# **Original Article**



# Analysis of a high-prescribing state's 2016 outpatient antibiotic prescriptions: Implications for outpatient antimicrobial stewardship interventions

Milner B. Staub MD<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>(i)</sup>, Youssoufou Ouedraogo MD, MPH<sup>3</sup>, Christopher D. Evans PharmD<sup>3</sup>, Sophie E. Katz MD, MPH<sup>4</sup>, Pamela P. Talley MD<sup>3</sup>, Marion A. Kainer MBBS, MPH<sup>3</sup> and George E. Nelson MD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Veterans Health Administration, Tennessee Valley Healthcare System, Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center (GRECC), Nashville, Tennessee, <sup>2</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, <sup>3</sup>Communicable and Environmental Diseases and Emergency Preparedness, Tennessee Department of Health, Nashville, Tennessee and <sup>4</sup>Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee

## Abstract

Objective: To identify prescriber characteristics that predict antibiotic high-prescribing behavior to inform statewide antimicrobial stewardship interventions.

Design: Retrospective analysis of 2016 IQVIA Xponent, formerly QuintilesIMS, outpatient retail pharmacy oral antibiotic prescriptions in Tennessee.

Setting: Statewide retail pharmacies filling outpatient antibiotic prescriptions.

Participants: Prescribers who wrote at least 1 antibiotic prescription filled at a retail pharmacy in Tennessee in 2016.

Methods: Multivariable logistic regression, including prescriber gender, birth decade, specialty, and practice location, and patient gender and age group, to determine the association with high prescribing.

Results: In 2016, 7,949,816 outpatient oral antibiotic prescriptions were filled in Tennessee: 1,195 prescriptions per 1,000 total population. Moreover, 50% of Tennessee's outpatient oral antibiotic prescriptions were written by 9.3% of prescribers. Specific specialties and prescriber types were associated with high prescribing: urology (odds ratio [OR], 3.249; 95% confidence interval [CI], 3.208–3.289), nurse practitioners (OR, 2.675; 95% CI, 2.658–2.692), dermatologists (OR, 2.396; 95% CI, 2.365–2.428), physician assistants (OR, 2.382; 95% CI, 2.364–2.400), and pediatric physicians (OR, 2.340; 95% CI, 2.320–2.361). Prescribers born in the 1960s were most likely to be high prescribers (OR, 2.574; 95% CI, 2.532–2.618). Prescribers in rural areas were more likely than prescribers in all other practice locations to be high prescribers. High prescribers were more likely to prescribe broader-spectrum antibiotics (P < .001).

Conclusions: Targeting high prescribers, independent of specialty, degree, practice location, age, or gender, may be the best strategy for implementing cost-conscious, effective outpatient antimicrobial stewardship interventions. More information about high prescribers, such as patient volumes, clinical scope, and specific barriers to intervention, is needed.

(Received 6 August 2019; accepted 16 October 2019; electronically published 22 November 2019)

Antibiotic overprescribing is a leading cause of antibiotic resistance. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) predicts that at least 2 million people in the United States are infected with a resistant pathogen and that at least 23,000 people die from these infections each year.<sup>1</sup> In 2015, the first assessment of US outpatient antibiotic use, analyzing 2011 data, showed that the highest per

Author for correspondence: Milner Staub, Email: milner.b.owens@gmail.com

PREVIOUS PRESENTATION: These data were presented at the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America Spring Meeting in an oral abstract on April 26, 2019, in Boston, Massachusetts.

**Cite this article:** Staub MB, *et al.* (2020). Analysis of a high-prescribing state's 2016 outpatient antibiotic prescriptions: Implications for outpatient antimicrobial stewardship interventions. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 41: 135–142, https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2019.315

capita antibiotic prescription rates were in the Southeast region; Tennessee had the third-highest outpatient antibiotic prescription rate.<sup>2</sup> Subsequent national outpatient antibiotic use annual analyses showed minimal reduction in per capita antibiotic prescription in the Southeast region, and Tennessee remained one of the highest outpatient antibiotic-prescribing states as of 2016.<sup>3</sup>

In 2015, the White House released the National Action Plan for Combating Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria, which called for a reduction of inappropriate outpatient antibiotic use by 50% by 2020.<sup>4</sup> Recent published studies have estimated that inappropriate antibiotic use rates range from 14% to 45%, depending on the location of the patient visit and the specific diagnosis given.<sup>5–8</sup>

Prior studies have shown that physician characteristics predicting higher antibiotic prescription rates include male gender; longer

© 2019 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. This work is classified, for copyright purposes, as a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection within the United States.

time since graduation from medical school; practice in smaller, higher-volume practices; and for US physicians, practice in the South.<sup>9-11</sup>

To date, no published data regarding prescriber characteristics that predict higher outpatient antibiotic prescription rates on a US state or county level are available. These data are necessary to inform public health and health systems interventions to reduce high rates of outpatient antibiotic use.

In this retrospective analysis of 2016 Tennessee outpatient antibiotic prescriptions, we aimed to understand more clearly whether type of prescriber, prescriber age, gender, specialty, and/or practice location are associated with higher outpatient antibiotic prescription rates. We intend to use this information to focus future outpatient antimicrobial stewardship resources to corroborate prescription data; to better understand inappropriate outpatient antibiotic use prescribing patterns; to explore prescriber barriers and challenges; and to create and implement effective, statewide outpatient antimicrobial stewardship interventions. Our study results can assist other states and healthcare systems in the evaluation of current outpatient antibiotic use to inform the development of local outpatient antimicrobial stewardship programs.

#### Methods

The Tennessee Department of Health (DOH) purchased the 2016 IQVIA Xponent (IQVIA, Durham, NC, formerly QuintilesIMS) dataset of outpatient antibiotic prescriptions written in Tennessee. IQVIA Xponent uses a patented method that incorporates 100% of wholesale distribution data and, for 2016 data, 90% of retail pharmacy sales data to estimate 100% of dispensed prescriptions.<sup>12</sup> The data purchased by Tennessee DOH excluded mail-order pharmacy, federal facility pharmacy, and inpatient-healthcare-facility-associated pharmacy prescriptions.

All antifungal, antiviral, nonantibacterial, and non-orally administered prescriptions were excluded. Urinary analgesics listing methenamine as the only active antibiotic were excluded. All antibiotics were grouped into 12 categories, including a division between narrow- and broad-spectrum penicillins and a division between first- or second-generation and third-generation cephalosporins.

Tennessee population data from 2016 were obtained from the Tennessee DOH Office of Policy and Data Management, derived from the US Census, Annual Estimates of the Resident Population.<sup>13</sup> Patients were classified as pediatric patients (aged < 20 years) or adults (aged  $\ge$  20 years), consistent with prior similar analyses.<sup>2,3</sup> Counties were classified by 2013 National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) urban-rural classification, based on the Office of Management and Budget's metropolitan statistical areas: NCHS-1, large, central metropolitan counties (population > 1 million with at least 250,000 residents of principal city), NCHS-2, large, fringe metropolitan counties (>1 million population and not classified as NCHS-1), NCHS-3, medium metropolitan counties (population 250,000-999,999), NCHS-4, small metropolitan counties (population < 250,000), NCHS-5, micropolitan areas (population 10,000-50,000), and NCHS-6, noncore counties (outside all other areas).<sup>14</sup> More than 182 individual prescriber specialties listed were categorized into 17 specialty groups. In this dataset, nurse practitioners and physician assistants from all specialties were listed as separate specialty groups and were not included in other specialties. For this reason, nurse practitioners and physician assistants are listed as individual specialties in our analysis.

All antibiotic prescriptions written by trainees were excluded from prescriber-level characteristics analysis because trainees in Tennessee prescribe under an institutional training license. Trainee prescriptions were included when analyzing patient-level characteristics.

High prescribers were identified by creating a Pareto chart in which each individual prescriber's total antibiotic prescriptions, ordered from highest to lowest, were plotted against the cumulative total statewide antibiotic prescriptions. The highest individual prescribers, who collectively accounted for 50% of the cumulative statewide antibiotic prescriptions, were identified as the high-prescribing group. High prescribers who had no specific demographic details associated with their individual prescribing identifier (N = 11, 0.4%) were considered to represent institutionally aggregated entities and were excluded from our analysis of the high-prescribing group.

Antibiotic prescriptions are reported as prescriptions per 1,000 population within the specific patient population or geographic area described. When reporting antibiotic prescriptions per 1,000 population, the patient's reported county (ie, the filling pharmacy location in this dataset) was used, except when reporting prescriptions per 1,000 population by prescriber characteristic, for which the prescriber's practice location county was used. When calculating prescriptions per prescriber, the prescriber denominator was restricted to specialty or specialty grouping.

Statistical significance of prescription rates by patient characteristics was calculated using negative binomial regression. For prescriber characteristics, averages were reported for gender, NCHS classification of prescriber practice location, specialty, and birth decade.

We performed a multivariable logistic regression to compare "high prescribers" to "non-high prescribers." A simple logistic regression was performed for each independent variable of prescriber gender, practice location, specialty, and birth decade, and for patient gender and age group, which were then included in the multivariable logistic regression model.

To compare high prescriber prescriptions to non-highprescriber prescriptions by antibiotic group, we calculated a ratio for each antibiotic group. The  $\chi^2$  test was used to detect whether there was an overall statistically significant difference between the prescribing patterns of the 2 groups.

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). This study was approved by the Vanderbilt University Institutional Review Board.

#### **Results**

In 2016, 7,949,816 outpatient antibiotic prescriptions were filled in Tennessee: a rate of 1,195 antibiotic prescriptions per 1,000 total population. Of those prescriptions, female patients had a significantly higher antibiotic prescription rate (1,445 prescriptions per 1,000 population vs 928 for male patients) (P < .001). The highest rates of antibiotic prescription were observed in age groups 0–2 years (1,575) and 65+ years (1,525) (P < .001) (Table 1).

The 5 most commonly prescribed antibiotic groups were narrow-spectrum penicillins (20.2%), macrolides (16.5%), fluoroquinolones (11.4%), extended-spectrum penicillins (10.4%), and first- and second-generation cephalosporins (8.6%). The 5 most frequently prescribed antibiotics for pediatric patients accounted for 79.7% of all pediatric antibiotic prescriptions. By comparison,

#### Table 1. Outpatient Antibiotic Prescriptions by Patient Gender and Age<sup>a</sup>

Variable	Population, No. (%)	Total Prescriptions, No. (%)	Rate per 1,000 Population
Tennessee	6,651,120	7,949,816	1,195
Female	3,408,659 (51.2)	4,939,913 (62.1)	1,449
Male	3,242,461 (48.8)	3,008,014 (37.8)	928
			P<.001
Pediatrics	1,664,796	1,939,633	1,165
Female	815,548 (49.0)	1,018,585 (52.5)	1,249
Male	849,248 (51.0)	920,160 (47.4)	1,084
			P = .046
Adults	4,986,324	6,009,806	1,205
Female	2,593,111 (52.0)	3,921,099 (65.2)	1,512
Male	2,393,213 (48.0)	2,087,709 (34.7)	872
			P<.001
Age			
0–2 y	243,636 (3.7)	383,689 (4.8)	1,575
3–9 y	578,798 (8.7)	747,578 (9.4)	1,292
10–19 y	842,362 (12.7)	808,366 (10.2)	960
20–39 y	1,767,333 (26.6)	1,792,943 (22.6)	1,014
40-64 y	2,171,960 (32.7)	2,619,686 (33.0)	1,206
≥65	1,047,031 (15.7)	1,597,177 (20.1)	1,525
			P < .001

<sup>a</sup>Negative binomial regression for rates was used to calculate P values.

the 5 most frequently prescribed adult antibiotics only accounted for 55.4% of all adult antibiotic prescriptions (Table 2).

In 2016, there were 42,471 licensed prescribers in Tennessee (Mary Katherine Bratton, e-mail communication, March 2019). In our dataset, there were 32,168 (75.7%) prescribers (excluding trainees who practice under an institutional license) who wrote at least 1 outpatient antibiotic oral prescription in 2016. Female prescribers (7,221, 22.4%) wrote 1,960,725 prescriptions (24.9%), an average of 272 prescriptions per female prescriber. Male prescribers (12,815, 40.0%) wrote 3,383,789 prescriptions (42.9%), an average of 264 prescriptions per male prescriber. Prescribers who did not report a gender (12,132, 37.8%) wrote 2,542,867 prescriptions (32.2%), an average of 210 prescriptions per prescriber. Prescribers in medium metropolitan (NCHS-3) areas (8,864, 27.6%) wrote the most antibiotic prescriptions (2,131,804, 27.0%), an average of 241 prescriptions per prescriber. By contrast, prescribers practicing in noncore (NCHS-6) rural areas (1,511, 4.7%) wrote 689,149 prescriptions (8.7%) but averaged the highest prescriptions per prescriber with 456 (Table 3).

Of all specialties, nurse practitioners (7,382, 22.9% of all prescribers) wrote the most antibiotic prescriptions with 2,416,018 (30.6%). However, urologists (269, 0.8% of all prescribers), who wrote only 153,536 (1.9%) prescriptions, had the highest average prescriptions per prescriber, with 571. Family medicine physicians (2,427, 7.5%) wrote 1,227,865 prescriptions (15.6%), the secondmost prescriptions, with an average of 506 prescriptions per prescriber (Table 3). Of the state's total antibiotic prescriptions, 50% were written by 9.3% of all prescribers (Fig. 1). These 9.3% of prescribers all wrote 770 or more prescriptions during 2016 and were subsequently identified as "high prescribers."

137

Table 2. Most Prescribed Antibiotic Groups and Individual Antibiotics<sup>a</sup>

Total Data n					
Prescriptions, 1,000	er b				
Antibiotic Group No. (%) Populati	on				
Narrow-spectrum penicillin 1,604,065 (20.2) 241					
Macrolide 1,308,726 (16.5) 197					
Fluoroquinolone     903,155 (11.4)     136					
Extended-spectrum penicillin 823,093 (10.4) 124					
First- & second-generation 684,156 (8.7) 103   Cephalosporins					
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole635,596 (8.0)96					
Tetracyclines     582,875 (7.3)     88					
Third-generation plus cephalosporins498,192 (6.3)75					
Lincosamides 324,210 (4.1) 49					
Urinary agents 274,352 (3.5) 41					
Metronidazole 261,609 (3.3) 39					
Other 49,797 (0.6) 8					
10 most frequently prescribed antibiotics statewide <sup>c</sup>					
Amoxicillin 1,410,638 (17.7) 212					
Azithromycin 1,221,726 (15.4) 184					
Amoxicillin-Clavulanate 823,093 (10.4) 124					
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole 635,596 (8.0) 96					
Cephalexin 588,936 (7.4) 89					
Ciprofloxacin 542,675 (6.8) 82					
Cefdinir 468,959 (5.9) 71					
Doxycycline 460,663 (5.8) 70					
Levofloxacin 352,316 (4.4) 53					
Clindamycin 324,210 (4.1) 49					
5 most frequently antibiotics prescribed to pediatric patients <sup>d</sup>					
Amoxicillin 661,821 (34.1) 398					
Azithromycin 294,701 (15.2) 177					
Cefdinir 252,546 (13.0) 152					
Amoxicillin-Clavulanate 214,810 (11.1) 129					
Cephalexin 121,631 (6.3) 73					
5 most frequently antibiotics prescribed to adult patients <sup>e</sup>					
Azithromycin 926,991 (15.4) 186					
Amoxicillin 748,740 (12.6) 150					
Amoxicillin-clavulanate 608,255 (10.1) 122					
Ciprofloxacin 526,862 (8.8) 106					
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole 517,955 (8.6) 104					

<sup>a</sup>Source: IQVIA Xponent.

<sup>b</sup>The total rate per 1,000 population for antibiotic group prescriptions adds to 1,197, as opposed to 1,195 reported as the state's prescriptions per 1,000 population, due to rounding after each group's antibiotic prescription rate was calculated.

<sup>c</sup>The top 10 percentages are of total state antibiotic count. They add to 85.9%. <sup>d</sup>The top 5 pediatric antibiotics make up 79.7% of all pediatric antibiotic prescriptions. eThe top 5 adult antibiotics make up 55.4% of all adult antibiotic prescriptions.

The high-prescriber group consisted of 2,994 prescribers: 778 female (26.0%), 1,293 male (43.2%), and 923 unspecified (30.8%). The greatest number of high prescribers were from mediumpopulation metropolitan (NCHS-3) counties (789, 26.4%), representing 8.9% of all prescribers practicing in NCHS-3 counties. By

Table 3.	Prescriptions	by Prescriber	Gender,	Practice	Location,	Specialty	and
Birth Dec	ade						

	Prescribers, No. (%)	Total Prescriptions, No. (%)	Average Prescriptions Per Prescriber		
All Prescribers	32,168 <sup>a</sup>	7,887,380 (100)	245		
Prescriber gender					
Female	7,221 (22.4)	1,960,725 (24.9)	272		
Male	12,815 (40.0)	3,383,789 (42.9)	264		
Not specified	12,132 (37.7)	2,542,867 (32.2)	210		
Practice location <sup>b</sup>					
NCHS-1	11,960 (37.2)	2,043,017 (25.9)	171		
NCHS-2	4,086 (12.7)	1,210,669 (15.3)	296		
NCHS-3	8,864 (27.6)	2,131,804 (27.0)	241		
NCHS-4	3,089 (9.6)	840,351 (10.7)	272		
NCHS-5	2,658 (8.3)	972,390 (12.3)	366		
NCHS-6	1,511 (4.7)	689,149 (8.7)	456		
Prescriber specialty					
Nurse practitioner	7,382 (22.9)	2,416,018 (30.6)	327		
Family medicine	2,427 (7.5)	1,227,865 (15.6)	506		
Internal medicine	2,698 (8.4)	784,869 (10.0)	291		
Physician assistant	1,639 (5.1)	679,820 (8.6)	415		
Pediatrics	1,211 (3.8)	608,054 (7.7)	502		
Dentists	3,084 (9.6)	601,012 (7.6)	195		
Medicine subspecialty	2,268 (7.1)	251,893 (3.2)	111		
Other	6,340 (19.7)	235,417 (3.0)	37		
Emergency medicine	741 (2.3)	234,177 (3.0)	316		
Obstetrics/ Gynecology	963 (3.0)	198,435 (2.5)	206		
Other surgery	1,890 (5.9)	169,644 (2.2)	90		
Urology	269 (0.8)	153,536 (1.9)	571		
Dermatology	226 (0.7)	119,784 (1.5)	530		
Otolaryngology	247 (0.8)	81,281 (1.0)	329		
Oral & maxillofacial surgery	145 (0.5)	80,065 (1.0)	552		
Pediatric subspecialty	638 (2.0)	45512 (0.6)	71		
Prescriber birth year by decade					
Missing birth year	14,119 (43.9)	3,056,527 (38.8)	216		
Prior to 1940	410 (1.3)	45,800 (0.6)	112		
1940s	2,089 (6.5)	413,877 (5.2)	198		
1950s	5,481 (17.0)	1,453,016 (18.3)	265		
1960s	5,392 (16.8)	1,664,177 (21.1)	309		
1970s	4345 (13.5)	1,177,678 (14.9)	271		
1980s	332 (1.0)	76,304 (1.0)	230		

<sup>a</sup>Trainees were excluded from this analysis because trainees practice under an institutional license.

contrast, 329 high prescribers (11.0%) practiced in rural (NCHS-6) areas, which represented 21.7% of all prescribers practicing in NCHS-6 counties (Table 4).

Within the high-prescribing group, nurse practitioners had the highest number of high prescribers at 1,076 (35.9%); however, this accounted for only 14.6% of all prescribing nurse practitioners. In contrast, 87 urologists comprised 3% of all high prescribers but 32.3% of all prescribing urologists. Similarly, 45 high prescribers (2%) were oral and maxillofacial surgeons, which represented 31.0% of all prescribing oral and maxillofacial surgeons (Table 4).

In the multivariable logistic regression, female prescribers were significantly less likely than males to be high prescribers (odds ratio [OR], 0.487; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.485–0.490). Compared to prescribers practicing in rural (NCHS-6) areas, prescribers practicing in all other, more urban, counties were associated with less likelihood of being a high prescriber. The top 5 specialties most likely to be associated with being a high prescriber when compared to internal medicine were urologists (OR, 3.249; 95% CI, 3.208-3.289), nurse practitioners (OR, 2.675; 95% CI, 2.658-2.692), dermatologists (OR, 2.396; 95% CI, 2.365-2.428), physician assistants (OR, 2.382; 95% CI, 2.364-2.400), and pediatricians (OR, 2.340; 95% CI, 2.320-2.361). Compared to physicians born in the 1980s, the youngest prescribers in this dataset, all other prescriber birth decades were associated with an increased likelihood of being a high prescriber, most notably in prescribers born in the 1960s (OR, 2.574; 95% CI, 2.531-2.618) (Table 4).

High prescribers also prescribed more broad-spectrum antibiotics than their non-high-prescribing counterparts. High prescribers accounted for 69.0% of third-generation cephalosporin prescriptions, 57.4% of macrolide prescriptions, and 56.0% of extended-spectrum penicillin prescriptions. Interestingly, high prescribers prescribed fluoroquinolones (49.3%) less often than non-high prescribers. High prescribers prescribed all other narrower-spectrum antibiotic groups less often than non-high prescribers (Table 5).

### Discussion

Most antibiotic use occurs in the ambulatory setting.<sup>15</sup> Outpatient antimicrobial stewardship is a priority, as evidenced by its inclusion as a 2021 Joint Commission requirement.<sup>16</sup> As clinics and healthcare systems focus more on establishing and optimizing outpatient programs, data on prescriber characteristics that may predict high prescribing are vital to marshalling limited resources effectively. To our knowledge, ours is the first publication to describe prescriber characteristics associated with high-prescribing behavior at a state level.

We found that 9.3% of all Tennessee prescribers are responsible for 50% of Tennessee's outpatient antibiotic prescriptions, a dramatically disproportionate number of the total antibiotic prescriptions. These high prescribers were more likely to prescribe broader-spectrum antibiotics, including more oral third-generation cephalosporins (69.0%), macrolides (57.4%), and extended-spectrum penicillins (56.0%) prescriptions and less narrow-spectrum penicillins (46.3%) and first- or second-generation cephalosporins (45.9%) prescriptions than their non-high-prescribing counterparts (P < .001) (Table 5). This trend has been described previously, though to a lesser degree. Aabenhus et al<sup>17</sup> evaluated outpatient antibiotic prescribing in general medicine practices in Denmark and found that 10% of high-prescribing practices, not individual prescribers, accounted for 15% of total antibiotic prescriptions and 18% of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Counties were classified by 2013 National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) urban-rural classification, based on the Office of Management and Budget's metropolitan statistical areas: NCHS-1, large, central metropolitan counties (population > 1 million with at least 250,000 residents of principal city), NCHS-2, large, fringe metropolitan counties (>1 million population and not classified as NCHS-1), NCHS-3, medium metropolitan counties (population 250,000-999,999), NCHS-4, small metropolitan counties (population < 250,000), NCHS-5, micropolitan areas (population 10,000-50,000), and NCHS-6, noncore counties (outside all other areas).



**Fig. 1.** Cumulative percentage of individual prescriber antibiotic prescriptions contributing to cumulative percentage of 2016 Tennessee oral outpatient antibiotic prescriptions. Prescriptions per individual prescriber were added cumulatively from highest individual prescriber to the lowest. The dotted red circle indicates the point at which 50% of the state's total antibiotic prescriptions are accounted for and corresponds to 9.3% of all prescribers included.

"critically important antibiotics," defined as macrolides, fluoroquinolones, and cephalosporins.

In our study, the highest volume of high prescribers by specialty were nurse practitioners, family medicine doctors, pediatric doctors, physician assistants, and internal medicine doctors. Prescribers in the specialties of urology, nurse practitioner, dermatology, physician assistant, and pediatrics were more likely to be high prescribers than internal medicine prescribers. Previously, urology, dermatology, and family medicine physicians have been shown to be high prescribers of antibiotics compared to other specialties.<sup>2,18,19</sup>

Nationally, pediatric antibiotic prescriptions have declined; however, Tennessee pediatricians were more likely to be high antibiotic prescribers (OR, 2.340; 95% CI, 2.320–2.361) compared to internal medicine prescribers.<sup>3,20–21</sup> Dentists have been reported to prescribe 10%–13% of outpatient antibiotics nationally, but they accounted for 7.6% of antibiotics in Tennessee and were less likely to be high prescribers (adjusted OR, 0.189; 95% CI, 0.187–0.191) than internal medicine prescribers.<sup>2,22,23</sup> The cause of this divergence from the national trend is unclear.

In our dataset, physicians born in the 1960s were most likely to be high prescribers compared to those born in the 1980s, the youngest group in this dataset (OR, 2.574; 95% CI, 2.531– 2.618). Prior studies have demonstrated that physicians more removed from training are more likely to prescribe antibiotics, although these studies did not specifically quantify age of the physician or years since graduation.<sup>9–11</sup> Interestingly, there was not a linear correlation with time from training or age; prescribers born in the 1940s and 1950s demonstrated slightly less propensity to be high prescribers than those born in the 1980s. The same pattern was revealed in a study by Blommaert et al<sup>24</sup> in which physicians ages 45–54 in 2008–2009 were much more likely than younger physicians and somewhat more likely than older physicians to prescribe broad-spectrum antibiotics.

Our study has several limitations. The biggest limitation is that information about the total number of patient visits per prescriber and the indication for patient visits was unavailable. This information would have allowed for the calculation of the proportion of visits with an antibiotic prescription, adjustment of prescriber antibiotic use accounting for patient volume, and analysis and conclusions about antibiotic overuse. The dataset used in this study excluded prescriptions filled via mail order, federal facility, hospital-associated, and other healthcare-facility outpatient pharmacies. As of 2015, mail-in and nonretail pharmacies (which include federal facilities and long-term care facilities) accounted for 18.6% of outpatient antibiotic expenditures: 4.4% from mail-in and 14.2% from nonretail pharmacies.<sup>15</sup> Expenditures likely correlate with use; therefore, the number of antibiotic prescriptions would likely increase if these data were included. This limitation might affect the ability to identify all high prescribers within our state, but it likely represents a very small minority who only practice in those settings.

The data included prescribers who wrote at least 1 antibiotic prescription filled in Tennessee in 2016. We know the total number of licensed, potential Tennessee prescribers for 2016, but we do not know the total licensed prescribers by specialty, number actively practicing, nor number of prescribers in full-time versus part-time practice. This lack of data may have affected prescriptions per prescriber results and interpretation. Additionally, nurse practitioners and physician assistants comprise 28.1% of prescribers, but they were not further categorized by practice specialty, which somewhat limited our ability to explore antibiotic prescribing differences among specialty fields and prescriber degrees.

Despite these limitations, the data highlight a central dilemma when developing outpatient antimicrobial stewardship programs: who do you target? Although targeting high-prescribing specialties or groups with a higher percentage of high prescribers, like urology, allows for a more focused, specialized approach to antimicrobial stewardship interventions, the overall antibiotic use impact is less because of the targeted group's size. Alternatively, targeting groups with larger numbers of prescribers but smaller overall proportions of high prescribers, like nurse practitioners, requires flexible antimicrobial stewardship interventions that consider a broader range of patient presentations and antibiotic indications and require more resources to reach more prescribers; however, if successful, the overall antibiotic use impact can be greater because of a larger group antibiotic use footprint. Here, we introduce a potential third approach: focus on a small but impactful group of high prescribers regardless of specialty, practice location, experience, or gender. Identifying and focusing on a relatively small group with high antibiotic use, in which effective Table 4. Comparison of High Prescribers to Non-High Prescribers by Prescriber Gender, Practice Location, Specialty, and Birth Decade, and Patient Gender and Age

		Non-High		Adjusted	95%
Prescriber Characteristic <sup>ab</sup>	High Prescribers N (%) <sup>cd</sup>	Prescribers N (%) <sup>d</sup>	Unadjusted Odds Ratio <sup>e</sup>	Odds Ratio <sup>f</sup>	Confidence Interval
All prescribers	2994 (9.3)	29.163 (90.7)			
Gender					
Male	1,293 (10.1)	11,522 (89.9)	Reference	Reference	Reference
Female	778 (10.8)	6,443 (89.2)	1.008	0.487	0.485-0.490
Not Specified	923 (7.6)	11,188 (92.4)	0.908	0.407	0.404-0.411
Prescriber practice location <sup>g</sup>					
NCHS-1	688 (5.8)	11,270 (94.2)	0.345	0.450	0.447-0.453
NCHS-2	487 (11.9)	3,594 (88.1)	0.512	0.540	0.536-0.544
NCHS-3	789 (8.9)	8,074 (91.1)	0.407	0.455	0.453-0.458
NCHS-4	301 (9.7)	2,787 (90.3)	0.506	0.553	0.549-0.557
NCHS-5	400 (15.1)	2,256 (84.9)	0.624	0.663	0.658-0.668
NCHS-6	329 (21.8)	1,182 (78.2)	Reference	Reference	Reference
Prescriber specialty					
Internal medicine	285 (10.6)	2,413 (89.4)	Reference	Reference	Reference
Urology	87 (32.3)	182 (67.7)	2.970	3.249	3.208-3.289
Nurse practitioner	1,076 (14.6)	6,306 (85.4)	1.809	2.675	2.658-2.692
Dermatology	53 (23.5)	173 (75.5)	2.032	2.396	2.365-2.428
Physician assistant	303 (18.5)	1336 (81.5)	1.775	2.382	2.364-2.400
Pediatrics	322 (26.6)	889 (73.4)	2.684	2.340	2.320-2.361
Oral & maxillofacial surgery	45 (31.0)	100 (69.0)	2.105	2.026	1.994–2.059
Family medicine	560 (23.1)	1,867 (76.9)	2.007	1.945	1.933-1.957
Otolaryngology	28 (11.3)	219 (88.7)	0.971	0.856	0.843-0.869
Emergency medicine	56 (7.6)	685 (92.4)	0.511	0.513	0.508-0.519
Pediatric specialty	8 (1.3)	630 (98.7)	0.413	0.347	0.339–0.355
Obstetrics/ Gynecology	35 (3.6)	928 (96.4)	0.312	0.331	0.327-0.335
Medicine specialty	31 (1.4)	2,237 (98.6)	0.260	0.261	0.258-0.264
Dentists	76 (2.5)	3,008 (97.5)	0.198	0.189	0.187-0.191
Other surgery	17 (0.9)	1,873 (99.1)	0.144	0.126	0.124-0.128
Other	12 (0.2)	6,317 (99.8)	0.122	0.130	0.128-0.132
Prescriber birth year by decade					
Missing birth year	1,145 (8.1)	12,963 (91.9)	1.769	2.145	2.108-2.183
Prior to 1940	14 (3.4)	396 (96.6)	1.253	1.205	1.172-1.238
1940s	141 (6.7)	1,948 (93.3)	1.568	1.912	1.878-1.947
1950s	548 (10.0)	4,933 (90.0)	1.783	2.136	2.101-2.173
1960s	679 (12.6)	4,713 (87.4)	2.150	2.574	2.532-2.618
1970s	444 (10.2)	3,901 (89.8)	1.656	1.832	1.801-1.863
1980s	23 (6.9)	309 (93.1)	Reference	Reference	Reference

<sup>a</sup>Source: IQVIA Xponent.

<sup>b</sup>Trainees were excluded from this analysis because trainees practice under an institutional license.

That the second mean second mean and the second mean matrix of a matrix of the second mean  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ 

<sup>4</sup>All adjusted odds ratios were statistically significant with a *P* < .001 with exception of the unadjusted odds ratio for Obtaryngology, which had a *P* < .001. <sup>4</sup>All adjusted odds ratios were statistically significant with a *P* < .001. <sup>6</sup>Counties were classified by 2013 National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) urban-rural classification, based on the Office of Management and Budget's metropolitan statistical areas: NCHS-1, large, central metropolitan counties (population > 1 million with at least 250,000 residents of principal city), NCHS-2, large, fringe metropolitan counties (>1 million population and not classified as NCHS-1), NCHS-3, medium metropolitan counties (population 250,000–999,999), NCHS-4, small metropolitan counties (population < 250,000), NCHS-5, micropolitan areas (population 10,000-50,000), and NCHS-6, noncore counties (outside all other areas).

Antibiotic Group	No. of Prescriptions Written by High Prescribers, No. (%) <sup>b</sup>	No. of Prescriptions Written by Non-High Prescribers, No. (%) <sup>b</sup>	Ratio of Prescriptions between High and Non–High Prescribers
Third-generation cephalosporins	341,088 (69.0)	153,459 (31.0)	2.22
Macrolides	745,888 (57.4)	554,293 (42.6)	1.35
Extended-spectrum penicillins	455,771 (56.0)	357,865 (44.0)	1.27
Fluoroquinolones	431,399 (48.3)	462,626 (51.7)	0.93
Trimethoprim- sulfamethoxazole	299,242 (47.7)	328,328 (52.3)	0.91
Tetracyclines	275,373 (47.7)	301,801 (52.3)	0.91
Narrow-spectrum penicillins	736,383 (46.3)	852,812 (53.7)	0.86
Urinary agents	118,734 (43.9)	152,037 (56.1)	0.78
First- and second-generation cephalosporins	311,155 (45.9)	366,011 (54.1)	0.85
Lincosamides	112,843 (35.5)	204,931 (64.5)	0.55
Metronidazole	82,159 (32.0)	174,224 (68.0)	0.47
Other	14,106 (28.7)	34,969 (71.3)	0.40
			P < .001

<sup>a</sup>High prescriber and non-high-prescriber groups each wrote for 50% of total antibiotics.

<sup>b</sup>Percentages are the contribution of high and non-high prescribers, respectively, to the total prescriptions for that antibiotic group.

antimicrobial stewardship interventions could reduce outpatient antibiotic prescribing significantly, is a more efficient use of limited resources. Additionally, this strategy would span prescriber specialties, gender, age groups, and practice locations. The challenge with this approach is finding universal antimicrobial stewardship interventions that are relevant to a diverse prescriber group and that can be deployed easily.

To date, no published studies have evaluated outpatient antimicrobial stewardship interventions on the state level. Prior, smaller studies have demonstrated success with locally implemented interventions, although data on sustained success are limited.<sup>25</sup> We intend to determine whether our strategy can be implemented effectively. Our next steps, some already underway, include analyzing subsequent years' data to assess trends and to evaluate whether high prescribers are consistent from year to year. We will then conduct a pilot study in which we will partner with a portion of representative high prescribers to corroborate the data, to identify and correct data gaps, and to ascertain individual information about patient volume and antibiotic indications. Additionally, we will assess prescribers' perceived challenges to reducing inappropriate antibiotic use. Based on these findings, we intend to develop and study the impact of various antimicrobial stewardship interventions, including offering different types and deliveries of antimicrobial stewardship education, providing individualized antibiotic use data, and/or developing antibiotic prescribing decision support tools to prescribers. Although we recognize the challenges inherent in this approach, we are hopeful that with continued support and creativity, we can develop and implement successful antimicrobial stewardship interventions for all high prescribers across Tennessee. We look forward to sharing the results of our process with other public and private healthcare entities.

In conclusion, these findings offer an initial approach with which other states, healthcare systems, and independent practices, can identify groups on which to focus initial outpatient antimicrobial stewardship program development. Further analysis is needed to understand what drives high prescribing and how to develop cost-effective, relevant, and impactful outpatient antimicrobial stewardship interventions that can be used at a local, regional, state, and/or national level and that can span prescriber differences.

Acknowledgments. This content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

**Financial support.** S.K. received grant support from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Childhood Infection Research Program (ChIRP), National Institute of Health (grant no. 1T32AI095202-07). M.S. received initial grant support from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as a recipient of the Leadership in Epidemiology, Antimicrobial Stewardship and Public Health (LEAP) fellowship, sponsored by Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA), Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society (PIDS). M.S. subsequently received support from the Office of Academic Affiliations, Department of Veterans' Affairs, VA National Quality Scholars Program, with use of the facilities at the VA Tennessee Valley Healthcare System, Nashville, Tennessee. Y.O., C.E., P.T., and M.K. received grant support from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention through the Epidemiology and Laboratory Capacity Cooperative Agreement (federal grant no. 5 NU50CK000386-05-00).

**Conflicts of interest.** All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

#### References

- Patient Safety Atlas. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website. https://gis.cdc.gov/grasp/PSA/index.html. Published 2019. Accessed July 5, 2019.
- Hicks LA, Bartoces MG, Roberts RM, et al. US outpatient antibiotic prescribing variation according to geography, patient population, and provider specialty in 2011. Clin Infect Dis 2015;60:1308–1316.
- King LM, Bartoces M, Fleming-Dutra KE, Roberts RM, Hicks LA. Changes in US outpatient antibiotic prescriptions from 2011–2016. *Clin Infect Dis* 2019. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciz225.
- 4. National action plan for combating antibiotic-resistant bacteria, 2015. The White House website. https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/ default/files/docs/national\_action\_plan\_for\_combating\_antibotic-resistant\_ bacteria.pdf. Published 2015. Accessed July 5, 2019.

- Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of inappropriate antibiotic prescriptions among US ambulatory care visits, 2010–2011. *JAMA* 2016;315:1864–1873.
- Havers FP, Hicks LA, Chung JR, et al. Outpatient antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory infections during influenza seasons. JAMA Netw Open 2018;1:e180243.
- Palms DL, Hicks LA, Bartoces M. Comparison of antibiotic prescribing in retail clinics, urgent care centers, emergency departments, and traditional ambulatory care settings in the United States. *JAMA Intern Med* 2018;178:1267–1269.
- Chua KP, Fischer MA, Linder JA. Appropriateness of outpatient antibiotic prescribing among privately insured US patient: ICD-10-CM based cross sectional study. *BMJ* 2019;364:K5092.
- Fleming-Dutra KE, Bartoces M, Roberts RM, Hicks LA. Characteristics of primary care physicians associated with high outpatient antibiotic prescribing volume. Open Forum Infect Dis 2018;5:ofx279.
- Cadieux G, Tamblyn R, Dauphinee D, Libman M. Predictors of inappropriate antibiotic prescribing among primary care physicians. CMAJ 2007;177:877–883.
- Stone S, Gonzales R, Maselli J, Lowenstein SR. Antibiotic prescribing for patients with colds, upper respiratory tract infections and bronchitis: a national study of hospital-based emergency departments. *Ann Emerg Med* 2000;36:320–327.
- Boardman C, inventor; IMS Health Incorporated, assignee. System and method for estimating product distribution using a product specific universe. US patent 7,174,304. February 6, 2007.
- Population counts by age group, sex, race and ethnicity, estimates 2016. Tennessee Department of Health, Division of Policy, Planning and Assessment website. https://www.tn.gov/content/dam/tn/health/documents/ TN\_Population\_by\_Agegrp\_Sex\_Race\_Ethnicity\_-\_2016.pdf. Published 2016. Accessed September 2018.
- Ingram DD, Franco SJ. 2013 NCHS Urban-rural classification scheme for counties. Vital Health Stat 2, pp. 1–73. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website. http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/sr\_ 02/sr02\_166.pdf. Published 2014. Accessed September 2018.

- Suda KJ, Hicks LA, Roberts RM, Hunkler RJ, Matusiak LM, Schumock GT. Antibiotic expenditures by medication, class, and healthcare setting in the United States, 2010–2015. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018;66:185–190.
- Antimicrobial stewardship in ambulatory health care. R3 Report. The Joint Commission website. https://www.jointcommission.org/assets/1/18/ R3\_23\_Antimicrobial\_Stewardship\_AMB\_6\_14\_19\_FINAL2.pdf. Published 2019. Accessed July 5, 2019.
- Aabenhus R, Siersma V, Sandholdt H, Køster-Rasmussen R, Hansen MP, Bjerrum L. Identifying practice-related factors for high-volume prescribers of antibiotics in Danish general practice. J Antimicrob Chemother 2017;72:2385–2391.
- Lebentrau S, Gilfrich C, Vetterlein MW, Schumacher H, et. al. Impact of the medical specialty on knowledge regarding multidrug-resistant organisms and strategies toward antimicrobial stewardship. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2017;49:1311–1318.
- Barlam TF, Morgan JR, Wetzler LM, Christiansen CL, Drainoni ML. Antibiotics for respiratory tract infections: a comparison of prescribing in an outpatient setting. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2015;36:153-159.
- Finkelstein JA, Stille C, Nordin J, et al. Reduction in antibiotic use among US children, 1996–2000. Pediatrics 2003;112:620–627.
- McCaig LF, Hicks LA, Roberts RM, Fairlie TA. Office-related antibiotic prescribing for persons aged ≤14 years—United States, 1993–1994 to 2007– 2008. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2011;60:1153–1156.
- 22. Roberts RM, Bartoces M, Thompson SE, Hicks LA. Antibiotic prescribing by general dentists in the United States, 2013. J Am Dent Assoc 2017;148:172–8.e1.
- Durkin MJ, Hsueh K, Sallah YH, et. al. An evaluation of dental antibiotic prescribing practices in the United States. J Am Dent Assoc 2017;148:878–86.e1.
- 24. Blommaert A, Coenen S, Gielen B, Goossens H, Hens N, Beutels P. Patient and prescriber determinants for the choice between amoxicillin and broader-spectrum antibiotics: a nationwide prescription-level analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother 2013;86:2383–2392.
- Arnold SR, Straus SE. Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices in ambulatory care. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;4:CD003539.