

VANISHING OF THE NEGATIVE HOMOTOPY K -THEORY OF QUOTIENT SINGULARITIES

GONÇALO TABUADA

Department of Mathematics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
Departamento de Matemática, FCT, UNL, Portugal
Centro de Matemática e Aplicações (CMA), FCT, UNL, Portugal
(tabuada@math.mit.edu) URL: <http://math.mit.edu/~tabuada>

(Received 30 October 2016; revised 8 April 2017; accepted 9 April 2017;
first published online 8 May 2017)

Abstract Making use of Gruson–Raynaud’s technique of ‘platification par éclatement’, Kerz and Strunk proved that the negative homotopy K -theory groups of a Noetherian scheme X of Krull dimension d vanish below $-d$. In this article, making use of noncommutative algebraic geometry, we improve this result in the case of quotient singularities by proving that the negative homotopy K -theory groups vanish below -1 . Furthermore, in the case of cyclic quotient singularities, we provide an explicit ‘upper bound’ for the first negative homotopy K -theory group.

Keywords: K -theory; singularities; noncommutative algebraic geometry

2010 *Mathematics subject classification:* 14A22; 14B05; 14H20; 19E08; 19D35

1. Introduction and statement of results

Given a Noetherian scheme X of Krull dimension d , Kerz and Strunk proved¹ in [11, Theorem 1] that $KH_n(X) = 0$ for every $n < -d$. The first goal of this article is to improve this vanishing result in the case where X is a quotient singularity.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero, $d \geq 2$ an integer, and G a finite subgroup of $SL_d(k)$. The group G acts naturally on the polynomial ring $S := k[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ and the associated invariant ring $R := S^G$ is Gorenstein and of Krull dimension d . Throughout the article we assume that the local ring R is an isolated singularity.

Example 1.1 (Cyclic quotient singularities). Let k be an algebraically closed field² of characteristic zero, $m \geq 2$ an integer, ζ a primitive m th root of unit, and a_1, \dots, a_d

The author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation Award #1350472 and by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology grant PEst-OE/MAT/UI0297/2014.

¹Recently, Kerz, Strunk and Tamme proved in [12, Theorem B] a stronger result: the negative K -theory groups of a Noetherian scheme X of Krull dimension d vanish below $-d$.

²More generally, it suffices that k contains all the m th roots of unit.

integers satisfying the following three conditions: $0 < a_j < m$, $\gcd(a_j, m) = 1$ for every $1 \leq j \leq d$, and $a_1 + \dots + a_d = m$. When G is the cyclic subgroup of $SL_d(k)$ generated by $\text{diag}(\zeta^{a_1}, \dots, \zeta^{a_d})$, the ring R is an isolated quotient singularity of Krull dimension d . For example, when $d = 2$, $a_1 = 1$, and $a_2 = m - 1$, the ring R identifies with the Kleinian singularity $k[u, v, w]/(u^m + vw)$ of type A_{m-1} .

Remark 1.2. As proved by Kurano and Nishi in [13], all Gorenstein isolated quotient singularities of odd prime (Krull) dimension are cyclic. Moreover, in all the other (Krull) dimensions there exist *noncyclic* Gorenstein isolated quotient singularities. For example, in dimension two all Gorenstein quotient singularities are isolated.

Let us write X for the affine (singular) k -scheme $\text{Spec}(R)$.

Theorem 1.3. *We have $KH_n(X) = 0$ for every $n < -1$.*

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 1.3 shows that in the case of quotient singularities the vanishing of the negative homotopy K -theory groups is independent of the Krull dimension! To the best of the author’s knowledge, this result is new in the literature. Such a vanishing result does not hold in general because, for every integer $d \geq 2$, there exist Gorenstein isolated singularities X of Krull dimension d with $KH_{-d}(X) \neq 0$; consult Reid [16] for details. Theorem 1.3 leads naturally to the following divisibility properties of nonconnective algebraic K -theory:

- Proposition 1.4.** (i) *The abelian group $\mathbb{K}_{-2}(X)$ is divisible;*
(ii) *The abelian groups $\mathbb{K}_n(X)$, $n < -2$, are uniquely divisible.*

Remark 1.5. Since the base field k is of characteristic zero and the scheme X is of finite type over k , it follows from the work of Cortiñas *et al.* [4] that $\mathbb{K}_n(X) = 0$ for every $n < -d$. Moreover, $\mathbb{K}_{-d}(X) \simeq KH_{-d}(X)$. Consequently, making use of Theorem 1.3, we conclude that $\mathbb{K}_{-d}(X) = 0$.

The second goal of this article is to provide some information about $KH_{-1}(X)$ in the case of cyclic quotient singularities. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and (Q, ρ) the quiver with relations defined as follows:

- (s1) consider the quiver with vertices \mathbb{Z}/m and with arrows $x_j^i: i \rightarrow i + a_j$, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ and $1 \leq j \leq d$. The relations ρ are given by $x_j^{i+a_j} x_j^i = x_j^{i+a_{j'}} x_j^i$, for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}/m$ and $1 \leq j, j' \leq d$;
- (s2) remove from (s1) all arrows $x_j^i: i \rightarrow i'$ with $i > i'$;
- (s3) remove from (s2) the vertex 0.

Consider the $(m - 1) \times (m - 1)$ matrix C such that C_{ij} equals the number of arrows in Q from j to i (counted modulo the relations). Let $M := (-1)^{d-1} C(C^{-1})^T - \text{id}$ and $\mathbf{M}: \oplus_{r=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \oplus_{r=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{Z}$ be the associated (matrix) homomorphism.

Theorem 1.6. *The abelian group $KH_{-1}(X)$ is a quotient of the cokernel of M .*

Intuitively speaking, Theorem 1.6 provides an explicit ‘upper bound’ for the first negative homotopy K -theory group of cyclic quotient singularities.

Example 1.7 (Kleinian singularities of type A). When $d = 2$, $a_1 = 1$, and $a_2 = m - 1$, the three steps (s1)–(s3) lead to the quiver $Q: 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow m - 2 \rightarrow m - 1$ (without relations). Consequently, we obtain the following matrix:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}_{(m-1) \times (m-1)}$$

The cokernel of M is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}/m ; a generator is given by the image of the vector $(0, \dots, 0, -1) \in \oplus_{r=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{Z}$. Thanks to Theorem 1.6, we hence conclude that $KH_{-1}(\text{Spec}(k[u, v, w]/(u^m + vw)))$ is a quotient of \mathbb{Z}/m .

Example 1.8 (A three-dimensional singularity). When $d = m = 3$ and $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1$, the three steps (s1)–(s3) lead to the quiver $Q: 1 \rightrightarrows 2$ (without relations). Consequently, we obtain the matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ 3 & -9 \end{pmatrix}$. The cokernel of M is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$; generators are given by the images of $(1, 0)$ and $(-1, -3)$. Thanks to Theorem 1.6, we hence conclude that $KH_{-1}(X)$ is a quotient of $\mathbb{Z}/3 \times \mathbb{Z}/3$.

Preliminaries

We assume the reader is familiar with the language of differential graded (=dg) categories; consult Keller’s ICM survey [9]. Let $\text{dgc}at(k)$ be the category of dg categories and dg functors. Given a (dg) k -algebra A , we still write A for the associated dg category with a single object. Consider the simplicial k -algebra $\Delta_n := k[t_0, \dots, t_n]/(\sum_i t_i - 1)$, $n \geq 0$, with faces and degeneracies:

$$\partial_j(t_i) := \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } i < j \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ t_{i-1} & \text{if } i > j \end{cases} \quad \delta_j(t_i) := \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } i < j \\ t_i + t_{i+1} & \text{if } i = j \\ t_{i+1} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Following [20, §2.2.5], the homotopy K -theory functor is defined by the formula

$$KH: \text{dgc}at(k) \longrightarrow \text{Spt} \quad \mathcal{A} \mapsto \text{hocolim}_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \Delta_n),$$

where Spt stands for the homotopy category of spectra.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let us write $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{Mod}(X))$ for the bounded derived category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X))$ for the bounded derived category of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules, and $\text{perf}(X)$ for the category

of perfect complexes of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. These categories admit canonical dg enhancements $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{Mod}(X))$, $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))$, and $\text{perf}_{\text{dg}}(X)$, respectively; see [9, §§ 4.4–4.6], [14]. Recall from Orlov [15] that the dg category of singularities $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X)$ is defined as the Drinfeld’s dg quotient $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))/\text{perf}_{\text{dg}}(X)$. Consequently, we have the following short exact sequence of dg categories (see [9, § 4.6]):

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{perf}_{\text{dg}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since homotopy K -theory KH is a localizing invariant of dg categories (see [20, § 8.2.2]) and $KH(\text{perf}_{\text{dg}}(X))$ is isomorphic to $KH(X)$ (see [20, § 2.2.5]), we obtain an induced distinguished triangle of spectra:

$$KH(X) \longrightarrow KH(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))) \longrightarrow KH(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X)) \longrightarrow \Sigma KH(X). \tag{2.1}$$

Proposition 2.2. *We have $KH_n(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))) = 0$ for every $n < 0$.*

Proof. Given a dg category \mathcal{A} and an integer $p \geq 1$, let us write $\mathcal{A}[t_1, \dots, t_p]$ for the tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes k[t_1, \dots, t_p]$. Since homotopy K -theory is defined as the ‘realization’ of a simplicial spectrum, we have the following standard convergent right half-plane spectral sequence :

$$E_{pq}^1 = N^p \mathbb{K}_q(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow KH_{p+q}(\mathcal{A}). \tag{2.3}$$

Here, $N^0 \mathbb{K}_q(\mathcal{A}) := \mathbb{K}_q(\mathcal{A})$ and $N^p \mathbb{K}_q(\mathcal{A})$, $p \geq 1$, is defined as the intersection

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^p \text{Kernel} \left(\mathbb{K}_q(\mathcal{A}[t_1, \dots, t_p]) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes (t_i=0)} \mathbb{K}_q(\mathcal{A}[t_1, \dots, \widehat{t}_i, \dots, t_p]) \right).$$

Let us treat now the case where \mathcal{A} is the dg category $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))$. Using the fact that the dg category $k[t_1, \dots, t_p]$ is Morita equivalent to $\text{perf}(\text{Spec}(k[t_1, \dots, t_p])) \simeq \text{perf}(\text{Spec}(k[t_1])) \otimes \dots \otimes \text{perf}(\text{Spec}(k[t_p]))$, Lemma 2.4 below (applied inductively) implies that $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))[t_1, \dots, t_p]$ is Morita equivalent to $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X[t_1, \dots, t_p]))$. Consequently, since nonconnective algebraic K -theory is invariant under Morita equivalences, we obtain the following identifications

$$\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))[t_1, \dots, t_p]) \simeq \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X[t_1, \dots, t_p]))) = G(X[t_1, \dots, t_p]),$$

where G stands for G -theory; see [9, Theorem 5.1]. As proved by Schlichting in [19, Theorem 7], the abelian groups $G_q(X[t_1, \dots, t_p])$, $q < 0$, are zero; this uses the fact that X , and hence $X[t_1, \dots, t_p]$, is Noetherian. Therefore, we conclude that $N^p \mathbb{K}_q(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))) = 0$ for every $p \geq 0$ and $q < 0$. This implies that the spectral sequence (2.3) degenerates below the line $q = -1$ and consequently that

$$KH_n(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))) \simeq \mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))) = G_n(X) \quad n < 0.$$

The proof follows now from the fact that $G_n(X) = 0$ for every $n < 0$. □

Lemma 2.4 (Rouquier³). *Given an affine k -scheme of finite type $X = \text{Spec}(R)$, we have the following induced Morita equivalence:*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X)) \otimes \text{perf}_{\text{dg}}(\text{Spec}(k[t])) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X[t])) \quad (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}') \mapsto \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}'.$$

Proof. Recall first that \mathcal{O}_X and $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(k[t])}$ are generators of the triangulated categories $\text{perf}(X)$ and $\text{perf}(\text{Spec}(k[t]))$, respectively. As proved by Rouquier in [17, Theorem 7.38], the triangulated category $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X))$ admits a generator \mathcal{G} . We claim that $\mathcal{G}[t] := \mathcal{G} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(k[t])}$ is a generator of the triangulated category $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X[t]))$. In order to prove this claim, we proceed by induction on the dimension of X . The case $\dim(X) = 0$ is clear. Assume then that the claim holds for every affine k -scheme of finite type of dimension $< \dim(X)$. Let us write \mathcal{T} for the smallest thick triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X[t]))$ containing the object $\mathcal{G}[t]$. Given any object $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X[t]))$, we need to show that $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{T}$. Let us denote by $i: X^s \hookrightarrow X$ the singular locus of X and by $\mathcal{D}_{X^s[t]}^b(\text{coh}(X[t]))$ the full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X[t]))$ consisting of those bounded complexes of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X[t]}$ -modules that are supported on the closed subscheme $X^s[t]$. Similar to the proof of [17, Theorem 7.38], we have a distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \Sigma \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2 \longrightarrow \Sigma \mathcal{H}_1$$

with $\mathcal{H}_1 \in \text{perf}(X[t])$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{X^s[t]}^b(\text{coh}(X[t]))$. Therefore, in order to prove our claim, it suffices to show that \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 belong to \mathcal{T} . Since \mathcal{G} is a generator of $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X))$, the object $\mathcal{O}_{X[t]} = \mathcal{O}_X \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(k[t])}$ belongs to \mathcal{T} . Consequently, since $\mathcal{O}_{X[t]}$ is a generator of $\text{perf}(X[t])$, the object \mathcal{H}_1 also belongs to \mathcal{T} . In what concerns the object \mathcal{H}_2 , let us start by choosing a generator \mathcal{G}' of the triangulated category $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X^s))$. Since $\dim(X^s) < \dim(X)$, the induction assumption implies that $\mathcal{G}'[t] := \mathcal{G}' \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(k[t])}$ is a generator of the triangulated category $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X^s[t]))$. Now, a proof similar to the one of [22, Theorem 6.8(i)], with [6, Proposition (19.1.1)] replaced by the finite type assumption of X and with [2, Proposition 6.1] replaced by [18, Proposition 6.6], shows that $i_*(\mathcal{O}_{X^s}) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(k[t])}$ is a generator of the triangulated category $\mathcal{D}_{X^s[t]}^b(\text{coh}(X[t]))$. This implies that $i_*(\mathcal{G}') \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(k[t])}$ is also a generator of $\mathcal{D}_{X^s[t]}^b(\text{coh}(X[t]))$. Since $i_*(\mathcal{G}')$ belongs to the category $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X))$ and \mathcal{G} is a generator of $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X))$, the object $i_*(\mathcal{G}')$ belongs to \mathcal{T} . Consequently, \mathcal{H}_2 also belongs to \mathcal{T} . This concludes the proof of our claim.

Since \mathcal{G} is a generator of $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X))$ and $\mathcal{G}[t]$ a generator of $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X[t]))$, we have induced Morita equivalences between dg categories

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X)) \simeq \text{perf}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{R}\text{End}(\mathcal{G})) \quad \mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X[t])) \simeq \text{perf}_{\text{dg}}(\mathbf{R}\text{End}(\mathcal{G}[t])),$$

where $\mathbf{R}\text{End}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathbf{R}\text{End}(\mathcal{G}[t])$ stand for the (derived) dg k -algebra of endomorphisms of \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{G}[t]$, respectively. Consider the following classical adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Mod}(X[t])) & & \\ \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}[t] \uparrow & \downarrow \text{Res} & \\ \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Mod}(X)). & & \end{array} \tag{2.5}$$

³Lemma 2.4 is a particular case of a general result of Rouquier [18]. The author is very grateful to Raphaël for kindly explaining him his ideas.

By assumption, the affine k -scheme X is of finite type and hence Noetherian. Therefore, since \mathcal{G} belongs to the triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{coh}(X)) \subset \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod}(X))$ and $\text{Res}(\mathcal{G}[t]) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}$, the combination of [17, Corollary 6.17] with (2.5) implies that the dg k -algebra $\mathbf{R}\text{End}(\mathcal{G}[t])$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}\text{End}(\mathcal{G})[t]$. This concludes the proof of Lemma 2.4 because $\text{perf}_{\text{dg}}(\text{Spec}(k[t]))$ is Morita equivalent to $k[t]$. \square

By combining Proposition 2.2 with the long exact sequence of (stable) homotopy groups associated to the distinguished triangle of spectra (2.1), we conclude that $KH_n(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X)) \simeq KH_{n-1}(X)$ for every $n < 0$. Consequently, the proof of Theorem 1.3 follows from the following result:

Proposition 2.6. *We have $KH_n(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X)) = 0$ for every $n < 0$.*

Proof. Consider the polynomial algebra $S := k[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ as a \mathbb{Z} -graded k -algebra with $\text{deg}(t_i) = 1$. Note that since the G -action on S preserves the \mathbb{Z} -grading, $R := S^G$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded k -subalgebra of S . Following Orlov [15], in addition to the dg category of singularities $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X)$, we can also consider the dg category of graded singularities $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X)$. By construction, this latter dg category comes equipped with a degree shift dg functor $(1): \mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X)$. Following Keller [8, § 7.2], let us write $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X)/(1)^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for the associated dg orbit category. As proved by Keller *et al.* in [10, Propositions A.2 and A.8], the forgetful dg functor $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X)$ induces a Morita equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X)/(1)^{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X)$. Consequently, since homotopy K -theory is an \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariant of dg categories (see [20, § 8.5]), [21, Theorem 1.5] yields a distinguished triangle of spectra:

$$KH(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X)) \xrightarrow{KH((1))-\text{id}} KH(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X)) \rightarrow KH(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X)) \rightarrow \Sigma KH(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X)).$$

Let us denote by $\underline{\text{MCM}}^{\text{gr}}(R)$ the stable category of \mathbb{Z} -graded maximal Cohen–Macaulay R -modules. As proved by Iyama and Takahashi in [7, Corollary 2.12], the triangulated category $\underline{\text{MCM}}^{\text{gr}}(R)$ admits a full exceptional collection $(\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_v)$ with $D_r := \text{End}(\mathcal{E}_r)$, $1 \leq r \leq v$, finite-dimensional division k -algebras. Making use of the classical equivalence of categories $\text{H}^0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X)) = \mathcal{D}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X) \simeq \underline{\text{MCM}}^{\text{gr}}(R)$ (see Buchweitz [3]), and of the fact that homotopy K -theory is an additive invariant of dg categories (see [20, § 2]), we hence conclude that $KH(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg},\text{gr}}(X)) \simeq \bigoplus_{r=1}^v KH(D_r)$. Since D_r is a (right) regular Noetherian ring, we have $KH(D_r) \simeq \mathbb{K}(D_r)$ (see Gersten [5, Proposition 3.14]) and $\mathbb{K}_n(D_r) = 0$ for every $n < 0$ (see Schlichting [19, Theorem 7]). Therefore, the proof follows now from the long exact sequence of (stable) homotopy groups associated to the above distinguished triangle of spectra. \square

3. Proof of Proposition 1.4

Given any prime power l^v , we have the universal coefficient sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow KH_n(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/l^v \rightarrow KH_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/l^v) \rightarrow \{l^v\text{-torsion in } KH_{n-1}(X)\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.1)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_n(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/l^v \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/l^v) \rightarrow \{l^v\text{-torsion in } \mathbb{K}_{n-1}(X)\} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem 1.3, combined with (3.1), implies that $KH_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/l^v) = 0$ for every $n < -1$. Since the base field k is of characteristic zero, $KH_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/l^v)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{K}_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/l^v)$; see

Weibel [23, p. 391]. Therefore, it follows from (3.2) that $\mathbb{K}_{-2}(X)$ is l^v -divisible and that $\mathbb{K}_n(X), n < -2$, is uniquely l^v -divisible. The proof follows now from the fact that the prime power l^v is arbitrary.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.6

The triangle of spectra (2.1) yields a long exact sequence of abelian groups

$$\dots \longrightarrow KH_0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X)) \longrightarrow KH_{-1}(X) \longrightarrow KH_{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Thanks to Proposition 2.2, we have $KH_{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^b(\text{coh}(X))) = 0$. Therefore, it follows that $KH_{-1}(X)$ is a quotient of $KH_0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X))$. Let us now compute this latter group. As explained in the proof of Proposition 2.6, $KH(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}, \text{gr}}(X))$ is isomorphic to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{r=1}^v KH(D_r)$. Since by assumption k is algebraically closed, all the finite-dimensional division k -algebras D_r are isomorphic to k . Therefore, since $KH_0(k) \simeq \mathbb{K}_0(k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $KH_{-1}(k) \simeq \mathbb{K}_{-1}(k) = 0$, we conclude from the long exact sequence of (stable) homotopy groups associated to the distinguished triangle of spectra constructed in the proof of Proposition 2.6 and from the natural identification $K_0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}, \text{gr}}(X)) = K_0(\mathcal{D}^{\text{sg}, \text{gr}}(X))$, that the group $KH_0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}}(X))$ is isomorphic to the cokernel of the induced homomorphism:

$$K_0((1)) - \text{id}: K_0(\mathcal{D}^{\text{sg}, \text{gr}}(X)) \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{D}_{\text{dg}}^{\text{sg}, \text{gr}}(X)). \tag{4.1}$$

Via the equivalence of categories $\mathcal{D}^{\text{sg}, \text{gr}}(X) \simeq \underline{\text{MCM}}^{\text{gr}}(R)$, (4.1) reduces to

$$K_0((1)) - \text{id}: K_0(\underline{\text{MCM}}^{\text{gr}}(R)) \longrightarrow K_0(\underline{\text{MCM}}^{\text{gr}}(R)). \tag{4.2}$$

As proved by Amiot *et al.* in [1, Theorem 4.1], the triangulated category $\underline{\text{MCM}}^{\text{gr}}(R)$ admits a tilting object T . Moreover, the associated k -algebra $A := \text{End}(T)$ is finite dimensional and of finite global dimension. Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod}(A))$ the bounded derived category of finitely generated (right) A -modules. By construction, this latter category comes equipped with the Serre functor S and with the Auslander–Reiten translation functor τ . As proved in [1, Theorem 4.1], via the equivalence of categories $\underline{\text{MCM}}^{\text{gr}}(R) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod}(A))$ induced by the tilting object T , the degree shift functor (1) corresponds to the functor $S^{-1}\Sigma^{d-1}$. Since $S^{-1}\Sigma = \tau$, we hence conclude that the above homomorphism (4.2) reduces to

$$(-1)^{d-2}\Phi_A - \text{id}: K_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod}(A))) \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod}(A))), \tag{4.3}$$

where Φ_A stands for the inverse of the Coxeter matrix of A . As proved in [1, §5], the k -algebra A is isomorphic to the k -algebra $kQ/\langle \rho \rangle$ associated to the quiver with relations (Q, ρ) introduced at §1. On the one hand, this implies that the number of simple (right) A -modules agrees with the number of vertices of Q , i.e. $v = m - 1$. On the other hand, this implies that the matrix Φ_A can be written as $-C(C^{-1})^T$, where C_{ij} equals the number of arrows in Q from j to i (counted modulo the relations). Consequently, the above homomorphism (4.3) reduces to the (matrix) homomorphism $\mathbf{M}: \bigoplus_{r=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{r=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{Z}$ introduced in §1. This concludes the proof.

Acknowledgements. This work was conceived during a visit to Christian Haesemeyer at the University of Melbourne. The author is very grateful to Christian for stimulating discussions, for his interest on these results, and for his kind hospitality. The author would also like to thank Guillermo Cortiñas for his interest on these results and for correcting some typos in a previous version of this article. Finally, the author is thankful to the anonymous referee for his/her comments.

References

1. C. AMIOT, O. IYAMA AND I. REITEN, Stable categories of Cohen–Macaulay modules and cluster categories, *Amer. J. Math.* **137**(3) (2015), 813–857.
2. M. BÖCKSTEDT AND A. NEEMAN, Homotopy limits in triangulated categories, *Compos. Math.* **86** (1993), 209–234.
3. R.-O. BUCHWEITZ, Maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology over Gorenstein rings. Available at <https://tspace.library.utoronto.ca/handle/1807/16682>.
4. G. CORTIÑAS, C. HAESEMEYER, M. SCHLICHTING AND C. WEIBEL, Cyclic homology, *cdh*-cohomology and negative K -theory, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **167**(2) (2008), 549–573.
5. S. M. GERSTEN, Higher K -theory of rings, in *Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf. Seattle Res. Center, Battelle Memorial Inst., 1972)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Volume 341, pp. 3–42 (Springer, 1973).
6. A. GROTHENDIECK AND J. DIEUDONNÉ, Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **32** (1967), 361 pp.
7. O. IYAMA AND R. TAKAHASHI, Tilting and cluster tilting for quotient singularities, *Math. Ann.* **356**(3) (2013), 1065–1105.
8. B. KELLER, On triangulated orbit categories, *Doc. Math.* **10** (2005), 551–581.
9. B. KELLER, *On Differential Graded Categories*, International Congress of Mathematicians, Volume II, pp. 151–190 (European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2006).
10. B. KELLER, D. MURFET AND M. VAN DEN BERGH, On two examples by Iyama and Yoshino, *Compos. Math.* **147**(2) (2011), 591–612.
11. M. KERZ AND F. STRUNK, On the vanishing of negative homotopy K -theory, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **221**(7) (2017), 1641–1644.
12. M. KERZ, F. STRUNK AND G. TAMME, Algebraic K -theory and descent for blow-ups, preprint, 2016. Available at [arXiv:1611.08466](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.08466).
13. K. KURANO AND S. NISHI, Gorenstein isolated quotient singularities of odd prime dimension are cyclic, *Comm. Algebra* **40**(8) (2012), 3010–3020.
14. V. LUNTS AND D. ORLOV, Uniqueness of enhancement for triangulated categories, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23** (2010), 853–908.
15. D. ORLOV, Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities, in *Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry: in Honor of Yu. I. Manin, II*, Progress in Mathematics, Volume 270, pp. 503–531 (Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009).
16. L. REID, N -dimensional rings with an isolated singular point having nonzero K_{-N} , *J. K-theory* **1** (1987), 197–205.
17. R. ROUQUIER, Dimensions of triangulated categories, *J. K-Theory* **1**(2) (2008), 193–256.
18. R. ROUQUIER, Private e-mail exchange. August 2016.
19. M. SCHLICHTING, Negative K -theory of derived categories, *Math. Z.* **253**(1) (2006), 97–134.
20. G. TABUADA, *Noncommutative Motives*, University Lecture Series, Volume 63 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015). With a preface by Yuri I. Manin.

21. G. TABUADA, \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariants of dg orbit categories, *J. Algebra* **434** (2015), 169–192.
22. G. TABUADA AND M. VAN DEN BERGH, The Gysin triangle via localization and \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariance, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (to appear), preprint, 2015. Available at [arXiv:1510.04677](https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.04677).
23. C. WEIBEL, Mayer–Vietoris sequences and mod- p K -theory, *Lecture Notes in Maths* **966** (1983), 390–407.