Kinetic behaviour of *Staphylococcus aureus* on cheese as a function of water activity and temperature

Heeyoung Lee, Kyungmi Kim, Soomin Lee and Yohan Yoon*

Department of Food and Nutrition, Sookmyung Women's University, Seoul 140-742, Korea

Received 17 February 2014; accepted for publication 17 September 2014; first published online 10 November 2014

This study developed mathematical models in order to evaluate the effect of A_w (Water activity) and growth temperature on Staphylococcus aureus kinetic behaviour. The A_w levels (0.970, 0.975, 0.983, and 0.991) of cheese were adjusted by NaCl; then, Staph. aureus was inoculated on the cheese, followed by storage at 7-30 °C for 72-720 h. Total bacterial and Staph. aureus cell counts were enumerated on tryptic soy agar and mannitol salt agar, respectively. The Baranyi model was fitted to the *Staph. aureus* growth data in order to calculate the maximum specific growth rate (μ_{max} ; log CFU/g/h), lag phase duration (λ ; h), lower asymptote (N_0 ; log CFU/g) and upper asymptote (N_{max} ; log CFU/g). The effects of storage temperature and A_w on the kinetic parameters (μ_{max} and λ) were then further analysed with the Ratkowsky-type model and a polynomial equation, respectively. The root mean square error (RMSE) and relative error (RE) were calculated in order to estimate the model performance. No significant effect of A_w on Staph. aureus growth was observed at 7 °C; thus, the Baranyi model was fitted to the growth data from 15, 25 and 30 °C. The μ_{max} values (0.011–0.303 log CFU/g/h) increased (P < 0.05) as the storage temperature and A_w increased. In addition, λ values (2.42-63.48 h) decreased (P < 0.05) as storage temperature and A_w increased; yet, the effect of A_w on λ was observed only at 15 °C. The theoretical minimum storage temperature and A_w were 10.15 °C and 0.882, respectively. RMSE (0.010-1.544) and RE values (-0.131 to 0.187) from validation indicated that model performance was appropriate. Hence, these results suggest that the developed models in this study should be useful in describing the effect of temperature and A_w on the growth kinetic behaviour of Staph. aureus in cheese along with the exposure assessment of Staph. aureus in cheese as well.

Keywords: Staphylococcus aureus, water activity, cheese, mathematical model.

Staphylococcus aureus is a facultative anaerobic and gram-positive foodborne pathogen, which is able to grow at 7–48.5 °C, pH 4.2–9.3 and 0–15% NaCl concentration (Bergdoll, 1989; Schmitt et al. 1990; Le Loir et al. 2003). Due to the wide range of these factors, *Staph. aureus* has been easily isolated from a variety of foods, such as milk and dairy products, meat, and chicken (Jablonski & Bohach, 2001; Tamarapu et al. 2001; Jorgensen et al. 2005; Colombari et al. 2007).

In the past decade, *Staph. aureus* has been one of the major foodborne pathogens (MFDS, 2014). In the U.K., although 95.8% of pasteurised milk cheese samples were satisfactory (<10² CFU) for microbial quality among 4437 cheese samples, 2.2% of the cheese samples were border-line $(10^2-10^3$ CFU), and 2.0% had unsatisfactory quality

(>10³ CFU), according to the recommendation of 2005/175/ EC (EC, 2005; Little et al. 2008). In addition, 0.4% of all foodborne outbreaks in 2006 were caused by contaminated cheeses in the EU (Kousta et al. 2010). Thus, the control of *Staph. aureus* in various cheeses needs to be studied.

The A_w of cheeses varies due to various NaCl concentrations formulated in cheeses. NaCl has been added in order to improve the flavour as well as to inhibit foodborne pathogens in cheeses. Recently, the concentration of NaCl in cheese has been lowered as a result of consumers' demand. However, the decreased NaCl concentration, resulting in increased A_w , may increase the microbial risk of cheese. Therefore, the effect of A_w on the microbial growth in cheese should be studied.

Predictive models have been developed by many researchers in order to predict microbial survival under various conditions, such as storage temperature, pH and A_w . Moreover, predictive models have been used to predict the fates of foodborne pathogens. Primary models calculate

^{*}For correspondence; e-mail: yyoon@sookmyung.ac.kr

the maximum specific growth rate (μ_{max}), lag phase duration (λ), lower asymptote (N_0) and upper asymptote (N_{max}) of bacteria using mathematical models (Whiting & Buchanan, 1993; Baranyi & Roberts, 1994). Secondary models describe the effect of various factors on the parameters, which were calculated by the primary models (Whiting, 1995).

Therefore, the objective of this study was to develop predictive models in order to evaluate the effect of storage temperatures and A_w on the kinetic behaviour of *Staph*. *aureus* in cheese.

Materials and methods

Inoculum preparation

Five strains of *Staph. aureus* (ATCC13565, ATCC14458, ATCC23235, ATCC27664 and NCCP10826) were cultured in 10 ml tryptic soy broth (TSB; BactoTM, Becton Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, USA) at 35 °C for 24 h. The 0·1 ml proportions of the cultures were transferred into 10 ml fresh TSB at 35 °C for 24 h. These subcultures were then mixed and centrifuged at 1912 *g* and 4 °C for 15 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellet was washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7·4; 0·2 g KH₂PO₄, 1·5 g Na₂HPO₄, 8·0 g NaCl, and 0·2 g KCl in 1 l of sterile distilled water). The mixture was serially diluted with PBS in order to obtain 6 log CFU/g.

Sample preparation and inoculation

Cheddar slice cheese (no antimicrobial added) containing 0·3% NaCl was ground with a grinder (HR 1372, Philips, Amsterdam, Netherlands); subsequently, NaCl was added to the ground cheese in order to obtain A_w of 0·970 (1·2%), 0·975 (1·0%), 0·983 (0·6%) and 0·991 (0·3%). These cheese samples (8 g/well) were then restructured in a 6-well microtiter plate by pressing; then, the 0·1 ml inoculum were inoculated on the surface of restructured cheeses, followed by spreading with a sterile spreader. Next, the 6-well microtiter plate was placed in polyethylene bag (Food Saver[®], Rollpack, Pyeongtaek, Gyeonggi-do, Korea) and sealed by a packager (Food Guard[®]). The samples were then aerobically stored at 7, 15, 25 and 30 °C for 72–720 h.

Microbial analyses

The cheese samples in the 6-well microtiter plates were analysed at appropriate time intervals in order to enumerate bacterial populations. The cheese samples were aseptically transferred into a filter bag ($3M^{TM}$, Seoul, Korea). Then, 16 ml 0·1% buffered peptone water (BPW; DifcoTM, Becton Dickinson and Company) was added into the filter bag and pummelled for 120 s by a pummeler (BagMixer[®], Interscience, St. Nom, France). The homogenates were then serially diluted with BPW, and 0·1 ml portions of the diluents were plated on tryptic soy agar (TSA; DifcoTM,

Becton Dickinson and Company) and mannitol salt agar (MSA; BBLTM, Becton Dickinson and Company) in order to enumerate total bacterial and *Staph. aureus* cell counts, respectively. The colonies were counted manually after incubation at 35 °C for 48 h.

Primary model development

The growth data of *Staph. aureus* on cheese, according to A_{w} , was fitted to the Baranyi model (Baranyi & Roberts, 1994) using the DMFit (Institute of Food Research, Norwich, UK), which is an MS Excel add-in; then, the maximum specific growth rate (μ_{max} ; log CFU/g/h), lag phase duration (λ ; h), lower asymptote (N_0 ; log CFU/g) and upper asymptote (N_{max} ; log CFU/g) were calculated. The Baranyi model is:

$$N_t = N_0 + \mu_{\max} \times A_t - \ln\left[1 + \frac{\exp(\mu_{\max} \times A_t) - 1}{\exp(N_{\max} \times N_0)}\right]$$
(1)

where N_t is the *Staph. aureus* cell counts at time *t*, and N_0 and N_{max} are the initial and maximum *Staph. aureus* cell counts, respectively. A_t is the adjustment function, which denotes the physiological status of *Staph. aureus* cell in order to define the λ (Baranyi & Roberts, 1994).

Secondary model development

The secondary model was developed to evaluate the effects of A_w and storage temperature on the kinetic parameters. The values of μ_{max} were fitted to the secondary models as a function of A_w and storage temperatures, as follows (Ratkowsky et al. 1982; Adams et al. 1991; Wijtzes et al. 1993);

$$\sqrt{\mu_{\text{max}}} = b \left(T - T_{\text{min}} \right) \sqrt{\left(A_w - A_{w_{\text{min}}} \right)}$$
(2)

where *b* is the coefficient value, *T* is storage temperature and A_w is water activity. T_{\min} and $A_{w\min}$ are the theoretical minimum temperature and A_w of *Staph. aureus* growth in cheese, respectively.

The values of λ were fitted to the secondary models with the polynomial equation as a function of A_w and storage temperatures;

$$\operatorname{Ln} \lambda = a_0 + a_1 \times T + a_2 \times A_w + a_3 \times T \times A_w + a_4$$
$$\times T^2 + a_5 \times A_w^2 \tag{3}$$

where a_i (where '*i*' represents any number from 0 to 5) are coefficients, *T* is storage temperature (°C), and A_w is water activity. To fit the values of Ln λ to the polynomial equation, the regression analysis function in Excel 2007 (Microsoft[®], USA) was used to select significant variables (*P*<0.05).

Validation

Commercial Brie ($A_w = 0.987$), Camembert ($A_w = 0.983$), Cheddar ($A_w = 0.973$), Mozzarella ($A_w = 0.975$) and Gouda ($A_w = 0.958$) cheeses were used to validate the performance of the developed model in this study. *Staph. aureus* was



Fig. 1. Bacterial populations of *Staphylococcus aureus* in cheeses adjusted at different water activities (A_w =0.991, 0.983, 0.975, 0.970) during storage at 7 °C for 720 h; \bullet : observed value.

inoculated on the cheeses, and bacterial cell counts were enumerated in order to collect the observed values during storage at 4–30 °C. The observed values were then compared with the predicted values, which were estimated by the developed models. Subsequently, the model performance was evaluated by the root mean square error (*RMSE*) and relative error (*RE*) with the following equation:

$$\mathsf{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum \left(\mathsf{predicted value} - \mathsf{observed value}\right)^2}{n}} \tag{4}$$

$$RE = (predicted value - observed value)/predicted value$$
(5)

where *n* represents the number of data points.

Statistical analysis

This study was repeated twice using two samples per repeat (n=4). The kinetic parameters $(\mu_{max}, \lambda, N_0, \text{ and } N_{max})$ were analysed using the general linear model procedure of SAS[®] version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA), and all mean comparisons were performed with the pairwise *t*-test at $\alpha=0.05$.

Results and discussion

No significant Staph. aureus growth was observed on the cheeses at 7 °C for all A_W (Fig. 1); however, there was a significant growth of Staph. aureus at 15-30 °C, regardless of A_w (Fig. 2). Only growth data (15, 25, and 30 °C) were used to develop the primary models with the Baranyi model. The R^2 values (0.900–0.995) of the developed primary model were high (Table 1), indicating that the Baranyi model was appropriate for calculating the growth parameters of Staph. aureus in cheeses. Although the μ_{max} values (0.011–0.303 log CFU/g/h) were increased (P < 0.05) as storage temperature increased, the μ_{max} values were decreased (P < 0.05) as A_w decreased. In addition, λ values (2.42–63.48 h) were decreased as storage temperature increased; yet, the effect of A_w on λ values was observed only at 15 °C (Table 1). This result indicates that A_w may not influence Staph. aureus growth in cheese during storage at 25 and 30 °C. The N_0 values ranged from 3.5 to 3.8 log CFU/g; the significant difference in N_{max} values (7.5-9.2 log CFU/g) was shown at 15 °C, which may have been caused by A_w .

Storage temperature (°C)	A_{w}	λ (h)	µ _{max} (log CFU/g/h)	N ₀ (log CFU/g)	N _{max} (log CFU/g)	R^2
15	0.991	$29.18 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	0.022 ± 0.01^{E}	3.5 ± 0.2^{AB}	$9 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 2^{A}$	0.985
	0.983	$14.19 \pm 9.40^{\circ}$	$0.015 \pm 0.00^{\text{E}}$	3.6 ± 0.0^{AB}	$8 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 3^{AB}$	0.980
	0.975	39.67 ± 17.09^{B}	0.017 ± 0.05^{E}	3.5 ± 0.1^{B}	7.5 ± 1.8^{B}	0.963
	0.970	63.48 ± 24.62^{A}	0.011 ± 0.05^{E}	3.6 ± 0.2^{AB}	8.4 ± 0.1^{AB}	0.900
25	0.991	$6.86 \pm 5.45^{\circ}$	0.240 ± 0.01^{B}	3.6 ± 0.2^{AB}	9.1 ± 0.2^{A}	0.989
	0.983	$8.65 \pm 6.06^{\circ}$	0.230 ± 0.02^{BC}	3.6 ± 0.2^{AB}	9.0 ± 0.2^{A}	0.978
	0.975	$10.29 \pm 3.71^{\circ}$	$0.203 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$	3.9 ± 0.2^{A}	9.0 ± 0.1^{A}	0.990
	0.970	$5.74 \pm 4.87^{\circ}$	0.150 ± 0.01^{D}	3.8 ± 0.0^{AB}	9.0 ± 0.1^{A}	0.995
30	0.991	$2.55 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$	0.303 ± 0.03^{A}	3.8 ± 0.0^{A}	9.1 ± 0.1^{A}	0.981
	0.983	$2.42 \pm 1.05^{\circ}$	0.301 ± 0.01^{A}	3.7 ± 0.4^{AB}	9.1 ± 0.2^{A}	0.993
	0.975	$3.64 \pm 0.31^{\circ}$	0.298 ± 0.04^{A}	3.8 ± 0.2^{AB}	9.1 ± 0.2^{A}	0.995
	0.970	$3.35 \pm 1.22^{\circ}$	0.281 ± 0.01^{A}	3.8 ± 0.2^{AB}	9.1 ± 0.1^{A}	0.990

Table 1. Growth parameters (mean ± sD) of Staphylococcus aureus in cheese according to storage temperature and water activity, calculated by the Baranyi equation (Baranyi & Roberts, 1994)

 μ_{max} , maximum specific growth rate; λ , lag phase duration; N_0 , lower asymptote; N_{max} , upper asymptote ^{A-E}Different letters in the same column mean significantly different P < 0.05



Fig. 2. Bacterial populations of *Staphylococcus aureus* in cheeses according to storage temperature and water activity; ● (15 °C), ■ (25 °C), ▲ (30 °C): observed data, —: fitted line with the Baranyi model.

Table 2. Estimates of parameters, calculated by the Ratkowsky-type model for μ_{max} of *Staphylococcus aureus* in cheese

Coefficient	Estimate	SE	R^2
b	0.0912	0.0035	0.967
T _{min}	10.1944	0.0519	
A_{wmin}	0.8821	0.0392	



Fig. 3. Secondary model for the μ_{max} of *Staphylococcus aureus* in cheeses as a function of storage temperature and water activity.

To describe the storage temperature and A_w effect on μ_{max} , the Ratkowsky-type model was fitted to the μ_{max} values (Ratkowsky et al. 1982; Adams et al. 1991; Wijtzes et al. 1993). The R^2 value was 0.967, indicating that the Ratkowsky-type model was appropriate to describe the effect of storage temperature and A_w on μ_{max} (Table 2 and Fig. 3). T_{\min} and $A_{w\min}$ were then calculated to be 10.19 °C, and 0.882, respectively (Table 2). Although the value of T_{min} was slightly high, a survey by Jol et al. (2006) indicated that 20% of domestic and commercial refrigerators in the U.S. were kept at more than 10 °C temperature. Thus, Staph. *aureus* in cheese may possibly be exposed to the T_{min} . For Mozzarella ($A_w = 0.970$) and Cheddar cheeses ($A_w = 0.965$), T_{\min} values were 6.35, and 5.72, respectively (Kim et al. 2013). Because the T_{min} values from the study by Kim et al. (2013) were estimated only at high A_{w} , the T_{min} values were lower than that of our study. These results were impacted by the kinetic behaviour of pathogens, which depends on the food matrix (Yoon, 2010). In addition, in research by Valik & Gorner (1993), the minimum A_w for Staph. aureus growth was estimated to be between 0.86-0.93; the results of Baird-Parker's research (2000) suggested that the minimum A_w in BHI was 0.86 at 30 °C. To describe the effect of storage temperature and A_w on λ , the λ values were transformed to a natural logarithm because λ showed an exponential relationship as a function of storage temperature and A_{wu}

Table 3. Estimates of parameters, calculated by a polynomial equation for λ of *Staphylococcus aureus* in cheese

Coefficient	Estimate	SE	<i>P</i> -value
Intercept	26.7418	15.15	0.093
Т	-0.1541	0.02	<0.001
A_{w}	-21.4674	15.50	0.181



Fig. 4. Comparison of observed and predicted μ_{max} and λ values for validation.

and a polynomial equation was fitted to the $\ln(\lambda)$ values. The estimates of parameters are shown in Table 3. R^2 was 0.766, indicating that the polynomial equation was acceptable for describing the effects of storage temperature and A_w on λ . Although, the *P*-value of the estimated coefficients for A_w was not significant, A_w was involved in the model because the linear relationship between λ and A_w was obviously observed.

To validate the developed models, a mixture of *Staph*. *aureus* was inoculated on commercial Brie (A_w =0.987), Camembert (A_w =0.983), Cheddar slice (A_w =0.973), Mozzarella slice ($A_w = 0.975$) and Gouda slice cheeses $(A_w = 0.958)$. Then, the Staph. aureus cell counts were enumerated during storage at 15, 25 and 30 °C, as described above. Subsequently, the observed μ_{max} and λ values were also calculated using the Baranyi model (Baranyi & Roberts, 1994), and the observed data were then compared with the predicted values calculated by the developed models (Fig. 4). In our study, bias (B) and accuracy (A) factors were not used to validate the model performance because Oscar (2005b) suggested that *B* and *A* factors had limitations for evaluation of the developed model performance. Thus, RMSE and RE were calculated for the evaluation of model performance. The *RMSE* value of μ_{max} and λ values were 0.010, and 1.544, respectively. If the RMSE value is close to '0', indicating a lower difference of value between the observed data and the predicted data, the performance of the developed model is appropriate. In addition, the RE was calculated to be -0.131 for μ_{max} and 0.187 for λ . When the RE value is less than zero, it indicates that the model is 'fail-safe,' and when the RE value is greater than zero, it represents a 'fail-dangerous' prediction (Oscar, 2005a). A study by Oscar (2005a) suggested that an RE range from -0.6 to 0.3 is appropriate. Taken together, these values indicate that the performance of the developed model is appropriate for describing the kinetic behaviour of Staph. aureus in cheese as a function of A_w and storage temperature.

In conclusion, the developed model in this study should be useful in describing the kinetic behaviour of *Staph. aureus* as a function of storage temperature and A_w . In addition, the developed model in this study can be used for the exposure assessment, as a part of microbial risk assessment, to predict *Staph. aureus* in cheeses.

This research was supported by a grant (13162MFDS927) from the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety in 2013.

References

- Adams MR, Little CL & Easter MC 1991 Modelling the effect of pH, acidulant and temperature on the growth rate of Yersinia enterocolitica. Journal of Applied Microbiology 71 65–71
- Baird-Parker TC 2000 Staphylococcus aureus. In The Microbiological Safety and Quality of Food, pp. 1317–1330 (Eds BM Lund, TC Baird-Parker & GW Gould). Gaithersburg: Aspen Publishers Inc.
- Baranyi J & Roberts TA 1994 A dynamic approach to predicting bacterial growth in food. International Journal of Food Microbiology 23 277–294
- Bergdoll MS 1989 Staphylococcus aureus. In Foodborne Bacterial Pathogens, pp. 463–523 (Ed. MP Doyle). New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Colombari V, Mayer MD, Laicini ZM, Mamizuka E, Franco BD, Destro MT & Landgraf M 2007 Foodborne outbreak caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*: phenotypic and genotypic characterization of strains of food and human sources. *Journal of Food Protection* **70** 489–493

- EC 2005 Commission Recommendation of 1 March 2005 concerning a coordinated programme for the official control of foodstuffs for 2005 (2005/175/EC). Official Journal of the European Union L59 27–39. Available at: http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ: L:2005:059:0027:0039:EN:PDF (Accessed 5 February 2014), European Commission
- Jablonski LM & Bohach GA 2001 Staphylococcus aureus. In Fundamentals of Food Microbiology, pp. 410–434 (Eds L Beuchat, M Doyle & T Montville). Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology
- Jol S, Kassianenko A, Wszol K & Oggel J 2006 Issues in time and temperature abuse of refrigerated foods. *Process Control*. Available at: http://www.foodsafetymagazine.com/magazine-archive1/december-2005january-2006/issues-in-time-and-temperature-abuse-of-refrigerated-foods/ (Accessed 5 February 2014)
- Jorgensen HJ, Mathisen T, Lovseth A, Omoe K, Qvale KS & Loncarevic S 2005 An outbreak of staphylococcal food poisoning caused by enterotoxin H in mashed potato made with raw milk. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **252** 267–272
- Kim K, Lee H, Moon J, Kim Y, Heo E, Park H, & Yoon Y 2013 Mathematical models to predict *Staphylococcus aureus* growth on processed cheeses. *Journal of Food Hygiene and Safety* 28 217–221
- Kousta M, Mataragas M, Skandamis P & Drosinos EH 2010 Prevalence and sources of cheese contamination with pathogens at farm and processing levels. Food Control 21 805–815
- Le Loir Y, Baron F & Gautier M 2003 Staphylococcus aureus and food poisoning. Genetics and Molecular Research 2 63–76
- Little CL, Rhoades JR, Sagoo SK, Harris J, Greenwood M, Mithani V, Grant K & McLauchlin J 2008 Microbiological quality of retail cheeses made from raw, thermized or pasteurized milk in UK. *Food Microbiology* 25 304–312
- MFDS 2014 Status of food poisoning outbreaks in Korea. Available at: http:// www.mfds.go.kr/e-stat/index.do (Accessed 2 January 2014), Ministry of Food and Drug Safety
- Oscar TP 2005a Development and validation of primary, secondary, and tertiary models for growth of *Salmonella Typhimurium* on sterile chicken. *Journal of Food Protection* **68** 2606–2613
- Oscar TP 2005b Validation of lag time and growth rate models for Salmonella Typhimurium: acceptable prediction zone method. Journal of Food Science **70** M129–M137
- Ratkowsky DA, Olley J, McMeekin TA & Ball A 1982 Relationship between temperature and growth rate of bacterial cultures. *Journal of Bacteriology* **149** 1–5
- Schmitt M, Schuler-Schmid U & Scmidt-Lorenz W 1990 Temperature limits of growth, TNase, and enterotoxin production of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from foods. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 11 1–19
- Tamarapu S, McKillip JL & Drake M 2001 Development of a multiplex Polymerase chain reaction assay for detection and differentation of *Staphylococcus aureus* in dairy products. *Journal of Food Protection* **64** 664–668
- Valik L & Gorner F 1993 Growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* in pasta in relation to its water activity. *Journal of Food Microbiology* **20** 45–48
- Whiting RC 1995 Microbial modelling in foods. Critical reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 35 467–494
- Whiting RC & Buchanan RL 1993 A classification of models in predictive microbiology. *Food Microbiology* **10** 175–177
- Wijtzes T, McClure PJ, Zwietering MH & Roberts TA 1993 Modelling bacterial growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* as a function of water activity, pH and temperature. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 18 139–149
- Yoon Y 2010 Principal theory and application of predictive microbiology. Food Science and Industry 43 70–74