

Cigarette smoking and cannabis use are equally strongly associated with psychotic-like experiences: a cross-sectional study in 1929 young adults

W. A. van Gastel^{1*}, J. H. MacCabe², C. D. Schubart¹, A. Vreeker¹, W. Tempelaar¹, R. S. Kahn¹
and M. P. M. Boks¹

¹Rudolf Magnus Institute of Neuroscience, University Medical Center Utrecht, Department of Psychiatry, Utrecht, The Netherlands

²Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, UK

Background. Cannabis use is associated with increased risk for psychotic-like experiences (PLEs) and psychotic disorders. It remains unclear whether this relationship is causal or due to confounding.

Method. A total of 1929 young adults aged 18–30 years participated in a nationwide internet-based survey in The Netherlands and gave information on demographics, substance use and parental psychiatric illness and completed the Community Assessment of Psychic Experiences (CAPE).

Results. Cigarette smoking and cannabis use were equally strongly associated with the frequency of PLEs in a fully adjusted model ($\beta=0.098$ and 0.079 respectively, $p<0.05$). Cannabis use was associated with distress from PLEs in a model adjusted for an elaborate set of confounders excluding smoking ($\beta=0.082$, $p<0.05$). However, when cigarette smoking was included in the model, cannabis use was not a significant predictor of distress from PLEs. Cigarette smoking remained associated with distress from PLEs in a fully adjusted model ($\beta=0.107$, $p<0.001$).

Conclusions. Smoking is an equally strong independent predictor of frequency of PLEs as monthly cannabis use. Our results suggest that the association between moderate cannabis use and PLEs is confounded by cigarette smoking.

Received 25 October 2012; Revised 19 January 2013; Accepted 24 January 2013; First published online 18 February 2013

Key words: Cannabis use, confounding, nicotine, psychosis, psychotic-like experiences, reverse causality, risk, smoking.

Introduction

Cannabis use has been implicated as a risk factor for psychotic symptoms, ranging from subclinical psychotic-like experiences (PLEs) to clinically defined schizophrenia (Andreasson *et al.* 1987; Arseneault *et al.* 2002; van Os *et al.* 2002; Fergusson *et al.* 2003; Henquet *et al.* 2005; Moore *et al.* 2007; Schubart *et al.* 2011; van Gastel *et al.* 2012b). There is evidence of a dose–response effect: heavy and long-term cannabis use and an initiation of use before the age of 16 years are associated with an elevated rate of PLEs (Arseneault *et al.* 2002; Monshouwer *et al.* 2006; Schubart *et al.* 2011; van Gastel *et al.* 2012a). Moreover, the onset of psychosis is earlier in cannabis-using patients (Large *et al.* 2011) and cannabis use may exacerbate symptoms in patients with established psychosis (Mullin *et al.* 2012).

Although the association between cannabis use and psychotic symptoms is firmly established, the nature of the relationship remains subject to debate (Murray *et al.* 2007). Several underlying mechanisms have been proposed, such as the persistence of normally transient cannabis-induced psychotic symptoms (Cougnaud *et al.* 2007), an adverse impact on the developing endo-cannabinoid and/or dopaminergic system (Caspi *et al.* 2005; Henquet *et al.* 2006; Bossong & Niesink, 2010; Costas *et al.* 2011) and reverse causality, whereby individuals with PLEs are more likely to start using cannabis in an attempt to ‘self-medicate’ their distress (Henquet *et al.* 2005; Macleod *et al.* 2007). A further possibility is that the association between cannabis consumption and psychotic symptoms could arise through confounding (Macleod & Hickman, 2006; Macleod *et al.* 2007; van Gastel *et al.* 2012a). A substantial overlap exists between risk factors for cannabis use and mental health problems in young adolescents (van Gastel *et al.* 2012a), and in many studies the association between cannabis use and mental health problems is diminished following adjustment for confounders (e.g. Macleod *et al.* 2004; Monshouwer *et al.* 2006; van Gastel *et al.* 2012b).

* Address for correspondence: W. A. van Gastel, M.Sc., Rudolf Magnus Institute of Neuroscience, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Department of Psychiatry, HP A01.468, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands.
(Email: W.vanGastel@umcutrecht.nl)

Tobacco smoking may be such a confounder. Nicotine dependency is associated with psychotic symptoms: two longitudinal studies found a dose-response relationship between cigarette smoking in adolescence and later psychotic symptoms in the general population (Weiser *et al.* 2004; Sørensen *et al.* 2011). Furthermore, two cross-sectional studies found an association between cigarette smoking and psychotic symptoms (Degenhardt & Hall, 2001; Wiles *et al.* 2006) and the majority (70–85%) of patients with schizophrenia smoke cigarettes (Lasser *et al.* 2000; Ziedonis *et al.* 2008). Additionally, cannabis use and cigarette smoking are strongly correlated (Agrawal *et al.* 2012) and, in most European countries, cannabis is usually consumed in combination with tobacco. Thus, the question arises as to what extent the relationship between cannabis use and PLEs is influenced by cigarette smoking. In a large cross-sectional sample we addressed this issue by comparing two elaborately adjusted models of the association between cannabis use and frequency of PLEs and associated distress, both with and without adjustment for cigarette smoking.

Method

Participants

The data were collected in The Netherlands over the period from August 2006 to April 2011 using a research website designed for this purpose (Vreeker *et al.* 2013). Participants were recruited through advertisements on websites, chat clients, college intranet sites, during college introduction periods and in 'coffee shops' (licensed retailers of cannabis products). On the website it was explained that the aim of the study was to investigate the potential effect of cannabis use on strange experiences. As an incentive, participants had a chance of winning a prize, ranging from a Hawaiian garland and credit for online shopping to a parachute jump or a laptop computer. Web-based questionnaires covered sociodemographic characteristics, lifestyle, social environment and psychosocial functioning. To detect random answering and automated answers by internet robots, verification items were included. All participants gave informed consent online and the study was approved by the ethics committee of the University Medical Center Utrecht.

Measurements

PLEs. The Community Assessment of Psychic Experiences (CAPE) was used to assess lifetime PLEs (Stefanis *et al.* 2002; Konings *et al.* 2006). The CAPE has discriminative validity in community samples (Stefanis *et al.* 2002; Konings *et al.* 2006). Each item

measures the frequency and associated distress of psychotic experiences, each rated on a four-point scale ranging from 'never'/'not distressed' (1) to 'nearly always'/'very distressed' (4). If the frequency was 'never', distress was not inquired about and was automatically set to zero. The scores were rescaled by subtracting the minimum score [as suggested for the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) instrument by Obermeier *et al.* (2010)], such that a person reporting no psychotic symptoms scored zero. Scores on the Frequency and Distress scales were used as outcome measures and a *post-hoc* analysis was performed on the Positive, Negative and Depressive subscales. The subscales comprise the frequency of experiences in these symptoms dimensions (but not associated distress).

Cannabis use. Individuals who reported that they had ever used cannabis on a monthly basis were classified as cannabis users; ever use of cannabis at least weekly was coded as heavy use. Subjects were also asked at what age they started using cannabis.

Cigarette smoking. Cigarette smoking was defined as daily smoking for at least 1 month during the past year.

Other covariates. Heavy alcohol use was defined as >21 drinks/week for men and >14 for women, according to the Dutch directive for alcohol consumption (de Beer & van de Glind, 2009). Lifetime use of any other illicit substances was recorded. Nationality was based on the country of birth of the grandparents; subjects with two or more grandparents born outside The Netherlands were defined as non-native. Education was coded according to the three educational tracks in Dutch secondary schools and higher education: vocational, polytechnic and scientific. Treatment of one or both parents for a mental disorder, including addiction, psychotic and affective symptoms, was also included. Participants were asked whether a parent was ever treated for a mental disorder, either with medication, hospitalization or another form of professional care.

Data analysis

All analyses were carried out with SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., USA). Listwise exclusion was applied for missing values. Linear regressions were carried out, with the CAPE Frequency and Distress scores as outcome measures after verification of statistical assumptions using scatterplots of the residuals. The association between cannabis use and CAPE Frequency and Distress was assessed, first in a crude model and

Table 1. Sample characteristics of the total study sample (n=1929), stratified by cannabis use

Characteristic	Total (n=1929)	Non-users (n=1000)	Users (n=929)	χ^2 or <i>t</i>	<i>p</i> value
Age (years), mean (s.d.)	21.6 (2.6)	21.2 (2.3)	22.0 (2.8)	-6.345	<0.001
Male gender, <i>n</i> (%)	947 (49.1)	378 (37.8)	569 (61.2)	106.0	<0.001
≥2 grandparents born outside The Netherlands, <i>n</i> (%)	290 (15.0)	87 (8.7)	203 (21.9)	65.2	<0.001
Low level of education, <i>n</i> (%)	585 (30.3)	198 (19.8)	387 (41.7)	108.9	<0.001
Parent(s) treated for mental health problems, <i>n</i> (%)	493 (25.6)	198 (19.8)	295 (31.8)	36.2	<0.001
Cigarette smoking, <i>n</i> (%)	762 (39.5)	103 (10.3)	659 (70.9)	741	<0.001
Regular alcohol consumption, <i>n</i> (%)	1656 (85.8)	810 (81.0)	846 (91.1)	40.2	<0.001
Other illicit substance use ever, <i>n</i> (%)	707 (36.7)	59 (5.9)	648 (69.8)	845.7	<0.001

second in a model adjusting for confounders age and gender, plus other potential confounders that were associated with cannabis use and the outcome measures at $p < 0.05$. Third, cigarette smoking was added to both crude and fully adjusted models. The interaction between cannabis use and smoking was also investigated. Lastly, *post-hoc* analyses were performed with the Positive, Negative and Depressive subscales as outcome measures, and the effect of frequency of cannabis use was explored.

Results

There were 27 missing values for ethnicity, 22 for mental disorder of parents and eight for educational track. Listwise exclusion of these resulted in a sample of 1929 adolescents aged 18–30 years, 947 (49.1%) male. Table 1 lists sample characteristics, stratified by monthly cannabis use: non-users had used cannabis never or infrequently, users had consumed cannabis at least monthly at some point in their lives. Groups differed significantly on all characteristics. About one-third of the total sample (36.5%) had never used cannabis, another 9.3% less than yearly. Among cannabis users, 50.3% started using between the ages of 15 and 17 years; 30.3% of them started using before the age of 15. The proportion of daily cigarette smokers was 39% overall, and was 70.9% among cannabis users.

Association between cannabis use and PLEs

The regression coefficients (β) and *p* values for the association between cannabis use and psychotic experiences, as measured by the CAPE Frequency and Distress scores, are shown in Table 2. The results show that cannabis use was related to the CAPE Frequency and Distress scores, even when an elaborate set of confounders was taken into account ($\beta = 0.123$, $p < 0.001$ and $\beta = 0.082$, $p < 0.05$ respectively).

Association between cannabis use, smoking and PLEs

The association between cannabis use, smoking and frequency of PLEs, as measured by the CAPE, is shown in Table 2. Both cannabis use and smoking were associated with frequency of PLEs, in a crude ($\beta = 0.110$ and $\beta = 0.128$ respectively, $p < 0.001$) and also in a fully adjusted model ($\beta = 0.079$, $p < 0.05$ and $\beta = 0.098$, $p < 0.01$ respectively). No significant interaction effects were found for cannabis and smoking, although we had limited power to find such an effect.

Association between cannabis use, smoking and distress from PLEs

The association between cannabis use, smoking and distress from PLEs, as measured by the CAPE, is also shown in Table 2. Cigarette smoking was significantly associated with distress from PLEs, in both a crude ($\beta = 0.132$, $p < 0.001$) and a fully adjusted model ($\beta = 0.107$, $p < 0.001$). Cannabis use was not significantly associated with distress from PLEs when cigarette smoking was included as a covariate, either in a crude or in a fully adjusted model. Again, no significant interaction effects were found for cannabis and smoking, although we had limited power to find such an effect.

Association between cannabis use, smoking and CAPE Positive, Negative and Depressive subscales

Cannabis use and smoking were significantly associated with the score on the CAPE Positive subscale, in a crude ($\beta = 0.135$, $p < 0.001$ and $\beta = 0.107$, $p < 0.001$ respectively) and in an adjusted model ($\beta = 0.117$, $p < 0.001$ and $\beta = 0.082$, $p < 0.01$ respectively). For the score on the CAPE Negative subscale, the association with cannabis use was only significant in a crude model alongside smoking ($\beta = 0.102$, $p < 0.001$), whereas smoking remained significantly associated in the fully

Table 2. Standardized regression coefficients (β) and p values for the association between monthly cannabis use, daily smoking and Community Assessment of Psychic Experiences (CAPE) scores for crude and adjusted models. For the adjusted models, associations between all factors and CAPE scores are also displayed. β s with a p value below 0.05 are shown in italics

	Model	Predictor	Frequency, β (p)	Distress, β (p)
Monthly cannabis use	Crude	Cannabis use	<i>0.190 (<0.001)</i>	<i>0.132 (<0.001)</i>
	Adjusted	Cannabis use	<i>0.123 (<0.001)</i>	<i>0.082 (0.008)</i>
		Age	−0.033 (0.133)	−0.030 (0.186)
		Male gender	−0.048 (0.034)	−0.078 (<0.001)
		Foreign ethnicity	<i>0.088 (<0.001)</i>	<i>0.072 (0.002)</i>
		Low education	<i>0.062 (0.006)</i>	<i>0.033 (0.148)</i>
		Heavy alcohol use	−0.006 (0.793)	−0.017 (0.463)
		Other illicit substances (ever)	0.050 (0.093)	0.056 (0.063)
		Parent(s) treated for mental problems	<i>0.144 (<0.001)</i>	<i>0.141 (<0.001)</i>
Monthly cannabis use and daily smoking	Crude	Cannabis use	<i>0.110 (<0.001)</i>	0.050 (0.082)
	Adjusted	Smoking	<i>0.128 (<0.001)</i>	<i>0.132 (<0.001)</i>
		Cannabis use	<i>0.079 (0.017)</i>	<i>0.034 (0.310)</i>
		Smoking	<i>0.098 (0.001)</i>	<i>0.107 (<0.001)</i>
		Age	−0.036 (0.109)	−0.032 (0.152)
		Male gender	−0.044 (0.054)	−0.082 (<0.001)
		Foreign ethnicity	<i>0.089 (<0.001)</i>	<i>0.072 (0.001)</i>
		Low education	<i>0.048 (0.039)</i>	<i>0.017 (0.453)</i>
		Heavy alcohol use	−0.010 (0.644)	−0.022 (0.342)
		Other illicit substances (ever)	0.030 (0.316)	0.034 (0.260)
		Parent(s) treated for mental problems	<i>0.139 (<0.001)</i>	<i>0.136 (<0.001)</i>

adjusted model ($\beta=0.096$, $p<0.01$ crude and $\beta=0.080$, $p<0.01$ adjusted). Cannabis use was only associated with the score on the CAPE Depressive subscale in a crude model without smoking ($\beta=0.088$, $p<0.001$); smoking remained significantly associated in the adjusted model ($\beta=0.129$, $p<0.001$ crude and $\beta=0.086$, $p<0.01$ adjusted).

Frequency of cannabis use

For heavy cannabis use, the association with frequency of PLEs was the same as for monthly cannabis use: heavy cannabis use was associated with frequency of PLEs in a crude model without cigarette smoking ($\beta=0.198$, $p<0.001$), alongside cigarette smoking ($\beta=0.124$, $p<0.001$) and in a fully adjusted model ($\beta=0.100$, $p<0.01$). Smoking was associated with frequency of PLEs in a crude model with only heavy cannabis use ($\beta=0.122$, $p<0.001$), and also in a fully adjusted model ($\beta=0.093$, $p<0.01$).

For distress, however, heavy cannabis use was only significantly associated with the outcome in a crude model ($\beta=0.144$, $p<0.001$) without smoking, but not in the models adjusting for (1) cigarette smoking and (2) other confounders (at $p<0.025$). Smoking remained associated with distress from PLEs alongside heavy cannabis use ($\beta=0.125$, $p<0.001$ crude and $\beta=0.100$, $p<0.01$ adjusted).

Discussion

In a large sample of young adults aged 18 to 30 years, we found that cigarette smoking was as strongly associated as cannabis use with frequency of PLEs, and even more strongly with distress from PLEs. When cigarette and cannabis smoking were included in the same model, cigarette but not cannabis smoking was associated with distress from psychotic symptoms. This suggests that the relationship between cannabis use and distress from PLEs is confounded by cigarette smoking. Weekly cannabis use, however, was associated with distress from PLEs alongside cigarette smoking in a crude model, suggesting that, at higher frequencies of cannabis use, the confounding by cigarette smoking is less pronounced.

Cigarette smoking and PLEs

The associations we found for cigarette smoking echo previous studies. Degenhardt & Hall (2001) found that both cannabis use and cigarette smoking are associated with a range of mental health problems, including psychosis. Saha *et al.* (2011) showed that individuals who smoke cigarettes were more likely to endorse delusional-like experiences, as were those who had been diagnosed with cannabis dependence or those who had started cannabis use before the age

of 16 years. In parallel with our findings, they also showed that the association between daily smoking and delusional-like experiences persisted after adjustment for other risk factors whereas the association with cannabis use dependence did not.

The implications of our findings with respect to clinical psychosis remain unknown. Distress has been found to be an important predictive factor of transition from subclinical psychotic experiences to clinical psychosis (Krabbendam *et al.* 2005; Fusar-Poli *et al.* 2012). In the absence of further data we can only speculate whether the association of distress from PLEs with smoking signifies a causal relationship or whether this risk factor merely identifies those who are at risk.

The association between cigarette smoking and PLEs can be explained in several ways. First, cigarette smoking could increase the risk for PLEs through a biological mechanism. In support of this, Brody *et al.* (2004) showed that smoking causes acute dopamine release in the ventral striatum and nicotinic cholinergic neurotransmission was reported to be related to schizophrenia (Dean *et al.* 2003; Ripoll *et al.* 2004).

A second possibility is that nicotine is taken in an attempt to alleviate psychotic-like symptoms. There is some evidence that nicotine may alleviate symptoms associated with psychotic disorders (Punnoose & Belgamwar, 2006) as it improves negative symptoms in psychotic patients and cognitive functioning in both healthy subjects and psychotic patients (Dalack *et al.* 1998; Lyon, 1999; Barr *et al.* 2008; Jubelt *et al.* 2008; Wignall & de Wit, 2011). However, research in patients with schizophrenia has shown an association between nicotine dependence and worse psychotic symptoms (Kelly & McCreadie, 1999; Krishnadas *et al.* 2012). A third (slightly more remote) possibility is that PLEs result from nicotine withdrawal effects, as this has been observed in cases of psychotic patients (Dalack & Meador-Woodruff, 1996).

A fourth possibility is that the association between cigarette smoking and PLEs could be due to confounding by one or more other factors. In other words, individuals who are prone to PLEs are also prone to smoke cigarettes. Psychosocial stress increases the risk of PLEs and stress reduction is a frequent reason to smoke (Mobascher & Winterer, 2008; Compton *et al.* 2009). This explanation is supported by our finding of a significant association between cigarette smoking and distress associated with PLEs.

Cannabis use and PLEs

Cannabis use has received much attention as a potential cause of PLEs and psychotic disorders (Macleod *et al.* 2004; Moore *et al.* 2007). Regarding the association

between moderate cannabis use and frequency of PLEs, our findings are equivocal. Cannabis use was associated with frequency scores, also in a fully adjusted model. This shows that cannabis use is independently associated with frequency of PLEs and a causal relationship is a possibility. However, our finding that monthly cannabis use and cigarette smoking were equally strongly associated with frequency scores argues against a specific causative effect of moderate cannabis use on PLEs. Although it remains possible that nicotine and cannabis are causally related to PLEs, the non-specificity of the associations does suggest that reverse causation or confounding is at play.

Furthermore, regarding distress from PLEs, our findings are not supportive of the view of cannabis use as a cause of psychotic experiences. Theoretically, cannabis and tobacco could specifically reduce the distress associated with cannabis-induced PLEs. Cannabis would then still be causally related to PLEs but the associated distress would be countered through other pathways by the addition of tobacco. If cannabis were to cause highly distressing PLEs, however, the association would persist even after complete adjustment for confounding. However, even with inevitable residual confounding at play, monthly cannabis use was not significantly associated with distress from PLEs when combined with smoking in crude and adjusted models. This implies that the association between highly distressing PLEs and cannabis use is confounded by cigarette smoking, and possibly other confounders. Regarding the effect of residual confounding on the relationship between early cannabis use and psychosis-related outcomes, McGrath *et al.* (2010) showed that this is unlikely to be the explanation of this relationship altogether, by applying sibling-pair analyses.

Of note, a recent meta-analysis (Myles *et al.* 2012) concluded that tobacco smoking does not contribute to an earlier age at onset of psychosis, and hence should not be viewed as a confounding factor for the relationship between cannabis use and an earlier age at onset of psychosis. There are several possible explanations for these seemingly contradictory results. First, our study focused on subclinical psychotic symptoms, as opposed to clinical psychosis. Second, because of the limited number of studies examining the impact of both substances in the same population, Myles *et al.* (2012) were unable to establish the relative impact of the co-consumption of tobacco and cannabis. In our sample, we were able to compare the association between both substances and PLEs. Third, in the current study we investigated lifetime moderate (monthly) cannabis use whereas in the meta-analysis by Myles *et al.* (2012), studies were included with varying

thresholds of use, from 'past or current use' to a current cannabis use disorder.

Overall, our findings fit the hypothesis that individuals who are prone to PLEs, particularly if associated with high distress, are more inclined to use cannabis. If this is the case, moderate cannabis use, like cigarette smoking, could be viewed as a mere indicator of risk for PLEs, and thus for mental health problems in general (Johns & van Os, 2001; Yung *et al.* 2003; Hanssen *et al.* 2005), instead of a causative factor. This view is consistent with the accumulation of risk factors in the group of subjects using cannabis at least monthly, including foreign ethnicity (van Gastel *et al.* 2012b), low educational level (Ruhmann *et al.* 2010) and family history of a mental disorder (Mortensen *et al.* 1999; Mattejat & Remschmidt, 2008).

In contrast to monthly cannabis use, the association between weekly cannabis use and distress from PLEs did persist alongside cigarette smoking in a crude model. This shows that frequency of use might play a key role in the mechanism underlying the association and that a causal relationship between cannabis use and PLEs may exist for higher frequencies of use. This is in line with the meta-analysis by Myles *et al.* (2012). Our findings are consistent with a model in which the tendency to use cannabis is associated with increased levels of PLEs, and heavy use of cannabis leads to additionally increased psychosis proneness.

Limitations

A major limitation is that our study is cross-sectional, precluding firm conclusions regarding causality. Nonetheless, the results are in line with longitudinal studies linking both cannabis use (Arseneault *et al.* 2002; van Os *et al.* 2002; Henquet *et al.* 2005; Rossler *et al.* 2012) and cigarette smoking to (subclinical) psychotic symptoms (Degenhardt & Hall, 2001; Weiser *et al.* 2004; Wiles *et al.* 2006; Sorensen *et al.* 2011). Furthermore, our data were gathered by self-report on the internet, possibly leading to either over- or under-reporting of undesirable behaviour such as cannabis use. However, studies comparing psychometric and biometric measures of cannabis use (including urine and hair tests) show good reliability of self-report measures (Ledgerwood *et al.* 2008; Zaldivar *et al.* 2009). In addition, advertisements with cannabis use mentioned as the topic of the study may have attracted a selection of the population. Although recent studies have shown that the internet is a suitable instrument for scientific research (Meyerson & Tryon, 2003; Gosling *et al.* 2004; Balter *et al.* 2005; Ekman *et al.* 2006; M. Vleeschouwer *et al.*, unpublished observations) and potential bias is unlikely to be systematic,

we cannot rule out that our sample is in some way not representative of the general population.

The CAPE questionnaire inquires after lifetime psychotic experiences and these may be difficult to distinguish from acute intoxication effects of cannabis. There is, however, some evidence that high CAPE scores associated with acute cannabis intoxication are also a reflection of psychosis proneness (Kahn *et al.* 2011). In addition, the time of the last cigarette on the day of participation was not assessed. Furthermore, whereas the time frame for cannabis use was the same as for the CAPE questionnaire (i.e. lifetime), that of smoking was the past year, possibly resulting in an underestimation of the number of smokers.

As in other studies, there was a large overlap between smoking and cannabis use in our sample (Lynskey *et al.* 1998; Degenhardt & Hall, 2001). Moreover, cannabis is usually consumed in combination with tobacco in The Netherlands. Even so, nearly a third of cannabis users in this study were non-smokers of cigarettes. As the threshold for cannabis use was set to at least once a month ever, the subjects who did not smoke cigarettes as such had a relatively low intake of tobacco. In combination with the large sample size, this did allow us to tease out the relative importance of these risk factors to a large extent. The consistency of the findings in unadjusted and adjusted models suggests that these findings are stable.

Because we assessed lifetime use of cannabis in this study, we were unable to distinguish between current and past users. Previous research did find evidence for a persisting association between past cannabis use and current PLEs in adolescents (van Gastel, 2012a). In addition, the way in which cannabis was consumed was not investigated directly in this study. Finally, unknown sources of confounding may remain; although measures of demographic factors and substance use were available, residual confounding by extensive behavioural and psychopathological factors such as attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, externalizing behaviour and conduct disorder (Monshouwer *et al.* 2006; Karatekin *et al.* 2010; Lee *et al.* 2011; Malcolm *et al.* 2011) cannot be ruled out. Factors that may play a role, but that were not measured, are age at onset of cigarette smoking (Saha *et al.* 2011), urbanicity, socio-economic status, social support and household composition (van Gastel *et al.* 2012b).

Despite its limitations, the present study is an important addition to the existing literature because it demonstrates that smoking is equally strongly associated with PLEs as cannabis use. Moreover, the association between monthly cannabis use and distress from PLEs is strongly influenced by cigarette smoking. Our findings are consistent with a model in which

individuals who are prone to PLEs are more inclined to smoke cigarettes and use cannabis, and in which heavy use of cannabis leads to additionally increased psychosis proneness.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a grant from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NOW): ZonMW TOP grant no. 91207039.

Declaration of Interest

None.

References

- Agrawal A, Budney AJ, Lynskey MT (2012). The co-occurring use and misuse of cannabis and tobacco: a review. *Addiction* **107**, 1221–1233.
- Andreasson S, Allebeck P, Engstrom A, Rydberg U (1987). Cannabis and schizophrenia. A longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts. *Lancet* **2**, 1483–1486.
- Arseneault L, Cannon M, Poulton R, Murray R, Caspi A, Moffitt TE (2002). Cannabis use in adolescence and risk for adult psychosis: longitudinal prospective study. *British Medical Journal* **325**, 1212–1213.
- Balter KA, Balter O, Fondell E, Lagerros YT (2005). Web-based and mailed questionnaires: a comparison of response rates and compliance. *Epidemiology* **16**, 577–579.
- Barr RS, Culhane MA, Jubelt LE, Mufti RS, Dyer MA, Weiss AP, Deckersbach T, Kelly JF, Freudenreich O, Goff DC, Evins AE (2008). The effects of transdermal nicotine on cognition in nonsmokers with schizophrenia and nonpsychiatric controls. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **33**, 480–490.
- Bossong MG, Niesink RJ (2010). Adolescent brain maturation, the endogenous cannabinoid system and the neurobiology of cannabis-induced schizophrenia. *Progress in Neurobiology* **92**, 370–385.
- Brody AL, Olmstead RE, London ED, Farahi J, Meyer JH, Grossman P, Lee GS, Huang J, Hahn EL, Mandelkern MA (2004). Smoking-induced ventral striatum dopamine release. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **161**, 1211–1218.
- Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Cannon M, McClay J, Murray R, Harrington H, Taylor A, Arseneault L, Williams B, Braithwaite A, Poulton R, Craig IW (2005). Moderation of the effect of adolescent-onset cannabis use on adult psychosis by a functional polymorphism in the catechol-O-methyltransferase gene: longitudinal evidence of a gene X environment interaction. *Biological Psychiatry* **57**, 1117–1127.
- Compton MT, Kelley ME, Ramsay CE, Pringle M, Goulding SM, Esterberg ML, Stewart T, Walker EF (2009). Association of pre-onset cannabis, alcohol, and tobacco use with age at onset of prodrome and age at onset of psychosis in first-episode patients. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **166**, 1251–1257.
- Costas J, Sanjuan J, Ramos-Rios R, Paz E, Agra S, Tolosa A, Paramo M, Brenlla J, Arrojo M (2011). Interaction between COMT haplotypes and cannabis in schizophrenia: a case-only study in two samples from Spain. *Schizophrenia Research* **127**, 22–27.
- Cougnard A, Marcelis M, Myin-Germeys I, de Graaf R, Vollebergh W, Krabbendam L, Lieb R, Wittchen HU, Henquet C, Spauwen J, van Os J (2007). Does normal developmental expression of psychosis combine with environmental risk to cause persistence of psychosis? A psychosis proneness–persistence model. *Psychological Medicine* **37**, 513–527.
- Dalack GW, Healy DJ, Meador-Woodruff JH (1998). Nicotine dependence in schizophrenia: clinical phenomena and laboratory findings. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **155**, 1490–1501.
- Dalack GW, Meador-Woodruff JH (1996). Smoking, smoking withdrawal and schizophrenia: case reports and a review of the literature. *Schizophrenia Research* **22**, 133–141.
- Dean B, Bymaster FP, Scarr E (2003). Muscarinic receptors in schizophrenia. *Current Molecular Medicine* **3**, 419–426.
- de Beer H, van de Glind G (2009). Multidisciplinary directive alcohol. Disorders in use of alcohol [in Dutch]. CBO/Trimbos Instituut: Utrecht.
- Degenhardt L, Hall W (2001). The relationship between tobacco use, substance-use disorders and mental health: results from the National Survey of Mental Health and Well-Being. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research* **3**, 225–234.
- Ekman A, Dickman PW, Klint A, Weiderpass E, Litton JE (2006). Feasibility of using web-based questionnaires in large population-based epidemiological studies. *European Journal of Epidemiology* **21**, 103–111.
- Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Swain-Campbell NR (2003). Cannabis dependence and psychotic symptoms in young people. *Psychological Medicine* **33**, 15–21.
- Fusar-Poli P, Borgwardt S, Bechdolf A, Addington J, Riecher-Rossler A, Schultze-Lutter F, Keshavan M, Wood S, Ruhrmann S, Seidman LJ, Valmaggia L, Cannon T, Velthorst E, de Haan L, Cornblatt B, Bonoldi I, Birchwood M, McGlashan T, Carpenter W, McGorry P, Klosterkötter J, McGuire P, Yung A (2012). The psychosis high-risk state: a comprehensive state-of-the-art review. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **19**, 1–14.
- Gosling SD, Vazire S, Srivastava S, John OP (2004). Should we trust web-based studies? A comparative analysis of six preconceptions about internet questionnaires. *American Psychologist* **59**, 93–104.
- Hanssen M, Bak M, Bijl R, Vollebergh W, van Os J (2005). The incidence and outcome of subclinical psychotic experiences in the general population. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology* **44**, 181–191.
- Henquet C, Murray R, Linszen D, van Os J (2005). The environment and schizophrenia: the role of cannabis use. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **31**, 608–612.
- Henquet C, Rosa A, Krabbendam L, Papiol S, Fananas L, Drukker M, Ramaekers JG, van Os J (2006). An

- experimental study of catechol-o-methyltransferase Val158Met moderation of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol-induced effects on psychosis and cognition. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **31**, 2748–2757.
- Johns LC, van Os J** (2001). The continuity of psychotic experiences in the general population. *Clinical Psychology Review* **21**, 1125–1141.
- Jubelt LE, Barr RS, Goff DC, Logvinenko T, Weiss AP, Evins AE** (2008). Effects of transdermal nicotine on episodic memory in non-smokers with and without schizophrenia. *Psychopharmacology (Berlin)* **199**, 89–98.
- Kahn RS, Linszen DH, van Os J, Wiersma D, Bruggeman R, Cahn W, de Haan L, Krabbendam L, Myin-Germeys I; Genetic Risk and Outcome in Psychosis (GROUP) Investigators** (2011). Evidence that familial liability for psychosis is expressed as differential sensitivity to cannabis: an analysis of patient-sibling and sibling-control pairs. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **68**, 138–147.
- Karatekin C, White T, Bingham C** (2010). Shared and nonshared symptoms in youth-onset psychosis and ADHD. *Journal of Attention Disorders* **14**, 121–131.
- Kelly C, McCreadie RG** (1999). Smoking habits, current symptoms, and premorbid characteristics of schizophrenic patients in Nithsdale, Scotland. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **156**, 1751–1757.
- Konings M, Bak M, Hanssen M, van Os J, Krabbendam L** (2006). Validity and reliability of the CAPE: a self-report instrument for the measurement of psychotic experiences in the general population. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* **114**, 55–61.
- Krabbendam L, Myin-Germeys I, Bak M, van Os J** (2005). Explaining transitions over the hypothesized psychosis continuum. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* **39**, 180–186.
- Krishnadas R, Jauhar S, Telfer S, Shivashankar S, McCreadie RG** (2012). Nicotine dependence and illness severity in schizophrenia. *British Journal of Psychiatry*.
- Large M, Sharma S, Compton MT, Slade T, Nielssen O** (2011). Cannabis use and earlier onset of psychosis: a systematic meta-analysis. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **68**, 555–561.
- Lasser K, Boyd JW, Woolhandler S, Himmelstein DU, McCormick D, Bor DH** (2000). Smoking and mental illness: a population-based prevalence study. *Journal of the American Medical Association* **284**, 2606–2610.
- Ledgerwood DM, Goldberger BA, Risk NK, Lewis CE, Price RK** (2008). Comparison between self-report and hair analysis of illicit drug use in a community sample of middle-aged men. *Addictive Behaviors* **33**, 1131–1139.
- Lee SS, Humphreys KL, Flory K, Liu R, Glass K** (2011). Prospective association of childhood attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and substance use and abuse/dependence: a meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review* **31**, 328–341.
- Lynskey MT, Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ** (1998). The origins of the correlations between tobacco, alcohol, and cannabis use during adolescence. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **39**, 995–1005.
- Lyon ER** (1999). A review of the effects of nicotine on schizophrenia and antipsychotic medications. *Psychiatric Services* **50**, 1346–1350.
- Macleod J, Davey SG, Hickman M, Egger M** (2007). Cannabis and psychosis. *Lancet* **370**, 1539–1540.
- Macleod J, Hickman M** (2006). Commentary: understanding pathways to cannabis use and from use to harm. *International Journal of Epidemiology* **35**, 680–682.
- Macleod J, Oakes R, Copello A, Crome I, Egger M, Hickman M, Oppenkowski T, Stokes-Lampard H, Davey SG** (2004). Psychological and social sequelae of cannabis and other illicit drug use by young people: a systematic review of longitudinal, general population studies. *Lancet* **363**, 1579–1588.
- Malcolm CP, Picchioni MM, DiForti M, Sugranyes G, Cooke E, Joseph C, McQueen G, Paparelli A, Stilo S, O'Connor J, Morgan C, Murray RM, Hodgins S** (2011). Pre-morbid conduct disorder symptoms are associated with cannabis use among individuals with a first episode of psychosis. *Schizophrenia Research* **126**, 81–86.
- Mattejat F, Remschmidt H** (2008). The children of mentally ill parents. *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International* **105**, 413–418.
- McGrath J, Welham J, Scott J, Varghese D, Degenhardt L, Hayatbakhsh MR, Alati R, Williams GM, Bor W, Najman JM** (2010). Association between cannabis use and psychosis-related outcomes using sibling pair analysis in a cohort of young adults. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **67**, 440–447.
- Meyerson P, Tryon WW** (2003). Validating internet research: a test of the psychometric equivalence of internet and in-person samples. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments and Computers* **35**, 614–620.
- Mobascher A, Winterer G** (2008). Mechanisms of nicotine dependence [in German]. *Pneumologie* **62**, 553–561.
- Monshouwer K, van Dorsselaer S, Verdurmen J, Bogt TT, de Graaf R, Vollebergh W** (2006). Cannabis use and mental health in secondary school children. Findings from a Dutch survey. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **188**, 148–153.
- Moore TH, Zammit S, Lingford-Hughes A, Barnes TR, Jones PB, Burke M, Lewis G** (2007). Cannabis use and risk of psychotic or affective mental health outcomes: a systematic review. *Lancet* **370**, 319–328.
- Mortensen PB, Pedersen CB, Westergaard T, Wohlfahrt J, Ewald H, Mors O, Andersen PK, Melbye M** (1999). Effects of family history and place and season of birth on the risk of schizophrenia. *New England Journal of Medicine* **340**, 603–608.
- Mullin K, Gupta P, Compton MT, Nielssen O, Harris A, Large M** (2012). Does giving up substance use work for patients with psychosis? A systematic meta-analysis. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* **46**, 826–839.
- Murray RM, Morrison PD, Henquet C, Di Forti M** (2007). Cannabis, the mind and society: the hash realities. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **8**, 885–895.
- Myles N, Newall H, Nielssen O, Large M** (2012). The association between cannabis use and earlier age at onset of schizophrenia and other psychoses: meta-analysis

- of possible confounding factors. *Current Pharmaceutical Design* **18**, 5055–5069.
- Obermeier M, Mayr A, Schennach-Wolff R, Seemuller F, Moller HJ, Riedel M** (2010). Should the PANSS be rescaled? *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **36**, 455–460.
- Punnoose S, Belgamwar MR** (2006). Nicotine for schizophrenia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* Issue 25, Art. No. CD004838.
- Ripoll N, Bronnec M, Bourin M** (2004). Nicotinic receptors and schizophrenia. *Current Medical Research and Opinion* **20**, 1057–1074.
- Rossler W, Hengartner MP, Angst J, Ajdacic-Gross V** (2012). Linking substance use with symptoms of subclinical psychosis in a community cohort over 30 years. *Addiction* **107**, 1174–1184.
- Ruhrmann S, Schultze-Lutter F, Salokangas RK, Heinimaa M, Linszen D, Dingemans P, Birchwood M, Patterson P, Juckel G, Heinz A, Morrison A, Lewis S, von Reventlow HG, Klosterkötter J** (2010). Prediction of psychosis in adolescents and young adults at high risk: results from the prospective European prediction of psychosis study. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **67**, 241–251.
- Saha S, Scott JG, Varghese D, Degenhardt L, Slade T, McGrath JJ** (2011). The association between delusional-like experiences, and tobacco, alcohol or cannabis use: a nationwide population-based survey. *BMC Psychiatry* **11**, 202.
- Schubart CD, van Gastel WA, Breetvelt EJ, Beetz SL, Ophoff RA, Sommer IE, Kahn RS, Boks MP** (2011). Cannabis use at a young age is associated with psychotic experiences. *Psychological Medicine* **41**, 1301–1310.
- Sørensen HJ, Mortensen EL, Reinisch JM, Mednick SA** (2011). A prospective study of smoking in young women and risk of later psychiatric hospitalization. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry* **65**, 3–8.
- Stefanis NC, Hanssen M, Smirnis NK, Avramopoulos DA, Evdokimidis IK, Stefanis CN, Verdoux H, van Os J** (2002). Evidence that three dimensions of psychosis have a distribution in the general population. *Psychological Medicine* **32**, 347–358.
- van Gastel WA, Tempelaar W, Bun C, Schubart CD, Kahn RS, Plevier C, Boks MP** (2012a). Cannabis use as an indicator of risk for mental health problems in adolescents: a population-based study at secondary schools. *Psychological Medicine*. Published online: 3 December 2012. doi:10.1017/S0033291712002723.
- van Gastel WA, Wigman JT, Monshouwer K, Kahn RS, van Os J, Boks MP, Vollebergh WA** (2012b). Cannabis use and subclinical positive psychotic experiences in early adolescence: findings from a Dutch survey. *Addiction* **107**, 381–387.
- van Os J, Bak M, Hanssen M, Bijl RV, de Graaf R, Verdoux H** (2002). Cannabis use and psychosis: a longitudinal population-based study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* **156**, 319–327.
- Vreeker A, Schubart CD, van Gastel WA, Kahn RS, Boks MP** (2013). Advanced paternal age and vulnerability to psychotic-like experiences in the offspring. *Schizophrenia Research* **143**, 74–76.
- Weiser M, Reichenberg A, Grotto I, Yasvitzky R, Rabinowitz J, Lubin G, Nahon D, Knobler HY, Davidson M** (2004). Higher rates of cigarette smoking in male adolescents before the onset of schizophrenia: a historical-prospective cohort study. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **161**, 1219–1223.
- Wignall ND, de Wit H** (2011). Effects of nicotine on attention and inhibitory control in healthy nonsmokers. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology* **19**, 183–191.
- Wiles NJ, Zammit S, Bebbington P, Singleton N, Meltzer H, Lewis G** (2006). Self-reported psychotic symptoms in the general population: results from the longitudinal study of the British National Psychiatric Morbidity Survey. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **188**, 519–526.
- Yung AR, Phillips LJ, Yuen HP, Francey SM, McFarlane CA, Hallgren M, McGorry PD** (2003). Psychosis prediction: 12-month follow up of a high-risk ('prodromal') group. *Schizophrenia Research* **60**, 21–32.
- Zaldivar BF, Garcia Montes JM, Flores CP, Sanchez SF, Lopez RF, Molina MA** (2009). Validity of the self-report on drug use by university students: correspondence between self-reported use and use detected in urine. *Psicothema*. **21**, 213–219.
- Ziedonis D, Hitsman B, Beckham JC, Zvolensky M, Adler LE, udrain-McGovern J, Breslau N, Brown RA, George TP, Williams J, Calhoun PS, Riley WT** (2008). Tobacco use and cessation in psychiatric disorders: National Institute of Mental Health report. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research* **10**, 1691–1715.