTERGHEM, B., MACKINNON, A.J., GLENZER, S.H., MACGOWAN, B.J., KILKENNY, J.D., EDWARDS, M.J., ATHER-TON, L.J. & MOSES, E.I. (2013). Early-time symmetry tuning in the presence of cross-beam energy transfer in ICF Experiments on the National Ignition Facility. *PRL* 111, 235001, 5.
- EDWARDS, R.D., SINCLAIR, M.A., GOLDSACK, T.J., KRUSHELNICK, K., BEG, F.N., CLARK, E.L., DANGOR, A.E., NAJMUDIN, Z., TATARAKIS, M., WALTON, B., ZEPF, M., LEDINGHAM, K.W.D., SPENCER, I., NORREYS, P.A., CLARKE, R.J., KODAMA, R., TOYAMA, Y. & TAMPO, M. (2002). Characterization of a gamma-ray source based on a laser-plasma accelerator with applications to radiography. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **80**, 2129–2131.
- FRASER, J., SHEFFIELD, R., GRAY, E., GILES, P., SPRINGER, R. & LOEBS., V. (1987). Photocathodes in accelerator applications. *Proc. of the* 1987 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conf., pp. 1705–1709. Washington, DC: IEEE.
- HORA, H., MALEKYNIA, B., GHORANNEVISS, M., MILEY, G.H. & HE, X. (2008). Twenty times lower ignition threshold for laser driven fusion using collective effects and the inhibition factor. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93**, 011101, 3.
- KING, N.S.P., ABLES, E., ADAMS, K., ALRICK, K.R., AMANN, J.F., BALZAR, S., BARNES JR., P.D., CROW, M.L., CUSHING, S.B., EDDLE-MAN, J.C., FIFE, T.T., FLORES, P., FUJINO, D., GALLEGOS, R.A., GRAY, N.T., HARTOUNI, E.P., HOGAN, G.E., HOLMES, V.H., JARA-MILLO, S.A., KNUDSSON, J.N., LONDON, R.K., LOPEZ, R.R., MCDO-NALD, T.E., MCCLELLAND, J.B., MERRILL, F.E., MORLEY, K.B., MORRIS, C.L., NAIVAR, F.J., PARKER, E.L., PARK, H.S., PAZU-CHANICS, P.D., PILLAI, C., RIEDEL, C.M., SARRACINO, J.S., SHELLEY JR., F.E., STACY, H.L., TAKALA, B.E., THOMPSON, R., TUCKER, H.E., YATES, G.J., ZIOCK, H.-J.& ZUMBRO, J.D. (1999). An 800-MeV proton radiography facility for dynamic experiments. *NIM A* 424, 84–91.
- LI, C.K., SÉGUIN, F.H., RYGG, J.R., FRENJE, J.A., MANUEL, M., PET-RASSO, R.D., BETTI, R., DELETTREZ, J., KNAUER, J.P., MARSHALL, F., MEYERHOFER, D.D., SHVARTS, D., SMALYUK, V.A., STOECKL, C., LANDEN, O.L., TOWN, R.P.J., BACK, C.A. & KILKENNY, J.D. (2008). Monoenergetic-proton-radiography measurements of implosion dynamics in direct-drive inertial-confinement fusion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 225001, 4.
- MERRILL, F.E. (2015). Imaging with penetrating radiation for the study of small dynamic physical processes. *Laser Part. Beams* 33, 425–431.
- MERRILL, F.E., CAMPOS, E., ESPINOZA, C., HOGAN, G., HOLLANDER, B., LOPEZ, J., MARIAM, F.G., MORLEY, D., MORRIS, C.L., MURRAY,

M., SAUNDERS, A., SCHWARTZ, C. & THOMPSON, T.N. (2011). Magnifying lens for 800 MeV proton radiography. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **82**, 103709, 6.

- MERRILL, F.E., GOLUBEV, A.A., MARIAM, F.G., TURTIKOV, V.I., VAR-ENTSOV, D. & HEDGEHOB, COLLABORATION (2009). Proton microscopy at fair. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1195**, 667–670.
- MERRILL, F., HARMON, F., HUNT, A., MARIAM, F., MORLEY, K., MORRIS, C., SAUNDERS, A. & SCHWARTZ, C. (2007). Electron radiography. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sec. B* 261, 382–386.
- PARMELA. http://laacg.lanl.gov/laacg/services/serv_codes. phtml
- POWER, J.G. (2010). Overview of photoinjectors. AIP Conf. Proc. 1299, 20–28.
- ROTH, M., JUNG, D., FALK, K., GULER, N., DEPPERT, O., DEVLIN, M., FAVALLI, A., FERNANDEZ, J., GAUTIER, D., GEISSEL, M., HAIGHT, R., HAMILTON, C.E., HEGELICH, B.M., JOHNSON, R.P., MERRILL, F., SCHAUMANN, G., SCHOENBERG, K., SCHOLLMEIER, M., SHIMADA, T., TADDEUCCI, T., TYBO, J.L., WAGNER, F., WENDER, S.A., WILDE, C.H. & WURDEN, G.A. (2013). Bright laser-driven neutron source based on the relativistic transparency of solids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 044802, 5.
- RYGG, J.R., JONES, O.S., FIELD, J.E., BARRIOS, M.A., BENEDETTI, L.R., COLLINS, G.W., EDER, D.C., EDWARDS, M.J., KLINE, J.L., KROLL, J.J., LANDEN, O.L., MA, T., PAK, A., PETERSON, J.L., RAMAN, K., TOWN, R.P.J. & BRADLEY, D.K. (2014). 2D X-Ray radiography of imploding capsules at the national ignition facility. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 195001, 5.
- SCHUMAKER, W., NAKANII, N., MCGUFFEY, C., ZULICK, C., CHYVKOV, V., DOLLAR, F., HABARA, H., KALINTCHENKO, G., MAKSIMCHUK, A., TANAKA, K.A., THOMAS, A.G.R., YANOVSKY, V. & KRUSHEL-NICK, K. (2013). Ultrafast electron radiography of magnetic fields in high-intensity laser-solid interactions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 015003, 5.
- SHENG, L.N., ZHAO, Y.T., YANG, G.J., WEI, T., JIANG, X.G., ZHOU, X.M., CHENG, R., YAN, Y., LI, P., YANG, J.C., YUAN, Y.J., XIA, J.W. & XIAO, G.Q. (2014). Heavy-ion radiography facility at the Institute of Modern Physics. *Laser Part. Beams* 32, 651–655.
- TAHIR, N.A., STÖHLKER, T.H., SHUTOV, A., LOMONOSOV, I.V., FORTOV,
 V.E., FRENCH, M., NETTELMANN, N., REDMER, R., PIRIZ, A.R.,
 DEUTSCH, C., ZHAO, Y., ZHANG, P., XU, H., XIAO, G. & ZHAN,
 W. (2010). Ultrahigh compression of water using intense heavy ion beams: Laboratory planetary physics. *New J. Phys.* 12, 073022, 17.
- TSAI, Y.S. & WHITIS, VAN (1966). Thick-target bremsstrahlung and target considerations for secondary-particle production by electrons. *Phys. Rev.* 149, 1248–1257.
- VARENTSOV, D., BOGDANOV, A., DEMIDOV, V.S., GOLUBEV, A.A., KANTSYREV, A., LANG, P.M., NIKOLAEV, D.N., MARKOV, N., NATALE, F., SHESTOV, L., SIMONIELLO, P., SMIRNOV, G.N. & DU-RANTE, M. (2013). First biological images with high-energy proton microscopy. *Physica Medica* 29, 208–213.
- ZHAO, Q., CAO, S., CHENG, R., SHEN, X., ZHANG, Z., ZHAO, Y., GAI, W. & DU, Y. (2015). High energy electron radiography experiment research based on picosencond pulse width bunch. *Proc.* of *LINAC2014*, p. 4. MOPP015. doi: 10.13140/2.1.2180.4327.
- ZHAO, Y., CHENG, R., WANG, Y., ZHOU, X., LEI, Y., SUN, Y., XU, G., REN, J., SHENG, L., ZHANG, Z. & XIAO, G. (2014). High energy density physics research at IMP, Lanzhou, China. *High Power Laser Sci. Eng.*, 2, e39, 5. doi: 10.1017/hpl.2014.44.