

Deployment of either a whole or dissected wild nuclear genome into the wheat gene pool meets the breeding challenges posed by the sustainable farming systems

Ciro De Pace^{1*}, Marina Pasquini², Patrizia Vaccino³, Marco Bizzarri¹,
Francesca Nocente², Maria Corbellini³, Maria Eugenia Caceres⁴,
Pier Giorgio Cionini⁴, Dorianò Vittori¹ and Gyula Vida⁵

¹Dipartimento di Agrobiologia e Agrochimica, Università degli Studi della Tuscia, Viterbo, Italy, ²Unità di ricerca per la valorizzazione qualitativa dei cereali (CRA-QCE), Roma, Italy, ³Unità di ricerca per la selezione dei cereali e la valorizzazione delle varietà vegetali (CRA-SCV), S. Angelo Lodigiano, Lodi, Italy, ⁴Dipartimento di Biologia Cellulare e Ambientale, Sez. di Biologia Cellulare e Molecolare, Università degli Studi di Perugia, Perugia, Italy and ⁵Agricultural Research Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Martonvásár, Hungary

Abstract

Deploying whole and dissected nuclear genome of wild *Triticeae* species in the homoeologous wheat genetic background through inter-specific hybridization and introgression is a lower cost and effective option to prepare wheat germplasm with unexploited genes for disease resistance and enhanced grain yield and quality traits. The whole nuclear genomes of *Dasypyrum villosum* (*Dv*) and *T. turgidum* var *durum* have been combined, and an homoploid derivative of the original amphiploid displayed typical 'farro' spike morphology, tough rachis and the adaptive traits of *Dv* such as high resistance to diseases (caused by *Tilletia tritici*, *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici*, *Puccinia triticina* and *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici*), heading earliness and fortified caryopses (high protein and micronutrient contents). The dissection of the *Dv* genome by either '*Triticum aestivum* cv Chinese Spring (CS) × hexaploid amphiploid' or '(CS × *Dv*) × CS' hybridization and backcrossing provided wheat introgression breeding lines (IBLs) expressing one or more of the *Dv* adaptive traits. Molecular analyses revealed that either cryptic or Genomic In-situ Hybridization (GISH) detectable *Dv* chromatin introgression occurred in those IBLs. The IBLs, after 2 years of low-input field tests and genetic analyses in Italy and Hungary, showed simple inheritance, dominance and stability of the adaptive and disease resistance traits.

Keywords: *Dasypyrum* gene pool; gene transfer; introgression; wheat germplasm; wild plant species

Introduction

In order to meet the predicted global cereal grain demand for the next decades, efficient conventional

and molecular cereal breeding programmes and proper wheat genetic resources are necessary for selecting the suitable breeding lines (Baenziger *et al.*, 2008).

Many dominant genes for adaptation and trait enhancement have been lost during cereal crop domestication, but they have been retained in the genome of the wild components of the *Triticeae* gene pools (Dwivedi *et al.*, 2008). In natural habitat, wild *Triticeae* species

*Corresponding author. E-mail: depace@unitus.it

such as *Dasyphyrum villosum* (*Dv*), whose genome was exposed to million of years of climatic and environmental changes, are now expressing increased heading earliness, density stands and plant biomass. Deploying whole and dissected *Dv* nuclear genome in the homoeologous wheat genetic background through inter-specific hybridization and introgression could be a lower cost and effective option to help wheat breeders to merge and select the proper adapted gene pools to sustain the needed yearly grain yield increase. In this study, we show that combining the *Dv* genome with the *T. turgidum* var *durum* genomic background and deploying dissected *Dv* genome in *Triticum aestivum* provided wheat genetic resources with new trait enhancements.

Materials and methods

Combining the whole nuclear genomes of *Dv* and *T. turgidum* var. *durum*

A hulled and brittle rachis *Dv* ecotype ($2n = 2x = 14$; VV) collected near Bari (Puglia, Italy) was used as pollen parent in hybridization with the free-threshing and tough rachis *T. turgidum* var. *durum* cv 'Modoc' ($2n = 4x = 28$; AABB) (Jan *et al.*, 1986). The resulting hexaploid amphiploid ($2n = 42$; AABBVV), labelled M × V-b₁, was fertile and showed brittle rachis (Supplementary Fig. S1, available online only at <http://journals.cambridge.org>). A non-brittle rachis mutated amphiploid plant (M × V-nb₁; AABBVV; $2n = 6x = 42$) was discovered in the M × V-b₁ plot grown in 1987 (De Pace *et al.*, 2003). In the following years, other genetically stable variants ('Mut 7-04', 'Mut 12-04' and 'Mut 16-04') were found among the M × V-nb₁ plants.

Dissection of the *Dv* genome by [*T. aestivum* cv 'Chinese Spring' (CS) × *Dv*] × CS hybridization and backcrossing

We selected six *Dv*-introgressed wheat breeding lines (IBLs), sharing a common CS ($2n = 6x = 42$; A'A'B'B'/D'D') genetic background, from a population of 150 aneuploid lines developed at the University of Tuscia, Viterbo, Italy, from the backcross (CS × *Dv*) × CS (Supplementary Fig. S2, available online only at <http://journals.cambridge.org>). The IBLs CS × V63 and CS × V32 contained a disomic addition of 6V and a disomic substitution 6V(6B), respectively, and CS_1B-1V line contained a pair of 1B-1VS chromosomes from a spontaneous exchange between chromosome 1B of wheat and 1V of *Dv*. The IBLs CS × V58, CS × V59 and CS × V60 were morphologically different from CS, although they did not contain apparent GISH detectable V chromatin (Minelli *et al.*, 2005; Caceres *et al.*, 2008). The IBLs were evaluated for resistance to *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (*Bgt*), *Puccinia triticina* (*Pt*) and *P. graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (*Pgt*) isolates in Italy and Hungary, and for end-use grain quality traits.

Dissection of the *Dv* genome by hybridization of *T. aestivum* cv CS and M × V-nb₁ hexaploid amphiploid

An F₂-like breeding population with broad genetic diversity was obtained from selfing the F₁ plants obtained after crossing the hexaploid amphiploid M × V-nb₁ to CS (Supplementary Fig. S3, available online only at <http://journals.cambridge.org>). After two generations of selfing, three F₄ lines, named '8-1', '41-3' and 'Mut 3-04', were tested for two consecutive growing seasons

Table 1. Mean values for heading time, plant height, number of spikelets/spike, 1000 kernel weight and protein content in M × V-nb₁ hexaploid amphiploid and derived variants by spontaneous mutation in comparison with 'farro' species used as controls^a

Entry	Heading time (days from 1st April)	Plant height (cm)	Number of spikelets/spike	1000 Kernel weight (g)	Protein content (% dry weight)
Hexaploid amphiploid					
M × V-nb ₁	114	101	14.7	27.1	21.1
Derived variants from spontaneous mutation in the hexaploid amphiploid					
Mut 7-04	116	105	14.6	23.5	21.5
Mut 12-04	124	104	16.3	42.6	16.5
Mut 16-04	124	106	15.9	42.6	16.9
Control 'farro' species					
<i>T. monococcum</i>	144	109	17.7	20.0	20.0
<i>T. dicoccoides</i>	132	125	15.5	35.5	22.0
<i>T. spelta</i>	135	124	17.0	39.8	18.8

^a Data were taken from plots at S. Angelo Lodigiano (Lodi, Italy) in 2007 and 2008.

Table 2. Average values for test weight (TW), protein content (PC), SDS sedimentation volume (SSV), specific SDS sedimentation volume (SSSV = SSV/PC), gluten index, rheological flour dough properties (Brabender farinograph and Chopin alveograph) and bread quality, determined for three inbred breeding lines obtained from hybridization of *T. aestivum* cv CS and M × V-nb₁ hexaploid amphiploid^a

Line	Year	TW (kg/hl)	PC (%dm)	SSV (ml)	SSSV	Gluten index	Stab. (min)	Brabender farinograph			Chopin alveograph				Bread test		
								Degree of softness (BU)	Water abs (%)	P (mm)	L (mm)	P/L	W ($\times 10^{-4}$ J)	Vol (cm ³)	Height (mm)		
8-1	2008	74.9	12.6	91.0	7.2	99	18.2	23	57.8	104	128	0.81	400	710	100		
	2009	79.6	13.8	88.5	6.4	84	17.4	25	63.0	80	130	0.62	286	735	103		
41-03	2008	76.4	12.3	89.0	7.2	98	14.6	25	57.7	92	119	0.77	334	685	101		
	2009	79.8	14.5	87.5	6.1	80	17.8	21	62.6	72	119	0.61	234	770	105		
Mut 3-04	2008	77.0	12.6	91.0	7.2	99	13.1	33	58.1	93	136	0.68	375	720	105		
	2009	80.0	13.8	88.5	6.4	76	17.8	12	62.9	81	119	0.68	266	790	110		
Control																	
CS	2008	64.7	12.2	48.0	3.9	32	3.9	93	56.4	54	61	0.89	90	560	84		
Bologna	2009	80.4	13.9	86.5	6.2	97	18.5	22	58.5	78	140	0.57	396	720	105		
PR22R58	2009	76.4	12.1	87.5	7.2	97	19.0	21	54.5	56	115	0.49	219	695	98		
Best check	2009															109	

Stab., stability; BU, Brabender Units; abs, absorption; P, pression, measures the tenacity of the dough; L, length, measures the extensibility of the dough; W, work, measures the strength of the dough.

^aThe values are mean of plots raised at two locations (S. Angelo Lodigiano and Viterbo) in Italy for 2 years (2008 and 2009).

(2007/2008 and 2008/2009), in the field at two sites: S. Angelo Lodigiano, SAL, near Lodi in northern Italy, and Tolentino, TOL, near Macerata in central Italy. The plots were managed using low-input criteria. The hexaploid wheat cultivars 'Bologna' and 'PR22R58' were used as checks. Heading time (days from 1st April), yield components and rheological properties of flour dough were evaluated at both sites.

Results and discussion

Combining the whole nuclear genomes of *Dv* and *T. turgidum* var *durum*

The hexaploid amphiploid $M \times V-nb_1$ and the derived homoploid variants displayed 'farro' traits (tenacious glumes but tough rachis) and the typical adaptive traits of *Dv*, such as high resistance to diseases (caused by *Tilletia tritici*, *Bgt*, *Pt* and *Pgt*), fortified caryopses (+17 to +21% protein contents and >+25% of Fe and Zn content, compared with CS) and heading earliness. When compared with the conventional 'farro' (*T. monococcum*, *T. dicoccoides*, and *T. spelta*), new 'farro' types expressed earlier heading and shorter culms (Table 1), and also caryopses (Mut 12-04 and Mut 16-04) with larger size.

Dissection and deployment of the *Dv* genome by '(CS \times *Dv*) \times CS' hybridization and backcrossing

This type of hybridization favoured the haploidization of the V genome in the heterohaploid A'B'D'V F_1 , the random assortment (dissection) of V chromosomes in $7A' + 7B' + 7D' + 1V$ gametes and the formation of wheat IBLs with the disomic addition of one of the V chromosome or V chromosome arm after backcrossing to CS. The IBLs CS \times V32 and CS \times V63 contained the chromosome 6V#4 (De Pace *et al.*, 2011), conferring multiple disease resistance to virulent strains of *Bgt*, *Pt* and *Pgt*. The IBL CS_1BL-1VS expressed superior Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS) sedimentation and rheological properties of flour dough than CS. Other IBLs (CS \times V58, CS \times V59 and CS \times V60) had cryptic *Dv* chromatin introgression exhibiting a -7 to -9 d earliness in heading time compared with CS in the field. Using specific primers for several DNA targets in the genome of these lines revealed *Dv* alleles, but not CS alleles, at two loci (*Vrn-A1* and *Vrn-B3*) involved in the vernalization response pathway (Caceres *et al.*, 2008).

F_3 progenies derived from the hybridization of two disomic addition lines for chromosome 6V, CS \times V63 (+6V#4) and CS + 6V#1 (produced by Sears, 1982, susceptible to *Bgt*, *Pt* and *Pgt*; Supplementary Fig. S4,

available online only at <http://journals.cambridge.org>) expressed simple inheritance, due to *Dv*-derived Mendelian dominant genes governing resistance response to each of the *Bgt*, *Pt* and *Pgt* pathogen. The high SDS sedimentation value of CS_1BL-1VS was traced to the effect of one high-molecular weight glutenin subunit (1v in Supplementary Fig. S5, available online only at <http://journals.cambridge.org>) encoded at the *Glu-V1* locus in 1VS of CS_1BL-1VS (Vaccino *et al.*, 2010).

Dissection and deployment of the *Dv* genome by hybridization of *T. aestivum* cv CS and $M \times V-nb_1$ hexaploid amphiploid

'New' chromosome assortments were achieved using the AABBVV hexaploid amphiploid as a bridge to combine the A and B genomes from durum wheat; the A', B' and D' genomes of bread wheat, and the V genome of *Dv*. Tetraploid AA'BB' lines, hexaploid AA'BB'D'D' lines, and aneuploid AA'BB' lines with the addition of one or more V and D' chromosomes were obtained (Supplementary Figs. S3 and S6, available online only at <http://journals.cambridge.org>). Promising outcomes of this breeding scheme were the high frequency of euploid segregants (Supplementary Fig. S3, available online only at <http://journals.cambridge.org>), the phenotypic uniformity observed in the progenies after only two generations of selfing that followed the CS \times ($M \times V-nb_1$) triparental hybridization and the good agronomic values of those lines. The three inbred breeding lines, '8-1', '41-3' and 'Mut 3-04', had chromosome counting of $2n = 42$ and were as good as the best check for yield components, grain quality and rheological flour dough properties (Table 2 and Supplementary Table S1, available online only at <http://journals.cambridge.org>).

In conclusion, it is suggested that a sustainable breeding response to mitigate the severe threat to the world's wheat supply is necessary (Hovmøller *et al.*, 2010). We evidenced that deploying whole and dissected *Dv* nuclear genome in the homoeologous wheat genetic background, it is possible to prepare wheat germplasm with unexploited genes for rust disease resistance and enhanced grain yield and quality traits.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the 'Ministero delle Politiche Agricole Alimentari e Forestali', Italy, in the framework of the research project FRUMIGEN (D.M. 292/7303/05 issued oct. 12th, 2005).

References

- Baenziger PS, Graybosch RA, Dweikat I, Wegulo SN, Hein GL and Eskridge KM (2008) Outstanding in their field: the phenotype of the 21st century plant breeder. In: Appels R, Eastwood R, Lagudah E, Mackay M, McIntyre L and Sharp P (eds) *Proceedings of the 11th International Wheat Genetics Symposium*. Available at <http://hdl.handle.net/2123/3325>. Sydney: Sydney University Press.
- Caceres ME, Vaccino P, Corbellini M, Cionini PG, Sarri V, Polizzi E, Vittori D and De Pace C (2008) Flowering earliness in wheat inbred breeding lines derived from *T. aestivum* Chinese Spring x *D. villosum* hybridization is not related to allelic variation at the vernalization loci *VRN-A1*, *VRN-B1*, and *VRN-D1*. In: Prohens J and Badenes ML (eds) *Modern Variety Breeding for Present and Future Needs*. Proceedings of the 18th EUCARPIA General Congress 9–12 September 2008, Valencia, Spain: Editorial Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, pp. 329–334.
- De Pace C, Jan CC, Caputi G and Scarascia Mugnozza GT (2003) Genetical events occurring during and after *Triticum turgidum* var. *durum* x *D. villosum* hybridization recapitulate the population size and time span required for the transition from tetraploid to hexaploid wheat domestication. *Proceedings of 10th International Wheat Genetics Symposium*, vol. 2. Rome, Italy: Istituto Sperimentale per la Cerealicoltura, pp. 472–474.
- De Pace C, Vaccino P, Cionini PG, Pasquini M, Bizzarri M and Qualset CO (2011) *Dasypyrum*. In: Kole C (ed.) *Wild Crop Relatives: Genomic and Breeding Resources, vol 1: Wild Relatives of Cereals*. Heidelberg/New York: Springer.
- Dwivedi SL, Upadhyaya HD, Stalker HT, Blair MW, Bertioli DJ, Nielsen S and Ortiz R (2008) Enhancing crop gene pools with beneficial traits using wild relatives. In: Janick J (ed.) *Plant Breeding Review*, vol. 30. New York: John Wiley & Sons, pp. 179–230.
- Hovmøller MS, Walter S and Justesen AF (2010) Escalating threat of wheat rusts. *Science*, 329 (no. 5990): 369.
- Jan CC, De Pace C, McGuire PE and Qualset CO (1986) Hybrids and amphiploids of *Triticum aestivum* (L.) and *T. turgidum* (L.) with *Dasypyrum villosum* (L.) Candargy. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenzüchtg* 96: 97–106.
- Minelli S, Ceccarelli M, Mariani M, De Pace C and Cionini PG (2005) Cytogenetics of *Triticum* x *Dasypyrum* hybrids and derived lines. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* 109: 385–392.
- Sears ER (1982) Activity report. *Annual Wheat Newsletter* 28: 121.
- Vaccino P, Banfi R, Corbellini M and De Pace C (2010) Improving the wheat genetic diversity for end-use grain quality by chromatin introgression from the wheat wild relative *Dasypyrum villosum*. *Crop Science* 50: 528–540.