Geological Magazine

www.cambridge.org/geo

Original Article

Cite this article: Sun Z, Zeng H, and Zhao F (2020) First occurrence of the Cambrian arthropod *Sidneyia* Walcott, 1911 outside of Laurentia. *Geological Magazine* **157**: 405–410. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756819000864

Received: 29 March 2019 Revised: 14 June 2019 Accepted: 21 June 2019 First published online: 28 August 2019

Keywords:

Burgess Shale-type Lagerstätte; Cambrian Miaolingian; arthropods; North China; soft-body preservation

Author for correspondence:

Fangchen Zhao, Email: fczhao@nigpas.ac.cn

© Cambridge University Press 2019.



First occurrence of the Cambrian arthropod *Sidneyia* Walcott, 1911 outside of Laurentia

Zhixin Sun^{1,2}, Han Zeng¹⁽¹⁾ and Fangchen Zhao^{1,2}⁽¹⁾

¹State Key Laboratory of Palaeobiology and Stratigraphy, Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology and Centre for Excellence in Life and Palaeoenvironment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China ²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Abstract

The arthropod *Sidneyia* Walcott, 1911 is a remarkable animal of the Burgess Shale biota (Cambrian Miaolingian, Wuliuan; British Columbia, Canada), which has not been confidently reported from other Cambrian Konservat-Lagerstätten. Here we report the discovery of *Sidneyia* cf. *inexpectans* from the Wuliuan Mantou Formation of North China, which substantially expands the known palaeogeographical distribution of this genus. Our discovery suggests that *Sidneyia* had much greater dispersal ability than hitherto thought. It also confirms the presence of exceptionally preserved fossils in the Wuliuan Mantou Formation, one of the rare Burgess Shale-type deposits of North China.

1. Introduction

As one of the most remarkable arthropods from the Burgess Shale (Cambrian Miaolingian, Wuliuan; British Columbia, Canada), the morphology (e.g. Walcott, 1911; Bruton, 1981; Stein, 2013; Zacaï *et al.* 2016; Bicknell *et al.* 2018b), phylogeny (e.g. Lerosey-Aubril *et al.* 2017) and palaeoecology (e.g. Zacaï *et al.* 2016; Bicknell *et al.* 2018a) of *Sidneyia* Walcott, 1911 have been studied extensively. However, the known palaeogeographical distribution of this arthropod was particularly limited. More than a hundred years after its original description, *Sidneyia* remained known only from its type locality, the Burgess Shale in British Columbia (Bruton, 1981; Caron *et al.* 2010, 2014; Zacaï *et al.* 2016), and claimed additional occurrences within Laurentia (e.g. Briggs & Robison, 1984; Briggs *et al.* 2008; Peel, 2017) have all proved incorrect or insufficiently documented. In the present paper, we describe a nearly complete specimen of *Sidneyia* from the middle Cambrian (Miaolingian, Wuliuan) Mantou Formation at Weifang, Shandong Province, North China that is nearly identical to the type species *S. inexpectans.* This discovery extends the known geographical distribution of *Sidneyia* beyond North America, indicating that it had a larger palaeogeographical distribution than was previously thought.

Miaolingian Burgess Shale-type Lagerstätten are essentially known from Laurentia, such as the Marble Canyon, Spence Shale, and Wheeler, Marjum and Weeks formations (e.g. Caron *et al.* 2014; Robison *et al.* 2015; Lerosey-Aubril *et al.* 2018; Kimmig *et al.* 2019). The only notable exception is the Kaili Formation in South China (Zhao *et al.* 2011). This stresses the need for searching for Miaolingian Burgess Shale-type Lagerstätten in other terranes. Previous studies have documented the presence of exceptionally preserved fossils in the Upper Shale Member of the Mantou Formation, North China (Resser & Endo, 1937; Liu *et al.* 2012; Sun *et al.* 2015; Wang *et al.* 2018), which is further confirmed by the discovery of *Sidneyia* cf. *inexpectans* here. In addition, exceptional preservation has been recorded in the Lower Shale Member of the Mantou Formation (Lin, 1995; Huang, 2012; Huang *et al.* 2012; Zhu *et al.* 2014), making the Mantou Formation a potential Lagerstätten assembly.

2. Geological setting and fossil locality

The Mantou (alternate spelling 'Manto') Formation is widely distributed in North China and was originally characterized as consisting of brick-red shale (Willis *et al.* 1907; Xiang *et al.* 1999). In Shandong Province, the Mantou Formation is subdivided into the Shidian Member (argillaceous dolostone and shale), the Lower Shale Member, the Honghe Member (sandstone) and the Upper Shale Member (Zhang & Liu, 1996).

There is a well-established trilobite biostratigraphy for the Cambrian of Shandong Province, where the Mantou Formation spans the interval extending from the *Redlichia chinensis* Zone (lower Lungwangmiaoan, corresponding to the lower part of Cambrian Stage 4) to the *Bailiella lantenoisi* Zone (uppermost Hsuchuangian, corresponding to the uppermost Wuliuan) (Zhang & Liu, 1996; Yuan *et al.* 2012). In many parts of Shandong Province, the Mantou Formation comprises 13 trilobite biozones.

The presence of sandy conglomerate in the upper part of the Honghe Member, together with the presence of bidirectional trough cross-stratified layers and halite pseudocrystals in the Shidian Member, suggests that the Mantou Formation may represent a tidal flat environment (Zhang & Liu, 1996). However, because the Mantou Formation represents an extended period of sedimentation, the depositional environments of this deposit were probably diverse. Additionally, the dark shale and global agnostoids in the uppermost part of the Mantou Formation (Sun, 1989; Sun *et al.* 2018) correspond to the global eustatic flooding surface at the base of the *Ptychagnostus gibbus* Zone (Babcock *et al.* 2015).

The new material described in this paper was collected from the Upper Shale Member of the Mantou Formation (Bailiella lantenoisi Zone) in the Longgang section near the town of Shanwang (Longgang village), Linqu County, Shandong Province (Fig. 1). Burgess Shale-type fossils occur in three distinct fossiliferous beds called, in ascending order, Beds A, B and C. Sidneyia was found in Bed A along with the trilobites Proasaphiscus lui (Chang, 1959), Ptychagnostus sinicus Lu, 1957, Peronopsis rotundatus Ergeliev, 1980 and Pe. taitzuhoensis Lu, 1957. Bed A also contains other fossils, including algae, brachiopods, the bivalved arthropods Tuzoia manchuriensis Resser & Endo in Resser, 1929 and Isoxys sp., worm-like animals and possible Hurdiidae (unpublished). Bed B has yielded the trilobites Bailiella lantenoisi (Mansuy, 1916) and Pe. rotundatus, the bivalved arthropod T. manchuriensis, sponges, chancelloriids and worm-like animals. Lastly, Bed C has yielded the trilobites Pr. yabei Resser & Endo in Kobayashi, 1935 and Lioparia bassleri Resser & Endo, 1937, the bivalved arthropod T. manchuriensis, brachiopods and hyolithids.

The occurrence of two global agnostoid trilobites, *Pt. sinicus* and *Pe. rotundatus*, in the Upper Shale Member allows biostratigraphical correlation of the *Bailiella lantenoisi* Zone with the *Ptychagnostus gibbus* Zone (top of the Wuliuan) (Zhang, 1986; Peng, 2009; Yuan *et al.* 2012; Sun *et al.* 2018). *Pt. praecurrens* (Westergård, 1936) in the Burgess Shale (Rasetti, 1967) belongs to the *Ptychagnostus praecurrens* Zone (Sundberg, 1994), which lies below the *Ptychagnostus gibbus* Zone. Therefore, *Sidneyia* cf. *inexpectans* from the Upper Shale Member of the Mantou Formation is younger than *S. inexpectans* from the Burgess Shale.

3. Material and methods

The single specimen of *Sidneyia* cf. *inexpectans* described in this paper is deposited in the Nanjing Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China (NIGPAS 170198). Photographs were taken under crossed-polarized light using a Nikon D810 camera fitted with a Nikon AF-S Nikkor 105 mm lens. Images were processed using PhotoshopTM to adjust tone, contrast and brightness. Morphological terminology used in this paper mainly follows Bruton (1981), Stein (2013) and Zacaï *et al.* (2016). Measurements were made parallel to or normal to the sagittal line, directions of which are referred to as sagittal (sag.) / exsagittal (exs.) and transverse (tr.), respectively.

4. Description

Single nearly complete specimen flattened parallel to bedding, which consists of a long, oval-shaped exoskeleton measuring 82.5 mm long (sag.) and 51.1 mm wide (tr.). Exoskeleton smooth and complete, but for the tailspine, which is represented by a single uropod only (i.e. telson and second uropod missing) (Fig. 2a, b).

Cephalic shield broadly (tr.) semi-elliptical in outline, slightly deformed (ventrally curved?) on the left side, measuring 12.0 mm long (sag.) and 35.4 mm wide (tr.). Presence of numerous wrinkles near anterior margin indicating post-depositional compression of shield into sediment plane in forward tilted posture (Fig. 2e, g). Lateral notches (ln in Fig. 2b, g) preserved on right side of cephalic shield accounting for one-third of total length (exs.) of cephalic shield and measuring *c*. 4.1 mm long (sag.). Anterior margin of cephalon steeply sloping, while smooth posterior margin partially overlaps first thoracic tergite. Present beneath dorsal shield, and exposed by exfoliation of dorsal shield (Fig. 2e, g), possibly a wide frontal margin previously observed extending ventrally (Bruton, 1981; Stein, 2013), but other structures such as appendages or eyes cannot be excluded (fm? in Fig. 2b, e).

Thorax consisting of nine partially overlapping tergites (tt in Fig. 2b), with anterior margin of each tergite exposed owing to compaction of exoskeleton. Thorax 52.9 mm long (sag.), with maximum width of 49.2 mm between thoracic tergites 4 and 5 and minimum width of 31.1 mm (width of posteriormost thoracic tergite). Thoracic tergites 1–4 narrow, with average length (sag.) 7.3 mm; first and shortest thoracic tergite 6.5 mm long (sag.), last five thoracic segments averaging 8.2 mm long (sag.) (length data for thoracic tergites include portion covered by adjacent tergite). First four thoracic tergites 5–9 gradually narrowing (tr.) posteriorward; thoracic tergites 5–9 gradually narrowing (tr.). Impressions of exopodites of appendages present on both sides of each thoracic tergite (pa in Fig. 2b). Posterolateral margins of thoracic tergites bearing spines (sp in Fig. 2d).

Abdomen consisting of three overlapping abdominal segments and a separate tail fan (Fig. 2a–c, f), and measuring 17.6 mm long (sag.). Abdominal segments relatively long, with segment 1 measuring 9.2 mm long (sag.), segment 2 measuring 6.6 mm long (sag.) and segment 3 measuring 12.4 mm long (sag.) (foregoing data include covered portion). Anterior margins of abdominal segments represented by two lines, which indicates shape of the abdominal segment is cylindrical. Posterior margin of third abdominal segment exhibits several pairs of spines, one of which is larger than other (sp in Fig. 2a, b, f). Single uropod preserved to the left of third abdominal segment measures 12.2 mm in length.

Impressions of appendages present on both sides of each thoracic tergite, with portion of each impression preserving exopodites (pa in Fig. 2b). Endopodite fragments present near abdomen (ap in Fig. 2b, d, e).

5. Discussion

In the new specimen from North China, the length-to-width ratio is close to 0.33, as in S. inexpectans from the Burgess Shale reported by Bruton (1981). In addition, the new specimen is hardly distinguishable from S. inexpectans from the Burgess Shale in other measurements and in the outline of the exoskeleton (Bruton, 1981). Certain details, such as the fact that the posterolateral margins of the thoracic tergites bear spines (sp in Fig. 2d), and that the last abdominal segment exhibits several pairs of spines (sp in Fig. 2b, f), are also identical to the type species (Bruton, 1981). Therefore, even though the morphologies of the tail fan and appendages are incompletely shown, the new specimen is not significantly different from the type species in the exoskeleton. Because only one specimen has been collected so far, it is appropriate to assign this specimen to Sidneyia as a conformis of the type species S. inexpectans. A more accurate taxonomic assignment needs more material from the fossil horizon.

Cambrian Sidneyia from North China



Fig. 1. Location and geological setting of the Longgang Section. (a) Regional map. (b) Simplified geological map of the area near the Longgang Section. (c) Upper Shale Member, Mantou Formation in the Longgang section, viewed from the south. Note the circled person for scale. (d) Stratigraphic column of the Upper Shale Member and fossil horizons in the Longgang Section. The alternate spelling of Zhangxia is 'Changhia'.

Although Stein (2013) revised the length-to-width ratio of the cephalic shield of *S. inexpectans* from 0.33 to 0.5 (Stein, 2013), this reinterpretation may be problematic (R. Lerosey-Aubril, pers. com. 2019). Zacaï *et al.* (2016, fig. 2) illustrated a specimen with a short (sag.) cephalic shield preserved in lateral orientation, and this preserved orientation is incompatible with a taphonomic shrinking of the cephalic shield. Therefore, a short cephalic shield is more likely to represent the original morphology, and Bruton's ratio data remain a more precise description of *S. inexpectans* (Bruton, 1981).

It should also be noted that different specimens of *S. inexpectans* may have different numbers of abdominal segments. Walcott (1911) and Simonetta (1963) described what is now called the 'abdomen' as two segments, Bruton (1981, p. 633) noted that some specimens have three abdominal segments and in recent years investigators have stated that there are two such segments in their studied sample (Zacaï *et al.* 2016). In the presence of detailed descriptions and photographs of specimens, it is hard to conclude that Bruton's observations were incorrect. The abdomen



Fig. 2. Sidneyia cf. inexpectans Walcott, 1911 (NIGPAS 170198) from the Cambrian (Miaolingian, Wuliuan) Upper Shale Member, Mantou Formation (Bailiella lantenoisi Zone) in the Longgang section, Weifang, Shandong Province, North China. (a) Nearly complete exoskeleton. (b) Interpretative drawing of the exoskeleton. (c) Close-up of anterior part of abdomen. (d) Close-up of appendages near abdomen. (e) Close-up of left side of cephalic shield. (f) Close-up of posterior part of abdomen and tail fan. (g) Close-up of right side of cephalic shield. Abbreviations: am - anterior margin; ap appendages; as1-3 - first to third abdominal segments; as1-3 am - anterior margins of first to third abdominal segments; as1 pm and as2 pm - posterior margins of first and second abdominal segments; cs - cephalic shield; fm - frontal margin; ln - lateral notches; pa post-antennal appendages; pa6-9 - sixth to ninth post-antennal appendages; pm - posterior margins; sp - spines; tf – tail fan; tt – thoracic tergite; tt1–9 – first to ninth thoracic tergite; tt2 am - anterior margin of second thoracic tergite.

of *S. inexpectans* probably consists of two or three segments. The new specimen from North China exhibits three of them (Fig. 2c).

In addition to specimens from the Burgess Shale, several questionable specimens have been identified as Sidneyia. Among them, Sidneyia sp. from the Kinzers Formation (Resser & Howell, 1938, pl. 13, fig. 3) was incorrectly assigned to Sidneyia (Bruton, 1981; Briggs et al. 2008). A couple of appendages from the Wheeler Formation in Utah have been tentatively assigned to the genus by Briggs & Robison (1984), but this interpretation was rejected by Stein (2013). Another species, Sidneyia sinica Zhang & Shu in Zhang et al. 2002, was described from the Chengjiang biota, but the assignment of this fossil was rejected by Briggs et al. (2008), Stein (2013) and Lerosey-Aubril (2015). Additionally, Sidneyia sp. from the Spence Shale (Briggs et al. 2008) is incomplete, and no preserved characters in this fossil allow a confident assignment to this genus. Sidneyia? sp. from the Sirius Passet biota (Peel, 2017) is similar to the type species in general outline of the body, but except for this, data supporting a convincing assignment

to the genus are still unavailable. In other words, *Sidneyia* was only confidently known from the Burgess Shale (Fig. 3). Accordingly, the discovery of *Sidneyia* from the Mantou Formation is both the first well-supported occurrence outside the Burgess Shale and its first occurrence of the genus outside Laurentia.

The palaeogeographical location of North China during the Cambrian Period is far from resolved, various hypotheses having been proposed: on the margin of Western Gondwana (McKenzie *et al.* 2011), in the oceanic region between Gondwana and Siberia (Torsvik & Cocks, 2017), north of Australia (Brock *et al.* 2000; Golonka, 2011), on the north or northeastern margin of East Gondwana (e.g. Burrett *et al.* 1990; Li *et al.* 2016; Yun *et al.* 2016; Pan *et al.* 2018) or thousands of kilometres to the east of Australia in the Palaeo-Pacific Ocean (e.g. Li & Powell, 2001; Li *et al.* 2013). Despite these different hypotheses, extensive palaeontological and geological data have supported that North China had strong biogeographic links with Australia, which was situated on the East Gondwana margin during early and middle Cambrian



Fig. 3. (Colour online) Geographical distribution of Sidneyia Walcott, 1911 during the Cambrian Wuliuan period. The palaeogeographical reconstruction is based on true polar wander data (after Torsvik & Cocks, 2017).

times (e.g. Álvaro *et al.* 2013; Hally & Paterson, 2014). Given that North China is not close to North America in any of these palaeogeographical reconstructions, it can be concluded that *Sidneyia* was more widespread than previously thought.

6. Conclusions

Although only one specimen of Sidneyia has been collected from North China, its morphology allows a confident assignment to this genus, at least as a similar species to the type species S. inexpectans. The new Sidneyia specimen is younger than the type material from the Burgess Shale, but the temporal range of Sidneyia remains restricted to the Wuliuan. This is not only the first occurrence of Sidneyia outside Laurentia but also the first well-supported occurrence of this genus outside the Burgess Shale. The occurrence of Sidneyia on two distinct palaeocontinents greatly expands its known palaeogeographical distribution and provides evidence that Sidneyia was more widespread than previously thought. The reason why Sidneyia was previously believed to be a local species is that Burgess Shale-type Lagerstätten in the Cambrian Miaolingian were found mainly in Laurentia. The discovery of Sidnevia in North China raises the potential of discovering additional Burgess Shale-type fossils from North China.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program (B) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB26000000). We thank Heyo Van Iten for improving the English of this manuscript and Mr Rongrong Xing, who collected and donated the specimen described in this study. We are also grateful to the reviewers Rudy Lerosey-Aubril and John Paterson for their invaluable suggestions and comments, which greatly improved the earlier version of this paper.

References

Álvaro JJ, Ahlberg P, Babcock LE, Bordonaro OL, Choi DK, Cooper RA, Ergaliev GKH, Gapp IW, Ghobadi Pour M, Hughes NC, Jago JB, Korovnikov I, Laurie JR, Lieberman BS, Paterson JR, Pegel TV, Popov **LE, Rushton AWA, Sukhov SS, Tortello MF, Zhou Z and Żylińska A** (2013) Global Cambrian trilobite palaeobiogeography assessed using parsimony analysis of endemicity. In *Early Palaeozoic Biogeography and Palaeogeography* (eds D Harper and T Servais), pp. 273–96. Geological Society of London, Memoirs no. 38.

- Babcock LE, Peng SC, Brett CE, Zhu MY, Ahlberg P, Bevis M and Robison RA (2015) Global climate, sea level cycles, and biotic events in the Cambrian Period. *Palaeoworld* 24, 5–15.
- Bicknell RD, Ledogar JA, Wroe S, Gutzler BC, Watson III WH and Paterson JR (2018a) Computational biomechanical analyses demonstrate similar shell-crushing abilities in modern and ancient arthropods. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 285, 1–8.
- Bicknell RD, Paterson JR, Caron JB and Skovsted CB (2018b) The gnathobasic spine microstructure of recent and Silurian chelicerates and the Cambrian artiopodan Sidneyia: functional and evolutionary implications. Arthropod Structure & Development 47, 12–24.
- Briggs DEG, Lieberman BS, Hendricks JR, Halgedahl SL and Jarrard RD (2008) Middle Cambrian arthropods from Utah. *Journal of Paleontology* 82, 238–54.
- Briggs DE and Robison RA (1984) Exceptionally preserved nontrilobite arthropods and Anomalocaris from the Middle Cambrian of Utah. The University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions 111, 1–24.
- Brock G, Engelbretsen M, Jago J, Kruse P, Laurie J, Shergold J, Shi G and Sorauf J (2000) Palaeobiogeographic affinities of Australian Cambrian faunas. *Memoir of the Association of Australasian Palaeontologists* 23, 1–61.
- Bruton DL (1981) The arthropod Sidneyia inexpectans, middle Cambrian, Burgess Shale, British Columbia. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 295, 619–53.
- Burrett C, Long J and Stait B (1990) Early–Middle Palaeozoic biogeography of Asian terranes derived from Gondwana. In *Palaeozoic Palaeogeography and Biogeography* (eds WS McKerrow and CR Scotese), pp. 163–74. Geological Society of London, Memoirs no. 12.
- Caron JB, Gaines RR, Aria C, Mángano MG and Streng M (2014) A new phyllopod bed-like assemblage from the Burgess Shale of the Canadian Rockies. *Nature Communications* 5, 3210.
- Caron JB, Gaines RR, Mángano MG, Streng M and Daley AC (2010) A new Burgess Shale-type assemblage from the "thin" Stephen Formation of the southern Canadian Rockies. *Geology* 38, 811–4.
- Chang WT (1959) New trilobites from the Middle Cambrian of north China. *Acta Palaeontologica Sinica* 7, 193–236 (in Chinese and English).

- Ergaliev GK (1980) Trilobity srednego i verkhnego kembriya Malogo Karatau. Alma-Ata: Academey I Nauk Kazakhskoi SSR, 211 pp. (in Russian).
- Golonka J (2011) Phanerozoic palaeoenvironment and palaeolithofacies maps of the Arctic region. In *Arctic Petroleum Geology* (eds AM Spencer, AF Embry, DL Gautier, AV Stoupakova and K Sørensen), pp. 79–129. Geological Society of London, Memoirs no. 35.
- Hally LA and Paterson JR (2014) Biodiversity, biofacies and biogeography of middle Cambrian (Series 3) arthropods (Trilobita and Agnostida) on the East Gondwana margin. *Gondwana Research* **26**, 654–74.
- Huang DY (2012) Eccrinoids from the Cambrian Mantou Formation of Dalian, Liaoning. Chinese Science Bulletin 57, 3855–7.
- Huang DY, Wang YY, Gao J and Wang YN (2012) A new anomalocaridid frontal appendage from the Middle Cambrian Mantou formation of the Tangshan Area. *Acta Palaeontologica Sinica* 51, 411–5 (in Chinese with English summary).
- Kimmig J, Strotz LC, Kimmig SR, Egenhoff SO and Lieberman BS (2019) The Spence Shale Lagerstätte: an important window into Cambrian biodiversity. *Journal of the Geological Society, London* 176, 609–19. doi: 10.1144/ jgs2018-195.
- Kobayashi T (1935) The Cambro-Ordovician formations and faunas of South Chosen. Palaeontology. Part 3. Cambrian faunas of South Chosen with a special study on the Cambrian trilobite genera and families. *Journal of the Faculty of Science, Imperial University of Tokyo, Section II* 4, 49–344.
- Lerosey-Aubril R (2015) Notchia weugi gen. et sp. nov., a new short-headed arthropod from the Weeks Formation Konservat-Lagerstätte (Cambrian; Utah). Geological Magazine 152, 351–7.
- Lerosey-Aubril, R, Gaines RR, Hegna TA, Ortega-Hernández J, Van Roy P, Kier C and Bonino E (2018) The Weeks Formation Konservat-Lagerstätte and the evolutionary transition of Cambrian marine life. *Journal of the Geological Society, London* 175, 705–15.
- Lerosey-Aubril R, Zhu XJ and Ortega-Hernández J (2017) The Vicissicaudata revisited–insights from a new aglaspidid arthropod with caudal appendages from the Furongian of China. *Scientific Reports* 7, 11117.
- Li ZX, Evans DA and Halverson GP (2013) Neoproterozoic glaciations in a revised global palaeogeography from the breakup of Rodinia to the assembly of Gondwanaland. *Sedimentary Geology* **294**, 219–32.
- Li ZX and Powell CM (2001) An outline of the palaeogeographic evolution of the Australasian region since the beginning of the Neoproterozoic. *Earth-Science Reviews* 53, 237–77.
- Li LY, Zhang XL, Yun H and Li GX (2016) New occurrence of *Cambroclavus absonus* from the lowermost Cambrian of North China and its stratigraphical importance. *Alcheringa* **40**, 45–52.
- Lin TR (1995) Discovery of late early Cambrian worm from Huainan, Anhui. Acta Palaeontologica Sinica 34, 505–8 (in Chinese with English summary).
- Liu Q, Huang D and Gong Y (2012) Sponge fossils from the Cambrian Mantou formation of Hebi, Henan, Central China. *Journal of China* University of Geosciences 37, 129–35 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Lu YH (1957) Arthropoda, Trilobita. In *Index Fossils of China, Invertebrata III* (ed. Institute of Palaeontology, Academia Sinica), pp. 249–94. Beijing: Geological Publishing House (in Chinese).
- Mansuy H (1916) Faunes Cambriennes de l'Extrême-Orient méridional. Mémoires du Service Géologique de L'Indochine 5 1, 1–44 (in French).
- McKenzie NR, Hughes NC, Myrow PM, Choi DK and Park TY (2011) Trilobites and zircons link North China with the eastern Himalaya during the Cambrian. *Geology* **39**, 591–4.
- Pan B, Brock GA, Skovsted CB, Betts MJ, Topper TP and Li G (2018) *Paterimitra pyramidalis* Laurie, 1986, the first tommotiid discovered from the early Cambrian of North China. *Gondwana Research* 63, 179–85.
- **Peel JS** (2017) Mineralized gutfills from the Sirius Passet Lagerstätte (Cambrian Series 2) of North Greenland. *GFF* **139**, 83–91.
- Peng SC (2009) Review on the studies of Cambrian trilobite faunas from Jiangnan Slope Belt, South China, with notes on Cambrian correlation between South and North China. Acta Palaeontologica Sinica 48, 437–52 (in Chinese with English summary).
- Rasetti F (1967) Lower and Middle Cambrian trilobite faunas from the Taconic sequence of New York. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections 152, 1–112.
- Resser E (1929) New lower and Middle Cambrian Crustacea. *Proceedings of the* U.S. National Museum **76**, 1–18.

- Resser CE and Endo R (1937) Description of the fossils. In *The Sinian and Cambrian Formations and Fossils of Southern Manchurian* (eds R Endo and CE Resser), pp. 103–309, pp. 370–474. Changchun: Bulletin of the Manchurian Science Museum.
- Resser CE and Howell BF (1938) Lower Cambrian Olenellus Zone of the Appalachians. Geological Society of America Bulletin 49, 195–248.
- Robison RA, Babcock LE and Gunther VG (2015) Exceptional Cambrian fossils from Utah: a window into the age of trilobites. Utah Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Publications 15, 1–97.
- Simonetta AM (1963) Osservazioni sugli artropodi non trilobiti della Burgess Shale, I generi Sidneyia ed Amiella Walcott 1911. Monitore Zool 70, 97–108.
- Stein M (2013) Cephalic and appendage morphology of the Cambrian arthropod *Sidneyia inexpectans. Zoologischer Anzeiger* **253**, 164–78.
- Sun XW (1989) Cambrian agnostids from the North China platform. *Palaeontologica Cathayana* 4, 53–129.
- Sun ZX, Wang PL and Yuan JL (2015) The first complete *Tuzoia manchuriensis* from the Cambrian series 3 of Weifang, Shandong Province. Acta Palaeontologica Sinica 54, 113–19 (in Chinese with English summary).
- Sun ZX, Wang PL, Zhao FC and Yuan JL (2018) New materials of *Peronopsis* Hawle and Corda, 1847 (Agnostida: Trilobita) from the uppermost part of the Mantou Formation (Cambrian Series 3, Stage 5) in Dongping, Shandong province. *Acta Palaeontologica Sinica* 57, 287–94 (in Chinese with English summary).
- Sundberg FA (1994) Corynexochida and Ptychopariida (Trilobita, Arthropoda) of the Ehmaniella Biozone (Middle Cambrian), Utah and Nevada. *Contributions in Science* 446, 1–137.
- Torsvik TH and Cocks LRM (2017) Cambrian. In *Earth History and Palaeogeography* (TH Torsvik and LRM Cocks), pp. 85–100. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Walcott CD (1911) Cambrian geology and paleontology II, Middle Cambrian Merostomata. Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections 57, 17–40.
- Wang PL, Fatka O, Sun ZX, Budil P and Gao J (2018) Fossilized gut of the trilobite *Lioparia bassleri* and the distribution of exceptional preservation in the Cambrian Stage 4–Drumian Manto Formation of North China. *Bulletin of Geosciences* 93, 491–8.
- Westergård AH (1936) *Paradoxides ölandicus* beds of Öland: with the account of a diamond boring through the Cambrian at Mossberga. *Sveriges Geologiska Undersökning, Series C* **394**, 1–66.
- Willis B, Blackwelder E and Sargent RH (1907) Descriptive topography and geology. In *Research in China* (eds B Willis, E Blackwelder and RH Sargent), pp. 1–353. Washington: Carnegie Institution of Washington.
- Xiang LW, Zhu ZL, Li SJ and Zhou ZQ (1999) Stratigraphical Lexicon of China, Cambrian. Beijing: Geological Publishing House, 95 pp. (in Chinese).
- Yuan JL, Li Y, Mu XN, Lin J and Zhu XJ (2012) Trilobite Fauna of the Changhia Formation (Cambrian Series 3) from Shandong and Adjacent Area, North China. Beijing: Science Press, 757 pp. (in Chinese with English summary).
- Yun H, Zhang XL, Li LY, Zhang MQ and Liu W (2016) Skeletal fossils and microfacies analysis of the lowermost Cambrian in southwestern margin of North China Platform. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 129, 54–66.
- Zacaï A, Vannier J and Lerosey-Aubril R (2016) Reconstructing the diet of a 505-million-year-old arthropod: *Sidneyia inexpectans* from the Burgess Shale fauna. *Arthropod Structure and Development* **45**, 200–20.
- Zhang WT (1986) Correlation of the Cambrian of China. *Palaeontologica* Cathayana **3**, 267–85.
- Zhang XL, Han J and Shu DG (2002) New occurrence of the Burgess Shale arthropod *Sidneyia* in the Early Cambrian Chengjiang Lagerstätte (South China), and revision of the arthropod *Urokodia*. *Alcheringa* **26**, 1–8.
- Zhang ZQ and Liu MW (1996) *Lithostratigraphy of Shandong Province*. Beijing: Geological Publishing House, 328 pp. (in Chinese).
- Zhao YL, Zhu MY, Babcock LE and Peng J (2011) *The Kaili Biota: Marine Organisms from 508 Million Years Ago.* Guiyang: Guizhou Science and Technology Press, 249 pp. (in Chinese).
- **Zhu XJ, Lerosey-Aubril R and Esteve J** (2014) Gut fossilization and evidence for detritus feeding habits in an enrolled trilobite from the Cambrian of China. *Lethaia* **47**, 66–76.