# Growth and hatching of annular seabream, *Diplodus annularis*, from Turkey determined from otolith microstructure

HAKAN AYYILDIZ<sup>1</sup>, OZCAN OZEN<sup>2</sup> AND AYTAC ALTIN<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Gokceada School of Applied Sciences, Department of Fisheries Technology, 17760, Gokceada, Çanakkale, Turkey, <sup>2</sup>Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Faculty of Marine Sciences and Technology, Çanakkale 17100, Turkey

Otolith microstructure analysis was used to determine daily growth rate and hatching periods of young of the year (YOY) annular seabream, Diplodus annularis, collected by using beach seine from Çanakkale shallow waters between January and December 2007. Total length of the YOY D. annularis was between 20 and 85 mm and the daily ages ranged between 27 and 205 d. Somatic growth rate, estimated by fitting a linear regression to the age–length data set, was calculated as 0.369 mm  $d^{-1}$ . Seasonal changes in the growth rate of the YOY D. annularis have been found to be 0.381, 0.369, 0.357 and 0.308 mm  $d^{-1}$  for individuals that hatched in spring, summer, autumn and winter, respectively. Analysis of covariance indicated that there were no significant differences (P > 0.05) in the growth rates between the seasons. Hatching period of the YOY D. annularis was estimated to occur between March and September, with relatively higher hatching frequency in June.

Keywords: daily growth rate, hatching period, annular seabream, Diplodus annularis, Çanakkale

Submitted 7 October 2013; accepted 19 February 2014; first published online 3 April 2014

## INTRODUCTION

Marine fish usually have high mortality rates during the early life stages (Bailey & Houde, 1989; Jones, 1990). Faster growth and larger body size increases the survival rates during early life stages (Hovenkamp, 1992; Takasuka *et al.*, 2003; Takahashi & Watanabe, 2004). Individual fish that survive the early life stages are potential candidates for the spawning stock. In order to ensure ecologically and economically sustainable fishing, it is essential to monitor age and growth rates of young of the year (YOY) fish species.

Otolith microstructure analysis is a powerful technique that provides information about the age and growth history in marine fish (Folkvord *et al.*, 2000, 2004; Xie *et al.*, 2005). Estimating the age of individual fish larvae using otolith increments, deposited owing to alternating periods of rapid and slow growth (Williams & Bedford, 1974), has become a widely used tool in early life history (Villanueva & Molí, 1997; Fox *et al.*, 2003).

The annular seabream, *Diplodus annularis* (Linnaeus, 1758) is a commercially important demersal marine fish species inhabiting various types of sea bottoms, especially sand and seagrass beds, at depths ranging from 0 to 50 m (Pajuelo & Lorenzo, 2002). This species is distributed along the European coasts of the Atlantic Ocean, from the Bay of Biscay to Gibraltar, and around Madeira and the Canary Islands. It is also present in the Mediterranean, Black and Azov Seas (Bauchot & Hureau, 1990; Harmelin-Vivien *et al.*, 1995).

The different spawning periods of *D. annularis*, overlap in the Mediterranean, Adriatic and Aegean Seas. The spawning

**Corresponding author:** H. Ayyildiz Email: h\_ayyildiz17@hotmail.com season of *D. annularis* occurs from the end of April until the end of August, with a peak in the first part of May in the eastern middle Adriatic Sea (Matić-Skoko *et al.*, 2007). There are similarities in the spawning season of this species with the studies of Bauchot & Hureau (1986) and of Kinacigil & Akyol (2001) in the Atlantic, western Mediterranean and Izmir Bay, Aegean Sea. Mouine *et al.* (2012) reported that annular seabream is a summer spawner in the Gulf of Tunis.

Many studies are available on the adult populations of the *D. annularis* focusing on the distribution, age, growth, mortality, morphological characteristics, sexuality, reproduction and feeding habits (Salekhova, 1961; Quero & Gueguen, 1978; Rodríguez & Rodríguez, 1984; Wassef, 1985; Kraljevic & Jug-Dujakovic, 1988; García-Rubies & Macpherson, 1995; Macpherson *et al.*, 1997; Matić-Skoko *et al.*, 2007). However, very few studies are available on the larval and juvenile stages of this species (Gordoa & Moli, 1997; Pajuelo & Lorenzo, 2002). Although, daily growth rates have been conducted for *D. vulgaris, D. sargus* and *D. puntazzo* (Vigliola, 1997; Villanueva & Molí, 1997), no study on the daily growth rate has been conducted for their congener *D. annularis*.

The aim of this study was to determine the daily growth rates and hatching periodicity of *D. annularis* from Çanakkale, Turkey, by using otolith microstructure. Changes in the somatic growth rates at different seasons were also estimated.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Study area and sampling

This study was carried out in the shallow waters (o-2 m) of Çanakkale, surrounded by the north Aegean Sea, Çanakkale Strait and Sea of Marmara (Figure 1). Samples were collected



**Fig. 1.** Map of Çanakkale coastline showing sampling stations of young of the year *Diplodus annularis*.

at seven sites with a beach seine with a total wing length of 32 m, a height of 2 m and a 2 m long bag with 13 mm mesh size at wing and 5 mm mesh at bag. Two hauls were made parallel to the shore, haphazardly and non-overlapping.

The surface water temperature was measured with a Hach Lange HQ4od probe during samplings. Monthly average sea surface water temperatures for the Çanakkale shallow waters during the study were recorded between 11.5°C and 29.6°C. The minimum and maximum surface water temperature values recorded along the sampled sites were 9.6°C and  $32.4^{\circ}$ C.

## Laboratory procedures

Fish were killed with an overdose of quinaldine and stored in 70% alcohol. Total length  $(L_T)$  of the collected YOY *D. annularis* was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm and weighed to the nearest 0.01 g. Sagittal otoliths (left and right) were removed, cleaned of adhering tissue, dried and stored in clean micro vials. From each pair, one otolith was randomly selected and mounted on a glass slide with a thermoplastic cement. Each otolith was ground with a series of abrasive papers of decreasing roughness, from 12  $\mu$ m to 9  $\mu$ m to 3  $\mu$ m, and polished with 0.3  $\mu$ m alumina paste on a polishing cloth until daily rings were discernible from the centre to the edge (Miller & Storck, 1982; Secor *et al.*, 1991; Jones, 1992; Hayes, 1995). The process was checked frequently under a light microscope to avoid over polishing the centre. Counts of rings in each otolith were blind-read; the information about fish length and date of capture was withheld from the reader. A light microscope with objective lenses with nominal magnifications of 20 ×, 40× and 100× were used for the counts.

### Data analysis

Age was determined by counting the number of increments from the nucleus to the outer edge. The daily rings were counted from the nucleus to the dorso-posterior axis, although the readings were not always countable on a linear axis. Growth increment counts between left and right otoliths were compared by a paired *t*-test. An age–length key was generated to convert larger length samples from the population into ages.

Daily growth rates (mm  $d^{-1}$ ) were calculated from the slopes of a linear regression based on the following equation:

$$L_T = a + b \times \text{age}(d) \tag{1}$$

where,  $L_T$  is the total fish length (mm); *a* is the fish size at age o; *b* is the somatic growth rate of YOY *D. annularis*.

In order to determine seasonal changes of somatic growth rates, aged fish were grouped into cohorts based on the hatch dates. Differences in growth rates between seasons were tested using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). In all data analyses, statistical significance level ( $\alpha$ ) was accepted as 0.05.

Hatch date distributions were back-calculated by subtracting the estimated age from the date of capture.

### RESULTS

A total of 348 otoliths were processed for daily ring counts, however, 49 pairs of sagittal otoliths were over ground or cracked during the process. Thus, 299 otoliths obtained

Table 1. Age-length key for young of the year *Diplodus annularis*. N, total number of specimens per  $L_T$ /age groups.

L <sub>T</sub> groups (mm)	Age groups (d)									
	27-39	40-59	60-79	80-99	100-119	120-139	140-159	160-179	180-205	N
20-24	27	8								35
25-29	13	46	1							60
30-34		19	25	4						48
35-39			37	6						43
40-44			13	19	1					33
45-49			1	13	12					26
50-54				1	16	3				20
55-59				1	3	12	1			17
60-64					2	1	3			6
65-69							1	3		4
70-74								3		3
75-79								1	1	2
80-85									2	2
N	40	73	77	44	34	16	5	7	3	299



Fig. 2. Polished sagittal otolith of 26 mm  $L_T$  young of the year *Diplodus annularis* aged 51 d: (A) growth increment counts were made from N (nucleus) to dorso-anterior axis (arrow); (B) N indicates nucleus.



**Table 2.** Parameters of the length-at-age linear relationships for differentseasons of young of the year *Diplodus annularis* in the Canakkale shallowwaters, Turkey. N is the number of speciemens, a is the y-intercept, b is theslope of the regression line,  $R^2$  is the coefficient of determination and SE isthe standard error.

Season	Ν	a ± SE	b ± SE	<i>R</i> <sup>2</sup>	Р
Spring	61	9.777 ± 0.851	0.381 ± 0.009	0.960	<0.01
Summer	217	10.486 ± 0.222	0.369 ± 0.006	0.930	< 0.01
Autumn	10	13.138 ± 5.095	$0.357 \pm 0.019$	0.976	<0.01
Winter	11	13.101 ± 5.695	$0.308\pm0.006$	0.719	<0.01

Fig. 3. Age-length relationship estimated for young of the year *Diplodus annularis* collected in the shallow waters of Çanakkale, Turkey.

from YOY *D. annularis* that ranged between 20 and 85 mm  $L_T$  were used in the analyses.

Since no significant differences in increment counts were found between left and right otoliths (paired *t*-test, N = 50, P = 0.5784), only one was randomly selected for daily age estimation. The estimated age obtained from the increment counts of the otoliths ranged between 27 and 205 d (Table 1; Figure 2). The largest individual (85 mm  $L_T$ ) was estimated to be 197 d old, whereas the smallest measured individual (20 mm  $L_T$ ) was estimated to be 30 d old. The age group 60–79 d (25–49 mm) was the dominant age group (25.8%). A general decline in numbers was apparent as the fish got older (Table 1).

The daily growth rate of YOY *D. annularis* was estimated by fitting a linear regression to the entire length-age data set (Figure 3). Length-age regression analysis resulted in somatic growth rates of 0.369 mm d<sup>-1</sup>. To test for seasonal changes in the growth rate of YOY *D. annularis*, linear regressions were fitted age-length data for larvae hatched during each of the four seasons as defined by the hatch distribution.



Fig. 4. Hatch date monthly distributions of young of the year *Diplodus annularis* back-calculated from age estimates and date of capture with mean surface water temperature. The line corresponds to temperature and the boxes to numbers of larvae hatched.

According to the length–age regression analysis, maximum daily growth rates were found 0.381 mm d<sup>-1</sup> in the spring hatched cohort and minimum values of 0.308 mm d<sup>-1</sup> were seen in the winter hatched cohort (Table 2). ANCOVA indicated that there were no significant differences in either slope or adjusted mean among the equations (F = 0.7497, df = 3, P = 0.5233).

Hatching time of the YOY *D. annularis* was estimated to occur between March and September with relatively higher hatching frequency in June (Figure 4).

#### DISCUSSION

Validation study of otolith rings is a requirement for age and growth studies that should be applied for all ages of each species (Beamish & McFarlane, 1983). No validation study on otolith daily ring formation has been conducted for *D. annularis*, but studies for its congeners *D. vulgaris*, *D. puntazzo* and *D. sargus* have shown that the increment formations represent daily rings (Vigliola, 1997; Villanueva & Molí, 1997). Each daily increment formation on the *D. annularis* otolith was assumed to correspond to one day.

The sagittal otoliths of the YOY *D. annularis* showed clearly identifiable opaque and hyaline bands with regular growth increment patterns (Figure 2). The age groups 40-59 d and 60-79 d had relatively higher frequency (24.4% and 25.8%, respectively) than the older age groups (Table 1). The decline in the number of the older fish might not only be due to mortality but also partially due to movements of the larger size fish to deeper waters.

The average somatic growth rate of YOY *D. annularis* was estimated to be 0.369 mm d<sup>-1</sup>. Since no data of the YOY *D. annularis* growth rate were available for comparison with this study, data on adult *D. annularis* average length at the first year of life (age o) from different regions were used (Table 3). The length of *D. annularis* at the first year of life that was estimated for the juvenile stage from Çanakkale was relatively similar to the estimated values for the Canary Islands (Pajuelo & Lorenzo, 2002) and the Edremit Gulf (Torcu Koc *et al.*, 2002). The growth results from Çanakkale differed from those of the middle eastern Adriatic (Matić-Skoko *et al.*, 2007) probably due to the different ageing methods used (scales) rather than a geographical influence.

Growth rates might change according to environmental conditions (temperature, salinity and food availability) in the different habitats (Wootton, 1990). Our findings showed

 Table 3. Total length of 0 yr old\* Diplodus annularis in different regions, from the period 2002-2007.

Author	Study area	Length at age o	Method
Pajuelo & Lorenzo (2002)	Canary Islands	93 mm	Otolith (annuli)
Matić-Skoko <i>et al.</i> (2007)	Middle eastern Adriatic	62.2 mm	Scales
Torcu Koc <i>et al.</i> (2002)	Edremit Gulf	93.4 mm	Otolith (annuli)
Present Study	Çanakkale	85 mm	Otolith (daily)

\*, maximum age was estimated as 205 d.

that the maximum growth rates of YOY *D. annularis* were observed in spring (relatively high temperature), whereas the minimum values were determined in winter (relatively low temperature). However, ANCOVA (F = 0.7497, df = 3, P = 0.5233) indicated that the observed differences between seasons in this study were not statistically significant.

Hatching of the YOY D. annularis occurred between March and September, with relatively higher hatching frequency in June. The maximum hatching frequency in D. annularis was observed in the summer where temperature was the highest. Mouine et al. (2012) reported that the annular seabream is a summer spawner. Temperature is the most important environmental factor driving reproductive success in fish (Wootton, 1990). The reproductive period for this species has been reported to be between April and May in Izmir Bay, Aegean Sea (Metin & Akyol, 2003), in the spring season for Edremit Bay (Torcu Koc et al., 2002) and from the end of April until September, with a peak in May, for the eastern Adriatic Sea (Matić-Skoko et al., 2007). The differences in the spawning period reported in different studies might be related to the geographical area with temperature differences.

The present study provides some insight on the daily age and growth rates of the early life stage of YOY *D. annularis* in Çanakkale shallow waters. However, these results are based on the assumption that the otolith rings were formed on a daily basis. Further studies focusing on the age and growth of *D. annularis* should take this into account to ensure accurate age and growth rate estimations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank A. Oztekin for logistical support in the field.

#### FINANCIAL SUPPORT

This study was funded by The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK project number: 106T123).

### REFERENCES

- Bailey K.M. and Houde E.D. (1989) Predation on eggs and larvae of marine fishes and the recruitment problem. *Advances in Marine Biology* 25, 1–83.
- Bauchot M.L. and Hureau J.C. (1986) Sparidae. In Whitehead P.J.P., Bauchot M.L., Hureau J.C., Nielsen J. and Tortonese E. (eds) *Fishes* of the north-eastern Atlantic and the Mediterranean. Volume II. Paris: UNESCO, pp. 883–907.
- Bauchot M.L. and Hureau J.C. (1990) Sparidae. In Hureau J.C., Karrer C., Post A. and Saldanha L. (eds) *Check list of the fishes of the Eastern Tropical Atlantic*. Paris: Clofeta II, UNESCO, pp. 790–812.
- Beamish R.J. and McFarlane G.A. (1983) The forgotten requirement for age validation in fisheries biology. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 112, 735-743.
- Folkvord A., Blom G., Johannessen A. and Moksness E. (2000) Growth-dependent age estimation in herring (*Clupea harengus* L.) larvae. *Fisheries Research* 46, 91–103.

- Folkvord A., Johannessen A. and Moksness E. (2004) Temperature-dependent otolith growth in Norwegian springspawning herring Clupea harengus L. larvae. Sarsia: North Atlantic Marine Science 89, 297-310.
- Fox C.J., Folkvord A. and Geffen A.J. (2003) Otolith micro-increment formation in herring Clupea harengus larvae in relation to growth rate. Marine Ecology Progress Series 264, 83-94.
- García-Rubies A. and Macpherson E. (1995) Substrate use and temporal pattern of recruitment in juvenile fishes of the Mediterranean littoral. Marine Biology 124, 35-42.
- Gordoa A. and Moli B. (1997) Age and growth of the sparids Diplodus vulgaris, D-sargus and D-annularis in adult populations and the differences in their juvenile growth patterns in the north-western Mediterranean Sea. Fisheries Research 33, 123-129.
- Harmelin-Vivien M.L., Harmelin J.G. and Leboulleux V. (1995) Microhabitat requirements for settlement of juvenile sparid fishes on Mediterranean rocky shores. Hydrobiologia 300, 309-320.
- Hayes J.W. (1995) Importance of stream versus early lake rearing for rainbow trout fry in Lake Alexandrina, South Island, New Zealand, determined from otolith daily growth patterns. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 29, 409-420.
- Hovenkamp F. (1992) Growth-dependent mortality of larval plaice Pleuronectes platessa in the north-sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 82, 95-101.
- Jones C.M. (1992) Development and application of the otolith increment technique. In Stevenson D.K. and Campana S.E. (eds) Otolith microstructure examination and analysis. [Canadian Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 117.] Ottawa: Department of Fisheries and Oceans, pp 1-11.
- Jones G.P. (1990) The importance of recruitment to the dynamics of a coral-reef fish population. Ecology 71, 1691-1698.
- Kinacigil H.T. and Akyol O. (2001) Effects on trawl selectivity of growth and reproduction in Diplodus annularis L. of Izmir Bay (Aegean Sea). Archive of Fishery and Marine Research 49, 19-26.
- Kraljevic M. and Jug-Dujakovic J. (1988) Preliminary ecological and biological studies of juvenile fish species of commercial interest in the national park 'Kornati'. FAO, General Fish Council Mediterranean 394, 291-299.
- Macpherson E., Biagi F., Francour P., García-Rubíes A., Harmelin J., Harmelin-Vivien M., Jouvenel J.Y., Planes S., Vigliola L. and Tunesi L. (1997) Mortality of juvenile fishes of the genus Diplodus in protected and unprotected areas in the western Mediterranean Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series 160, 135-147.
- Matić-Skoko S., Kraljević M., Dulčić J. and Jardas I. (2007) Age, growth, maturity, mortality, and yield-per-recruit for annular sea bream (Diplodus annularis L.) from the eastern middle Adriatic Sea. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 23, 152-157.
- Metin G. and Akyol O. (2003) Izmir Körfezi'nde (Ege Denizi) Isparoz (Diplodus annularis L., 1758)'un bir defada biraktigi yumurta miktarinin belirlenmesi üzerine bir ön çalişma. Ege Üniversitesi Su Ürünleri Dergisi 20, 205-209. [In Turkish.]
- Miller S.J. and Storck T. (1982) Daily growth rings in otoliths of young-of-the-year largemouth bass. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 111, 527-530.
- Mouine N., Francour P., Ktari M.H. and Chakroun-Marzouk N. (2012) Reproductive biology of four Diplodus species Diplodus vulgaris, D.

annularis, D. sargus sargus and D. puntazzo (Sparidae) in the Gulf of Tunis (central Mediterranean). Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 92, 623-631.

- Pajuelo J.G. and Lorenzo J.M. (2002) Age and growth of the annular seabream, Diplodus annularis (Pisces: Sparidae), from the Canarian archipelago (central-east Atlantic). Ciencias Marinas 28, 1-11.
- Quero J.C. and Gueguen J. (1978) The ichthyofauna of the Bay of Biscay. 1. Distribution of Diplodus (Sparidae, Perciformes) and remarks on their juvenile stages. Cybium 3, 82-94.
- Rodríguez R.B. and Rodríguez A. (1984) Overlap of counted characters and its use in numeric taxonomy. Application to Diplodus bellottii and Diplodus annularis (Pisces, Sparidae) from the Gulf of Cadiz. Investigación Pesquera 48, 319-336.
- Salekhova L.P. (1961) Hermaphroditism of annular bream Diplodus annularis (L.). Trudy Sevastopol Biological Station 14, 257-268.
- Secor D.H., Dean J.M. and Laban E.H. (1991) Manual for otolith removal and preparation for microstructural examination. Columbia, SC: Belle W. Baruch Institute for Marine Biology and Coastal Research.
- Takahashi M. and Watanabe Y. (2004) Growth rate-dependent recruitment of Japanese anchovy Engraulis japonicus in the Kuroshio-Oyashio transitional waters. Marine Ecology Progress Series 266, 227 - 238.
- Takasuka A., Aoki I. and Mitani I. (2003) Evidence of growth-selective predation on larval Japanese anchovy Engraulis japonicus in Sagami Bay. Marine Ecology Progress Series 252, 223-238.
- Torcu Koc H., Cakir D. and Aka Z. (2002) Age, growth, sex-ratio, spawning season and mortality of annular bream, Diplodus annularis Linnaeus (1758) (Pisces: Sparidae) in Edremit Gulf. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 5, 1126-1130.
- Vigliola L. (1997) Validation of daily increment formation in otoliths for three Diplodus species in the Mediterranean sea. Journal of Fish Biology 51, 349-360.
- Villanueva R. and Molí B. (1997) Validation of the otolith increment deposition ratio using alizarin marks in juveniles of the sparid fishes, Diplodus vulgaris and D. puntazzo. Fisheries Research 30, 257-260.
- Wassef E.A. (1985) Comparative biological studies of four Diplodus species (Pisces, Sparidae). Cybium 9, 203-215.
- Williams T. and Bedford B.C. (1974) The use of otoliths for age determination. In Bagenal T.B. (ed.) The ageing of fish. Woking: Unwin Brothers, pp. 114-123.
- Wootton R.J. (1990) Ecology of teleost fishes. London, New York: Chapman and Hall.

#### and

Xie S., Watanabe Y., Saruwatari T., Masuda R., Yamashita Y., Sassa C. and Konishi Y. (2005) Growth and morphological development of sagittal otoliths of larval and early juvenile Trachurus japonicus. Journal of Fish Biology 66, 1704-1719.

#### Correspondence should be addressed to:

H. Ayyildiz

Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Gokceada School of Applied Sciences, Department of Fisheries Technology 17760, Gokceada, Çanakkale, Turkey email: h\_ayyildiz17@hotmail.com