

and the outrageously unsubstantiated claims for its coherence and its ability to help us to understand our fellows are major faults. They make *Manwatching* a bad book. On the other hand, it is in no sense a nasty one. The faults are the faults of uncontrolled earnestness. In fact, there is a wild dottiness about the whole enterprise: not the dottiness of an absent-minded professor but much more that of a trantic collector-classifier. Readers of this journal will not need reminding of what such people are like. In medicine, they are the scavenger epidemiologists, who count things that cannot be counted, who lump together things that are quite different from each other, who tear things out of the only context in which they have any meaning, and who have hand-me-down explanations for every statistical association and for every 'unexpected' discrepancy. Morris is merely swimming in another tributary of this mainstream.

DAVID ROBINSON, *Senior Lecturer in Sociology
Institute of Psychiatry, London*

CHILD DEVELOPMENT

The Child From Five to Ten. By ARNOLD GESELL, FRANCES ILG and LOUISE BATES. New York: Harper and Row. 1977. Pp 461. £7.95.

This book is a revised version of a work originally published in 1946. With information gathered by the yearly examination of 'fifty or more' children from a 'representative prosperous American community', it describes an orderly and supposedly inherent pattern in the growth and development of a child's behaviour through the years five to ten. It is totally comprehensive; everything is there from personal hygiene to philosophic outlook. 'Six' may break his arm if he falls, 'eight' his leg, 'five' is fond of grandparents, 'seven' enjoys family outings, 'nine' is anxious to please, 'ten' is relaxed, casual yet alert, etc., etc. Well; perhaps not everything, as parents are sternly warned 'not to be surprised if their child does some things not even mentioned in this book'. Never despair, like the seasons a stage of sunny equilibrium will inevitably follow a wintry period of contrariness.

The reason for the revision is that though children do not change the authors have noticed that perhaps the world and its cultural values *have* changed over the past thirty years. This rethinking hardly shows, however. Leafing through the chapters one recalls domestic comedy films of the late 1940s, with an America peopled by solid middle-class families living in solid middle-class suburbs. Disadvantage and disturbance are nowhere to be seen (though minor ripples on the calm are normal and should be ex-

pected). There is little hint of temperamental differences in children or of different types of family patterns, or rates of maturation. At best this is an idiosyncratic work, but in fact many parents will enjoy it, just as to my surprise I recall my pleasure in following my first child's early progress through the pages of a similar book by the same authors. I suppose it is rather like astrology: if you find a description that fits your own child's behaviour at a particular age you are delighted and promptly forget the very many discrepancies. Not a book for serious students of childhood, but one which can at the least be confidently recommended to any horoscope-fancying upper socio-economic status American parent.

STEPHEN WOLKIND, *Consultant Psychiatrist
The London Hospital*

STATISTICS

Statistics at Square One. By T. D. V. SWINSCOW. London: British Medical Association. Second edition, 1977. Pp 84. £2.00.

There are few good books on statistics and this is not one of them. It is a compilation in paperback of a series of articles which first appeared in the *B.M.J.* There is nothing outstanding in the text, which consists of the usual sort of description of the usual sort of tests. The techniques covered are very elementary, although adequate for the simple research that fills most medical journals. I find it astonishing that no mention is made of the analysis of variance. Nowadays psychiatrists appreciate the need to be able to handle more than one variable and two groups at a time.

Statistics in isolation from experimental design is a sterile subject. While the author is clearly aware of this, his use of disparate examples seems confusing. It might have been more meaningful to take one area of research and illustrate the various techniques by examples from this area. Readers hoping for a good cook-book will be disappointed. This one is difficult to follow, even if it contains the test you want. Most psychiatrists will find little to interest them here. If you want advice on how to design a piece of research you will not find it in this book; neither will you learn about the appropriateness of a particular technique. This is a pity, because these are important aspects of research, but few authors consider them. Perhaps this is why there is so much bad research about, there being no good textbook on how to think clearly. This book will certainly not alter that.

WILFRID HUME, *Lecturer in Clinical Psychology
The University of Leeds*