ARTICLE

Differences in prevalence and determinants of hypertension according to rural-urban place of residence among adults in Bangladesh

Gulam Muhammed Al Kibria^{1,2*}, Krystal Swasey¹, Rajat Das Gupta³, Allysha Choudhury², Jannatun Nayeem⁴, Atia Sharmeen⁵ and Vanessa Burrowes²

¹Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD, USA, ²Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA, ³James P Grant School of Public Health, Brac University, Dhaka, Bangladesh, ⁴Chattagram International Dental College and Hospital, Chittagong, Bangladesh and ⁵School of Community Health and Policy, Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD, USA *Corresponding author. Email: gkibria1@outlook.com

(Received 11 July 2018; revised 4 September 2018; accepted 22 October 2018; First published online 19 December 2018)

Abstract

This cross-sectional study analysed Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2011 data with the aim of investigating the prevalence of, and risk factors for, hypertension in individuals aged over 35 by rural-urban place of residence. After estimation of the stratified prevalence of hypertension by background characteristics, multivariable logistic regression analysis was conducted to calculate the adjusted odds (AORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for selected factors. Of the 7839 participants, 1830 were from urban areas and 6009 from rural areas. The overall prevalence of hypertension was 32.6% (95% CI: 30.5-34.8) in urban areas and 23.6% (95% CI: 22.5-24.7) in rural areas. The prevalence and odds of hypertension increased with increasing age, female sex, concomitant diabetes and overweight/obesity and richer wealth status in both urban and rural regions. Although residence in Khulna and Rangpur divisions and higher education level were associated with increased odds of hypertension in urban regions, this was not the case in rural regions (p > 0.05). Residence in Sylhet and Chittagong divisions had lower odds of hypertension in rural regions. Furthermore, the proportions of overweight/obese, diabetic and higher wealth status participants were higher in urban than in rural regions. The prevalence and odds of hypertension were found to be associated with several common factors after stratifying by place of residence. Some of these factors are more concentrated in urban regions, so urban residents with these risk factors need to be made more aware of these in order to control hypertension in Bangladesh. Public health programmes also need to be tailored differently for urban and rural regions, based on the different distribution of these significant factors in the two areas.

Keywords: Hypertension Risk Factors; Rural-Urban Residence; Bangladesh

Introduction

Globally, cardiovascular diseases account for a significant proportion of disabilities and deaths (GBD 2015 DALYs and HALE Collaborators, 2016; GBD 2015 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators, 2016; GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators, 2016). Hypertension is the primary risk factor for the vast majority of cardiovascular diseases, and one of the single highest contributors to these disabilities and deaths (GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators, 2016). Recent estimates show that this condition is becoming an epidemic in developing countries (GBD 2015 DALYs and HALE Collaborators, 2016; GBD 2015 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators, 2016; GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death

© Cambridge University Press, 2018.

Collaborators, 2016; GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators, 2016). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the estimated age-adjusted global prevalence of hypertension was 24.1% among males and 20.1% among females in 2015 (NCD Risk Factor Collaboration, 2017). In 2013, a target was set in the World Health Assembly to reduce the prevalence of hypertension by a quarter of its 2010 level by 2025 (WHO, 2017). To achieve this target, it is particularly important to consider the countries where the prevalence is increasing at an alarming rate compared to other parts of the world; low- and middle-income countries (LMIC) are essential in this context (NCD Risk Factor Collaboration, 2017).

Several behavioural risk factors contribute to high blood pressure and its complications, including unhealthy eating habits, tobacco use, sedentary lifestyle and excessive alcohol consumption. Behavioural risk factors are influenced by socioeconomic factors including education level, wealth status and place of residence (WHO, 2009). Though the association of different factors with blood pressure has been well-studied in high-income countries, little is known about the prevalence and risk factors for hypertension in many LMICs of South Asia in recent years as per recent guidelines, including in Bangladesh (NCD Risk Factor Collaboration, 2017).

The Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2011 (BDHS 2011) estimated the overall prevalence of hypertension in the country (NIPORT et al., 2013). The estimated prevalence of hypertension was found to be 25.7% among people who were 35 years or older. In addition, a number of studies found that prevalence and likelihood of hypertension vary according to their place of residence (Harshfield et al., 2015; Rahman et al., 2015a, b; Tareque et al., 2015; Biswas et al., 2016; Chowdhury et al., 2016). For instance, from the BDHS 2011 data, Rahman and colleagues estimated the prevalence of hypertension by place of residence and observed prevalences of 23.2% and 32.7% in rural and urban areas, respectively (Rahman et al., 2015b). Variations in behavioural characteristics could contribute to these differences in prevalence and the likelihood of this condition according to place of residence (Neuman et al., 2013). For instance, compared with people living in rural locations, people living in urban regions consume more calorie-dense foods and follow sedentary lifestyle patterns that may contribute to a higher body mass index, thereby increasing the risk of high blood pressure (Neuman et al., 2013; Rahman et al., 2015b). Furthermore, substantial differences in socio-demographic characteristics have been demonstrated between rural and urban people, including other health indicators (NIPORT et al., 2013).

Since numerous socio-demographic factors may play a significant role in the prevalence of hypertension, stratifying the association by rural-urban residence may improve existing strategies for the prevention of hypertension in the country. It is also important to understand the differences in distribution of factors that could contribute to the differences in overall prevalence of hypertension between rural and urban regions. Though earlier studies have investigated socioeconomic and other risk factors for hypertension in Bangladesh, no study has stratified and examined prevalence and determinants of hypertension by place of residence (Harshfield *et al.*, 2015; Rahman *et al.*, 2015a, b; Tareque *et al.*, 2015; Biswas *et al.*, 2016; Chowdhury *et al.*, 2016). This study attempted to fill these gaps in existing knowledge by investigating the prevalence and determinants of hypertension according to rural-urban place of residence by examining data from the nationally representative BDHS 2011. The purpose of the study was two-fold: to stratify the prevalence of hypertension by rural-urban place of residence, and to obtain the determinants per this stratification.

Methods

Data source

A secondary analysis was conducted on cross-sectional BDHS 2011 data. Mitra and Associates, a private research firm in Bangladesh, implemented this survey from July 2011 to January 2012. The BDHS 2011 aimed to estimate the prevalence of hypertension and diabetes among women

and men aged 35 years and over, in addition to indicators related to maternal and child health. The 2011 BDHS was designed to cover both rural and urban regions in all seven administrative divisions in Bangladesh (NIPORT *et al.*, 2013).

The survey had a two-staged cluster sampling design. First, 600 primary sampling units (PSUs) were selected, with 207 and 393 PSUs from urban and rural regions, respectively. From these chosen PSUs, a total of 17,964 households were selected. Final data were collected from 17,141 households. Among these households, one-third was selected by simple randomization for biomarker collection and blood pressure measurements. The survey design, data collection method, questionnaires, sample size calculation and results have been published in the BDHS 2011 report (NIPORT *et al.*, 2013).

Measures

A LIFE SOURCE[®] UA-767 Plus blood pressure monitor was used to record the blood pressure levels of the selected participants. Blood pressure was measured three times at an interval of 10 minutes with small, medium and large cuff sizes depending on the cuff size of the respondent. The average of the second and third measurements was used to report the final blood pressure level (NIPORT *et al.*, 2013). The Joint National Committee 7 (JNC 7) guideline cut-off points for blood pressure measurements were used to categorize hypertension (Chobanian *et al.*, 2003). Briefly, a person was classified as hypertensive if s/he had a systolic blood pressure (SBP) \geq 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) \geq 90 mmHg or if the person was taking prescribed anti-hypertensive drugs at the time of the survey.

Potential risk factors were selected based on published reports and the data structure of the BDHS 2011. A person with a fasting plasma glucose of \geq 7.0 mmol/l, or who was on anti-diabetic medication to reduce blood sugar levels during the survey period, was considered diabetic. Body mass index (BMI) was obtained by dividing weight (in kg) by height squared (m²); a person with a BMI \geq 25 kg/m² was considered 'overweight or obese'. The BMI cut-off used for this analysis was the same as that used by the BDHS 2011 to follow a consistent reporting format (NIPORT *et al.*, 2013). Participants reported their age, sex, education level and household components, including basic household construction materials. The BDHS 2011 employed principal component analysis to calculate the wealth index score for the households by stratification into wealth quintiles. A person who was living in a municipal or city corporation was considered to be living in an urban region. The Bangladesh administrative division of residence was also recorded. Bangladesh had seven administrative divisions during the period of survey (NIPORT *et al.*, 2013).

Statistical analyses

The background characteristics of the study participants were stratified by place of residence at the time of the survey. Categorical variables were reported with numbers and percentages, while continuous variables were reported with median and inter-quartile ranges (IQR). The weighted percentage (with 95% confidence interval (CI)) was calculated to obtain the prevalence of hypertension by place of residence in the sample.

Next, crude odds ratios (CORs) were obtained for all study factors. Variables with a predetermined significance level (0.20) in unadjusted analyses were included in the multivariable adjustment (Maldonado & Greenland, 1993). Multivariable logistic regression analysis was carried out to obtain adjusted odds ratios (AORs). Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were reported separately for rural and urban areas. Forward and backward stepwise selection procedures were applied to select variables for final models. To check for multicollinearity among variables before adjusting for other confounders, variance inflation factors (VIFs) were assessed. Stata 14.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA) was used to analyse all the study data. The 'svy' command allowed adjustments of the cluster sampling design in the survey and calculation of the weighted frequency for selected variables. For adjustment purposes and categorizing, categorical variables were created from continuous variables such as age.

Results

Table 1 shows the background characteristics of the study participants stratified by rural-urban residence and hypertension status. A total of 7839 participants (1830 from urban areas and 6009 from rural areas) were included in the analysis. Of these, 597 (32.6%) urban participants and 1419 (23.6%) rural participants had hypertension. The median systolic and diastolic blood pressures in the two regions were similar. Overall, rural participants were slightly older than their urban counterparts, with mean ages of 49 (IQR 41-60) and 48 (IQR 40-58) years, respectively. Although the proportions of males and females were roughly equal, the survey found a higher proportion of hypertensive females in both the urban (61.1%, n = 365) and rural (63.3%, n = 898) regions. The proportion of diabetic hypertensive participants was higher in the urban regions (23.5%, n=135) compared with the rural regions (13.5%, n=185). Similar to diabetes, the proportion of people with overweight/obesity was higher in urban regions (42.6%, n = 756) than rural regions (20.0%, n = 1162). The proportion of participants with any formal education was higher in urban regions than rural regions. About half of the respondents from urban regions were from Dhaka (47.2%, n = 864). Most urban participants were from the two highest wealth quintiles (79.7%, n = 1458), while less than one-third of the rural participants were from these two quintiles (29.9%, n = 1798). Although the proportions of people from different wealth quintile groups were roughly equal in rural regions, the vast majority of the urban hypertensive people were from the top two wealth quintile groups (88.1%, n = 525).

The prevalences of hypertension by stages of blood pressure level are summarized in Figure 1. The prevalences of all four stages beyond normal level (i.e. pre-hypertension or above) were higher in urban regions compared with rural regions. The percentages of people with pre-hypertension, controlled patients on medication, Stage 1 and Stage 2 hypertension were 26.5%, 4.1%, 12.1% and 7.0%, respectively in rural regions; while these percentages were 30.3%, 6.9%, 16.7% and 9.0%, respectively, in urban regions. With an overall prevalence of 25.7% in the study sample, the total prevalence of hypertension was 32.6% in urban regions and 23.6% in rural regions.

Table 2 shows the prevalence (with 95% CI) of hypertension by different background characteristics and rural–urban residence. Urban respondents had higher prevalences according to the majority of the characteristics compared with their rural counterparts. In both regions, older people had a higher prevalence of hypertension compared with younger people, with the highest prevalence being among people \geq 65 years in urban regions (46.3%; 95% CI: 40.4–52.3). The prevalence was greater among females: 40.2% (95% CI: 37.1–43.5) and 29.4% (95% CI: 27.8–31.0) in urban and rural areas, respectively. Nearly half of the overweight/obese and diabetic people in urban areas had hypertension. Although people with no formal education had a slightly greater prevalence in rural regions compared with the other two education groups (i.e. primary and secondary or above), the opposite was the case in urban areas. Khulna division had the highest prevalence in both urban and rural regions: 36.7% (95% CI: 30.4–43.5) and 28.5% (95% CI: 25.5–31.7), respectively. People from the richest wealth quintile in both regions had the highest prevalence, with 39.1% (95% CI: 36.2–42.2) in urban regions and 34.2% (95% CI: 30.8–38.1) in rural regions.

Table 3 shows the crude and adjusted odds ratios of the stratified determinants of hypertension. Participants in the oldest (i.e. ≥ 65 years) age group had greater odds of being hypertensive compared with younger participants (i.e. 35-44 years) in both urban (AOR: 4.1; 95% CI: 2.9–5.7) and rural (AOR: 4.6; 95% CI: 3.8–5.7) regions. A dose–response relationship was observed in relation to age group in both regions. Similarly, female, diabetic and overweight/ obese participants, and those in the two highest wealth quintile groups, had higher odds of

		Urban	Rural			
Characteristic	Overall, $n (\%)^{a}$ ($N = 1830$)	Hypertension, $n (\%)^a$ ($N = 597$)	Overall, $n (\%)^{a}$ ($N = 6009$)	Hypertension, $n (\%)^a$ ($N = 1419$)		
Systolic BP (mmHg), median (IQR)	117 (107–131)	139.5 (126–154)	114 (104–127)	142 (128–157)		
Diastolic BP (mmHg), median (IQR)	79 (72–87)	91 (82–97)	76 (70–84)	91 (83–97)		
Stages of hypertension						
Normal BP	679 (37.1)	NA	2999 (49.9)	NA		
Pre-hypertension	553 (30.3)	NA	1591 (26.5)	NA		
Controlled patients on medication	127 (6.9)	126 (21.3)	249 (4.1)	249 (17.6)		
Stage 1 hypertension	305 (16.7)	305 (51.0)	750 (12.5)	750 (52.8)		
Stage 2 hypertension	165 (9.0)	165 (27.7)	420 (7.0)	420 (29.6)		
Age (years)						
Median (IQR)	48 (40–58)	52 (45–62)	49 (41–60)	55 (45–65)		
35-44	727 (39.7)	170 (28.4)	2123 (35.3)	317 (22.3)		
45–54	553 (30.2)	182 (30.4)	1714 (28.5)	385 (27.1)		
55–64	284 (15.5)	122 (20.5)	1048 (17.5)	280 (19.8)		
≥65	266 (14.6)	123 (20.7)	1123 (18.7)	437 (40.8)		
Sex						
Male	923 (50.4)	232 (38.9)	2953 (49.1)	521 (36.7)		
Female	907 (49.6)	365 (61.1)	3056 (50.9)	898 (63.3)		
Obesity/overweight						
No	1,018 (57.4)	242 (42.2)	4651 (80.0)	899 (66.7)		
Yes	756 (42.6)	330 (57.8)	1162 (20.0)	450 (33.3)		
Diabetes						
No	1033 (87.5)	439 (76.5)	5228 (90.6)	1187 (86.5)		
Yes	573 (12.5)	135 (23.5)	543 (9.4)	185 (13.5)		
Education						
No formal education	995 (54.5)	314 (52.7)	3950 (65.7)	974 (68.7)		
Primary	311 (17.0)	90 (15.2)	1132 (18.8)	234 (16.5)		
Secondary or above	524 (28.6)	192 (32.2)	927 (15.4)	211 (14.9)		
Wealth quintile						
Poorest	103 (5.6)	19 (3.2)	1421 (23.7)	267 (18.8)		
Poorer	91 (5.0)	16 (2.8)	1416 (23.6)	309 (21.8)		
Middle	177 (9.7)	35 (5.9)	1374 (22.9)	311 (21.9)		

Table 1.	Characteristics o	f the tota	l sample and	hypertensive	participants	stratified	by p	lace o	f residence,	BDHS	2011
----------	-------------------	------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	------------	------	--------	--------------	------	------

		Urban	Rural			
Characteristic	Overall, $n (\%)^{a}$ Hypertension, $n (\%)^{a}$ ($N = 1830$) ($N = 597$)		Overall, $n (\%)^{a}$ ($N = 6009$)	Hypertension, $n (\%)^a$ ($N = 1419$)		
Richer	438 (23.9)	126 (21.2)	1181 (19.6)	320 (22.6)		
Richest	1020 (55.8) 399 (66.9)		617 (10.3)	211 (14.9)		
Division						
Sylhet	65 (3.5)	20 (3.4)	384 (6.4)	71 (5.0)		
Chittagong	315 (17.2)	85 (14.3)	1019 (27.0)	208 (14.6)		
Dhaka	864 (47.2)	293 (49.2)	1650 (27.5)	387 (27.3)		
Khulna	205 (11.2)	75 (12.6)	815 (13.6)	232 (16.4)		
Rajshahi	192 (10.5)	58 (9.7)	944 (15.7)	211 (14.8)		
Rangpur	116 (6.4)	45 (7.5)	806 (13.4)	215 (15.1)		
Barisal	72 (4.0)	19 (3.3)	391 (6.5)	95 (5.0)		

Table 1. Continued

IQR: inter-quartile range; NA: Not applicable.

^aNumbers with column percentages unless otherwise specified.

hypertension than male, non-diabetic and under/normal weight participants and those from the poorest wealth quintile groups in both regions. Although secondary or above education level was significantly associated with increased odds of hypertension in urban regions (AOR: 2.0; 95% CI: 1.4–2.9), this association was not observed in rural regions (AOR: 1.2; 95% CI: 0.9–1.6). People living in Khulna (AOR: 1.6; 95% CI: 1.0–2.5) or Rangpur (AOR: 2.5; 95% CI: 1.4–4.5) had a positive association with hypertension in urban regions, while the two divisions had an inverse association in rural regions of Sylhet (AOR: 0.7; 95% CI: 0.5–1.0) and Chittagong (AOR: 0.7; 95% CI: 0.5–0.9).

Discussion

This study analysed a nationally representative sample of people aged over 35 years from Bangladesh to investigate the prevalence of hypertension, and associated factors, by rural–urban residence. People who were of older age, female, diabetic, overweight/obese and of higher wealth status had a relatively higher prevalence and odds of hypertension in both rural and urban regions. On the other hand, education level and division of residence had significant positive associations with hypertension in urban regions only. Furthermore, two divisions had an inverse association with hypertension in rural regions only.

After stratifying the risk factors by place of residence, the study found a difference in the level of education not observed in prior studies investigating the determinants of hypertension without stratification; these found level of education to be a predictor of higher blood pressure in both rural and urban regions (Khanam *et al.*, 2015; Rahman *et al.*, 2015b; Chowdhury *et al.*, 2016). This significant association and higher prevalence among educated people in urban regions might result from having a sedentary lifestyle, and the higher wealth status of urban educated people (Barnes, 2012; Jiang *et al.*, 2016). As a majority of the urban respondents were educated, and these had a higher prevalence of hypertension, educated individuals in urban areas need to receive more public health awareness information to control raised blood pressure levels.

Khulna had a higher prevalence of hypertension than other divisions in both rural and urban regions. On the other hand, two divisions (i.e. Sylhet and Chittagong) had lower odds in rural



Prevalence of Hypertension

Figure 1. Prevalence of hypertension by blood pressure stages and rural-urban region of residence.

regions. Besides the socioeconomic inequalities reported by earlier studies (Tareque *et al.*, 2015; Biswas *et al.*, 2016), salinity in drinking water in Khulna could be a contributing factor to its observed higher prevalence (Benneyworth *et al.*, 2016), as salt is a known risk factor for hypertension (Feng *et al.*, 2017). Increases in the salinity of drinking water are a known consequence of climate change, thus this risk may increase over time (Benneyworth *et al.*, 2016; Talukder *et al.*, 2016; Scheelbeek *et al.*, 2017). In addition, several other divisions had a higher prevalence or likelihood of hypertension, similar to the findings of previous studies (Tareque *et al.*, 2015; Biswas *et al.*, 2016). This could also result from the differences in socioeconomic status of the people in these regions (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2016). However, this finding warrants further investigation of causes for the increased prevalence or odds of hypertension in several divisions of Bangladesh.

The study found a higher prevalence and likelihood of hypertension among diabetic and overweight/obese individuals in all regions, although rural regions had a lower proportion of diabetes and overweightness/obesity compared with urban regions. A number of studies have investigated the risk factors for diabetes (Harshfield et al., 2015; Rahman et al., 2015a; Tareque et al., 2015) and obesity in Bangladesh (Hossain et al., 2012; Biswas et al., 2017; Chowdhury et al., 2018); these risk factors are similar to the factors associated with hypertension (Harshfield et al., 2015; Rahman et al., 2015a; Tareque et al., 2015). Moreover, similar to hypertension, diabetes and overweight/obesity are important emerging epidemics in LMICs and studies have reported clustering of the risk factors for these conditions in many settings (Osei, 2003; Hu, 2011; Neuman et al., 2013; Bhurosy & Jeewon, 2014). Considering the common determinants of these conditions and similar prevention strategies (Harshfield et al., 2015; Rahman et al., 2015a; Tareque et al., 2015), this study highlights the importance of implementing an awareness and control programme to incorporate these three conditions covering both rural and urban areas within the country. Furthermore, as these risk factors are more concentrated in urban regions, these could contribute to the overall difference in prevalence, and urban people need more awareness to minimize the proportion of overweight/obesity and diabetes.

Another principal finding of this study was that wealth status of the respondents had a relationship with hypertension in both rural and urban regions, and the proportion of participants from two upper wealth quintiles was higher in urban areas. This greater proportion of people from the two upper wealth quintiles could also be associated with the overall difference in hypertension prevalence. This finding has been supported by previous studies from Bangladesh (Rahman *et al.*, 2015b; Tareque *et al.*, 2015; Biswas *et al.*, 2016) and other LMICs (da Costa *et al.*, 2007; Fateh *et al.*, 2014; Christiani *et al.*, 2015) that have investigated this association without

Characteristic	Urban	Rural
Age (years)		
35–44	23.3 (20.4–26.5)	14.9 (13.5–16.5)
45–54	32.9 (29.1–36.9)	22.4 (20.5–24.5)
55–64	43.0 (37.4–48.9)	26.7 (24.1–29.5)
≥65	46.3 (40.4–52.3)	38.9 (36.1–41.8)
Sex		
Male	25.1 (22.5–28.1)	17.6 (16.3–19.1)
Female	40.2 (37.1–43.5)	29.4 (27.8–31.0)
Obesity/overweight		
No	27.5 (24.9–30.3)	21.3 (19.8–22.8)
Yes	45.2 (40.3–50.2)	41.6 (37.0–46.4)
Diabetes		
No	29.8 (27.5–32.2)	22.7 (21.6–23.9)
Yes	47.7 (41.9–53.5)	34.1 (30.2–38.2)
Education		
No formal education	31.6 (28.8–34.5)	24.7 (23.3–26.0)
Primary	29.2 (24.4–34.5)	20.7 (18.4–23.1)
Secondary or above	36.7 (32.6–40.9)	22.7 (20.1–25.6)
Wealth quintile		
Poorest	18.5 (12.1–27.3)	18.8 (16.9–20.9)
Poorer	18.2 (11.5–27.5)	21.8 (19.8–24.1)
Middle	20.0 (14.7–26.6)	22.6 (20.5–24.9)
Richer	28.9 (24.8–33.3)	27.2 (24.7–29.8)
Richest	39.1 (36.2-42.2)	34.2 (30.8–38.1)
Division		
Sylhet	31.3 (21.2–43.6)	18.6 (15.0–22.8)
Chittagong	27.2 (22.5–32.4)	20.4 (18.0–23.0)
Dhaka	34.0 (30.9–37.2)	23.4 (21.5–25.5)
Khulna	36.7 (30.4–43.5)	28.5 (25.5–31.7)
Rajshahi	30.2 (24.1-37.1)	22.3 (19.8–25.1)
Rangpur	38.6 (30.1–47.8)	26.6 (23.7–29.8)
Barisal	26.8 (17.8–38.2)	24.3 (20.3–28.8)
Overall	32.6 (30.5–34.8)	23.6 (22.5–24.7)

Table 2. Prevalence (with 95% CI) of hypertension by background characteristics and rural-urban residence, BDHS 2011^a

CI: confidence interval. ^aRow percentage.

Tahle 3	Factors	associated	with	hypertension	hv	rural_urban	nlace	of	residence	BDHS 201	1
Table 5.	Factors	associateu	WILLI	nypertension	DУ	Turat-urban	place	0I	residence,	DDU2 701	. 土

	Urb	Urban		ıral
Characteristic	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Age (years)				
35-44	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
45–54	1.6*** (1.2–2.1)	1.7*** (1.2–2.3)	1.6*** (1.4–2.0)	1.9*** (1.5–2.3)
55-64	2.5*** (1.8-3.4)	2.5*** (1.8-3.5)	2.1*** (1.7-2.6)	2.3*** (1.8-2.9)
≥65	2.8*** (2.1-3.9)	4.1*** (2.9–5.7)	3.6*** (3.0-4.4)	4.6*** (3.8-5.7)
Sex				
Male	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Female	2.0*** (1.7-2.4)	3.0*** (2.2-4.0)	1.9*** (1.7–2.3)	2.2*** (1.8-2.7)
Obesity/overweight				
No	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	2.2*** (1.7–2.8)	1.8*** (1.4–2.3)	2.6*** (2.1–3.3)	2.5*** (1.9-3.1)
Diabetes				
No	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Yes	2.1*** (1.6-6.8)	1.5** (1.1–2.1)	1.8*** (1.4-2.2)	1.6** (1.2–2.0)
Education				
No formal education	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Primary	0.9 (0.7–1.2)	1.4 (1.0–2.0)	0.8* (0.7–1.0)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)
Secondary or above	1.3 (1.0–1.6)1	2.0*** (1.4–2.9)	0.9 (0.7–1.1)	1.2 (0.9–1.6)
Wealth quintile				
Poorest	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
Poorer	1.0 (0.5–1.9)	1.0 (0.4–2.3)	1.2 (1.0–1.5)	1.2 (1.0–1.6)
Middle	1.1 (0.6–1.9)	1.2 (0.6–2.4)	1.3* (1.0–1.6)	1.2 (1.0–1.6)
Richer	1.8* (1.1–2.8)	1.9* (1.1–3.3)	1.6*** (1.3–2.0)	1.5** (1.2–1.9)
Richest	2.8*** (1.9-4.2)	2.7*** (1.5-4.7)	2.2*** (1.7–2.9)	1.7*** (1.3–2.4)
Division				
Sylhet	1.2 (0.7–2.1)	1.2 (0.7–2.1)	0.7* (0.5–1.0)	0.7* (0.5–1.0)
Chittagong	1.0 (0.7-1.6)	1.2 (0.8–1.9)	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	0.7** (0.5–0.9)
Dhaka	1.4† (0.9-2.2)	1.4 (1.0–2.2)	1.0 (0.7–1.2)	1.0 (0.7–1.3)
Khulna	1.6† (1.0–2.5)	1.6* (1.0–2.5)	1.2 (0.9–1.6)	1.3 (0.9–1.7)
Rajshahi	1.2 (0.7–1.9)	1.5 (0.9–2.4)	0.9 (0.7–1.2)	1.0 (0.8–1.3)
Rangpur	1.7* (1.1–2.7)	2.3*** (1.5-3.6)	1.1 (0.9–1.5)	1.3 (1.0–1.8)
Barisal	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.

AOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; COR: crude odds ratio; Ref.: reference category. p < 0.2; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. stratification. However, this is contrary to the findings from high-income countries, where people with lower socioeconomic conditions have an increased risk of hypertension (Scholes et al., 2012; Sampson et al., 2014; Fan et al., 2015). In LMICs, people of higher socioeconomic status follow more sedentary lifestyle patterns and engage in less physical activity than people of a lower socioeconomic status (Bernabe-Ortiz et al., 2012; Tareque et al., 2015). Additionally, people of higher socioeconomic status can usually purchase more consumable resources with a large amount of calories (Doak et al., 2005; Popkin et al., 2012). As a result, they tend to consume more calories, which ultimately makes them overweight or obese and puts them at a greater risk of hypertension than those of lower socioeconomic status (Bernabe-Ortiz et al., 2012; Tareque et al., 2015). Socioeconomic factors not only play a major role in developing hypertension, but also have an association with treatment, control and awareness of the condition (Rahman et al., 2015a). Since people of higher socioeconomic status in both rural and urban regions are at an increased risk of having hypertension after adjusting for age and other factors, increasing awareness, adoption of a healthier lifestyle that includes regular physical exercise (Hypertension Prevention Trial Research Group, 1990), reducing the dietary calorific intake (Langford et al., 1985; Hypertension Prevention Trial Research Group, 1990) and restricting salt intake (Costa et al., 1981; Erwteman et al., 1984) are crucial factors for the prevention and control of hypertension among these populations.

Similar to earlier studies without stratification, this analysis found that old age was associated with higher prevalence and odds of hypertension (Harshfield *et al.*, 2015; Rahman *et al.*, 2015a, b; Tareque *et al.*, 2015; Biswas *et al.*, 2016; Chowdhury *et al.*, 2016). Older people have an increased risk of diabetes, obesity and other co-morbid diseases, including high lipid levels (Rahman *et al.*, 2015a, b; Tareque *et al.*, 2015). Age is a non-modifiable risk factor for hypertension, and since Bangladesh is undergoing a demographic transition, with an increasing proportion of older people (NIPORT *et al.*, 2013), early diagnosis, appropriate management and control of hypertension are crucial to reducing the prevalence, risk and other complications associated with hypertension among the older population.

Despite having an equal proportion of males and females in this survey, females had a higher prevalence and likelihood of hypertension compared with their male counterparts in both regions of residence. The BDHS 2011 reported the prevalence of hypertension after stratifying by sex; the prevalence of hypertension was higher among females across all background characteristics (NIPORT *et al.*, 2013). Both biological and behavioural characteristics could cause this sex difference, as females have a higher risk of obesity and diabetes compared with men (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2015; Biswas *et al.*, 2017). This contrast signifies that females may require more awareness and public health information to control hypertension and to minimize the negative complications resulting from it.

To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first epidemiological study to investigate the prevalence and determinants of hypertension after stratifying by rural-urban residence in Bangladesh. This survey covered rural and urban regions in all administrative divisions throughout the country, thus making this a nationally representative sample. The large sample size and high response rate enabled a representative approximation of population-level risk factors to be obtained. Additionally, the highly trained survey staff and standard validated method of data collection increased the precision of these findings.

The study had its limitations. First, blood pressure was recorded for only one day; longitudinal measurement of blood pressure is required to confirm a precise diagnosis of hypertension (Chobanian *et al.*, 2003). Similar to blood pressure, blood glucose was also measured only one time; this method of collection may misclassify some persons as diabetic. Data from the BDHS 2011 were cross-sectional; causality cannot be established because a temporal relationship cannot be established between explanatory and outcome variables. Furthermore, due to the limitations of the 2011 BDHS dataset, the studied factors were not adjusted for several confounders or known risk factors of hypertension, including genetic factors, smoking, physical inactivity and dietary habit, hyperlipidaemia or psychological stress (Costa *et al.*, 1981; da Costa *et al.*, 2007;

Longo *et al.*, 2009; Bernabe-Ortiz *et al.*, 2012; Heck *et al.*, 2012; Global Burden of Metabolic Risk Factors for Chronic Diseases Collaboration, 2014; Sampson *et al.*, 2014; Fan *et al.*, 2015; Harshfield *et al.*, 2015). Despite these limitations, this study illustrates the need for further evaluation of the unexamined risk factors for the development of hypertension in Bangladesh.

In conclusion, this study stratified the prevalence and determinants of hypertension in Bangladesh according to rural-urban place of residence. As diabetes and overweight/obesity were found to be associated with this condition in both regions, people with higher prevalence and likelihood of hypertension should adopt a healthier lifestyle to control blood glucose level and body weight to minimize complications. Public health information campaigns need to be tailored differently for urban and rural regions, based on the different distribution of these significant factors in the two areas. Furthermore, older people, females and people of higher wealth status need more public health awareness to control hypertension and prevent the negative consequences associated with it.

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to ICF International, Rockville, MD, USA, for giving them permission to use the survey data. The data are available upon request from ICF International, MD, USA.

Ethical Approval. Secondary data from BDHS 2011 were analysed for this study, and the survey data were anonymized. The Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) of the ICF International, Rockville, MD, USA, and Bangladesh Medical Research Council, Dhaka, Bangladesh, approved the BDHS 2011 protocol. This dataset is available for scientific and academic use upon approval from ICF International; permission to use the data was obtained in May 2018.

Conflicts of Interest. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding. No financial support was received for this study.

References

- Barnes AS (2012) Obesity and sedentary lifestyles: risk for cardiovascular disease in women. *Texas Heart Institute Journal* **39** (2), 224–227.
- Benneyworth L, Gilligan J, Ayers JC, Goodbred S, George G, Carrico A *et al.* (2016) Drinking water insecurity: water quality and access in coastal south-western Bangladesh. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research* **26**(5–6), 508–524.
- Bernabe-Ortiz A, Benziger CP, Gilman RH, Smeeth L and Miranda JJ (2012) Sex differences in risk factors for cardiovascular disease: the PERU MIGRANT study. *PloS One* 7 (4), e35127.
- Bhurosy T and Jeewon R (2014) Overweight and obesity epidemic in developing countries: a problem with diet, physical activity, or socioeconomic status? *Scientific World Journal* 2014, 964236.
- Biswas T, Garnett SP, Pervin S and Rawal LB (2017) The prevalence of underweight, overweight and obesity in Bangladeshi adults: data from a national survey. *PloS One* 12(5), e0177395.
- Biswas T, Islam MS, Linton N and Rawal LB (2016) Socio-economic inequality of chronic non-communicable diseases in Bangladesh. *PloS One* 11(11), e0167140.
- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr et al. (2003) The seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 report. JAMA 289(19), 2560–2572.
- Chowdhury MA, Uddin MJ, Haque MR and Ibrahimou B (2016) Hypertension among adults in Bangladesh: evidence from a national cross-sectional survey. *BMC Cardiovascular Disorders* 16(22).
- Chowdhury MA, Uddin MJ, Khan HM and Haque MR (2015) Type 2 diabetes and its correlates among adults in Bangladesh: a population based study. *BMC Public Health* **15**(1070).
- Chowdhury MAB, Adnan MM and Hassan MZ (2018) Trends, prevalence and risk factors of overweight and obesity among women of reproductive age in Bangladesh: a pooled analysis of five national cross-sectional surveys. *BMJ Open* **8**, e018468-2017–018468.
- Christiani Y, Byles JE, Tavener M and Dugdale P (2015) Assessing socioeconomic inequalities of hypertension among women in Indonesia's major cities. *Journal of Human Hypertension* 29(11), 683–688.
- Costa FV, Ambrosioni E, Montebugnoli L, Paccaloni L, Vasconi L and Magnani B (1981) Effects of a low-salt diet and of acute salt loading on blood pressure and intralymphocytic sodium concentration in young subjects with borderline hypertension. *Clinical Science (London, England)* **61** (Supplement 7), 21s–23s.
- da Costa JS, Barcellos FC, Sclowitz ML, Sclowitz IK, Castanheira M, Olinto MT et al. (2007) Hypertension prevalence and its associated risk factors in adults: a population-based study in Pelotas. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia 88(1), 59–65.

- Doak CM, Adair LS, Bentley M, Monteiro C and Popkin BM (2005) The dual burden household and the nutrition transition paradox. *International Journal of Obesity* 29(1), 129.
- Erwteman TM, Nagelkerke N, Lubsen J, Koster M and Dunning AJ (1984) Beta blockade, diuretics, and salt restriction for the management of mild hypertension: a randomised double blind trial. *BMJ(Clinical Research Edition)* 289(6442), 406–409.
- Fan AZ, Strasser SM, Zhang X, Fang J and Crawford CG (2015) State socioeconomic indicators and self-reported hypertension among US adults, 2011 behavioral risk factor surveillance system. *Preventing Chronic Disease* 12, E27.
- Fateh M, Emamian MH, Asgari F, Alami A and Fotouhi A (2014) Socioeconomic inequality in hypertension in Iran. Journal of Hypertension 32(9), 1782–1788.
- Feng W, Dell'Italia LJ and Sanders PW (2017) Novel paradigms of salt and hypertension. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology 28(5), 1362–1369.
- GBD 2015 DALYs and HALE Collaborators (2016) Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 315 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE), 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *The Lancet* 388 (10053), 1603–1658.
- **GBD 2015 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators** (2016) Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 310 diseases and injuries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *The Lancet* **388** (10053), 1545–1602.
- GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators (2016) Global, regional, and national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. The Lancet 388 (10053), 1459–1544.
- **GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators** (2016) Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *The Lancet* **388** (10053), 1659–1724.
- Global Burden of Metabolic Risk Factors for Chronic Diseases Collaboration (2014) Cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, and diabetes mortality burden of cardiometabolic risk factors from 1980 to 2010: a comparative risk assessment. *The Lancet. Diabetes and Endocrinology* 2(8), 634–647.
- Harshfield E, Chowdhury R, Harhay MN, Bergquist H and Harhay MO (2015) Association of hypertension and hyperglycaemia with socioeconomic contexts in resource-poor settings: the Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 44(5), 1625–1636.
- Heck JE, Marcotte EL, Argos M, Parvez F, Ahmed A, Islam T et al. (2012) Betel quid chewing in rural Bangladesh: prevalence, predictors and relationship to blood pressure. International Journal of Epidemiology 41(2), 462–471.
- Hossain MG, Bharati P, Aik S, Lestrel PE, Abeer A and Kamarul T (2012) Body mass index of married Bangladeshi women: trends and association with socio-demographic factors. *Journal of Biosocial Science* 44(4), 385–399.
- Hu FB (2011) Globalization of diabetes: the role of diet, lifestyle, and genes. Diabetes Care 34(6), 1249–1257.
- Hypertension Prevention Trial Research Group (1990) The Hypertension Prevention Trial: three-year effects of dietary changes on blood pressure. Archives of Internal Medicine 150(1), 153–162.
- Jiang S, Lu W, Zong X, Ruan H and Liu Y (2016) Obesity and hypertension. *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine* 12(4), 2395–2399.
- Khanam MA, Lindeboom W, Razzaque A, Niessen L and Milton AH (2015) Prevalence and determinants of prehypertension and hypertension among the adults in rural Bangladesh: findings from a community-based study. *BMC Public Health* 15(203).
- Langford HG, Blaufox MD, Oberman A, Hawkins CM, Curb JD, Cutter GR et al. (1985) Dietary therapy slows the return of hypertension after stopping prolonged medication. *JAMA* 253, 657–664.
- Longo GZ, Neves J, Luciano VM and Peres MA (2009) Prevalence of high blood pressure levels and associated factors among adults in Southern Brazil. Arquivos Brasileiros de Cardiologia 93(4), 387–394, 380–386.
- Maldonado G and Greenland S (1993) Simulation study of confounder-selection strategies. American Journal of Epidemiology 138(11), 923–936.
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (2017) Worldwide trends in blood pressure from 1975 to 2015: a pooled analysis of 1479 population-based measurement studies with 19.1 million participants. *The Lancet* **389**, 37–55.
- Neuman M, Kawachi I, Gortmaker S and Subramanian SV (2013) Urban-rural differences in BMI in low- and middleincome countries: the role of socioeconomic status. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 97(2), 428–436.
- NIPORT, Mitra and Associates and ICF International (2013) National Institute of Population Research and Training Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2011. Dhaka, Bangladesh and Calverton, MD, USA.
- Osei K (2003) Global epidemic of type 2 diabetes: implications for developing countries. *Ethnicity and Disease* 13, S102–106. Popkin BM, Adair LS and Ng SW (2012) Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of obesity in developing countries.
- Nutrition Reviews 70(1), 3–21.
- Rahman MHSE, Islam MJ, Mostofa MG and Saadat KA (2015a) Association of socioeconomic status with diagnosis, treatment and control of hypertension in diabetic hypertensive individuals in Bangladesh: a population-based cross-sectional study. JRSM Open 6(10), 2054270415608118.

- Rahman MM, Gilmour S, Akter S, Abe SK Saito E and Shibuya K (2015b) Prevalence and control of hypertension in Bangladesh: a multilevel analysis of a nationwide population-based survey. *Journal of Hypertension* 33(3), 465–472.
- Sampson UK, Edwards TL, Jahangir E, Munro H, Wariboko M and Wassef MG (2014) Factors associated with the prevalence of hypertension in the southeastern United States: insights from 69,211 blacks and whites in the Southern Community Cohort Study. Circulation. Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes 7(1), 33–54.
- Scheelbeek PFD, Chowdhury MAH, Haines A, Alam DS, Hoque MA and Butler AP (2017) Drinking water salinity and raised blood pressure: evidence from a cohort study in coastal Bangladesh. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 125(5), 057007.
- Scholes S, Bajekal M, Love H, Hawkins N, Raine R, O'Flaherty M and Capewell S (2012) Persistent socioeconomic inequalities in cardiovascular risk factors in England over 1994–2008: a time-trend analysis of repeated crosssectional data. BMC Public Health 12(129).
- Talukder MRR, Rutherford S, Phung D, Islam MZ and Chu C (2016) The effect of drinking water salinity on blood pressure in young adults of coastal Bangladesh. *Environmental Pollution* 214, 248–254.
- Tareque MI, Koshio A, Tiedt AD and Hasegawa T (2015) Are the rates of hypertension and diabetes higher in people from lower socioeconomic status in Bangladesh? Results from a nationally representative survey. *PloS One* 10(5), e0127954.
- WHO (2017) About 9 Voluntary Targets 2017. URL: http://www.who.int/nmh/ncd-tools/definition-targets/en/ (accessed 25th May 2018).
- WHO (2009) Global Health Risks. WHO Mortality and Burden of Disease Attributable to Selected Major Risks. URL: http:// www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/GlobalHealthRisks_report_full.pdf (accessed 25th May 2018).

Cite this article: Kibria, GMA. *et al.* 2018. Differences in prevalence and determinants of hypertension according to ruralurban place of residence among adults in Bangladesh. Journal of Biosocial Science 51: 578–590, doi:10.1017/S0021932018 000366