Rapid Epidemiological Assessment of Health Status in Displaced Populations—An Evolution toward Standardized Minimum Essential Data Sets

David A. Bradt, MD, MPH, FACEM, FAFPHM, FAAEM;¹ Christina M. Drummond, MMBS, DObst (RCOG), DTM & H, FRACP, MPH, MAE, FAFPHM²

- 1. Department of Emergency Medicine Royal Melbourne Hospital Melbourne, AUSTRALIA Center for International Emergency, Disaster, and Refugee Studies Johns Hopkins Medical Institution Baltimore, Maryland USA
- 2. Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinical Epidemiology Monash Medical Centre Melbourne, AUSTRALIA Department of International Health Johns Hopkins School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland USA

Correspondence:

David A. Bradt, MD, MPH Department of Emergency Medicine Royal Melbourne Hospital PO Box 2009 Grattan Street & Royal Parade Parkville 3050 Victoria, AUSTRALIA dbradt@jhsph.edu

Keywords: assessment; criteria; epidemiology; disaster; management; protocols; needs; displaced populations; data sets; standardization; minimums; International Decade for National Disaster Reduction (IDNDR); rapid epidemiological assessment; sphere project; smart

Abbreviations:

- EPI = Expanded Program on Immunization
- IDNDR = International Decade for National Disaster Reduction
- IDP = internally displaced persons
- IFRC = International Federation of the Red Cross
- MEDS = Minimum Essential Data Set MMWR = Morbidity and Mortality
- Weekly Report MSF = Médecins Sans Frontières
- OFDA = Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance
- REA = Rapid Epidemiological Assessment

Abstract

Rapid epidemiological assessment (REA) has evolved over the past 30 years into an essential tool of disaster management. Small area survey and sampling methods are the major application. While REA is protocol driven, needs assessment of displaced populations remains highly non-standardized. The United Nations and other international organizations continue to call for the development of standardized instruments for post-disaster needs assessment.

This study examines REA protocols from leading agencies in humanitarian health assistance across an evaluation criteria of best-practice attributes. Analysis of inconsistencies and deficits leads to the derivation of a Minimum Essential Data Set (MEDS) proposed for use by relief agencies in post-disaster REA of health status in displaced populations. This data set lends itself to initial assessment, ongoing monitoring, and evaluation of relief efforts. It is expected that the task of rapid epidemiological assessment, and more generally, the professional practice of post-disaster health coordination, will be enhanced by development, acceptance, and use of standardized Minimum Essential Data Sets (MEDS).

Bradt DA, Drummond CM: Rapid epidemiological assessment of health status in displaced populations—An evolution towards standardized minimum essential data sets. *Prehosp Disast Med* 2003; 17(4):178–185.

SMART = Specific Measurable, Accurate, Realistic, Time-Banded UNHCR = United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNICEF = United Nations Children's Fund WHO = World Health Organization

Received: 19 September 2002 Accepted: 18 October 2002

Supported in part by funding from the World Health Organization under APW SE/01/500694

Introduction

Disaster management is defined by Cuny as the range of activities designed to maintain control over disaster and emergency situations, and to provide a framework for helping atrisk persons to avoid or recover from the impact of the disaster.¹ Epidemiological data are well-recognized as essential to competent disaster management.¹⁻⁴ Studies of disaster impact on public health are handicapped by the lack of these data, and especially the lack of real-time, field data acquired in the immediate aftermath of disaster.² Information gathering is recognized as the crucial first step in assessing the needs of a disaster-affected population.³ Moreover, a limited amount of specific information obtained on-site from representative

Rapid Health Assessment Protocols for Emergencies (WHO)
 Handbook for Emergencies (UNHCR)
 Assisting in Emergencies (UNICEF)
 Handbook for Delegates (IFRC)
 Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Disaster Response (Sphere)
Refugee Health (MSF)
 Rapid Health Assessment of Refugee or Displaced Populations (Epicentre)
Field Operations Guide (OFDA)
• Famine-Affected, Refugee, and Displaced Populations: Recommendations for Public Health Issues (CDC)
Additional References Consulted:
 War and Public Health (ICRC)
 A Framework for Survival (Center for International Health and Cooperation)

Prehospital and Disaster Medicine © 2002 Bradt **Table 1**—Reference Protocols^{21–29,32–33} (WHO = World Health Organization; UNHCR = United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees; UNICEF = United Nations Children's Fund; IFRC = International Federation of the Red Cross; Sphere = Sphere Project; MSF = Médecins Sans Frontières; OFDA = Office of U. S. Foreign Disasters Assistance; CDC = Center for Disease Control and Prevention; ICRC = International Committee for the Red Cross)

populations will suffice to guide emergency relief efforts in the affected area.⁴ The art and science of developing this public health intelligence is the disaster application of rapid epidemiological assessment (REA).

The origins of rapid epidemiological assessment date from the 1970s, when scientists at the World Health Organization (WHO) in its Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI), as well as its Smallpox Eradication Program, experienced constraints in the field while using traditional epidemiological tools in developing countries. Technical constraints involved inadequate census data, medical information, and logistics all contributing to shortcomings of traditional epidemiology in developing countries.⁵

Throughout the 1970s, field personnel pioneered the adaptation of traditional epidemiological techniques to simplified sampling techniques and disease surveillance methods.^{6,7} This adaptation of standard epidemiological techniques contributed to the worldwide eradication of smallpox.⁸

By the 1980s, in the United States (US), the Institute of Medicine and the Board of Science and Technology for International Development formed the US National Academy of Sciences Advisory Committee on Health, Biomedical Research, and Development (ACHBRD). Its initial chairman, Dr. D. A. Henderson, was the former head of the WHO Smallpox Eradication Program. In 1981, ACHBRD met to identify unexplored research areas that could contribute to health in developing countries. One such identified area was sampling techniques and surveillance methods used by the EPI and the Smallpox Eradication Program. This area of applied methodological research was identified as "Rapid Epidemiological Assessment" (REA).

The ACHBRD sought to develop the REA as a mechanism for providing reliable health information more rapidly and cheaply than was possible using traditional epidemiological methods. Pioneers of REA adopted techniques from health services research and operations research as well as from traditional epidemiology. While inspired by "quick and dirty" methods of epidemiology used for investigating disease outbreaks, the REA evolved into a coherent field of applied epidemiological research.¹⁰ As the REA matured, five subdivisions evolved. One subdivision ultimately became relevant for disaster management—small area survey and sampling methods. Examples of these methods include lot quality assurance sampling, rapid ethnographic assessment, and the EPI cluster sample survey.

In 1988, disaster management was galvanized by the UN General Assembly through the designation of the 1990s as the International Decade for Natural Disaster Reduction (IDNDR). The UN declaration cited natural disaster sequelae of 3 million dead, 800 million affected, and \$US 23 billion in damages over the prior two decades. The declaration called specifically for the development of measures for natural disaster assessment through programs of technical assistance and technology transfer.¹¹

In response to the above, the World Health Organization analyzed the implementation of rapid health assessments in disasters. It undertook this analysis primarily for WHO personnel in support of efforts in the disaster-affected country to assess the health impact of a broad range of disasters. In 1990, the WHO published nine protocols outlining its view of best practice in rapid health assessment.¹²⁻²⁰

These protocols codified several attributes of competently performed REA. The protocols were standardized—they normalized field behavior as well as facilitated data contribution to common databases. The protocols were focused, simple, and flexible—suitable for adaptation to local or national information needs. The protocols also were eventspecific, reflecting the unique natural history and consequences of different events. Finally, the protocols drew attention to sentinel events that herald disasters, thus, theoretically decreasing the time to recognition and response.

Following an early contribution by UNICEF in 1986,²¹ the literature of REA expanded dramatically during the 1990s. Throughout this decade, UN line agencies, international organizations, governmental organizations, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), and inter-agency consensus groups all contributed assessment guidelines.²²⁻ ²⁸ In 1999, the WHO revised and re-issued its own Rapid Health Assessment Protocols for Emergencies.²⁹ Instruments for the assessment of disaster public health issues have emerged as intervenor-specific. These ubiquitous instruments typically are multi-purpose and applied to assessments of disaster impact, refugees, and displaced persons, health facilities, and even entire health sectors. While the instruments' generic nature offered consistency for one organization across different field settings and different disaster assessors, the multiplicity of instruments has complicated inter-agency information management. Recent disasters have prompted a rethink of such instruments.

WHO UNHCR UNICEF Disaster Specific (10 protocols) Specific (refugee disaster) Non-specific Assessment Focus Site-docused (complex emerg) Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Captured Not captured Variable Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In Annex In appendix In different chapters Data Structure Template and checklist Checklist Checklist Portability High (complex emergency); Low Low Low Criterion IFRC Specific Non-specific Disaster Specificity Not captured Variable Critical Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Disaster Specificity Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused	Criterion	Source				
Disaster Specific (10 protocols) Specific (refugee disaster) Non-specific Assessment Focus Site-focused (complex emerg) System-focused (others) Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Captured Not captured Variable Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In Annex In appendix In different chapters Data Structure Template and checklist Checklist Checklist Portability High Low Low Low Criterion IFRC Source Morsspecific Non-specific Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Priorities Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Readata Nor-specific Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Site and system-focused Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Captured Captured		WHO	UNHCR	UNICEF		
Assessment Focus Site-focused (complex emerg) System-locused (others) Site and system-locused Site and system-locused Metadata Captured Not captured Variable Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In Annex In appendix In different chapters Data Structure Template and checklist Checklist Checklist Portability High Low Unstated Unstated Time Needed Unstated: protocol variable Unstated Unstated Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Criterion IFRC Source MSF Disaster Specificity Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused In different chapters In appendix In appendix In appendix In different chapters In appendix	Disaster Specificity	Specific (10 protocols)	Specific (refugee disaster)	Non-specific		
Netadata Captured Not captured Variable Priorities Critical Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In Annex In appendix In different chapters Data Structure Template and checklist Checklist Checklist Portability High Low Low Low Time Needed Unstated; protocol variable Unstated Unstated Field Utility High (complex emergency); Low (cow Low Low Criterion IFRC SPHERE Mor-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Not captured Variable Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Absessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Priorities Critical Critical Critical Critical	Assessment Focus	Site-focused (complex emerg) System-focused (others)	Site and system-focused	Site and system- focused		
Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In Annex In appendix In different chapters Data Structure Template and checklist Checklist Checklist Portability High Low Low Low Time Needed Unstated; protocol variable Unstated Unstated Field Utility High (complex emergency); Low (cow Low Low Criterion Severee SPHERE MSF Disaster Specificity Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Priorities Critical Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix In appendix Indifferent chapters In appendix In appendix In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist <td>Metadata</td> <td>Captured</td> <td>Not captured</td> <td>Variable</td>	Metadata	Captured	Not captured	Variable		
Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In Annex In appendix In different chapters Data Structure Template and checklist Checklist Checklist Portability High Low Low Time Needed Unstated; protocol variable Unstated Unstated Criterion Source Low Low Criterion IFRC SPHERE Most SMART Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Variable Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indidetors Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Abset (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Site and system-focused Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (rom MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Intermediate Unstated Dis	Priorities	Critical	Critical	Critical		
Benchmarks In Annex In appendix In different chapters Data Structure Template and checklist Checklist Checklist Portability High Low Low Time Needed Unstated; protocol variable Unstated Unstated Field Utility High (complex emergency); Low (others) Low Low Criterion IFRC SPHERE MSF Disaster Specificity Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Variable Captured Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Absent (sanitation) In appendix In appendix In appendix Banchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template and checklist Generally high Portability Low Low Intermediate Unstated Field Utility <t< td=""><td>Indicators</td><td>Most SMART</td><td>Most SMART</td><td>Most SMART</td></t<>	Indicators	Most SMART	Most SMART	Most SMART		
Data Structure Template and checklist Checklist Checklist Portability High Low Low Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility High (complex emergency); Low (others) Low Low Criterion Source Low Low Criterion IFRC SPHERE MSF Disaster Specificity Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Priorities Critical Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Template and checklist Portability Low Low In appendix In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Critical Criterion	Benchmarks	In Annex	In appendix	In different chapters		
Portability High Low Low Time Needed Unstated; protocol variable Unstated Unstated Field Utility High (complex emergency); Low (others) Low Low Criterion Source SPHERE MSF Disaster Specificity Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Variable Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Absent (sanitation) Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Unstated Unstated Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Intermediate <td< td=""><td>Data Structure</td><td>Template and checklist</td><td>Checklist</td><td>Checklist</td></td<>	Data Structure	Template and checklist	Checklist	Checklist		
Time Needed Unstated; protocol variable Unstated Unstated Field Utility High (complex emergency); Low (others) Low Low Low Criterion Source SphERE MSF Disaster Specificity Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Variable Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix In appendix Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low Low Intermediate Emerality high services) Criterion Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Criterion OFDA MMWW CDC Specific	Portability	High	Low	Low		
Field Utility High (complex emergency); Low (others) Low Low Criterion IFRC SPHERE MSF Disaster Specificity Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Variable Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low Unstated Unstated Enerally high Criterion Source OFDA MMWR CDC Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis- placed population) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis- placed population) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis- placed population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site a	Time Needed	Unstated; protocol variable	Unstated	Unstated		
Criterion IFRC Source Disaster Specificity Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Variable Captured Critical Priorities Critical Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health Generally high services) Criterion Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical <	Field Utility	High (complex emergency); Low (others)	Low	Low		
IFRC Source Disaster Specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Variable Captured Critical Priorities Critical Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Unstated Unstated Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health Generally high services) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Ste and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical	Outtouton			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Disaster Specificity Non-specific Non-specific Non-specific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Variable Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health) Generally high services) Criterion Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata <td>Criterion</td> <td>1500</td> <td>Source</td> <td>MCE</td>	Criterion	1500	Source	MCE		
Installer Specific Notraspecific Notraspecific Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Variable Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health Generally high services) Criterion Source OFDA MMWR CDC Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) site and system-focused Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical <td>Disaster Specificity</td> <td>IFRC Non-specific</td> <td>SPRERE</td> <td></td>	Disaster Specificity	IFRC Non-specific	SPRERE			
Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Variable Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health Generally high services) Criterion Source Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In di	Assessment Francis					
Interaction Variable Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health) Generally high Services OFDA MMWWR CDC Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis- placed population) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis- refugee, displaced population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In dif	Assessment Focus	Site and system-tocused	Site and system-locused	Site and system-focused		
Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health Generally high services) Source Criterion OFDA MMWR CDC Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Critical Critical Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure						
Indicators Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation) Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health) Generally high Criterion Source Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis- placed population) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis- placed population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical In different sections Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections Disaster Specificity Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Reeded Unstated Critical Critical	Priorities	Critical	Critical	Critical		
Benchmarks In different chapters In appendix In appendix Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Low Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health Generally high services) Criterion Source CDC Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indifferent sections In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated	Indicators	Most SMART (water, food); Absent (sanitation)	Most SMART	Most SMART		
Data Structure Checklist Template (from MSF) Template and checklist Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health Generally high services) Criterion Source Source OFDA MMWR CDC Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis-placed population) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis-placed population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical In different sections Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate	Benchmarks	In different chapters	In appendix	In appendix		
Portability Low Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health Generally high services) Generally high Criterion	Data Structure	Checklist	Template (from MSF)	Template and checklist		
Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health services) Generally high Criterion Source Source OFDA MMWR CDC Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated	Portability	Low	Low	Intermediate		
Field Utility Low High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health services) Generally high Criterion Source OFDA MMWR CDC Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated	Time Needed	Unstated	Unstated	Unstated		
Criterion Source OFDA MMWR CDC Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis- placed population) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced populati Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indifferent sections In different sections Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated	Field Utility	Low	High (M & M*, water, san+) Low (health services)	Generally high		
Criterion Source OFDA MMWR CDC Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced populati Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indifferent sections Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated						
OFDAMMWRCDCDisaster SpecificityNon-specificSpecific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population)Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced populatiAssessment FocusSite and system-focusedSite and system-focusedSite and system-focusedMetadataNot capturedCapturedCapturedPrioritiesCriticalCriticalCriticalIndicatorsMost SMARTMost SMARTMost SMARTBenchmarksIn different sectionsIn different sectionsIn different sectionsData StructureChecklistTemplate for M & M*Template for M & M*PortabilityLowIntermediateIntermediateTime NeededUnstatedUnstatedUnstated	Criterion		Source			
Disaster Specificity Non-specific Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population) Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Critical Indifferent sections In different sections Intermediate Intermediate Portability Low Intermediate Unstated		OFDA	MMWR	CDC		
Assessment Focus Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Site and system-focused Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated	Disaster Specificity	Non-specific	Specific (famine-affected, refugee, dis- placed population)	Specific (famine-affected, refugee, displaced population		
Metadata Not captured Captured Captured Priorities Critical Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated	Assessment Focus	Site and system-focused	Site and system-focused	Site and system-focused		
Priorities Critical Critical Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated	Metadata	Not captured	Captured	Captured		
Indicators Most SMART Most SMART Most SMART Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated	Priorities	Critical	Critical	Critical		
Benchmarks In different sections In different sections In different sections Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated	Indicators	Most SMART	Most SMART	Most SMART		
Data Structure Checklist Template for M & M* Template for M & M* Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated	Benchmarks	In different sections	In different sections	In different sections		
Portability Low Intermediate Intermediate Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Eield Utility Low Lick (M & M*) Lick (M & M*)	Data Structure	Checklist	Template for M & M*	Template for M & M*		
Time Needed Unstated Unstated Unstated Field Utility Low Ulich (M & M*) Lich (M & M*)	Portability	Low	Intermediate	Intermediate		
	Time Needed	Unstated	Unstated	Unstated		
Low (other sectors) Low (other sectors)	Field Utility	Low	High (M & M*) Low (other sectors)	High (M & M*) Low (other sectors)		

Table 2-Rapid epidemiological assessment protocol evaluation

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X00000479 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Pursuant to Hurricanes Georges and Mitch, the Pan-American Health Organization (PAHO)/WHO recommended instruments for data collection during a disaster be standardized prior to the disaster.³⁰ More broadly, a task force on quality control of disaster management found needs assessments difficult and subjective, and called for further development of standardized tools.³¹

Study Objective

The objective of the study is to compare current instruments for the conduct of REA in order to develop a standardized MEDS for health needs assessment and ongoing monitoring at sites of displaced populations.

Methods

A literature review of REA was conducted and published guidelines on field assessments were identified. From these references, a study sample for protocols of post-event REAs was compiled from UN agencies, the International Red Cross, US governmental organizations, international non-governmental organizations, and Sphere were developed. Evaluation criteria comprising best-practice attributes were developed, and then the protocols were compared against the criteria. Analysis of inconsistencies and deficits led to the derivation of a minimum essential data set proposed for future, post-disaster REA.

Published reference works entered into the study are listed in Table 1. Published reference works not obtaining entry into the study for want of defined protocols, yet consulted for guidance on evaluation criteria, also are listed in Table 1.

Evaluation Criteria

- 1. Disaster Specificity—Disaster specificity depends on whether the protocol is intended for particular events. This has implications for potential applicability to different disasters.
- 2. Assessment Focus—A site-targeted protocol gathers data from the site of refugees/internally displaced persons (IDPs). A system-targeted protocol gathers data on lifeline systems (water, food supplies, etc.) extending beyond the site of refugees/IDPs. A comprehensive protocol attempts both.
- 3. *Metadata*—Data sources may be reliable or unreliable. Moreover, follow-up is enabled by contact details of sector-specific informants. Metadata are characterized as captured or not captured by the protocol.
- 4. Information Priorities—Morbidity and mortality are self-evident information priorities on health outcomes. Determinants of health status heavily depend on environmental health services (water, sanitation, food, shelter, vector control). Security stabilization is a precursor to effective, ongoing delivery of environmental health and other services. Social services—family reunification, education—play important roles in the social welfare of the affected community though remain non-critical determinants of post-event health status. Information priorities are characterized as critical (appropriate) or non-critical (inappropriate).
- 5. Performance Indicators-SMART attributes of perfor-

mance indicators, as adapted from log frame applications, are:

- Specific
- Measurable
- Accurate
- Realistic
- Time bounded
- Performance indicators are either SMART or not SMART.
- 6. *Benchmarks*—Benchmarks are the quantitative standards against which performance indicators are compared. They are present or absent.
- 7. Data Structure—Data structure refers to the layout of data fields stipulated by the protocol. The structure is characterized as checklist or template (fill in the blank).
- 8. Portability—Portability is measured by protocol page length. Several pages of well-organized protocol on A4 or letter paper on a clipboard are clerically portable. Increasing length becomes progressively less portable. Size of the bound volume in which the protocol is published is not a proxy indicator for protocol portability. Portability is characterized as high, intermediate, or low.
- 9. *Time Needed*—Time estimate is for protocol data gathering and document completion. Actual time required depends upon suitable access to the affected population, size of the population, and presence of knowledgeable, cooperative parties available for interview. Time estimates, where presented, are taken from the source protocol.
- 10. Field Utility—Field utility is evidenced by immediate amenability of the protocol for data entry in the field without further formatting or collation. Utility is characterized as high or low.

The overall attributes of an ideal protocol format are summarized as:

- Disaster application specified
- Assessment focus specified
- Metadata present
- Information priorities appropriate
- Performance indicators SMART
- Benchmarks present and co-located
- Data structure explicit
- Portability maximized
- Time needed minimized
- Immediately deployable

Results

The study findings are summarized in Table 2. The WHO and CDC were most explicit in characterizing the etiology of disaster. The WHO developed a range of hazard-specific protocols. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) explicitly identified its beneficiaries not by etiology of the disaster, but by its refugee consequences. Other organizations were non-specific. All organizations made some effort to be comprehensive in scope with addressing site-focused issues as well as systemfocused lifeline issues. Metadata, i.e., sources, generally were acknowledged by different references, though protocols were variable in the explicitness by which those data were captured. Information priorities were generically appropriate with SMART indicators commonly sought by

Date			Assessor Disaster Type	
Site Name	le		Location	
Population	Regis U1 U5 5-14 Vulne	stration Y N Total (5%) wom (20%) men (35%) 45+ erable groups	Pop (20%) en (15-44) (20%) (15-44) (10%) (15%)	# households arrivals/wk departures/wk typical livelihood
Security Indicato Issues	Office ors	er in Charge incidents at site Y N	type	
Site Mgmt	Lead Ag	jency	Contact	Ph/Fax
Indicato road water drain buildi electr	ors access access age ng repair icity	original site use OK not OK OK not OK OK not OK OK not OK OK not OK	area (m ²) problem problem problem problem	area (m²/p)(>30)
Issues			,	<u> </u>
Water	Lead Ag	jency	Contact	_Ph/Fax
Indicato	ors	H ₂ O source # reservoirs # taps turbid Y N chlorination Y N	condition at base running hours/day color Y N boiling Y N	liters/p/d (>20) m from home (<100 _ persons/tap (<200) odor Y N coliforms/dl (<10)
Issues				
Sanitation	Lead Ag	jency	Contact	Ph/Fax
Indicato	ors	# latrines squat plate Y N water at latrines Y N cleaning supplies Y N clean latrines Y N wash bucket Y N waste drums Y N	latrine type water seal Y N m from H ₂ O (>100) hot water Y N maintenance teams Y N vermin/vectors Y N showers Y N waste pits Y N	persons/latrine (<20)
Issues				
Food	Lead Ag	gency	Contact	Ph/Fax
Indicato	rs	self-preparation Y N communal kitchen Y N food distribution Y N staples	cooking equipment Y N warehouse food storage supp feeding Y N	cooking fuel Y N Y N food security Y N kcals/p/d (>2,100
Issues	·			
Non-Food	Lead Age	ency	Contact	Ph/Fax
Indicato	rs	mats/mattresses Y N hygiene parcels Y N	blankets Y N warehouse storage Y N	bed nets Y N
Issues		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Table 3—Needs assessment and monitoring of displaced populations. Minimum essential data set with standard benchmarks (Y = yes; N = no; Pop = population; # = number; ph = telephone; M = metres; Kcal/p/d = kcal per day; 1d = per day; wk = week; Tx = treatment)

(cont)

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X00000479 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Bradt et al

Shelter	Lead	Agency	Contact	Ph/Fax
Ir	ndicators	# tents sheeting Y N	# buildings space partitions Y N	building materials shelter m ² /p(>4)
Medica	I Lead	Agency	Contact	Ph/Fax
۱r ۲ (۲	ndicators ncidence bast week)	clinic on site Y N structure ok Y N running water Y N exam tables dispensary Y N standard case definitions total visits/wk total deaths/wk total deaths/wk total referrals/wk total referrals/wk watery diarrhea Tx for watery diarrhea dysentery ARI measles malaria epidemics Y N malnutrition trauma psych provider stated needs	distance from camp # doctors # nurses toilet Y N ORS corner Y N x-ray Y N Y N active case finding Y N active death finding Y N referral destination case definition visually confirmed Y N Dx of pneumonia by x-ray immunization campaign Y microscopically confirmed type type fear in population Y N	hours open fees Y N electricity Y N IVF Y N overnight stay Y N treatment protocols Y N % total pop/d (<1) deaths/10k p/d (<1) ORS prep demonstrated Y N Y N Y N Y N C N cold chain intact Y N Y N falciparum Y N epidemic control plan Y N therapeutic feeding Y N reason
ls	sues			·
Issues	Summary			
1.	-			
- 2.				·····
 3.				
4.				
- 5.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
_	····	<u></u>		

Table 3— *(cont)* Needs assessment and monitoring of displaced populations. Minimum essential data set with standard benchmarks (Y = yes; N = no; Pop = population; # = number; ph = telephone; M = metres; Kcal/p/d = kcal per day; 1d = per day; wk = week; Tx = treatment)

all organizations. However, the quantity and specificity of performance indicators varied markedly. All organizations presented some benchmarks for their criteria though Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) was unique in explicitly identifying the objective (norm) associated with its benchmarks in some of its assessment forms. In general, benchmarks were scattered throughout the text of the reference with the protocols. Data structure was variable with checklist and template the most common formats. Portability of protocol varied markedly—the Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance's (OFDA) extensive checklist ran for 27 pages. Only Epicentre estimated time targets for completing an assessment. Utility generally was considered commensurate with extent of template development.

Discussion

Data collection ideally yields information relevant for decision-making. In post-event disaster management, this information focuses on four core issues:

- 1. What is the most severely affected geographic area and catchment population?
- 2. What are unmet needs?
- 3. What goods and services are appropriate for the current phase of post-disaster response?

October – December 2002

4. Is the intervention amenable to on-going surveillance and monitoring?

The study demonstrates that different agencies providing humanitarian health assistance utilize markedly different data gathering instruments. Some of this variability stems from differing purposes for which the data are collected. Such purposes may include site needs assessment and monitoring, morbidity and mortality reporting, and periodic health situation reporting. While a generic assessment template may serve various purposes, these different purposes generally impose different methodological burdens.

Moreover, even given a specific purpose for data collection, such as site needs assessment, methodological inconsistencies challenge data acquisition and analysis in numerous ways:

- Information priorities;
- Performance indicators;
- Benchmarks;
- Timeliness of data;
- Imputation of denominators;
- Data architecture;
- Instrument portability; and/or
- Ease of data collection

These recurring inconsistencies undermine reliability of findings.

Health professionals from relief agencies commonly share data to most efficiently assess field conditions, prioritize interventions, and coordinate relief activities. To organize this data pool, UN agency medical coordinators spend precious time in the critical early stages of disaster response developing consensus on data gathering instruments. This study suggests that agency-specific protocols are the least explicit and most variable in application for which they are needed most urgently-site needs assessment and periodic health situation reporting. By contrast, the authors have observed the most consistent data structure in the field in the weekly reporting form for morbidity and mortality. Initially devised by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and published in 1992, this form appears to have nearly universal application in humanitarian health assistance.

Improvements to health needs assessment and monitoring at sites of displaced populations may obtain from refinements to criteria in the protocol evaluation:

- 1. Serially preemptive information priorities—enhance attention to critical issues;
- Sector specific metadata—enhance follow-up contact with key informants and reproducibility of findings;
- 3. Fixed data layout-enhance data entry;
- 4. SMART performance indicators with co-located benchmarks—enhance interpretation;
- 5. Length limits of 2 pages-enhance portability; and
- 6. Time needed <2 hours for one trained investigator in a population of 10,000 with knowledgeable, cooperative parties available for interview—enhance utility.

One dilemma is the scope of the assessment. If the assessment comprehensively encompasses both the site and lifeline systems that support it, then competing objectives of portability and efficiency (short-time targets) will be incompatible. It is proposed that the purpose of a site needs assessment is to identify problems that exist at the level of the occupant. In the early phases of REA, time is critical. If occupants experience no problems with particular deliverables, e.g., water, food, or clinical care, then the relevant lifelines clearly are functional for the beneficiaries at that time. Hence, from the site-specific perspective, further investigation is not essential at that time. However, if the occupants experience problems with particular deliverables, then a site-specific assessment may be inadequate to identify the underlying problem for beneficiaries in the specific relief sector. At that point, a more thorough investigation of the lifeline beyond the confines of the site may be necessary.

A template for REA of health status in displaced populations that incorporates these improvements is in Table 3. The instrument is intended to facilitate field data gathering at sites of displaced populations for health needs assessment and ongoing monitoring by health coordinators. The instrument presents the major determinants of health in priority fashion ranging from security to environmental health to clinical care. The instrument, thereby, comprises a Minimum, Essential Data Set (MEDS) enabling the health coordinator to remain cognizant of broad issues across various sectors, yet, to understand in relative detail the local health issues for which he/she has responsibility. To this end, the fundamentals of health-care delivery are specifically examined-standardized case management, clinical case definitions, treatment protocols, and referral guidelines. Moreover, the template enables capture of metadata, fixes the subsector data layout, co-locates performance indicators with benchmarks, and facilitates portability.

The authors have applied this template in natural and complex emergencies for the past three years. With knowledgeable informants, one experienced assessor may complete an assessment of a population of 10,000 persons in less than two hours. For populations >10,000 persons, the rate-limiting step in REA occurs with assessment of environmental health—particularly quantitative measures of sanitation. It is expected field experience by multiple users will enable validation of findings and further refinement of the instrument.

Conclusion

Understanding the purposes of assessment and needs of information users is fundamental to appropriate field data gathering on displaced populations. There will be competing exigencies of comprehensiveness and brevity. It is expected that the task of rapid epidemiological assessment, and more generally, the professional practice of disaster health coordination, will be enhanced by development, acceptance, and use of standardized Minimum Essential Data Sets (MEDS).

References

- Cuny FC: Introduction to disaster management Lesson 1: The scope of disaster management. Prebosp Disast Med 1992;7:400-406.
- Le Chat MF: Disasters and public health. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 1979; 57:11-17.
 de Ville de Goyet C, Lechat MF: Health aspects of natural disasters. Tropical
- Doctor 1976:152-157.
- Tailhades M, Toole MJ: Disasters: what are the needs? how can they be assessed? *Tropical Doctor* 1991; 21(suppl 1):18-23.
- Lemesow S, Tserkovnyi AG, Tulloch JL, et al: A computer simulation of the EPI survey strategy. International Journal of Epidemiology 1985;14: 473-481.
- Henderson RH, Davis H, Eddins DL, Foege WH: Assessment of vaccination coverage, vaccination scar rates, and smallpox scarring in five areas in West Africa. *Bull WHO* 1973;48:183–194.
- Henderson RH, Sundaresan T: Cluster sampling to assess immunization coverage. Bull WHO 1982;60:253-260.
- Henderson DA: Surveillance of smallpox. International Journal of Epidemiology 1976;5:19-28.
- Bell KN: Summary of advisory group meeting on rapid epidemiologic assessment methods, May 11, 1984. Washington, DC: BOSTID, National Research Council, 1984.
- Smith G: Development of rapid epidemiologic assessment methods to evaluate health status and delivery of health services. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 1989;18(suppl 2): S2-S15.
- United Nations General Assembly: International Decade for Natural Disaster Reduction: Report for the Secretary-General, 42nd session. New York: United Nations, 1988, A/RES/42/169.
- World Health Organization: Introduction to Rapid Health Assessment. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Office of Emergency Preparedness and Response, 1990, ERO/EPR/90.1.1.
- World Health Organization: Rapid Health Assessment in Epidemics: First Steps. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Office of Emergency Preparedness and Response, 1990, ERO/EPR/90.1.2.
- World Health Organization: Rapid Health Assessment in Meningitis Outbreaks. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Office of Emergency Preparedness and Response, 1990, ERO/EPR/90.1.3.
- World Health Organization: Rapid Health Assessment in Outbreaks of Viral Hemorrhagic Fever, including Yellow Fever. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Office of Emergency Preparedness and Response, 1990, ERO/EPR/90.1.4.
- World Health Organization: Rapid Health Assessment in Outbreaks of Acute Diarrbeal Disease. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Office of Emergency Preparedness and Response, 1990, ERO/EPR/90.1.5.

- World Health Organization: Rapid Health Assessment in Sudden Impact Natural Disasters. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Office of Emergency Preparedness and Response, 1990, ERO/EPR/90.1.6.
- World Health Organization: Rapid Health Assessment in Sudden Population Displacements. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Office of Emergency Preparedness and Response, 1990, ERO/EPR/90.1.7.
- World Health Organization: Rapid Health Assessment in Suspected Famine Situations. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Office of Emergency Preparedness and Response, 1990, ERO/EPR/90.1.8.
- World Health Organization: Rapid Health Assessment in Chemical Emergencies. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Office of Emergency Preparedness and Response, 1990, ERO/EPR/90.1.9.
- 21. United Nations Children's Fund: Assisting in Emergencies. Geneva, Switzerland: UNICEF, 1986.
- United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees: Handbook for Emergencies. Geneva, Switzerland: UNHCR, 2000.
- International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies: Handbook for Delegates. Geneva, Switzerland: International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, 1997.
- 24. The Sphere Project: Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Disaster Response. Geneva, Switzerland: Oxfam Publishing, 1998.
- Medècins Sans Frontières: Refugee Health—An Approach to Emergency Situations. Hong Kong: Macmillan Education, 1997.
- Epicentre: Rapid Health Assessment of Refugee or Displaced Populations. Paris: Medècins sans Frontières, 1999.
- US Agency for International Development Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance: Field Operations Guide for Disaster Assessment and Response (ver 3.0). Available from USAID/OFDA. August 1998.
- US Centers for Disease Control: Famine-affected, refugee, and displaced populations: recommendations for public health issues. MMWR 1992; 41:26-76.
- 29. World Health Organization: Rapid Health Assessment Protocols for Emergencies. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 1999.
- Pan-American Health Organization: Evaluation of preparedness and response to hurricanes Georges and Mitch: Conclusions and recommendations. Prebasp Disast Med 1999;14(2):54-65.
- Task Force on Quality Control of Disaster Management: Health disaster management. Guidelines for evaluation and research in the Utstein style: Executive Summary. *Prebosp Disast Med* 1999;14(2):43-53.
- International Committee of the Red Cross: War and Public Health. Geneva, Switzerland: ICRC, 1996.
- 33. Cahill KM (ed): A Framework for Survival. New York: Routledge, 1999.