Using the Brief Core Schema Scales with Individuals at Clinical High Risk of Psychosis

Jean Addington

Universities of Calgary and Toronto, Canada

Lisa Tran

Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Canada

Background: The Brief Core Schema Scales (BCSS) were developed to provide a theoretically coherent self-report assessment of schemata concerning self and others in psychosis. They provide a more useful measure of schemata about self and others than traditional measures of self-esteem. Aims: The aim of this study was to determine if these scales would be useful in a sample of individuals who are at clinical high risk of psychosis to help identify targets for intervention. Method: Thirty-eight individuals who are at high risk for psychosis were administered the Scale of Prodromal Symptoms, the Calgary Depression Scale, the Brief Core Schema Scales and the Young Schema Questionnaire –short version. Results: Results suggested that these scales are appropriate for this population and that negative evaluations of the self and others were significantly associated with attenuated psychotic symptoms and, in particular, suspiciousness.

Keywords: Clinical high risk, prodrome, early psychosis, Brief Core Schema Scales, schemata.

Introduction

Current hypotheses of psychological mechanisms of psychosis have emphasized that the response to abnormal experiences is cognitively mediated by self-beliefs, maladaptive self-schema or appraisals (Garety, Kuipers, Fowler, Freeman and Bebbington, 2001). Although the development of maladaptive schemas in people with psychosis has not been systematically examined, it has been well established in depression research through the work of Beck and other cognitive therapists that early development and, in particular, social adversities impact the development of maladaptive self-schemas and beliefs about the world, others and the self. In addition to the debate about the exact nature and significance of the schema construct, assessment of such schema is limited by existing measures (Fowler et al., 2006). Recently, Fowler and colleagues (2006) developed The Brief Core Schema Scales (BCSS) to provide a theoretically coherent self-report assessment of schemata concerning self and others in psychosis.

Reprint requests to Jean Addington, Department of Psychiatry, Health Sciences Centre, Faculty of Medicine, 3330 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta T2N 4N1, Canada. E-mail: jmadding@ucalgary.ca

© 2009 British Association for Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapies

The development of these scales has been well described elsewhere (Fowler et al., 2006). Briefly, the BCSS are quick and easy to administer. The scale assesses four dimensions of self and other evaluation: negative-self, positive-self, negative-other and positive-other. The BCSS have good psychometric properties and demonstrate more independence from mood than the Rosenberg self-esteem scale. Individuals with chronic psychosis reported extreme negative evaluations of self and others on these scales, but their levels of self-esteem and positive evaluation of self and others were similar to the normal control sample. Thus, the BCSS may provide a more useful measure of schemata about self and others than traditional measures of self-esteem.

An important focus currently in schizophrenia research is understanding the development of psychosis in individuals who are seen to be at clinical high risk for psychosis, i.e. putatively prodromal for psychosis. It has been demonstrated that a cognitive style characterized by worry, low self-esteem, neuroticism or depression may increase the risk for developing clinical psychosis (Krabbendam et al., 2005). If maladaptive schemas and self-beliefs do play a role in the onset of psychosis these are potentially malleable factors for which we could have effective psychological interventions (Morrison et al., 2004). It would therefore be important to have a valid measure of schemata of self and others.

The purpose of this study was to administer the BCSS to a sample of individuals who are at clinical high risk for psychosis to determine if this may be a useful scale to identify targets for intervention in this population.

Method

Subjects

The sample consisted of 38 clinical high risk individuals (CHR) who are part of the PRIME clinic at the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health in Toronto. All CHR subjects met the Criteria of Prodromal States (COPS) using the Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes (SIPS; Miller et al., 2003a). The COPS includes three criteria: attenuated positive symptom state (APS), brief intermittent positive symptoms (BIPS), and genetic risk and deterioration (GRD). All subjects in this study met APS criteria, which is based on duration and severity of prodromal symptoms and includes the emergence or worsening over the past year of a non-psychotic disturbance of thought content, thought process or perceptual abnormality. None of the CHR subjects met any DSM-IV criteria for any psychotic disorder.

The mean age of the subjects was 19.7 years (SD = 3.4); 74 % of the group was male (n = 28) and 26 % was female (n = 10). In terms of race 65.8% were Caucasian, 23.7% were Asian, 2.6% Black, and 7.9% were of mixed race.

Measures

The Brief Core Schema Scales (BCSS; Fowler et al., 2006) were used to assess self and other evaluation. The BCSS have 24 items concerning beliefs about the self and others that are assessed on a 5-point rating scale (0–4). Four scores, each with six items, are obtained: negative-self, positive-self, negative-others and positive-others.

The Young Schema Questionnaire–Short version (YSQ-S; Young, 1998; http://home.sprynet.com/sprynet/schema/ysqs1.htm) is a 5-item self-report inventory designed to assess

Senizopinema (CDSS)				
	Positive-self	Negative-self	Positive-other	Negative-other
YSQ mistrust/abuse	-0.18	0.59****	-0.46***	0.70****
YSQ social isolation	-0.49***	0.50***	-0.60****	0.33* a
YSQ defectiveness/shame	-0.39*a	0.53***	-0.31	0.37* a
YSQ failure	-0.54****	0.50***	-0.39^{*a}	0.30
YSQ self-sacrifice	-0.11	0.31	0.16	0.36* a
CDSS	-0.32	0.35*	-0.29	0.27

Table 1. Spearman's bivariate correlations between Brief Core Schema subscales and the Young's Schema Questionnaire (YSQ) subscales and the Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia (CDSS)

15 types of core schematic beliefs. As in the development of the BCSS (Fowler et al., 2006) we only administered the subscales that related to the BCSS – mistrust/abuse, social isolation, defectiveness/shame, failure, and self-sacrifice. Each is rated on a 6-point Likert Scale ranging from 1(strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree). Higher scores indicate a greater endorsement of a schema.

The Scale of Prodromal Symptoms (SOPS; Miller et al., 2003a) was used to assess prodromal symptoms. Depression was measured with the Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia (CDSS; Addington, Addington, Maticka-Tyndale and Joyce, 1992). One of the unique features of the CDSS is that it differentiates between depressive and negative symptoms. Although these subjects do not have schizophrenia, they do present with negative symptoms (Miller et al., 2003b).

Results

Reliability analysis was conducted by first assessing alpha co-efficients and item whole correlations. The alpha coefficients for the positive- and negative-self schema scales were 0.85 and 0.88 and for the positive- and negative-other schema scales were 0.94 and 0.92. All item total correlations were highly significant (p < .001). The median item total correlation was 0.75.

To check concurrent and discriminant validity, Spearman's correlations between the BCSS subscales and the YSQ subscales and CDSS were conducted. High ratings on the BCSS positive-self subscale and the BCSS positive-other scales were significantly associated with a lack of social isolation and failure and a low sense of defectiveness and shame on the YSQ. High ratings on the BCSS negative-self subscale and negative-other subscale were significantly associated with high levels of mistrust, social isolation, defectiveness, and failure on the YSQ and not with self-sacrifice. Only the BCSS negative-self subscale was significantly associated with depression as rated by the CDSS. Since there are concerns with multiple correlations we have indicated in Table 1 which of the correlations would no longer be significant if we used a Bonferroni correction. Even with applying a strict correction (which was not used in the original development of the scales paper) the results still support the validity of the scales.

An examination of the associations between the BCSS and SOPS positive symptoms (unusual thought content, suspiciousness, grandiosity, perceptual abnormalities and disorganized

^{****}p < .0001, ***p < .001, **p < .01, *p < .05

^a no longer significant if Bonferroni correction is applied

communication) revealed that unusual thought content was associated with negative-self (r = 0.41, p < .05) and negative-other (r = 0.44, p < .01); suspiciousness was significantly associated with negative-self (r = 0.39, p < .05) and negative other (r = 0.67, p < .01). The total positive symptom score was associated with negative-self (r = 0.47, p < .01) and negative-other (r = 0.68, p < .01). Low ratings on disorganized communication were related to positive-other (r = -0.33, p < .05) and perceptual abnormalities were related to negative-other (r = 0.46, p < .01).

Based on these significant associations we conducted standard regression analyses, first with unusual thought content, then suspiciousness, and thirdly with total SOPS positive symptoms as the dependent variables and depression, negative-self and negative-other as the explanatory variables. For unusual thought content, the model was significant but only 10% (adjusted r^2) of the variance was explained by the model and none of the variables made a significant unique contribution. For suspiciousness, the model was significant, 35% (adjusted r^2) of the variance was explained by the model. Negative-other made a significant unique contribution (Beta = 0.63, p = .002). For total positive symptoms, the model was significant, 41% (adjusted r^2) of the variance was explained by the model. Negative-other made a significant unique contribution (Beta = 0.63, p = .001).

Discussion

The BCSS has been demonstrated to be a valid, yet quick and easy measure to use. In this sample with individuals who are at clinical high risk of developing psychosis, we have demonstrated that the BCSS have internal consistency, and similar concurrent and discriminant validity as in the original development study. Positive symptoms in general and suspiciousness in particular were uniquely predicted by negative-other evaluations although negative-self contributed to the model. These findings are similar to those reported by Fowler et al. (2006). There were no associations in this sample between grandiosity and positive-self, but in this population grandiosity tends to be the least endorsed positive symptom (Miller et al., 2003b). A major limitation of this study is the small sample size. However, subjects at risk of developing psychosis are hard to find and most single site studies usually report between 18 and 25 per annum (Addington et al., 2007, 2008).

In summary, the BCSS appear to be valid scales to use with this population. They may be particularly useful as research focuses more on the development of psychotic symptoms and an understanding of individuals' self and other evaluations may contribute valuable information. Furthermore, since psychological interventions may be more appropriate in this prepsychotic period, these scales can help identify targets for intervention.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIMH grant U01MH066134 and a grant from Ontario Mental Health to Jean Addington.

References

Addington, D., Addington, J., Maticka-Tyndale, E. and Joyce, J. (1992). Reliability and validity of a depression rating scale for schizophrenics. Schizophrenia Research, 6, 201–208

- Addington, J., Cadenhead, K. S., Cannon, T. D., Cornblatt, B., McGlashan, T. H., Perkins, D. O., Seidman, L. J., Tsuang, M. T., Walker, E. F., Woods, S. W. and Heinssen, R. (2007). North American Prodrome Longitudinal Study (NAPLS): a collaborative multi-site approach to prodromal Schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 33, 665–672.
- Addington, J., Epstein, I., Reynolds, A., Furimsky, I., Rudy, L., Mancini, B., McMillan, S., Kirsopp, D. and Zipursky, R. (2008). Early detection of psychosis: finding those at clinical high risk, *Journal of Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 2, 147–153.
- Fowler, D., Freeman, D., Smith, B., Kuipers, E., Bebbington, P., Bashforth, H., Coker, S., Hodgekins, J., Gracie, A., Dunn, G. and Garety, P. (2006). The Brief Core Schema Scales (BCSS): psychometric properties and associations with paranoia and grandiosity in non-clinical and psychosis samples. *Psychological Medicine*, 6, 749–759.
- **Garety, P. A., Kuipers, E., Fowler, D., Freeman, D. and Bebbington, P. E.** (2001). Theoretical paper: a cognitive model of the positive symptoms of psychosis. *Psychological Medicine*, *31*, 189–195.
- Krabbendam, L., Myin-Germeys, I., Hanssen, M., de Graff, R., Vollebergh, W., Bak, M. and van Os, J. (2005). Development of depressed mood predicts onset of psychotic disorder in individuals who report hallucinatory experiences. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 44, 113–125.
- Miller, T. J., McGlashan, T. H., Rosen, J. L., Cadenhead, K., Ventura, J., McFarlane, W., Perkins, D. O., Pearlson, G. D. and Woods, S. W. (2003a). Prodromal assessment with the structured interview for prodromal syndromes and the scale of prodromal symptoms: predictive validity, interrater reliability, and training to reliability. Schizophrenia Bulletin, 29, 703–715.
- Miller, T. J., Zipursky, R., Perkins, D. O., Addington, J., Woods, S. W., Hawkins, K. A., Hoffman, R., Preda, A., Epstein, I., Addington, D., Lindborg, S., Marquez, E. S., Tohen, M., Breier, A. and McGlashan, T. H. (2003b). The PRIME North America randomized double-blind clinical trial of olanzapine vs placebo in patients at risk for being prodromally symptomatic for psychosis: II. Baseline characteristics of the "prodromal" sample. *Schizophrenia Research*, 61, 19–30.
- Morrison, A. P., French, P., Walford, L., Lewis, S. W., Kilcommons, A., Green, J., Parker, S. and Bentall, R. P. (2004). Cognitive therapy for the prevention of psychosis in people at ultra high risk randomized controlled trial. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *185*, 291–297.
- Young, J. E. (1998). The Young schema questionnaire short form (http://home.sprynet.com/spynet/schema/ysqs1.htm) Accessed 22/05/08.