

comes immediately to mind. Accordingly, if $\alpha = \tan^{-1} a$ and $\beta = \tan^{-1} b$, then we can write

$$\text{area (R)} = \frac{\tan \beta - \tan \alpha}{1 + \tan \alpha \tan \beta} = \tan(\beta - \alpha) \approx \beta - \alpha$$

since $\beta - \alpha$ is also ‘incomparably small’. Thus the area under any section of the curve can be approximated by a *sum of differences* and the result follows. The formal proof makes these approximations precise.

References

1. C. B. Boyer, *The history of the calculus and its conceptual development*, Dover, New York, 1959.
2. R. P. Boas, Jr., *A primer of real functions* (3rd edn.), *Mathematical Association of America*, Washington, D.C., 1981.
3. C. H. Edwards, Jr., *The historical development of the calculus*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
4. J. M. Child, *The early mathematical manuscripts of Leibniz*, Open Court, Chicago, 1920.

ROBERT M. YOUNG

James F. Clark Professor of Mathematics, Oberlin College, Oberlin, Ohio 44074, USA

e-mail: *robert.young@oberlin.edu*

95.33 Integrating $\int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin^a x \cos^b x|}{x^p} dx$

Introduction

Integrals of the type $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^a x \cos^b x}{x^p} dx$ (where $a \geq 2, b \geq 0$) have featured in the *Gazette* fairly recently [1] and results for $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^a x \cos^b x}{x^p} dx$ (where $a \geq p$) can be found in [2]. Here we consider the related integrals

$$I_p(a, b) = \int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin^a x \cos^b x|}{x^p} dx \text{ for integers } a \geq p \geq 2, b \geq 0.$$

Using a series approach, we reduce these to standard integrals when p is even, but when $p \geq 3$ is odd we use a spreadsheet to find numerical estimates.

For integers $a \geq 2, b \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin^a x \cos^b x|}{x^p} dx &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{k\pi}^{(k+1)\pi} \frac{|\sin^a x \cos^b x|}{x^p} dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^\pi \frac{|\sin^a t \cos^b t|}{(t + k\pi)^p} dt \quad (\text{set } t = x - k\pi). \end{aligned}$$

The elementary result $\int_0^\pi f(t)dt = \int_0^{\pi/2} f(x)dx + \int_0^{\pi/2} f(\pi - x)dx$ then reduces this to

$$I_p(a, b) = \int_0^{\pi/2} (\sin^a x \cos^b x) \sigma_p(x) dx \tag{1}$$

where $\sigma_p(x) = \frac{1}{x^p} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{(k\pi + x)^p} + \frac{1}{(k\pi - x)^p} \right\}$ or, when p is even, $\sigma_p(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{(x - k\pi)^p}$.

Exact evaluation

Using the identity $\operatorname{cosec}^2 x \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{(x - k\pi)^2}$, which is usually derived by differentiating the expansion

$$\cot x = \sum_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{x - k\pi}, \tag{2}$$

which in turn follows from the logarithmic derivative of Euler's product $\sin x = x \prod_{k \neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{x}{k\pi} \right)$, we find that

$$I_2(a, b) = \int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin^a x \cos^b x|}{x^2} dx = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{a-2} x \cos^b x dx, \tag{3}$$

which is a standard integral that may be tackled by repeated integration by parts.

In particular, $\int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin^a x|}{x^2} dx = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{a-2} x dx$, which confirms the standard result $\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^2 dx = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and proves that $\int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin^3 x|}{x^2} dx = 1$.

When both a and b are odd the result is

$$I_2(2m + 1, 2n + 1) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2m-1} x \cos^{2n+1} x dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(m - 1)! n!}{(m - n)!},$$

but when both are even

$$I_2(2m, 2n) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2m-2} x \cos^{2n} x dx = \frac{\pi (2n)! (2m - 2)!}{2^{2m+2n-1} n! (m - 1)! (m + n - 1)!}.$$

These are both instances of the standard result

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{a-2} x \cos^b x dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{a-1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{b+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)}.$$

Since $\int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^a x \cos^b x dx = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^b x \cos^a x dx$ a further general result is

that $I_2(a, b) = I_2(b + 2, a - 2)$ meaning that $\int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin^2 x \cos x|}{x^2} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin^3 x|}{x^2} dx$

whereas (by parts) $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^2 x \cos x}{x^2} dx = \frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^3 x}{x^3} dx$.

Since $\cot \frac{x}{2} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \frac{2}{x - 2k\pi}$, $\tan \frac{x}{2} = \cot\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{x}{2}\right) = -\sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \frac{2}{x - (2k - 1)\pi}$ and $\frac{\cot \frac{x}{2} + \tan \frac{x}{2}}{2} = \frac{1}{\sin x}$ equation (2) also provides the identity

$$\operatorname{cosec} x \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{(x - k\pi)}$$

If $a = 2m - 1$ ($m \geq 1$) is odd and $b = 2n$ ($n \geq 0$) is even, this identity, used as in the introduction, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin^{2m-1} x \cos^{2n} x}{x} dx &= \int_0^{\pi/2} \left(\sin^{2m-1} x \cos^{2n} x \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{x - k\pi} \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2m-2} x \cos^{2n} x dx. \end{aligned}$$

The particular case $m = 1, n = 0$ allowed Lord [3] to confirm that $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Hence, from (3) $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^{2m} x \cos^{2n} x}{x^2} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^{2m-1} x \cos^{2n} x}{x} dx$, which is a generalisation of a result included in [1].

By differentiating $\operatorname{cosec}^2 x \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{(x - k\pi)^2}$ twice we get

$\operatorname{cosec}^4 x - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{cosec}^2 x \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{(x - k\pi)^4}$, which may be used similarly to

show that $\int_0^\infty \frac{|\sin^a x \cos^b x|}{x^4} dx = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{a-4} x \cos^b x dx - \frac{2}{3} \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{a-2} x \cos^b x dx$ for $a \geq 4, b \geq 0$. In principle, we can keep going in this way to express an integral, of the form $I_p(a, b)$ with p even, in terms of standard integrals.

Numerical approach

Despite (from [2]) exact results like

$$I_3(4,0) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^4 x}{x^3} dx = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^4 x \sigma_3(x) dx = \ln 2$$

and, for $p > 1$,

$$\sigma_p\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \frac{2^p(2^p - 1)}{\pi^p} \zeta(p), \sigma_p\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{(3^p - 1)}{\pi^p} \zeta(p) \text{ and } \sigma_p\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \frac{2(2^p - 1)}{\pi^p} \zeta(p),$$

there is no known simple expression for $\sigma_p(x)$ when p is odd: numerical evaluation is needed.

If S_N denotes the sum of the first N terms of $\sigma_p(x) - \frac{1}{x^p}$, the remaining terms, on expanding by the binomial theorem, equal

$$C_N(x) = \frac{2}{\pi^p} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^p} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p(p+1)}{2!} \left(\frac{x}{k\pi}\right)^2 + \frac{p(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)}{4!} \left(\frac{x}{k\pi}\right)^4 + \dots \right\}.$$

Defining $\zeta_N(p) = \sum_{k=1}^N k^{-p}$ and $\zeta'_N(p) = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} k^{-p} = \zeta(p) - \zeta_N(p)$, $C_N(x)$ may be written as a series of correction terms

$$\frac{2}{\pi^p} \left\{ \zeta'_N(p) + \frac{p(p+1)}{2!} \left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)^2 \zeta'_N(p+2) + \frac{p(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)}{4!} \left(\frac{x}{\pi}\right)^4 \zeta'_N(p+4) + \dots \right\}. \tag{4}$$

The values of $\zeta(p)$ are known exactly or can be readily calculated [4] and a spreadsheet can be used to calculate S_N and $\zeta_N(p)$. The values of $\zeta'_N(p)$ then can be deduced and the integrand in (1) computed for any non-zero value of x , ready for numerical integration (the $x = 0$ value being 1 if $a = p$ and zero if $a > p$).

Choosing $N = 50$, and using an *Excel* spreadsheet, one finds that no more than four of the correction terms of (4) are needed for maximum accuracy (15 significant figures), even when $p = 2$.

Tables 1 and 2 show evaluations of $I_p(a, b)$ for odd values of p , with $b = 0$ and $b = 1$ obtained by combining the trapezium rule estimates using 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 18, 24, 36 and 72 intervals as described in [5]. With only one exception, these results for odd p , even a , and $b = 0$ agree, to 15 decimal places, with the result from [2] in the form

$$I_{2k+1}(2m, 0) = \frac{(-1)^{m-k-1}}{2^{2m-2k-1}(2k)!} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} (-1)^i \binom{2m}{i} (m-i)^{2k} \ln(m-i) \right\} \quad \text{for } m > k \geq 1.$$

$b=0$	$p = 3$	5	7	9
$a = 3$	1.20844420949041			
4	0.693147180559945			
5	0.514649194262908	0.941887861102954		
6	0.421751358464106	0.467613876007544		
7	0.363777196180142	0.315848628851268	0.802756911753731	
8	0.323642331508254	0.242080571455144	0.356440682776443	
9	0.293928671969672	0.198644641285563	0.221696177772022	0.711448343691695
10	0.270874295806716	0.170031687922601	0.159469421290094	0.288084273586973

TABLE 1

$b=1$	$p = 3$	5	7	9
$a=3$	0.845237493853565			
4	0.384342908662528			
5	0.241015389108362	0.730912531967594		
6	0.173186372158086	0.298909128214203		
7	0.134232907817172	0.172874563057750	0.661481242190141	
8	0.109168841817002	0.116542930676375	0.250300558465676	
9	0.091781575808846	0.085780524699383	0.135966634299365	0.609192606398828
10	0.079056265312896	0.066845181259216	0.087022659575664	0.215751935782790

TABLE 2

Using $\cos^2 x = 1 - \sin^2 x$, results for greater values of b can be found from

$$I_p(a, 2n + \lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} I_p(a + 2i, \lambda), \text{ where } \lambda = 0 \text{ or } 2,$$

but it is easier to calculate them directly on the spreadsheet.

Acknowledgement

I am pleased to acknowledge the suggestions and advice provided by the referee in order to improve the presentation and scope of this paper.

References

1. R. J. Clarke, Some integrals of the form $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^m x \cos^n x}{x^p} dx$, *Math. Gaz.* **82** (July 1998) pp.290-293.
2. E. W. Weisstein, 'Sinc Function.' From Mathworld – A Wolfram Web Resource.
<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/SincFunction.html>
3. N. Lord, Intriguing integrals: an Euler-inspired odyssey, *Math. Gaz.* **91** (November 2007) p. 424.
4. R. V. W. Murphy, Summing powers of natural numbers, *Math. Gaz.* **93** (July 2009) pp. 279-285.
5. R. V. W. Murphy, Exploring numerical integration on a spreadsheet, *Math. Gaz.* **92** (November 2008) p. 541.

ROBIN V. W. MURPHY

4 Heritage Way, Thornton Cleveleys FY5 3BD

e-mail: murphyrvw@talktalk.net

95.34 On evaluating the probability integral

In a previous issue of the *Gazette* [1], Nick Lord showed how the identity

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}/2$$

could be derived from Wallis's formula for π in an elementary way. While his argument was later simplified [2], the following proof is even quicker. It is certainly not new – it appears tucked away as an extended exercise in Spivak's famous text [3, p. 371] – and undoubtedly it has been discovered and rediscovered many times. Still it deserves to be far better known than it is.

We begin with the elementary inequality

$$e^x \geq 1 + x \quad \text{for every } x.$$

Indeed, the graph of the exponential function is concave up and so never lies