# Investigation of the life history and infestation of *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) on four different hosts

Maryam Atapour<sup>1</sup> and Shiva Osouli

**Abstract**—*Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae), also known as the citrus leafminer, is a serious pest in *Citrus* Linnaeus (Rutaceae) nurseries in Iran. Few studies have been performed on the life history of this pest on different citrus hosts. In this work, the infestation rate and biological aspects of *P. citrella* were investigated using four citrus hosts: Valencia orange (*Citrus sinensis* (Linnaeus) Osbeck), trifoliate orange (*Poncirus trifoliata* (Linnaeus) Rafinesque), grapefruit (*Citrus paradisi* Macfadyen), and sweet lemon (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle). Moths preferred laying eggs on leaves with a width ranging from 1–2 cm, and the highest oviposition was observed on the Valencia orange (51.2 eggs/female). The numbers of pupae and infested leaves were significantly higher on *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia*. The entire developmental period of the immature stages was 13.8 and 15.4 days in *C. aurantifolia*. The rate of mortality of the immature stage was the highest in these two latter hosts as well (29–31%). Moths showed the highest emergence and longevity on *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia*. The results indicate that *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia* are susceptible hosts that can be recommended for the mass-rearing of this species in non-chemical pest control programmes.

# Introduction

*Citrus* Linnaeus (Rutaceae) is one of the most important productive fruit crops in Iran; 4.2% of the area of citrus cultivation in Asia occurs in Iran; and among the citrus producers of the world, Iran ranks eighth (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 2014). *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) is a major pest of citrus. The larvae mine the leaves and surface tissues of young shoots and stems, although the pest populations that are built up are greater on the new flush (Heppner 1995; Beattie and Hardy 2004; Cardwell *et al.* 2008). In addition, these larvae have been linked to the occurrence of the citrus canker (*Xanthomonas axonopodis* (Hasse); Xanthomonadaceae), which is a serious disease of *Citrus* (Chagas *et al.* 2001).

*Phyllocnistis citrella* was originally described in Calcutta, India (Stainton 1856). This pest was included in the list of important pests of agricultural crops and the products of Iran by Farahbakhsh in the first report (Farahbakhsh 1961). Under favourable summer and autumn conditions in Iran, this pest can have 7–8 generations within one year; and under laboratory conditions, a generation is completed in 19 and 16.5 days at 25 °C and 30 °C, respectively (Jafari *et al.* 2000). In laboratory studies, the developmental times of the egg and the larval, prepupal, and pupal stages of this pest on *Citrus sinensis* (Linnaeus) Osbeck cultivar Valencia were 3.6, 8.9, and 7.5 days, respectively, under 25 °C and 70% relative humidity conditions (Namvar and Safaralizade 2008).

The host plant plays an important role in the damage and sensitivity of the plant, affecting the length of life cycle and the number of different biological stages as well as pest behaviour. Seraj

Received 24 September 2018. Accepted 4 January 2019. First published online 24 April 2019.

**M. Atapour**,<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Production and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Agriculture, Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology (IROST), Tehran, Iran 33535111 **Sh. Osouli**, Department of Plant Protection, Nuclear Agriculture School, Nuclear Science and Technology

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author (e-mails: atapour@irost.org) Subject editor: Kevin Floate doi:10.4039/tce.2019.17

Can. Entomol. 151: 329-339 (2019)

Research Institute, Karaj, Iran 31465/1498

(1999) compared some citrus species as hosts of P. citrella under field conditions. He concluded that Citrus aurantifolia (Christmann) Swingle, Citrus sinensis cultivar Valencian, Citrus sinensis cultivar Siavarz, and Kinnow (a hybrid of Citrus nobilis Loureiro and Citrus deliciosa Tenore) are more susceptible to attack than Citrus paradisi Macfadyen, which had one-tenth the level of infested leaves compared to the other host species (Seraj 1999). Goane et al. (2008) found no difference in oviposition preference and offspring performance (including parasitism and predation rates) of P. citrella on lemon (Citrus limon (Linnaeus) Osbeck), orange (Citrus sinensis), and grapefruit (Citrus paradisi) in laboratory and field conditions. Moreover, fewer P. citrella mines were observed in mandarin (C. reticulata Blanco cultivar Clementine) compared to lemon (C. limon cultivar Eureka) and orange (C. sinensis cultivar Navelina) trees (Kalaitzaki et al. 2011; Tsagkarakis et al. 2013). In addition to host preference studies, some studies have been carried out on the population fluctuation of this pest at different times. Biparva et al. (2013) studied these fluctuations in two (Poncirus trifoliata (Linnaeus) Rafinesque) (Rutaceae) orchards. The maximum population was recorded in November when the temperature was between 21 °C and 27 °C and the relative humidity was between 34.5% and 44.5%. In a study on the population dynamics and P. citrella infestation on some citrus hosts, it was shown that Navel orange (Citrus × sinensis) and lime (Citrus aurantifolia) were more susceptible than mandarin (Citrus reticulata Blanco). It was also found that abiotic factors such as temperature, humidity, and direction of trees could affect the population fluctuations of P. citrella (Abdel-Rhman 2009). In another recent study, El-Afify et al. (2018) investigated the seasonal activity of P. citrella on Navel orange trees and the effects of certain weather factors on its population. Their results showed that changes in this pest population were significantly correlated with temperature.

During recent years many insecticides have been continuously applied to control *P. citrella* at short-term intervals, which has resulted in the development of insecticide resistance, loss of natural enemies, and subsequent increases in outbreaks of this pest in Iran. Therefore, we undertook research to optimise the mass production of P. citrella for use in control programmes using sterile insect technique. In our previous studies, first, the life history of this pest on C. sinensis as a citrus host was investigated under different constant temperatures, and the results indicated an optimal temperature of 27 °C (Atapour and Osouli 2017). In the next step, C. sinensis was used for the mass-rearing of P. citrella at 27 °C to investigate the effects of gamma radiation on the life history and mating competitiveness of this pest (Osouli and Atapour 2018). A comparison of the results of our previous studies and that of others, such as Seraj (1999, 2013), Goane et al. (2008), and Kalaitzaki et al. (2011) who have studied the life history of this pest on other citrus hosts, led to the question of whether different hosts would affect the biological aspects of the pest and the possibility to introduce a more suitable host for the mass production of this pest. Thus, the current study aimed to investigate the infestation rate as well as some biological parameters such as the duration and survival of the eggs, larval, and pupal stages; longevity of adults; and the sex ratio in certain commercial citrus hosts that are more readily available in our country, namely Valencia orange (Citrus sinensis cultivar Valencia), trifoliate orange (Poncirus trifoliata), grapefruit (C. paradisi), and sweet lemon (C. aurantifolia Swingle cultivar sweet lime). In addition, the oviposition site preference of moths in different parts of the leaf and various leaves of different sizes was investigated in these citrus hosts.

# **Materials and methods**

# **Citrus plants**

During the experiments, four different citrus seedlings were obtained from Dashte-Naz nurseries in Mazandaran province  $(36.6^{\circ}N, 52.1^{\circ}E; 16 \text{ m})$ . These included *C. sinensis* cultivar Valencia, *P. trifoliata*, *C. paradisi*, and *C. aurantifolia*, which were about 50 cm tall, planted in a 1:1 mixture of potting soil and vermiculite in plastic pots (15 cm in diameter), and kept in a greenhouse (28 ± 3 °C, 80 ± 10% relative humidity). Plants were generally irrigated two times a week and fertilised two times a month with 10 mL/L solution of a citrus fertiliser (NPK fertiliser, 9-2-6 with micronutrients; Pokon, Veenendaal, The Netherlands).

**Fig. 1.** Different areas of a citrus leaf chosen to detect the oviposition site preference of *Phyllocnistis citrella*: upper or lower surface, near (N) or far from (F) the midrib, and tip (T), middle (M), or base (B) of a leaf.



### Insects

Young shoots with newly emerged leaves infested with P. citrella were obtained from the Dashte-Naz nurseries to supplement the insect colony. After the formation of pupal cells at the leaf edge, the pupae were separated from the infested leaves with a soft brush and then put into cylindrical opaque plastic containers (5 cm length  $\times$  3 cm diameter), which contained moist cotton. After emergence, the moths were introduced to seedlings, and after two generations, the pests that reared on different hosts were used in the experiments. These seedlings were kept in a growth chamber at  $28 \pm 2$  °C,  $70 \pm 10\%$  relative humidity, and a photoperiod of 14 hours. Voucher specimens of *P. citrella* from this study were deposited in the insect collection of the Department of Entomology, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

#### Infestation rate of plant hosts

Four large cages (95 × 70 × 105 cm) were used to evaluate the effect of the four citrus hosts on infestation rate. Each cage was divided into four sections by tulle walls, each containing six pots, which were considered as replicates. Therefore, there were 24 pots in four replications in each cage. Cotton wool moistened with honey solution (10%) was placed at the corners of these cages to provide food for moths. All the cages were kept at  $27 \pm 5$  °C,  $70 \pm 10\%$  relative humidity, and a photoperiod of 14 hours in a climatic room. A data logger (TES 1384; data logger 4 Input Thermometer, Taipei, Taiwan) was used to monitor the temperature. Then, 200 moths (with a male-female ratio of 1:1) were released inside each cage (50 moths in each section of a cage). The last abdominal segment, which was longer and bore two long setae in the female pupae, was used for sex determination as described by Jacas and Garrido (1996). The total number of pupae in each cage, number of infested leaves in each pot, number of pupae in each of the infected leaves, and the weight of the male and female pupae were investigated in each citrus host after about three weeks. To weigh the pupae, due to the small size of the specimens, a four-decimal-place balance (0.0001 g) was used (GR-200; A&D Company, Tokyo, Japan).

#### **Oviposition site preference**

To investigate the oviposition site preference of females on the leaves, 100 infested leaves containing the eggs of the pest were studied for each citrus host. Different areas of each citrus leaf were chosen according to the method described by Chagas and Parra (2000) (Fig. 1) as follows: upper or lower surface of the leaf; near (N) the midrib or far from it (F); and tip (T), middle (M), or base (B) of the leaf. In addition, to detect the relationship between "leaf size" and oviposition preference, the infested leaves of each host plant were grouped into four sizes: leaves with a blade width of < 1, 1–2, 2–3, or > 3 cm.

Citrus species	Total number of pupae	Number of infested leaves in a pot (mean ± SE)	Number of pupae per infected leaf (mean ± SE)	Sex ratio	Weight of male (mg) (mean ± SE)	Weight of female (mg) (mean ± SE)
Poncirus trifoliata	24	$0.8 \pm 0.1c^{*}$	$1.1 \pm 0.07 b$	1: 1.1	$0.15 \pm 0.02a$	$0.19 \pm 0.03a$
Citrus sinensis	594	7.1 ± 0.2a	$2.9 \pm 0.06a$	1: 1.3	$0.18 \pm 0.01a$	$0.27 \pm 0.01a$
Citrus paradisi	53	$2.0 \pm 0.2b$	$1.3 \pm 0.06b$	1: 1.2	$0.17 \pm 0.02a$	$0.22 \pm 0.02a$
Citrus aurantifolia	526	6.7 ± 0.3a	3.1 ± 0.08a	1: 1.4	$0.18 \pm 0.01a$	$0.25 \pm 0.01a$

**Table 1.** Effect of different citrus hosts on the total number of pupae, number of infested leaves in each pot, number of pupae in each infected leaf, sex ratio, and the weight of male and female pupae of *Phyllocnistis citrella*.

\* Means followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different using Tukey's test at P < 0.05.

### **Biological experiments**

For each citrus host, 100 leaves containing one egg were transferred individually into Petri dishes kept in an incubator at  $27 \pm 1$  °C,  $70 \pm 10\%$  relative humidity, and a photoperiod of 14 hours. The duration and mortality of different developmental stages were recorded. The absence of a new mine, pupal chamber, or moth emergence was considered as indicators of egg, larval, or pupal mortality, respectively. The sex ratio of the pupae was determined before adult emergence, after which the mortality and longevity of female and male moths were recorded.

To determine the daily number of eggs laid, one pair of female and male moths was introduced to a seedling covered with a small tulle cage. Cotton wool moistened with honey solution (10%) was placed in each cage as a source of food. The laid eggs were counted daily for five days. Fifty couples were tested per plant host evaluated. Each pair of *P. citrella* couple was considered as a replicate.

### Statistical analysis

The data obtained from daily observation was used to construct life tables according to Sokal and Rohlf (1981). Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS (version 16.0; IBM Company, Armonk, New York, United States of America) software. All the data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error. The differences among the treatments were determined using the one-way analysis of variance, followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons at P < 0.05.

The distribution of eggs on leaves of different sizes was measured in a split-plot design based on randomised complete blocks, with five replications for every leaf size and four citrus hosts for every replicate. The citrus hosts were arranged in the main plots and different leaf sizes were arranged in subplots. In this test, all means were separated by the Fisher least significant difference test, and statistical analysis and mean comparisons were carried out using the MSTAT-C software (Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, United States of America).

# Results

### Infestation rate of plant hosts

The total number of pupae in the pots was lower in *P. trifoliata* and *C. paradisi* (24 and 53 pupae, respectively) compared with *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia* (594 and 526 pupae, respectively; Table 1). The number of infested leaves in each pot was significantly different ( $F_{3,12} = 222.07$ ; P < 0.001): from about seven infested leaves in *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia* to 1–2 leaves in *P. trifoliata* and *C. paradisi*. The number of pupae in each infested leaf was significantly different as well ( $F_{3,12} = 145.6$ ; P < 0.001); there were about three pupae per leaf for *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia* or *C. aurantifolia*, whereas only one pupa was observed on the leaf of *P. trifoliata* or *C. paradisi*.

Although there was no significant difference between the weight of the male and female pupae on different hosts, the weight of the male pupae (0.15-0.18 mg) was lower than that of the female pupae (0.19-0.27 mg) (Table 1).

### **Oviposition site preference**

The oviposition site preference of female P. citrella is shown in Table 2. Among the different citrus hosts, these moths preferred laying eggs on the lower surface of the leaves (73-84%), near the midrib (60-86%), and on the base of the leaves (41-48%). In P. trifoliata, the percentage of laid eggs was significantly higher on the lower surface  $(F_{3,16} = 7.69; P < 0.05)$  and areas around the midrib ( $F_{3,16} = 14.05$ ; P < 0.01) compared with other hosts.

The analysis of data obtained from the eggs laid on four sizes of leaves on different hosts indicated a significant difference (P < 0.05) in the number of eggs among different hosts (Fig. 2A). Figure 2B illustrates how the eggs were significantly (P <0.05) affected by the different sizes of the leaves completely. According to these figures, most of the eggs were laid on the leaves with a width of 1–2 cm in all of the hosts, except *P. trifoliata*, and the least number of eggs was observed on the leaves with a width > 3 cm.

# Life history of *Phyllocnistis citrella* on different hosts

The developmental period and mortality of the immature stages of P. citrella in various hosts is shown in Table 3. In general, the total developmental period of the immature stages was shorter on C. aurantifolia (14 days) and C. sinensis (15 days) than on C. paradisi (22 days) and *Poncirus trifoliata* (25 days) ( $F_{3,295} = 275.09$ ; P < 0.001). The differences were found to be statistically significant in all the citrus hosts in the egg, larval, and pupal stages (Table 3). The lowest and highest mortality during the incubation period were recorded in C. paradisi (8%) and C. aurantifolia (14%), respectively. In the larval period, mortality rates increased from 3.4% in C. sinensis to 8.7% in C. paradisi. The greatest difference in mortality among the different hosts was observed in the pupal stage. Pupal mortality was relatively low in C. aurantifolia and C. sinensis (4–6%). It increased by about threefold to 15-18% in C. paradisi and P. trifoliata. The highest total rate of mortality during the immature [able 2. Oviposition site preference (%) of *Phyllocnistis cirtella* on the upper or lower surface of a leaf, near the midrib or far from it, and the tip, middle, or base of a leaf of different citrus hosts.

			Distributior	1 of eggs (%) (m	ean ± SE)		
				Far from			
Citrus host	Upper or lowe	er surface	Near the midrib	the midrib	Base of a leaf	Middle of a leaf	Tip of a leaf
Citrus sinensis	$19.2 \pm 1.2b^{*}$	80.8 ± 1.2a	$86.6 \pm 1.8a$	$13.4 \pm 1.8b$	41.4 ± 2.4a	$32.8 \pm 1.8b$	25.8 ± 1.3a
Poncirus trifoliata	$26.2 \pm 2.2a$	$73.8 \pm 2.2b$	$60.6 \pm 2.1b$	$39.4 \pm 2.1a$	43.0 ± 2.7a	$43.0 \pm 1.8a$	$14.0 \pm 0.9b$
Citrus aurantifolia	$18.8 \pm 1.4b$	81.2 ± 1.4a	$80.4 \pm 3.8a$	$19.6 \pm 3.8b$	$48.4 \pm 1.5a$	$33.0 \pm 1.9b$	$18.6 \pm 2.3 ab$
Citrus paradisi	$15.8 \pm 1.3b$	$84.2 \pm 1.3a$	79.0 ± 3.6a	$21.0 \pm 3.6b$	$46.4 \pm 2.2a$	$35.0 \pm 1.0b$	$18.6 \pm 2.4ab$
*Means followed by the	same letter in each colum	n are not significantly	different using Tuke	y's test at $P < 0$	.05.		

**Fig. 2. A**, Distribution of eggs laid by *Phyllocnistis citrella* female moths on the leaves of four host plant species, chosen in dimensional classes (leaf blade width  $\leq 1$ , 1–2, 2–3, and  $\geq 3$  cm) in each host species and **B**, in total. Means designated by a common letter do not differ significantly (error<sub>df</sub> = 48; least significant difference, P < 0.05).



stages was observed in *C. paradisi* and *P. trifoliata* as well (29–31%).

Both female and male moths showed the highest emergence in *C. sinensis* (86% and 90%, respectively). The lowest emergence rate (62%) was observed in *C. paradisi* and *P. trifoliata* for the female and male moths, respectively. However, the highest oviposition rate was observed in *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia*, with an average about 51 and 48 eggs per female. Changes in the longevity of moths in different hosts were significantly altered. The longevity of females and males increased from about four days in the grapefruit to about 6.5 days in *C. sinensis* (Table 4).

### Discussion

Our results identified either *C. sinensis* or *C. aurantifolia* to be best suited for the mass production of *Phyllocnistis citrella*. The pest laid more eggs and attained faster development on these species versus *C. paradisi* and *Poncirus trifoliata*. Therefore, *C. paradisi* and *P. trifoliata* cannot be recommended as a host in the mass-rearing of the pest. Instead, these plant species may be better

	Egg				Larvae		Pupae				Total		
- Citrus host	п	Incubation period	Mortality	n	Larval period	Mortality	n	Pupal period	Mortality	n	Duration	Mortality	
Citrus sinensis	89	$3.0 \pm 0.1c^{*}$ $(1-6)^{**}$	11	86	$5.4 \pm 0.1b$ (3-8)	3	81	$7.0 \pm 0.1b$ (4-10)	5.8	100	$15.4 \pm 0.2c$ (12–21)	19	
Poncirus trifoliata	90	$6.1 \pm 0.1a$ (4-9)	10	84	$8.3 \pm 0.1a$ (5-12)	. 7	69	$10.1 \pm 0.2a$ (6–15)	18	100	$24.7 \pm 0.3a$ (20-32)	31	
Citrus aurantifolia	86	$2.3 \pm 0.1d$ (1-5)	14	81	$5.5 \pm 0.2b$ (3-9)	6	78	$6.0 \pm 0.2c$ (3-9)	3.7	100	$13.8 \pm 0.3d$ (8–21)	22	
Citrus paradisi	92	$4.2 \pm 0.1b$ (2-6)	8	84	$7.9 \pm 0.2a$ (5-12)	ı 9	71	$9.7 \pm 0.3a$ (5–14)	15	100	$21.8 \pm 0.4b$ (14–27)	29	

Table 3. Developmental time (days) of immature stages (mean ± SE) and mortality (%) of *Phyllocnistis citrella* in different citrus hosts.

\*Means followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different using Tukey's test at P < 0.05. \*\*Values in parentheses are minimum and maximum values.

Table 4. Number of laid eggs per female (mean $\pm$ SE), percentage of emergence and longevity (mean of days $\pm$ SE) of Phyllocnistis citrel	lla in different citrus hosts
--	-------------------------------

			Female			Male		
Citrus host	n	Number of eggs per female	п	Moth emergence	Longevity	n	Moth emergence	Longevity
Citrus sinensis	50	$51.3 \pm 1.7a^{*} (22-81)^{**}$	50	86	$6.5 \pm 0.3a (3-10)$	50	90	6.7 ± 0.3a (3–9)
Poncirus trifoliata	50	$28.7 \pm 1.4b \ (10-51)$	50	68	$5.5 \pm 0.4a$ (2–11)	50	62	$4.8 \pm 0.3 \text{bc} (2-9)$
Citrus aurantifolia	50	48.1 ± 1.1a (33–65)	50	84	$6.0 \pm 0.5a$ (3–10)	50	86	$4.7 \pm 0.3$ ab (2–10)
Citrus paradisi	50	$29.7 \pm 1.4b (14-52)$	50	62	$4.2 \pm 0.3b$ (2–8)	50	64	$4.2 \pm 0.2c$ (2–7)

\*Means followed by the same letter in each column are not significantly different using Tukey's test at P < 0.05. \*\*Values in parentheses are minimum and maximum values.

suited for breeding programmes to develop more resistant cultivars, or for fruit production in areas heavily infested by *Phyllocnistis citrella*.

There are few detailed studies on the effect of plant host on the infestation rate or life history of this pest. In a previous study on the resistance of commercial citrus certain cultivars to P. citrella in Pakistan, cultivars such as Kinnow showed a resistant response, whereas C. limetta Risso, C. meyeri Tanaka, C. paradisi, and "sweet lemon" (scientific name unknown) showed susceptible responses (Mustafa et al. 2013). In another study (Santos et al. 2011), six genotypes of different citrus plants were evaluated to determine the resistance level. Among the different genotypes, the pupae obtained in hybrid  $C \times R_4$ (C. sunki horticultural usage ex Tanaka  $\times P$ . trifoliata) were significantly smaller and the lowest value of corrected reproductive potential was recorded in hybrid C  $\times$  R<sub>315</sub> (C. sunki  $\times$  P. trifoliata), suggesting that these genotypes are the least favourable for the development and reproduction of P. citrella, although there was no significant difference in the developmental periods of immature stages between the various citrus genotypes. Furthermore, the abundance of P. citrella larvae in the progeny of 87 seed parent genotypes of Citrus and Citrus relatives (in Rutaceae) was investigated by Richardson et al. (2011), who observed that the progeny of 15 parent genotypes had a higher mean abundance (more than six larvae per newly flushed shoot). In a recent study, the number of different developmental stages and the duration of larval and pupal stages of P. citrella were evaluated on six citrus species: C. aurantifolia, C. limetta Risso, C. aurantium Linnaeus, C. sinensis, C. paradisi, and C. reticulata Blanco during two samplings of citrus orchards. The results showed that C. sinensis was the most sensitive host, while C. paradisi and C. limetta were less damaged (Karam Kiani et al. 2018).

Kharrat and Jerraya (2005) released 40, 80, and 160 pairs of moths on 25–30 saplings of *P. trifoliata* (20–40 cm tall) as citrus hosts in three cages  $(1.5 \times 1 \times 1.5 \text{ m})$  for the mass production of *Phyllocnistis citrella*. They determined a higher mortality rate for young larvae with an increase in moth density, although the number of eggs deposited by each female did not significantly change in different densities of moths (56–61 eggs per female). According to the observation of larval mortality even in lower moth populations, it seemed that the high mortality of larvae observed in this study could be a result of an inappropriate host.

In the present study, in addition to the detection of pest infestation, biological studies on P. citrella in four citrus hosts were performed. Some previous studies were carried out on the life history of this pest, especially on C. sinensis as a host. In the laboratory studies of Namvar and Safaralizade (2008) on the life history of P. citrella under 25 °C, with C. sinensis saplings, the average period of the egg, larval, and pupal stages were reported to be 3.65, 8.95, and 7.5 days, respectively. So, the total immature stages lasted for 20.1 days. Elekcioglu and Uygun (2004) studied the life history of P. citrella in Turkey at 15 °C, 20 °C, 25 °C, 30 °C, and 35 °C on C. aurantium as a host. Incubation, larval, and pupal periods; longevity of moths; fecundity of females; and mortality at 25 °C and 30 °C in this study were similar to those of the present study. Therefore, it seems that C. aurantium, which was used as a host in this study, could be as susceptible as C. sinensis.

Previous studies have mass-reared P. citrella on C. paradisi or Poncirus trifoliata, which produced large flushes of leaves after pruning (Smith and Hoy 1995; Kharrat and Jerraya 2005). In spite of the ability to produce high fresh flush, the results of the current study showed that these two hosts are not suitable for mass-rearing due to an increase in the total developmental period, high mortality, low fecundity, and low moth emergence. Using other susceptible hosts such as C. aurantifolia or C. sinensis will provide better results. Our results showed that the egg-to-adult development takes about three weeks on C. aurantifolia or C. sinensis, allowing to up to 16 generations per year with an average of about 50 eggs per female. Therefore, it can be expected to produce a large number of pests under these conditions in a year.

Although the distribution of eggs on *P. trifoliata* leaves was somewhat different in comparison with the other hosts, moths generally preferred to lay eggs on the leaves with a width of 1-2 cm. Herbivorous insects prefer young leaves due to their nutrients and thin cuticles (Eber 2004). It seems that laying of eggs and use of such leaves allowed *Phyllocnistis citrella* to pass the defence mechanisms of older leaves with

larger size (Ayabe et al. 2015). It should be noted that the selection of an oviposition site by females is very important because the larvae are destined to feed on the selected plant species, so females usually lay eggs on the best available host plant. Semiochemicals and some apparent properties have a main role in host selection and acceptance (Scheirs et al. 2003; Ajayi et al. 2015). Dennis et al. (2015) showed that female moths of Phyllocnistis populiella Chambers preferred to oviposit on the leaves of Populus tremuloides Michaux (Salicaceae) without extrafloral nectaries. For some plant species, trichome density can reduce herbivory (refer to Dalin et al. 2008). For example, Agrawal (2004) showed that the damage of leaf chewers and leaf miners was reduced by an increased density of leaf trichomes on Asclepias syriaca Linnaeus (Apocynaceae). However, this is not always the case. Hall et al. (2018) investigated the relation between the density and structure of trichomes of young flush leaves and stems of six citrus hosts with different degrees of resistance to Diaphorina citri Kuwayama (Hemiptera: Liviidae). Based on the results, they concluded that trichomes might play little or no role in the infestation of this pest on different citrus hosts. In addition to trichomes, it has been shown that some epidermal features, such as stomata, mega stomata, and special sheath cells, are different among the leaves of various citrus species (Inyama et al. 2015). Although, during the current study, young citrus seedlings with fresh leaves were used in all plant hosts, the ability to produce newly flushed shoot also seemed to be an important factor in host preference in this pest (Patil 2015). So it seems that further studies are necessary in order to confirm the reasons for choosing and preferring some hosts such as C. sinensis by P. citrella.

In the current study, the infestation rate, oviposition site preference, and some biological aspects of *P. citrella* on four citrus hosts were investigated, and the results showed that the use of *C. sinensis* or *C. aurantifolia* seedlings containing leaves with a width of < 2 cm could be recommended for the mass-rearing of the pest.

# Conclusion

The results obtained from this study provide new useful information on the infestation rate and some biological aspects of *P. citrella* in four different citrus hosts. Because *P. citrella* cannot be reared on artificial diet, its mass production for use in pest management programmes (*e.g.*, sterile insect technique) is expensive and time-consuming. Due to the low cost of planting citrus seeds, the use of susceptible hosts such as *P. citrella* or *C. aurantifolia*, in which the pest developmental periods are shorter, will reduce rearing costs and time. However, further studies are required to determine the optimum condition for the mass production of this pest on a wider range of citrus hosts.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Mr. Yousefnia from Dashte-Naz Company for collecting and transferring *P. citrella* and infested citrus leaves. This study was supported by the Iran National Science Foundation with grant number 92039201.

### References

- Abdel-Rhman, I.E. 2009. Population dynamics and distribution of the citrus leaf miner, *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton larvae on different citrus species. Journal of Agricultural Science Mansoura University, 34: 6737–6744.
- Agrawal, A.A. 2004. Resistance and susceptibility of milkweed: competition, root herbivory, and plant genetic variation. Ecology, **85**: 2118–2133.
- Ajayi, O.E., Balusu, R., Morawo, T.O., Zebelo, S., and Fadamiro, H. 2015. Semiochemical modulation of host preference of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on legume seeds. Journal of Stored Products Research, **63**: 31–37.
- Atapour, M. and Osouli, S.H. 2017. Effect of temperature on biology of citrus leafminer, *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) under lab conditions. Journal of Entomological Society of Iran, **37**: 223–234.
- Ayabe, Y., Minoura, T., and Hijii, N. 2015. Plasticity in resource use by the leafminer moth *Phyllocnistis* sp. in response to variations in host plant resources over space and time. Journal of Forest Research, **20**: 213–221.
- Beattie, A. and Hardy, S. 2004. Citrus leafminer. Agfact H2.AE.4, fourth edition. Available from https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/ 0006/137634/citrus-leafminer.pdf [accessed 3 February 2019].
- Biparva, Z., Haghani, M., and Ostovan, H. 2013. Population dynamic of *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lep.: Gracillaridae) and identification of its parasitoids in citrus orchards of Shiraz. Journal of Plant Pests Research, 2: 27–33. [In Persian with English abstract].

- Cardwell, E.E.G., Godfrey, K.E., Headrick, D.H., Mank, P.A., and Peña, J.E. 2008. Citrus leaf miner and citrus peelminer. Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of California Publication, 8321: 1–12. Available from https://anrcatalog.ucanr.edu/pdf/ 8321.pdf [accessed 3 February 2019].
- Chagas, M.C. and Parra, J.R.P. 2000. *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae): rearing technique and biology at different temperature. Entomologica do Brasil, **29**: 227–235.
- Chagas, M.C., Parra, J.R.P., Namekata, T., Hartung, J.S., and Yamamoto, P.T. 2001. *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) and its relationship with the citrus canker bacterium *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv *citri* in Brazil. Neotropical Entomology, **30**: 55–59.
- Dalin, P., Ågren, J., Björkman, C., Huttunen, P., and Kärkkäinen, K. 2008. Leaf trichome formation and plant resistance to herbivory. *In* Induced plant resistance to herbivory. *Edited by* A. Schaller. Springer, Stuttgart, Germany. Pp. 89–105.
- Dennis, R., Doak, P., and Wagner, D. 2015. Aspen leaf miner (*Phyllocnistis populiella*) oviposition site selection mediated by aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) extrafloral nectaries. Arthropod-Plant Interactions, 9: 405–413.
- Eber, S. 2004. Bottom-up density regulation in the holly leafminer *Phytomyza ilicis*. Journal of Animal Ecology, **73**: 948–958.
- El-Afify, A.H., Shreef, R.M., Ghanim, N.M., and Hendawy, M.A. 2018. Seasonal activity of the citrus leafminer, *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton in navel orange orchards during autumn season. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science, **11**: 16–21.
- Elekcioglu, N.Z. and Uygun, N. 2004. The effect of temperature on development and fecundity of *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillaridae). Turkish Journal of Entomology, 28: 83–93.
- Farahbakhsh, G. 1961. A checklist of economically important insects and other enemies of plants and agricultural products in Iran. Department of Plant Protection, Ministry of Agriculture, Tehran, Iran. [In Persian with English abstract].
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2014 Food and agriculture organization statistical year book. Asia and the Pacific, food and agriculture [online]. Available from www.fao.org/ asiapacific/en [accessed 3 February 2019].
- Goane, L., Valladares, G., and Willink, E. 2008. Preference and performance of *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) on three citrus hosts: laboratory and field assessment. Environmental Entomology, **37**: 1025–1034.
- Hall, D.G., Ammar, E.D., Bowman, K.D., and Stover, E. 2018. Epifluorescence and stereomicroscopy of trichomes associated with resistant and susceptible host plant genotypes of the Asian citrus psyllid (Hemiptera: Liviidae), vector of citrus greening disease bacterium. Journal of Microscopy and Ultrastructure, 6: 56–63.

- Heppner, J.B. 1995. Citrus leafminer, *Phyllocnistis citrella*, in Florida (Lepidoptera: Gracillaridae: Phyllocnistidae). Florida Entomologist, **78**: 183–186.
- Inyama, C.N., Osuoha, V.U.N., Mbagwu, F.N., and Duru, C.M. 2015. Comparative morphology of the leaf epidermis in six *Citrus* species and its biosystematic importance. Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, **4**: 1–5.
- Jacas, J.A. and Garrido, A. 1996. Differences in the morphology of male and female *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillaridae). Florida Entomologist, **79**: 603–606.
- Jafari, M.A., Mafi, S., Ebrahimi, R., Gerami, G., Ramezani, H., Peyravi, R., and Kianoush, H. 2000. Further investigations on citrus leafminer biology and collecting and identification of native natural enemies in Mazandaran. Final Report, Agricultural Research Center of Mazandaran, Sari, Iran. [In Persian with English abstract].
- Kalaitzaki, A.P., Tsagkarakis, A.E., and Lykouressis, D.P. 2011. Population fluctuation of *Phyllocnistis citrella* and its parasitoids in two citrus species in western Crete (Greece). Entomologia Hellenica, **20**: 31–44.
- Karam Kiani, N., Seraj, A.A., Habibpour, B., and Ziaee, M. 2018. Evaluation of the citrus leaf miner (*Phyllocnistis citrella*) in Ahvaz region damage to different citrus species. Iranian Journal of Plant Protection Science, **49**: 91–98. [In Persian with English abstract].
- Kharrat, S. and Jerraya, A. 2005. Rearing parasitoids by mass production of citrus leafminer larvae, *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae). Entomologia Generalis, **28**: 115–120.
- Mustafa, M., Imran, M., Khan, M.A., Azeem, M., Riaz, A., and Afzal, M. 2013. Evaluation of commercial citrus cultivars for resistance to citrus leaf miner and its management. Pakistan Entomologist, 35: 47–50.
- Namvar, P. and Safaralizade, M.H. 2008. Study on some biological characteristics of citrus leaf miner *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Stainton) in Jiroft, Iran. Pajouhesh and Sazandegi, **81**: 191–196. [In Persian with English abstract].
- Osouli, S. and Atapour, M. 2018. Effects of gamma radiation on the reproduction biology and mating competitiveness of citrus leafminer *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton. Journal of Pacific Entomology, **21**: 301–308.
- Patil, H. 2015. Biology and management of citrus leafminer *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillaridae) on acid lime. M.Sc. thesis. University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, India.
- Richardson, M.L., Westbrook, C.J., Hall, D.G., Stover, E.D., and Duan, Y.P. 2011. Abundance of citrus leafminer larvae on citrus and citrus-related germplasm. HortScience, 46: 1260–1264.
- Santos, M.S., Vendramin, J.D., Lourencao, A.L., Pitta, R.M., and Martins, E.S. 2011. Resistance of citrus genotypes to *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae). Neotropical Entomology, **40**: 489–494.

- Scheirs, J., De Bruyn, L., and Verhagen, R. 2003. Host nutritive quality and host plant choice in two grass miners: primary roles for primary compounds? Journal of Chemical Ecology, **29**: 1349–1365.
- Seraj, A.A. 1999. Comparison of some citrus species as hosts of citrus leafminer. Plant Pest and Diseases, 67: 86–95. [In Persian with English abstract].
- Seraj, A.A. 2013. Principles of plant pest control, second edition. Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz Publications, Khuzestan, Iran. [In Persian].
- Smith, J.M. and Hoy, M.A. 1995. Rearing methods for Ageniaspis citricola (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae) and Cirrospilus quadristriatus (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) released in a classical biological control program for the citrus leafminer Phyllocnistis citrella (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae). Florida Entomologist, 78: 600–608.
- Sokal, R.R. and Rohlf, F.J. 1981. Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research. W. H. Freeman, New York, New York, United States of America.
- Stainton, H.T. 1856. Descriptions of three species of Indian micro-Lepidoptera. Transactions of the Entomological Society of London, 3: 301–304.
- Tsagkarakis, A.E., Kalaitzaki, A.P., and Lykouressis, D.P. 2013. *Phyllocnistis citrella* and its parasitoids in three citrus species in Greece. Phytoparasitica, **41**: 23–29.