# **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

# Coping with the Challenges of Early Disaster Response: 24 Years of Field Hospital Experience After Earthquakes

Elhanan Bar-On, MD, MPH, Avi Abargel MD, MHA, Kobi Peleg, PhD, MPH, and Yitshak Kreiss, MD, MPH, MHA

# ABSTRACT

- **Objective:** To propose strategies and recommendations for future planning and deployment of field hospitals after earthquakes by comparing the experience of 4 field hospitals deployed by The Israel Defense Forces (IDF) Medical Corps in Armenia, Turkey, India and Haiti.
- **Methods:** Quantitative data regarding the earthquakes were collected from published sources; data regarding hospital activity were collected from IDF records; and qualitative information was obtained from structured interviews with key figures involved in the missions.
- **Results:** The hospitals started operating between 89 and 262 hours after the earthquakes. Their sizes ranged from 25 to 72 beds, and their personnel numbered between 34 and 100. The number of patients treated varied from 1111 to 2400. The proportion of earthquake-related diagnoses ranged from 28% to 67% (P<.001), with hospitalization rates between 3% and 66% (P<.001) and surgical rates from 1% to 24% (P<.001).
- **Conclusions:** In spite of characteristic scenarios and injury patterns after earthquakes, patient caseload and treatment requirements varied widely. The variables affecting the patient profile most significantly were time until deployment, total number of injured, availability of adjacent medical facilities, and possibility of evacuation from the disaster area. When deploying a field hospital in the early phase after an earthquake, a wide variability in patient caseload should be anticipated. Customization is difficult due to the paucity of information. Therefore, early deployment necessitates full logistic self-sufficiency and operational versatility. Also, collaboration with local and international medical teams can greatly enhance treatment capabilities. (*Disaster Med Public Health Preparedness*. 2013;7:491-498) **Key Words:** disaster, earthquake, field hospital

A mong the various disasters affecting humanity, earthquakes probably have the largest impact on the health system.<sup>1,2</sup> The international community has traditionally mobilized widely to provide aid to populations devastated by the disaster,<sup>3–6</sup> and the Israel Defense Forces (IDF) have deployed 4 field hospitals in the acute phase after major earthquakes in Armenia (1988), Turkey (1999), India (2001), and Haiti (2010). Although an additional field hospital was dispatched to Turkey following an aftershock, the number of casualties was relatively small and the hospital was established a priori as a substitute for routine medical care. Therefore, it was not included in the study.

The other 4 missions were deployed under similar circumstances—at the acute phase after a major earthquake. In spite of this, the number of patients treated and the types of pathologic conditions

encountered in the 4 hospitals varied widely. The objective of the present study was, therefore, to propose strategies and recommendations for future planning and deployment of field hospitals in earthquake-stricken zones by (1) quantifying and comparing the activities carried out in the 4 hospitals; (2) analyzing affecting factors leading to these activities; (3) assessing if the hospital activity and subsequent requirements can be anticipated at the stage of mission preparation; and (4) comparing our experience to that accumulated in the world in similar situations.

#### METHODS

Geographical data regarding the earthquakes were collected from published and Internet sources.<sup>3–6</sup> Data regarding hospital activity were collected from IDF records; these included hospital records, patient files, surgical notes, logistic records, and mission reports.

IABLE 1
---------

Characteristics	Armenia <sup>[3,11]</sup>	Turkey <sup>[4,12]</sup>	India <sup>[5,13]</sup>	Haiti <sup>[6,14]</sup>	
Date	Dec 7, 1988	Aug 17, 1999	Jan 26, 2001	Jan 12, 2010	
Local time	11:41	03:01	08:45	16:53	
Israeli time	09:41	03:01	05:15	13.1.11 00:53	
Magnitude	6.8	7.6	7.7	7.0	
Epicenter depth, km	10	17	16	13	
Terrain	Mountainous	Coast	Plain	Coast	
Main city	Leninakan	Izmit	Bhuj	Port-au-Prince	
Distance from epicenter to city, km	40	11	20	25	
Distance from epicenter to hospital, km	60	50	20	25	
Country population	285 000 000	64 000 000	1027015000	8854000	
City population	170 000	190 000	136429	3000000	
Deaths	25,000	17,118	20,005	222 570	
Injuries	19,000	50,000	166,863	300 000	
No. of deaths per 100 000 people	9	27	2	2514	
No. of injuries per 100 000 people	7	78	16	3388	
Ratio of injuries to deaths	0.8	2.9	8.3	1.3	

Full records were found for the missions in Armenia, Turkey, and Haiti, and partial data were located for the mission in India.

We examined mission timetables; patient epidemiology; therapeutics and surgical procedures performed; evacuations; logistic support; personnel; structure; and equipment usage.

Qualitative data were derived from 16 structured interviews with all mission commanders, acting IDF surgeon generals at the time of the mission, and Israeli government representatives in the country of occurrence.

We examined the following features:

- The decision process before ordering the deployment
- Activity of scout teams dispatched in 2 of the missions
- Characterization of type and size of mission
- Personnel mobilization, equipment preparation and logistic support, and transportation to the disaster zone
- Selection of landing area, hospital site, and transport to the site
- Hospital structure and operation
- Collaboration with local authorities, adjacent medical facilities, and evacuation
- Resupply of personnel and equipment
- Termination of hospital activity

#### RESULTS

#### Earthquake and Country Data

The magnitude of the earthquakes on the Richter scale ranged from 6.8 to 7.7. The depth of the epicenter measured between 10 and 17 km, and its distance from a major city was 11 to 40 km (Table 1).<sup>7–10</sup> The Turkish earthquake occurred

at night; the other 3 happened during the daytime. Armenia's earthquake took place in a cold, snowy season, while the others were during temperate weather.

#### Casualties

The total number of persons affected by the earthquake were 250 000 to 3 000 000. The death toll was of similar magnitude (Table 1) in Armenia (25 000), India (20 000), and Turkey (17 118).<sup>11–13</sup> Haiti suffered a significantly higher number of dead—222 570. Injuries numbered 130 000 in Armenia,<sup>15</sup> 50 000 in Turkey, 166 000 in India, and 300 000 in Haiti.

#### Local Medical Services and Casualty Evacuation Before the Delegation Arrived

Except for 1 military hospital that remained functional in Bhuj, India, local medical services were nearly in total collapse. In Armenia, 6000 injured persons and 7000 women and children were evacuated from Kirovakan. In Turkey, evacuation to major hospitals began on day 2.<sup>16</sup> In India, evacuation began several hours after the earthquake, and 1000 patients were evacuated<sup>17</sup>. In Haiti, hospital function in Port-au-Prince was minimally effective during the first 3 to 4 days. Evacuation to higher level care centers began in limited numbers only 1 week after the earthquake.<sup>18</sup>

#### Planning, Organization and Transport to Site

In Turkey and Haiti, a scout team headed by a senior medical officer was dispatched 11 hours after the earthquake (Table 2). The mission of these teams was to establish contact with local authorities and Israeli representatives, evaluate the medical needs, locate a suitable location for the hospital, and prepare equipment for unloading and transport of hospital equipment and personnel. Recruitment was initiated between 15 hours (Haiti) and 96 hours (Armenia) after the earthquake. The latter was delayed due to the absence of diplomatic relations

## TABLE 2

Field Hospital Deployment and Setup Timetable									
	Armenia	Turkey	India	Haiti					
Time elapsed from earthquake to takeoff hours	209	58	84	52					
Hospital setup time, h Earthquake to operation, h	30 262	10 82	10 125	8 89					
Initiation of hospital operation after earthquake, d	12	4	6	4					

between Israel and the USSR. Personnel were recruited from both regular army and reserve units. Departure was between 52 (Haiti) and 209 hours (Armenia).

Flight time and organization after landing was between 24 (Turkey) and 53 hours (Armenia). The variability was influenced by flight distance, weather, type of aircraft, ground control, local authority control, and presence of an assessment team and/or local liaison. The initiation of hospital activity was on day 4 in Turkey and Haiti, on day 6 in India, and on day 12 in Armenia.

#### **Hospital Operation**

#### Physical Structure

Due to the cold temperatures in Armenia, the hospital was set up in a sports hall. In Turkey, the hospital was initially set up in an existing building but was transferred to tents after an aftershock. The hospitals in India and Haiti were both set up in a fully self-sufficient tent encampment.

#### Organizational Structure

Initially, the basic model of the field hospitals consisted of 7 clinical units:

- Triage and emergency department
- Internal medicine—adults
- Orthopedics
- Pediatrics
- Obstetrics and gynecology
- Operating theatre
- Recovery and intensive care

The total number of hospital beds was 25 in Armenia, 35 in Turkey, 30 in India, and 72 in Haiti. An auxiliary services unit included radiology, laboratory, and pharmacy and medical supplies. A logistic support unit included logistics (ie, supplies, kitchen, maintenance, communications, security) and the command center.

After the initiation of operations, adjustments in hospital structure were made according to existing needs. These included dividing the adult ward by gender into men and women's wards (India), establishing a pediatric neonatal intensive care unit (India and Haiti), and operating an outpatient clinic to decrease occupancy and enable treatment of more patients. In Haiti, due to the extremely high volume of trauma patients, the triage unit was operated separately from the emergency department; in the first days, all hospital beds except for obstetrics and gynecology and neonatal intensive care were dedicated to trauma.<sup>18</sup>

#### Medical Personnel

The total number of medical and paramedical personnel ranged from 34 in Armenia to 100 in Haiti (Table 3). Physicians composed 35% to 59% of the total, and nurses constituted 9% to 24%. Local and foreign personnel joined the hospital for varying periods in all 4 cases.

#### Patients

The total number of patients treated in each of the hospitals varied from 1111 in Haiti to 2400 in Armenia (Table 4). The percentage of children treated ranged from 15% in Armenia to 37% in Haiti. Hospitalization rates were between 3% of the patients treated in Armenia and 66% of those treated in Haiti. Mean hospitalization time was 2.8 days in Armenia and 1.4 days in Haiti.

Earthquake-related traumatic injuries were suffered by 68% and 66% of patients in India and Haiti, respectively, compared to 29% and 28% in Turkey and Armenia, respectively. Of the trauma victims in Haiti, 46% sustained fractures, compared to 18% in Turkey and only 12% in Armenia. Fracture locations, which were available for Armenia and Haiti, showed a predominance of upper limb fractures in Armenia (50%), and lower limb fractures in Haiti (63%). Minor trauma constituted 57% of injuries in Armenia compared to 13% in Haiti. The percentage of trauma-related causes gradually decreased and was below 50% by deployment day 9 in all missions, while an increase was noted in routine medical problems, most commonly gastrointestinal followed by respiratory and cardiovascular problems.

### Surgery Performed and Diagnostic Tests

In Armenia, 1% of the treated patients underwent surgery with use of general or regional anesthesia; a total of 20 operations were performed (Table 5). In Turkey, 37 operations were performed in 3% of patients; in India, 56 operations were performed in 5% of patients; and in Haiti, 265 procedures were performed in 24% of patients. The type

Medical Personnel Total No. of medical personnel	Armenia		<b>Turkey</b>		Inc	lia	Haiti		
					97		100		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Physicians	20	59%	25	35%	NA	NA	45	45%	
Nurses	3	9%	11	17%	NA	NA	24	24%	
Operating room nurses	0	370	2	3%	NA	NA	3	3%	
Nurses:physicians	01:07		01:02	0,0			01:02	0,0	
Medics/paramedics	7	21%	25	38%	NA	NA	21	21%	
Physical therapists	1	3%	0		NA	NA	0		
X-ray technicians	1	3%	1	2%	NA	NA	2	2%	
Laboratory technicians	1	3%	1	2%	NA	NA	3	3%	
Pharmacists	1	3%	2	3%	NA	NA	2	2%	
Physician specialty									
Management	1		3						
Internal medicine	1		4		NA		6		
Nephrology	1		0				0		
Infectious diseases	0		0		NA		2		
Family medicine	2		0		NA		4		
Pediatrics	4		3		NA		7		
Orthopedics	3		4		NA		8		
General surgery	2		4		NA		4		
Urology	1		0		NA		0		
Pediatric surgery	0		0		NA		1		
Obstetrics/gynecology	0		2		NA		3		
Anesthesia	1		3		NA		3		
Intensive care	2		1		NA		1		
Ear, nose, throat	1		1		NA		1		
Ophthalmology	0		0		NA		1		
Neurology	1		0		NA		0		
Psychiatry	0		0		NA		1		

of surgery was either orthopedic or plastic surgery in 50% of cases in Armenia, 60% of cases in Turkey, and 89% and 85% of cases in India and Haiti, respectively. Vaginal deliveries and cesarean sections were performed in Turkey, India, and Haiti. Peritoneal dialysis was performed in Armenia but not in the other 3 hospitals. In addition, 471 radiographs were taken in Armenia, 196 were obtained in Turkey, and 684 were performed in Haiti.

The laboratories were capable of processing the following types of tests:

- Hematology: blood type and RH, blood count and differential, blood smear, sedimentation rate, and clotting test
- Biochemistry: liver function (aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase), renal function (urea, creatinine), glucose, electrolytes, amylase, alkaline phosphatase, cholesterol, triglycerides, and blood gases
- Microbiology : microscopy, (urine, mycology, malaria), fecal tests, fast test for malaria, and cultures.

The most common laboratory tests performed were blood count, blood gases, and electrolytes. Albumin and calcium were additional tests that were required mainly for a laterphase operation, but these were not available.

#### Adjacent Medical Facilities, Referrals and Evacuations

Evacuation to permanent hospitals out of the disaster area was possible in the first 3 missions from the first day of operation. In addition, activity was gradually restored in some of the local hospitals. In Haiti, evacuation was unavailable during the first week of operation. In the second week, after the arrival of the hospital ship USNS Comfort and the establishment of the University of Miami hospital, patients were transferred to these facilities. Also, contact was established with other functioning facilities with lesser surgical capabilities than ours, and patients were triaged on site at these facilities and transferred to us, while postsurgical patients were transferred to the other facilities for continued care, thus freeing hospital beds. The collaboration with local and foreign personnel who joined the hospital proved extremely effective<sup>19</sup>.

#### Duration of Stay, Replenishments, and Termination of Operation

All 4 hospitals were operational for a similar period of 10 days. In Armenia, 1 replenishment flight occurred halfway through the mission. In Turkey, aircraft traffic was constant

# TABLE 4

## Patient Demographics, Etiologies, and Trauma Breakdown

51,5	•								
Characteristics	Arm	ienia	Turkey India		dia	Haiti		Р	
Total No. of patients	24	100	1205		1223		1111		
Total No. of hospitalizations/patients	60	3%	350	29%	173	14%	737	66%	P<.001
Total gender available	19	910	Ν	IA	NA		1041		
Male	1133	59%	Ν	IA	Ν	IA	459	44%	P<.001
Female	777	41%					582	56%	
Total No. age available	18	392	12	205	12	223	9	83	
0-18 у	290	15%	277	23%	233	19%	363	37%	P<.001
>18 y	1,602	85%	928	77%	990	81%	620	63%	
Total No. of etiologies	1910	100%	1205	100%	1143	100%	1041	100%	
Trauma	540	28%	349	29%	770	67%	692	66%	P<.001
Non-trauma	1370	72%	856	71%	373	33%	349	34%	
Total No. of traumas	540	100%	349	100%	770		692	100%	
Minor trauma	309	57%	115	33%	NA		89	13%	P<.001
Fractures	66	12%	63	18%	NA		320	46%	P<.001
Soft tissue injuries	102	19%	74	21%	NA		207	30%	P<.001
Dislocations	2	0.40%			NA		18	3%	
Amputations	9	2%			NA		22	3%	
Visceral injuries			35	10%	NA		12	2%	
Head injuries	4	0.70%			NA		8	1%	
Burns	19	4%			NA		16	2%	
Animal bites	2	0.40%	14	4%	NA				
Other injuries	27	5%	49	14%	NA				

# TABLE 5

Procedures	Armenia		Turkey		India		Haiti		Р
									r
Total No. of surgeries/% patients	20	1%	37	3%	56	5%	265	24%	P<.001
Orthopedics	10	50%	12	32%	27	48%	221	83%	P<.001
Soft tissues	4		9		7		91		
Amputations	1		2		13		23		
Fracture reduction			1		7		107		
External fixation	5				7		73		
Plastic surgery			10	27%	25	45%	16	6%	
Debridement/reconstruction			8		8		12		
Skin grafts			2		17		4		
Ear, nose, throat							11	4%	
Ophthalmology							5	2%	
General surgery	9	45%	6	16%	1	2%	9	3%	
Appendectomy	1		4		1		1		
Exploration	1		1				4		
Hernia	3		1				1		
Chest drain	1						3		
Head cyst	1								
Undescended testes	1								
Abscess drainage	1								
Obstetrics/gynecology	1	5%	9	24%	3	5%	3	1%	
Caesarian section			4		2		2		
Dilation & curretage			5		1		1		
Ovarian cyst	1								
Deliveries			2		12		16		
Peritoneal dialysis	2								
Endoscopy	2								
Radiographs	471		196		NA		684		

#### Field Hospital Experience After Earthquakes

between Israel and the mission. In India, 1 aircraft remained in Bhuj and served the hospital both for the evacuation of patients and replenishments within India. In Haiti, resupply was limited by ground transportation from the Dominican Republic, which was coordinated by the Israeli embassy. The decision to terminate the mission became possible after more permanent medical facilities were established that could provide continued care for the patients treated at the hospital.

#### DISCUSSION

A major earthquake is a disaster that often reaches a catastrophic magnitude, necessitating a massive aid effort by the international community.<sup>20–22</sup> The epidemiology of casualties shows a large percentage of musculoskeletal injuries mainly of the limbs, with fractures—many of them open and crush injuries. This epidemiology is affected by several determinants including the magnitude of the earthquake, the depth of the epicenter, the time of occurrence, proximity to urban population centers, building type and quality, as well as local demographic, social, and cultural factors.<sup>23–30</sup>

The magnitude of the earthquakes in the events studied ranged from 6.8 (Armenia) to 7.7 (India), with the epicenter at a depth of 10 km (Armenia) to 17 km (Turkey). The death toll in 3 of the events (Armenia, Turkey, and India) was of a similar magnitude (17 000-25 000), while, according to official estimates, the death toll in Haiti was tenfold that (222 570). Although later reports have disputed this official figure, placing the death toll at 45 000-80 000,<sup>14</sup> the Haiti death toll was still significantly larger than the other 3.

When dispatching a field hospital to an earthquake zone, the conditions under which it will operate need to be anticipated and planned accordingly, both from logistic and medical standpoints. Deployment in the acute phase of the disaster is especially challenging. The first days after an earthquake are characterized by material and organizational chaos; information regarding the true situation and needs are unavailable. Also, destruction of infrastructure is severe, and organizational chaos precludes local logistic support.

In our experience, this early stage requires complete logistic self-sufficiency. Similar findings were reported in other earthquakes, where foreign field hospitals that arrived without their own logistic support were burdensome on the already stressed local authorities.<sup>31,32</sup>

Another dilemma is whether to deploy a *light* clinic-like facility capable of delivering basic care to a very large number of patients or a full-service field hospital. Based on our experience, we think that the activities of multiple NGOs that are capable of delivering basic care can be anticipated, whereas a field hospital with advanced, wide-ranging medical and surgical capabilities and logistic backup adds significant value and thus should be deployed. Although foreign field hospitals cannot arrive during the first 48 hours (phase 1) — when saving patients with directly life-threatening injuries is possible—they can be deployed in phase 2 (days 3-14), when many patients are encountered suffering from severe fractures and soft tissue injuries, crush syndrome, and severe infections, which are potentially threatening to life and limb. In addition, the field hospital will need to provide medical care for the routine problems in the local population.<sup>32–38</sup> In phase 3 (weeks 2-6), the shift to routine medical needs continues. For example, the situation is worsened by poor sanitation conditions in improvised habitations. Although many of the acute-phase teams terminate their deployment at this stage, more sophisticated treatment centers are re-established.

The low trauma caseload in Armenia in spite of the large number of injuries can be explained by the late deployment, which occurred mostly in phase 3. Also, the large evacuation effort of injured women and the children before the mission's arrival further decreased the number of trauma patients and the number of women and children treated. Conversely, the hospital in Turkey also encountered predominantly non-trauma patients, in spite of early deployment. A possible explanation lies in the short distance from the Turkish disaster area to major medical centers, together with the significant evacuation capabilities of the Turkish armed forces.

The caseloads in India and Haiti were predominantly earthquake related, even though the local military authorities in India began an effective evacuation effort several hours after the earthquake. Their large trauma caseload may be due to the large ratio between injuries and mortality in the Gujarat earthquake: 8.3/1 compared to 5.3/1 in Armenia and 2.9/1 in Turkey. In spite of a similar trauma caseload, the hospital activity in India, when compared with that in Haiti, showed a large difference in surgical activity, with 56 operations being performed in India (5% of patients) compared to 265 operations in Haiti (24% of patients). This difference may be attributed to the fact that the local military hospital in Bhuj, which remained operational, performed a formidable 9526 operations during the first week.<sup>17</sup> This achievement, along with the major evacuation effort, left a large proportion of more minor injuries, which were treated at the field hospital and reduced the surgical caseload. In Haiti, the combination of a very large number of casualties, the collapse of local health care facilities, an absence of effective evacuation to facilities outside the disaster zone, and the early arrival of the field hospital led to a large trauma caseload, a high hospitalization rate, and a high proportion of surgical cases in hospital activity.

Hospital personnel composition varied among the missions. Physicians accounted for between 35% (Turkey) and 59% (Armenia), nurses for 9%(Armenia) and 24% (Haiti), and medics or paramedics for 21% and 38% of the workforce. Also, the nurse:physician ratio was from 1:7 to 1:2. Most hospital commanders interviewed thought that the main personnel deficiency was in nursing staff, resulting in an overstaffing of medics and paramedics who may have limited effectiveness in a field hospital situation. They also thought that the nurse:physician ratio should ideally be 2:1 to 1:1. However, the personnel dispatched commonly depends on availability. In a military unit, medics are relatively easily available for immediate dispatch, while nurses are scarcer and have more family constraints.

Regarding physician specialties, the wide range of specialties enabled flexibility in treating various caseloads. However, the unpredictability of the situation and the changing caseload during the deployment period made role shifting necessary in most hospitals, with nonsurgical physicians caring for injured patients in India and Haiti, and surgical specialists assisting in routine patient care in Armenia and Turkey. Similarly, although the hospitals were initially divided into medical and surgical departments, patients were hospitalized in the various departments according to the current needs. This flexibility in both hospital structure and personnel allocation was considered an essential element for smooth functioning of the hospitals in the high pressure and dynamic situation in which they operated. Consequently, it was rated as a high priority asset by all hospital commanders. This flexibility also required a high level of leadership and decision-making at departmental and hospital command levels.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Our findings led to the following strategies and recommendations for establishing field hospitals in early disaster response after earthquakes:

- Full logistic self-sufficiency is an absolute prerequisite for effective early deployment.
- The specific activity of the field hospital cannot be reliably predicted if deployment is to be at the early stage of phase 2.
- The hospital should not be customized according to possible predicted activity but rather prepared to treat all different types of pathologic conditions—both earthquake related and routine.
- Task shifting can occur between clinical wards and between personnel from various specialties.
- A resupply mission, preferably on day 4 or 5 of the operation, should be inherent in the hospital operation to replenish ordinance and reinforce specific personnel needs, according to the situation encountered.
- Collaboration with local and international medical crews can greatly increase surge capacity, as they often include highly trained personnel with no logistic support or proper framework in which to operate. The comprehensive field hospital provides the framework that enables the use of this trained crew effectively. Also, patients can be transferred from facilities with lesser surgical capabilities and returned for postoperative care, and local liaison is essential to evacuate patients from the disaster zone.

• Coordination of operation by local authorities and international organizations can greatly improve the effectiveness of care delivery.

The collaboration and coordination and predisaster planning along the lines described in this study should be initiated. We believe that these strategies may improve the level of care delivery in future disasters.<sup>39</sup>

#### **About the Authors**

Schneider Children's Medical Center, Petah Tikva and Sackler Medical School, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv (Dr Bar-On); Israel Defense Forces Medical Corps (Dr Arbargel); Department of Disaster Management, School of Public Health, Sackler Medical School, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, and Gertner Institute for Health Policy and Epidemiology, (Dr Peleg); and Israel Defense Forces Medical Corps and Hebrew University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Military Medicine, Israel (Dr Kreiss).

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Elhanan Bar-On, MD, MPH, Pediatric Orthopedic Unit, Schneider Children's Medical Center, 14 Kaplan St, Petah Tikva 49202, Israel (e-mail: elbar@013.net).

Published online: October 18, 2013.

#### REFERENCES

- Schulz CH, Deynes S. Earthquakes. In: Koenig KL, Schultz CH, eds. Disaster Medicine: Comprehensive Treatment and Practices. New York, New York: Cambridge University Press; 2010:562-577.
- Guha-Sapir D, Vos F. Earthquakes, an epidemiologic perspective on patterns and trends. In: Spence R, So E, Scawthorn C, eds. *Human Casualties in Earthquakes*. New York, New York: Springer; 2011:13-24.
- 3. US Geological Survey. Historic earthquakes: notes about the Armenia earthquake, 7 December 1988. Washington, DC: US Geological Survey. http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/world/events/1988\_12\_07\_ev.php.
- US Geological Survey. Historic earthquakes: magnitude 7.6 Turkey, August 17, 1999 00:01:39 UTC. Washington, DC: US Geological Survey. http://neic.usgs.gov/neis/eq\_depot/1999/eq\_990817/.
- US Geological Survey. Historic earthquakes: magnitude 7.7 India, 2001 January 26 03:16:40 UTC. Washington, DC: US Geological Survey. http://neic.usgs.gov/neis/eq\_depot/2001/eq\_010126/.
- US Geological Survey. Magnitude 7.0 Haiti region. 2010 January 12 21:53:10 UTC. Washington, DC: US Geological Survey. http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eqinthenews/2010/us2010rja6/#details.
- 7. Pan American Health Organization, World Health Organization. WHO-PAHO Guidelines for the Use of Foreign Field Hospitals in the Aftermath of Sudden-Impact Disasters. San Salvador, July 2003. Washington, DC: Pan American Health Organization; 2003.
- WHO-PAHO: Proceedings of the WHO-PAHO Technical Consultation on Foreign Medical Teams (FMTs) Post Sudden Onset Disasters (SODs); December 7-9, 2010; Havana, Cuba.
- 9. Peleg K, Reuveni H, Stein M. Earthquake disasters lessons to be learned. Isr Med Assoc J. 2002;4:361-365.
- Sommaruga C. Strengthening the coordination of emergency humanitarian assistance: humanitarian policy and operational activities. *Int Red* Cross. Feb 28, 1995; No. 304. http://www.cicr.org/eng/resources/documents/ misc/57jmc3.htm.
- Armenian HK, Melkonian A, Noji EK, Hovanesian AP. Deaths and injuries due to the earthquake in Armenia: a cohort approach. Int J Epidemiol. 1997;26(4):806-813.
- Marza VI. On the death toll of the 1999 Izmit (Turkey) major earthquake. Brasilia, Brazil: University of Brasilia; 2004. http://www.esc-web. org/papers/potsdam\_2004/ss\_1\_marza.pdf.
- 13. Phalkey R, Reinhardt JD, Marx M. Injury epidemiology after the 2001 Gujarat earthquake in India: a retrospective analysis of injuries treated

#### Field Hospital Experience After Earthquakes

at a rural hospital in the Kutch district immediately after the disaster. *Glob Health Action*. 2011;4:7196.

- Schwartz T. Haiti's questionable earthquake death toll. Open Salon website; May 30, 2011. http://open.salon.com/blog/timotuck/2011/05/29/ haitis\_questionable\_earthquake\_death\_toll.
- Noji EK, Kelen GD, Armenian HK, Organessian A, Jones NP, Sivertson KT. The 1988 earthquake in Soviet Armenia: a case study. Ann Emerg Med. 1990;19(8):891-897.
- Bar-Dayan Y, Beard P, Mankuta D, et al. An earthquake disaster in Turkey: an overview of the experience of the Israeli Defence Forces field hospital in Adapazari. *Disasters*. 2000;24(3):262-270.
- Bremer R. Policy development in disaster preparedness and management: lessons learned from the January 2001 earthquake in Gujarat, India. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2003;18(4):372-384.
- Kreiss Y, Merin O, Peleg K, et al. Early disaster response in Haiti: the Israeli field hospital experience. Ann Intern Med. 2010;153(1):45-48.
- Peleg K, Kreiss Y, Ash N, Lipsky AM. Optimizing medical response to large-scale disasters: the ad hoc collaboration health care system. Ann Surg. 2011;253(2):421-423.
- Drifmeyer J, Llewellyn C. Overview of overseas humanitarian, disaster, and civic aid programs. Mil Med. 2003;186(12):975-980.
- Morton MJ, Burnham GM. Dilemmas and controversies within civilian and military organizations in the execution of humanitarian aid in Iraq: a review. *Am J Disaster Med.* 2010;5(6):385-391.
- Lhowe DW, Briggs SM. Planning for mass civilian casualties overseas: IMSuRT—International Medical/Surgical Response Teams. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2004;(422):109-113.
- Macintyre AG, Barbera JA, Smith ER. Surviving collapsed structure entrapment after earthquakes: a "time-to-rescue" analysis. Prehosp Disast Med. 2006;21(1):4-19.
- 24. Alexander D. Death and injury in earthquakes. Disasters. 1985;9(1):57-60.
- 25. Ramirez M, Peek-Asa C. Epidemiology of traumatic injuries from earthquakes. *Epidemiol Rev.* 2005;27:47-55.
- Guha-Sapir D, Carballo M. Medical relief in earthquakes. J R Soc Med. 2000;93:59-61.
- Lechat MF. Disasters and public health. Bull World Health Organ. 1979;57(1):11-17.

- Gutiérrez E, Taucer F, De Groeve T, Al-Khudhairy DH, Zaldivar JM. Analysis of worldwide earthquake mortality using multivariate demographic and seismic data. Am J Epidemiol. 2005;161(12):1151-1158.
- 29. De Ville de Goyet C. Earthquake in Guatemala: epidemiological evaluation of the relief effort. Bull Pan Am Health Organ. 1976;10(2):95-109.
- Chu K, Stokes C, Trelles M, Ford N. Improving effective surgical delivery in humanitarian disasters: lessons from Haiti. PLoS Med. 2011;8(4):e1001025.
- Abolghasemi H, Radfar MH, Khatami M, Nia MS, Amid A, Briggs SM. International medical response to a natural disaster: lessons learned from the Bam earthquake experience. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2006;21(3): 141-147.
- von Schreeb J, Riddez L, Samnegard H, Rosling H. Foreign field hospitals in the recent sudden-onset disasters in Iran, Haiti, Indonesia and Pakistan. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2008;23(2):144-153.
- 33. Bar-On E, Lebel E, Kreiss Y, et al. Orthopedic management in a mega mass casualty situation: the Israel Defense Forces field hospital in Haiti following the January 2010 earthquake. *Injury*. 2011;42(10): 1053-1059.
- Mulvey JM, Awan SU, Qadri AA, Maqsood MA. Profile of injuries arising from the 2005 Kashmir earthquake: the first 72 h. *Injury*. 2008;39:554-560.
- 35. Sami F, Ali F, Zaidi SH, Rehman H, Ahmad T, Siddiqui MI. The October 2005 earthquake in Northern Pakistan: pattern of injuries in victims brought to the Emergency Relief Hospital, Doraha, Manshera. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2009;24(6):535-539.
- Yang C, Wang H, Zhong H, et al. The epidemiologic analyses of trauma patients in Chongqing teaching hospitals following the Wenchuan earthquake. *Injury*. 2009;40:488-492.
- Helminen M, Saarela E, Salmela J. Characterisation of patients treated at the Red Cross field hospital in Kashmir during the first three weeks of operation. *Emerg Med J.* 2006;23:654-656.
- Roy N, Shah H, Patel V, Coughlin RR. The Gujarat earthquake (2001) experience in a seismically unprepared area: community hospital medical response. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2002;17(4):186-195.
- Peleg K, Kellermann AL. Medical relief after earthquakes: it's time for a new paradigm. Ann Emerg Med. 2012;59(3):188-190.