

Contrecoup injury in patients with traumatic temporal bone fracture

Z A ASHA'ARI¹, R AHMAD¹, J RAHMAN², N KAMARUDIN³, L WAN ISHLAH¹

Departments of ¹Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery and ²Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, International Islamic University Malaysia, Jalan Hospital, and ³Department of Radiology, Hospital Tengku Ampuan Afzan, Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia

Abstract

Objective: To study the prevalence and patterns of contrecoup injury in traumatic temporal bone fracture cases.

Method: A prospective, cohort study was undertaken of all patients with traumatic head injury admitted to a tertiary referral hospital in Malaysia within an 18-month period. High resolution computed tomography scans of the brain and skull base were performed in indicated cases, based on clinical findings and Glasgow coma score. Patients with a one-sided temporal bone fracture were selected and subsequent magnetic resonance imaging performed in all cases. Contrecoup injury incidence, type, severity and outcome were recorded.

Results: Of 1579 head injury cases, 81 (5.1 per cent) met the inclusion criteria and were enrolled in the study. Temporal bone fractures were significantly associated with intracranial injuries ($p < 0.001$). The incidence of a contrecoup injury in cases with temporal bone fracture was 13.6 per cent. Contrecoup injury was significantly associated with petrous temporal bone fracture ($p < 0.01$). The commonest contrecoup injury was cerebral contusion, followed by extradural haematoma and subdural haematoma.

Conclusion: Contrecoup injury is not uncommon in cases of temporal bone fracture, and is significantly associated with petrous temporal bone fracture.

Key words: Temporal Bone; Skull Fractures; Brain Injuries; Contrecoup Injury; Diagnosis

Introduction

In cases of head trauma, a coup injury occurs under the site of impact with an object, while a contrecoup injury occurs at the side opposite the impacted area. Coup and contrecoup injuries can occur individually or together.¹ When a moving object impacts upon a stationary head, coup injuries are typical, while contrecoup injuries are produced when a moving head strikes a stationary object.^{1,2} Coup and contrecoup injuries are considered focal brain injuries, i.e. they occur in a particular area of the brain, in contrast to diffuse injuries, which occur over a more widespread area.³

Temporal bone fracture is frequently associated with a coup intracranial injury. The incidence of contrecoup injury in this setting is relatively unknown; such injuries have been reported only as case reports.^{4–8} However, studies have shown that the brain appears to be more susceptible to injury from a lateral impact than a posterior or frontal impact; therefore, contrecoup injuries may be more prevalent in temporal bone fracture cases than has previously been reported.^{3,9} Contrecoup injuries are also frequently missed, as clinicians tend to focus on the fracture site.

To our knowledge, there has been no previously reported, full study of the prevalence and association of contrecoup injury in cases of temporal bone fracture. We believe that data from the present study will be a useful additional reference in head trauma cases, and will increase clinicians' awareness of contrecoup injuries during computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) review. Earlier detection of contrecoup injuries can minimise the complications of head trauma.

Materials and methods

This study was conducted in Hospital Tengku Ampuan Afzan, a tertiary referral hospital in Eastern Peninsular Malaysia, which caters for a local population of over one million. The study period was 18 months beginning in January 2007.

All head injury patients admitted to the accident and emergency ward were seen and assessed on the day of admission.

Head injury was defined as a definite history of a blow to the head, with or without a laceration of the scalp or altered consciousness (no matter how brief).

A high resolution CT scan of the brain and skull base was ordered when indicated (thin slice, 2 mm coronal and axial cut; GE 9800 Advantage machine, General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA). The main indication for CT scanning was a drop in consciousness level, taken as a Glasgow coma score of 14 and lower. A CT scan was also ordered in cases with bleeding from the ear or nose, scalp haematoma, scalp laceration, watery otorrhoea or rhinorrhoea, or periorbital haematoma.

Patients whose CT scan showed a one-sided temporal bone fracture were included in the study. The appearance of other types of ipsilateral skull fracture, together with temporal bone fracture, was also considered an inclusion criterion.

A gadolinium-enhanced MRI brain scan was ordered in all cases of unilateral temporal bone fracture in which the patient or immediate family members gave informed consent for inclusion in the study, and in which transport to the scanning facility and processing of the scan would not compromise other aspects of the patient's care. Magnetic resonance imaging was conducted using a 1.5 Tesla proton imaging system (T1- and T2-weighted images, 3–5 mm cut). The time lapse from CT to MRI scanning ranged from three days to four weeks.

Exclusion criteria comprised any CT evidence of contralateral skull fracture, and non-performance of MRI scanning.

The CT and (particularly) MRI films were reviewed thoroughly with a radiologist to look for evidence of intracranial injury, including contusions and haemorrhages.

Findings were recorded and assessed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 13 software program (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). The chi-square test was used for statistical evaluation; a *p* value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results and analysis

The total number of head injury cases admitted to the casualty unit within the study period was 1579. There were 1251 males (79.2 per cent) and 328 females (20.8 per cent), with a male–female ratio of 3.8:1. The mean patient age was 21.3 years, with an age range of 14 to 72 years. Motor vehicle accidents were the commonest cause of head injury, contributing to 1356 cases (85.9 per cent).

Five hundred and fifty-nine patients underwent CT scanning. Of these, 88 patients were diagnosed with a single-sided temporal bone fracture. In seven of these cases, MRI could not be performed. Therefore, 81 cases (5.1 per cent of total head injury cases) were included in this study. Of the 81 temporal bone fracture cases, 52 had a temporal bone fracture only. The remaining 29 cases had other associated skull fractures, namely of the frontal bone (eight patients), parietal bone (six), occipital bone (three) or mixed fractures (12 cases) (Table I).

TABLE I
SITES OF FRACTURE AND INTRACRANIAL INJURY

Fractured bone(s)	Intracranial injury site				Total
	C	CC	Mixed	None	
Temporal alone	19	0	1	32	52
Temporoparietal	5	1	0	0	6
Temporofrontal	7	1	0	0	8
Temporo-occipital	1	1	1	0	3
Multiple*	6	0	6	0	12
Total	38	3	8	32	81

Data represent patient numbers. *More than 2 bones fractured. C = coup; CC = contrecoup

The incidence of intracranial injury was 60.5 per cent (49/81) in patients with temporal bone fracture and 7.1 per cent (34/478) in patients without temporal bone fracture (Table II; This information compares the incidence of intracranial injury in patients with temporal bone fracture and those without the fracture). There was a statistically significant relationship between the presence of temporal bone fractures and intracranial injuries in our patients (chi-square test; $p < 0.001$). The incidence of contrecoup injury in unilateral temporal bone fracture cases was 13.6 per cent (11/81 cases). Three cases had a contrecoup injury alone, without injury at the side of impact. These three patients sustained a temporal bone fracture associated with other ipsilateral skull bone fractures (Table I). Eight cases had mixed intracranial injury on both the ipsilateral and contralateral sides. All patients with temporal bone fracture associated with other ipsilateral skull fractures also had intracranial injury (Table I).

Of the 49 cases of temporal bone fracture with intracranial injury, 23 (46.9 per cent) had petrous temporal bone fracture. Contrecoup injury was significantly associated with petrous temporal bone fracture ($p < 0.01$) (Table III; this information compares the incidence of contrecoup injury in patients with petrous temporal bone fracture and those non-petrous temporal bone fracture).

Demographic data for the 11 contrecoup injury patients are shown in Table IV.

Discussion

We studied 1579 cases of traumatic head injury, and found unilateral temporal bone fractures in 5.6 per cent.

TABLE II
CT-SCANNED CASES: TEMPORAL BONE FRACTURES AND INTRACRANIAL INJURIES

IC injury?	Temporal bone fracture?	
	Yes (<i>n</i> (%))	No (<i>n</i> (%))
Yes	49 (60.5)	34 (7.1)
No	32 (39.5)	444 (92.9)
Total	81 (100)	478 (100)

$\chi^2 = 156.1$; one degree of freedom; $p < 0.001$. CT = computed tomography; IC = intracranial

TABLE III
TEMPORAL BONE FRACTURE + INTRACRANIAL INJURY CASES: DATA

IC injury	Temporal fracture site		Total
	Petrous	Non-petrous	
Coup alone	14	24	38
Contrecoup*	9	2	11
Total	23	26	49

Data represent patient numbers. $\chi^2 = 6.928$; one degree of freedom; $p = 0.008$. *With or without coup injury. IC = intracranial

This is a slightly higher figure than that quoted in other studies.⁹⁻¹¹ The majority of our temporal bone fracture cases were caused by traffic accidents; the high prevalence of this causation reflects the severity of motor vehicle collision impact in our country. The average age of our patients was also much younger than that reported for other temporal bone fracture series.^{12,13} In Malaysia, it is legal to drive from the age of sixteen years, and this may have contributed to the younger average age observed in our series.

Of the 81 temporal fracture cases identified, 49 (60.5 per cent) had an associated intracranial injury (Table II; this information compares the incidence of intracranial injury in patients with temporal bone fracture and those without the fracture). A Turkish study on the relationship between skull fractures (not confined to the temporal bone) and intracranial lesions, following head injury due to traffic accidents, found an intracranial injury incidence of only 38.9 per cent.¹³ The disparity between these two results may reflect a difference in the severity of traffic accident trauma between the two countries. It may also indicate that temporal bone fractures are associated with a higher risk of intracranial damage, compared with other skull bone fractures. This latter effect is probably due

to the temporal bone's irregular architecture, which results in more injury when brain tissue glides against it.

In their study of 13 temporal bone fracture cases, Jones *et al.* reported ipsilateral temporal lobe injury in 46 per cent and contralateral injury in 16 per cent.⁷ We observed a slightly higher incidence of coup injury, at 56.8 per cent, but a comparable incidence of contrecoup injury, at 13.6 per cent. To our knowledge, the incidence of contrecoup injury in temporal bone fracture cases has not been determined in a larger series. Judging from both our own and Jones and colleagues' results, contrecoup injuries are not uncommon in patients with temporal bone fracture.

We found that contrecoup injury was significantly associated with petrous temporal bone fracture (Table III). Petrous bone fracture is defined as a fracture extending into the petrous apex and/or the otic capsule.¹⁴ Since the temporal bone, and in particular its petrous part, is considered one of the toughest bones in the body, a considerable amount of force is required to fracture it. This considerable force may be sufficient to cause associated skull and/or intracranial injury, either directly (coup) or indirectly (contrecoup).

In our 11 temporal bone fracture patients with contrecoup injury, the average age was 39.4 years and only two were female (Table IV). This average age was twice that of all head injury cases in our study. We speculate that the incidence of contrecoup injury may be greater in an older age group. This could be explained by atherosclerotic blood vessel changes in elderly patients acting as a risk factor for intracranial injury; a contralateral impact could have an indirect shearing effect on such vessels, leading to a contrecoup injury. We observed only one case of contrecoup injury due to temporal bone fracture alone; the rest occurred in association with other skull bone fractures ipsilateral to the temporal bone fracture. This further indicates that a

TABLE IV
TEMPORAL BONE FRACTURE + CONTRECOUP INJURY CASES: DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

Pt no	Age (y), sex	Fracture type	GCS*	Coup injury		Contrecoup injury		Outcome
				Type	Surgery?	Type & site	Surgery?	
1	64 M	L temporoparietal	9	-	No	Cont, R temporal	No	Good recovery
2	55 M	R temporo-occipital	10	-	No	Cont, L temporal	No	Good recovery
3	41 M	R temporofrontal	11	-	No	EDH, L occipital	No	Good recovery
4	34 M	L temporo-occipital	13	EDH	Yes	EDH, R temporofrontal	Yes	Good recovery
5	20 M	R multiple [†]	9	SDH	No	Cont, L temporofrontal EDH, R temporal	Yes	Good recovery
6	48 F	R temporal alone	13	Cont	No	Cont, L temporal	No	Good recovery
7	16 M	R multiple [†]	12	Cont	No	Cont, L parieto-occipital	No	Good recovery
8	52 M	L multiple [†]	8	EDH	No	Cont, R temporofrontal SDH, R temporal	No	Died
9	47 M	L multiple [†]	10	Cont	No	Cont, R temporoparietal SDH, R temporal	Yes	Good recovery
10	21 M	R multiple [†]	12	SDH, Cont	Yes	EDH, L temporoparietal	Yes	Died
11	35 F	R multiple [†]	13	Cont	No	Cont, L temporofrontal	No	Good recovery

*On admission, out of 15. [†]More than 2 bones. Pt no = patient number; y = years; GCS = Glasgow Coma Score; M = male; F = female; L = left; R = right; EDH = extradural haematoma; SDH = subdural haematoma; cont = contusion

contrecoup injury is associated with a significant amount of collision force transmitted across the skull to the other side.

In head trauma cases, the severity and type of resulting intracranial injuries depend on the site and direction of impact, the severity of the traumatising force, and the tissue resistance of the brain. Cortical contusion was the most prevalent type of contrecoup injury in our series (Table IV). This is in concordance with previous findings that linear translation of the traumatic force in the latero-lateral direction usually leads to coup or contrecoup contusions.¹⁵ Contusions are considerably less frequent following head injury delivered in a centro-axial direction (i.e. either fronto-occipital or the reverse), as such injuries produce a different pattern of lesions mostly involving deep structures (e.g. diffuse axonal damage).¹⁵ In our four cases in which contusion occurred both on the coup and contrecoup sides, the contrecoup lesions were found to be larger than the coup lesions (Table IV).

In our cases of contrecoup contusion, we observed a predilection for damage to the lateral surfaces and bases of the temporal lobes, and the tip and bases of the frontal lobes. These areas are at risk as the brain moves against the uneven surface of the skull and skull base.

The second commonest type of contrecoup injury in our patients was extradural haematoma. We observed four cases of contrecoup extradural haematoma (Table IV), one of which occurred without a coup injury. This latter patient had sustained a fractured right temporoparietal bone from an assault with a wooden stick. He developed a minimal, non-expanding, contrecoup extradural haematoma in the left occipital region, which was treated conservatively and responded well. Our other three cases of contrecoup extradural haematoma required surgical intervention. In these patients, surgical exploration on the contrecoup side revealed no damage to large arteries but 'oozing' from small periosteal capillaries. This may indicate that contrecoup extradural haematoma is due to tearing of these small interposed periosteal capillaries, rather than to damage of bigger arteries in the area.

The first three patients shown in Table IV sustained a contrecoup injury alone, without any coup injury. These patients had Glasgow Coma Scores on admission of 9/15, 10/15 and 11/15, variously. The mean Glasgow Coma Score for the other, mixed injury cases was better, at 11.3. This conforms to observations, obtained from experimental models and autopsy reports of head injury victims, that contrecoup injuries are generally more severe than coup injuries.^{16,17} The overall mortality rate amongst our contrecoup injury cases was 18 per cent (i.e. two deaths out of 11 cases). These two deaths occurred in cases with multiple trauma; in one case, the patient died before surgical intervention was possible.

Our study had several limitations. Regarding study methodology, we performed CT scans on head

trauma patients immediately upon presentation to the accident and emergency ward, mostly within 12 hours of injury. Dahiya *et al.* reported in 1999 that up to one-third of temporal bone fractures are not evident on the initial CT scan.¹⁸ However, the high resolution CT scanning used in our study has excellent sensitivity for temporal bone fractures, and most of our intracranial findings were obtained from MRI. Although the majority of patients with vascular injury can satisfactorily be evaluated with MRI, the MRI signs of traumatic vascular injury may be extremely subtle. The 'gold standard' for vascular injury diagnosis is catheter angiography, which was not performed in our cases.

Another limiting factor was the fact that CT scans were ordered based on certain patient criteria. Therefore, it is possible that some temporal bone fractures were missed.

- **Temporal bone fractures are frequently associated with coup intracranial injuries, but their relationship with contrecoup injuries is rarely reported**
- **The brain is more susceptible to injury from lateral than posterior or frontal impacts**
- **Contrecoup injuries are frequently missed in temporal fracture cases, as clinicians focus on the fracture side, and hence may be under-reported**
- **This prospective, cohort study assessed patterns of contrecoup injury in traumatic temporal bone fracture cases**
- **Contrecoup injury occurred in 13.6 per cent of unilateral temporal bone fracture cases, and was significantly associated with petrous temporal bone fracture**
- **Clinicians should be aware of these results when viewing imaging scans in such cases**

In addition, this study involved only a relatively small number of cases (11 contrecoup cases). Thus, our results should be applied with certain reservations. However, since research on this particular area is scarce, our findings could act as an impetus for future studies involving larger sample sizes.

Conclusion

Contrecoup injury is not uncommon following traumatic temporal bone fracture; however, research in this area is scarce. In our temporal bone fracture patients, a contrecoup injury was significantly associated with petrous temporal bone fracture. The commonest contrecoup injury was brain contusion, followed by extradural haematoma.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Director of Hospital Tengku Ampuan Afzan for permission to conduct this study, and Drs Rosnida Azura, Ayesha Zain, Halimaton Mohamad and Katyatee Kassim for their help with data collection.

References

- 1 Poirier MP. Concussions: assessment, management, and recommendations for return to activity. *Clin Pediatr Emerg Med* 2003;**4**:179–85
- 2 Morrison AL, King TM, Korell MA, Smialek JE, Troncoso JC. Acceleration-deceleration injuries to the brain in blunt force trauma. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol* 1998;**19**:109–12
- 3 Hardman JM, Manoukian A. Pathology of head trauma. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2002;**12**:175–87
- 4 Mitsuyama T, Ide M, Kawamura H. Acute epidural hematoma caused by contrecoup head injury. *Neurol Med Chir* 2004;**44**:584–6
- 5 Hardin MF. A case of contrecoup vestibular injury. *Kans Med* 1996;**97**:14–15
- 6 Balasubramaniam V, Ramesh VG. A case of coup and contrecoup extradural hematoma. *Surg Neurol* 1991;**36**:462–4
- 7 Jones RM, Rothman MI, Gray WC, Zoarski GH, Mattox DE. Temporal lobe injury in temporal bone fractures. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2000;**126**:131–5
- 8 Ulug T, Ulubil SA. Contralateral labyrinthine concussion in temporal bone fractures. *J Otolaryngol* 2006;**35**:380–3
- 9 Ryan GA, McLean AJ, Vilenius AT, Kloeden CN, Simpson DA, Blumbergs PC *et al.* Brain injury patterns in fatally injured pedestrians. *J Trauma* 1994;**36**:469–76
- 10 Lee HJ, Lum C, Means K, Chandrasekhar S, Brown L, Holodny A *et al.* Temporal bone fractures and complications: correlation between high-resolution computed tomography and audiography. *Emerg Radiol* 1998;**5**:8–12
- 11 Saraiya PV, Aygun N. Temporal bone fractures. *Emerg Radiol* 2009;**16**:255–65
- 12 Brodie HA, Thompson TC. Management of complications from 820 temporal bone fractures. *Am J Otol* 1997;**18**:188–97
- 13 Yavuz MS, Asirdizer M, Cetin G, Balci YG, Altinkok M. The correlation between skull fractures and intracranial lesions due to traffic accidents. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol* 2003;**24**:339–45
- 14 Ishman SL, Friedland DR. Temporal bone fractures: traditional classification and clinical relevance. *Laryngoscope* 2004;**114**:1734–41
- 15 Besenski N. Traumatic injuries: imaging of head injuries. *Eur Radiol* 2002;**12**:1237–52
- 16 Drew LB, Drew WE. The contrecoup-coup phenomenon: a new understanding of the mechanism of closed head injury. *Neurocrit Care* 2004;**4**:385–90
- 17 Gurdjian E, Gurdjian ES. Cerebral contusions: re-evaluation of the mechanism of their development. *J Trauma* 1976;**16**:35–51
- 18 Dahiya R, Keller JD, Litofsky NS, Bankey PE, Bonassar LJ, Megerian CA. Temporal bone fractures: otic capsule sparing versus otic capsule violating clinical and radiographic considerations. *J Trauma* 1999;**47**:1079–83

Address for correspondence:

Dr Zamzil Amin Asha'Ari,
Assistant Professor,
Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery,
Faculty of Medicine,
International Islamic University Malaysia, Jalan Hospital,
25100 Kuantan,
Pahang, Malaysia

Fax: 609 5133615

E-mail: zamzilamin@yahoo.com

Dr Zamzil Amin Asha'ari takes responsibility for the integrity of the content of the paper
Competing interests: None declared
