

## ON THE UNIFORM APPROXIMATION OF SMOOTH FUNCTIONS BY JACOBI POLYNOMIALS

J. PRASAD AND H. HAYASHI

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\omega_n(x)$  denote the Jacobi polynomials with the weight function

$$p(x) = (1 - x)^\alpha(1 + x)^\beta, \alpha > -1 \text{ and } \beta > -1.$$

If we denote the corresponding normalized Jacobi polynomials by  $\bar{\omega}_n(x)$  we have

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{\omega}_n(x) = \left[ \frac{(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_n(x).$$

Now let

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k \bar{\omega}_k(x)$$

be the  $n$ th partial sum of the Fourier series of Jacobi polynomials of a function  $f(x)$ . In the second of three volumes on *Constructive function theory* Natanson proved the following:

**THEOREM 1 [1].** *Let  $\sigma = \max(\alpha, \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and let  $p$  be a positive integer which is not less than  $2\sigma + 2$ . Then on the interval  $[-1, 1]$  every function  $f(x)$  with a continuous  $p$ th derivative can be expanded in a uniformly convergent Fourier series of Jacobi polynomials  $\bar{\omega}_n(x)$ .*

As far as we know this is the latest result on this topic. In our note we improve Natanson's result by proving the following:

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $f(x)$  has  $p$  continuous derivatives on  $[-1, 1]$  and  $f^{(p)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \mu$  ( $0 < \mu < 1$ ), then for  $p + \mu \geq \sigma + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,*

$$(1.2) \quad |f(x) - S_n(x)| \leq c_1^* \ln n/n^{p+\mu-\sigma-\frac{1}{2}};$$

for  $p + \mu \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$(1.3) \quad (1 - x)^{\frac{1}{4}(2\alpha+1)}(1 + x)^{\frac{1}{4}(2\beta+1)}|f(x) - S_n(x)| \leq c_2^* \ln n/n^{p+\mu};$$

and for  $p + \mu \geq \sigma + 2r + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $r \geq 1$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad |f^{(r)}(x) - S_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq c_3^* \ln n/n^{p+\mu-\sigma-2r-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $\sigma = \max(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ .

It is worthwhile to point out that the recent results of Suetin [4] and that of Saxena [3] are particular cases of Theorem 2.

---

Received December 21, 1971.

**2. Jacobi polynomials.** We state in this section some well-known results which will be required later.

From [1] we have for  $\gamma > -1$  and  $\lambda > -1$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\Gamma(n + \gamma + \lambda + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)} < d_1 n^\lambda,$$

where  $d_1$  is a positive constant. Hence we obtain that

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{(2n + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(n + 1)}{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)} \leq d_2 n.$$

Also from [5] we have for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad |\omega_n(x)| \leq d_3 n^\sigma,$$

where  $\sigma = \max(\alpha, \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $d_3$  is a constant depending on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and

$$(2.4) \quad (1 - x)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha+1)}(1 + x)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)}|\omega_n(x)| \leq d_4 n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}, \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Then from (1.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) it follows that for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$(2.5) \quad |\bar{\omega}_n(x)| \leq d_5 n^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad (1 - x)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha+1)}(1 + x)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)}|\bar{\omega}_n(x)| \leq d_6$$

for  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}, \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Further upon applying Markov's inequality [1] to (2.3) and (2.5) we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad |\omega_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq d_3^* n^{\sigma+2r}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad |\bar{\omega}_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq d_5^* n^{\sigma+2r+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**3. Some lemmas.** In order to prove Theorem 2 we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}, \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  then*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha(1 + t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(x)\bar{\omega}_k(t) \right| dt \leq c_5^* n^{\sigma+1},$$

$$(3.2) \quad (1 - x)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha+1)}(1 + x)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)} \times \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha(1 + t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(x)\bar{\omega}_k(t) \right| dt \leq c_6^* n^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha(1 + t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=r}^n \bar{\omega}_k^{(r)}(x)\bar{\omega}_k(t) \right| dt \leq c_7^* n^{\sigma+2r+1},$$

where  $\sigma = \max(\alpha, \beta)$ .

*Proof.* First of all, we evaluate the integral

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta dt.$$

The substitution  $t = 2u - 1$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta dt &= 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \int_0^1 (1 - u)^\alpha u^\beta du \\ &= 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} B(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1) \\ &= \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if  $\sigma = \max(\alpha, \beta) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ , we get by making use of (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(t) \bar{\omega}_k(x) \right]^2 dt &= \sum_{k=0}^n |\bar{\omega}_k(x)|^2 \\ &\leq c_1 \sum_{k=0}^n k^{2\sigma+1} \\ &\leq c_2 n^{2\sigma+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, with the help of Cauchy’s inequality and (3.4), (3.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(t) \bar{\omega}_k(x) \right| dt \\ &\leq \left[ \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(t) \bar{\omega}_k(x) \right\}^2 dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c_3 n^{\sigma+1}, \end{aligned}$$

from which (3.1) follows. By similar arguments (2.6) will yield (3.2), while (2.8) will yield (3.3).

LEMMA 2. *If  $-1 \leq x \leq 1, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$  and  $p + \mu \geq \frac{1}{2}$  then*

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)} (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(x) \bar{\omega}_k(t) \right| dt \leq c_8^* n^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} \ln n,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad &(1 - x)^{\frac{1}{4}(2\alpha+1)} (1 + x)^{\frac{1}{4}(2\beta+1)} \\ &\times \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)} (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(x) \bar{\omega}_k(t) \right| dt \leq c_9^* \ln n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad &\int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)} (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta \\ &\times \left| \sum_{k=r}^n \bar{\omega}_k^{(r)}(x) \bar{\omega}_k(t) \right| dt \leq c_{10}^* n^{\sigma+2r+\frac{1}{2}} \ln n. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We denote by  $\Delta_n(x)$  the part of  $[-1, 1]$  on which  $|x - t| \leq 1/n$  and by  $\delta_n(x)$  the rest of the interval. Consider now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.9) \quad & \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)} (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(x) \bar{\omega}_k(t) \right| dt \\
 &= \int_{\Delta_n(x)} + \int_{\delta_n(x)} \\
 &= J_1 + J_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &= \int_{\Delta_n(x)} (1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)} (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(x) \bar{\omega}_k(t) \right| dt \\
 &\leq c_4 \int_{\Delta_n(x)} (1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(2p+2\mu-1)} \sum_{k=0}^n [(1 - t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha+1)} (1 + t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)} |\bar{\omega}_k(t)|] |\bar{\omega}_k(x)| dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

making use of (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.10) \quad J_1 &\leq c_5 \sum_{k=0}^n k^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Delta_n(x)} dt, \text{ for } p + \mu \geq 1/2 \\
 &\leq c_6 n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n k^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq c_7 n^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To find an estimate for the integral over  $\delta_n(x)$  we make use of the Christoffel formula [5]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.11) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(x) \bar{\omega}_k(t) &= \frac{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+2)2^{-(\alpha+\beta)}}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)\Gamma(n+\beta+1)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)} \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{\omega_{n+1}(x)\omega_n(t) - \omega_n(x)\omega_{n+1}(t)}{x-t} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|x - t| > 1/n$  for  $t \in \delta_n(x)$ , we have therefore, making use of (2.1), (2.3), (2.4) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad J_2 &= \int_{\delta_n(x)} (1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)} (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(t) \bar{\omega}_k(x) \right| dt \\
 &\leq c_8 n \int_{\delta_n(x)} (1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)} (1 - t)^\alpha (1 + t)^\beta \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{|\omega_{n+1}(x)| |\omega_n(t)| + |\omega_n(x)| |\omega_{n+1}(t)|}{|x-t|} \right] dt \\
 &\leq c_9 n^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta_n(x)} (1 - t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)-\frac{1}{4}} (1 - t)^{\alpha/2} (1 + t)^{\beta/2} \frac{dt}{|x-t|} \\
 &\leq c_{10} n^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta_n(x)} \frac{dt}{|x-t|}, \text{ for } p + \mu \geq \frac{1}{2} \\
 &\leq c_{11} n^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} \ln n.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.9), (3.10) and (3.12) we obtain (3.6). The proof of (3.7) can be given in same manner, using (2.1), (2.4), (2.6) and (3.11).

The proof of (3.8) is as follows:

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1-t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)} (1-t)^\alpha (1+t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(t) \bar{\omega}_k^{(r)}(x) \right| dt \\ = \int_{\Delta_n(x)} + \int_{\delta_n(x)} = J_1^* + J_2^*.$$

Making use of (2.6) and (2.8) we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad J_1^* \leq \int_{\Delta_n(x)} (1-t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)-\frac{1}{2}} (1-t)^{\alpha/2} (1+t)^{\beta/2} \\ \times \sum_{k=r}^n [(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha+1)} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)} |\bar{\omega}_k(t)| |\bar{\omega}_k^{(r)}(x)|] dt \\ \leq c_{12} \sum_{k=0}^n k^{\sigma+2r+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\Delta_n(x)} dt, \text{ for } p + \mu \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ \leq c_{13} n^{\sigma+2r+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

To estimate the integral over  $\delta_n(x)$  we differentiate both sides of (3.11)  $r$  times to obtain

$$\sum_{k=r}^n \bar{\omega}_k(t) \bar{\omega}_k^{(r)}(x) = \theta_n \left[ \frac{\omega_n(t) \omega_{n+1}^{(r)}(x) - \omega_{n+1}(t) \omega_n^{(r)}(x)}{x-t} \right] \\ + \theta_n \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{r-\nu} r! [\omega_n(t) \omega_{n+1}^{(\nu)}(x) - \omega_{n+1}(t) \omega_n^{(\nu)}(x)]}{\nu! (x-t)^{r-\nu+1}},$$

where

$$\theta_n = \frac{\Gamma(n+2) \Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+2) 2^{-\alpha-\beta}}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1) \Gamma(n+\beta+1) (2n+\alpha+\beta+2)}.$$

Hence we have

$$(3.15) \quad J_2^* \leq c_{14} n \int_{\delta_n(x)} (1-t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(2p+2\mu-1)} (1-t)^{\alpha/2} (1+t)^{\beta/2} [(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha+1)} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)} \\ \times |\omega_n(t)| |\omega_{n+1}^{(r)}(x)| + (1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha+1)} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)} |\omega_{n+1}(t)| |\omega_n^{(r)}(x)|] \frac{dt}{|x-t|} \\ + c_{14} n \int_{\delta_n(x)} (1-t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(2p+2\mu-1)} (1-t)^{\alpha/2} (1+t)^{\beta/2} \\ \times \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} r! [(1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha+1)} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)} |\omega_n(t)| |\omega_{n+1}^{(\nu)}(x)| \\ + (1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha+1)} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{2}(2\beta+1)} |\omega_{n+1}(t)| |\omega_n^{(\nu)}(x)|] \frac{dt}{\nu! (|x-t|)^{r-\nu+1}} \\ = u_1 + u_2.$$

With the help of (2.4) and (2.7) and bearing in mind that for  $t \in \delta_n(x)$ ,  $|x - t| > 1/n$ , we get

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} u_1 &\leq c_{15}n^{\sigma+2r+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\delta_n(x)} \frac{dt}{|x-t|}, \text{ for } p + \mu \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ &\leq c_{16}n^{\sigma+2r+\frac{1}{2}} \ln n. \end{aligned}$$

Again using (2.4) and (2.7) we have for  $u_2$

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} u_2 &\leq c_{17}n^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{n^{2\nu}}{\nu!} \int_{\delta_n(x)} \frac{dt}{(|x-t|)^{r-\nu+1}}, \text{ for } p + \mu \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ &\leq c_{18}n^{\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} \frac{n^{\nu+r}}{\nu!} \\ &\leq c_{18}n^{r+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r-1} n^\nu \\ &\leq c_{19}n^{2r+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (3.13), (3.14), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) we obtain (3.8).

LEMMA 3 [2]. Let  $f^{(q)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \mu$  ( $0 < \mu < 1$ ), in  $[-1, 1]$ ; then there is a polynomial  $Q_n(x)$  of degree at most  $n$  possessing the following properties:

$$(3.18) \quad |f(x) - Q_n(x)| \leq \frac{c_{11}^*}{n^{\frac{q+\mu}{2}}} \left[ (1-x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(q+\mu)} + \frac{1}{n^{\frac{q+\mu}{2}}} \right]$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad |f^{(r)}(x) - Q_n^{(r)}(x)| \leq \frac{c_{12}^*}{n^{\frac{q+\mu-r}{2}}} \left[ (1-x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(q+\mu-r)} + \frac{1}{n^{\frac{q+\mu-r}{2}}} \right]$$

uniformly in  $[-1, 1]$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots, q$ .

**4. The proof of Theorem 2.** We shall confine ourselves to proving (1.2). The proof of (1.3) and (1.4) can be given along the same lines.

Since  $f^{(p)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \mu$  ( $0 < \mu < 1$ ), and hence there exists a polynomial  $\pi_n(x)$  due to Lemma 3, we write

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(x) - S_n(x)| &\leq |f(x) - \pi_n(x)| + |\pi_n(x) - S_n(x)| \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

With the help of (3.18) we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad I_1 \leq \frac{c_{20}}{n^{\frac{p+\mu}{2}}} \left[ (1-x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)} + \frac{1}{n^{\frac{p+\mu}{2}}} \right] \leq \frac{c_{21}}{n^{\frac{p+\mu}{2}}}.$$

Now consider

$$I_2 \leq \int_{-1}^1 (1-t)^\alpha (1+t)^\beta |\pi_n(t) - f(t)| \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \tilde{\omega}_k(t) \tilde{\omega}_k(x) \right| dt.$$

From (3.18) it follows that

$$I_2 \leq \frac{c_{22}}{n^{p+\mu}} \int_{-1}^1 (1-t)^\alpha (1+t)^\beta (1-t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+\mu)} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(t) \bar{\omega}_k(x) \right| dt \\ + \frac{c_{22}}{n^{2(p+\mu)}} \int_{-1}^1 (1-t)^\alpha (1+t)^\beta \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \bar{\omega}_k(t) \bar{\omega}_k(x) \right| dt.$$

Now using (3.1) and (3.6) we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad I_2 \leq c_{23} n^{\sigma-p-\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \ln n + c_{24} n^{\sigma-2p-2\mu+1},$$

consequently from (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad |f(x) - S_n(x)| \leq c_{21} n^{-p-\mu} + c_{23} n^{\sigma-p-\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \ln n + c_{24} n^{\sigma-2p-2\mu+1} \\ \leq c_{25} n^{\sigma-p-\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \ln n, \quad p + \mu \geq \sigma + \frac{1}{2}.$$

This completes the proof of (1.2). The proof of (1.4) requires both parts of Lemma 3.

*Remark 1.* If  $E_n(f)$  is the best approximation of the function  $f(x)$  by polynomials from  $H_n$ , where  $H_n$  is the set of all polynomials of degree less than or equal to  $n$ , then one can easily see from (4.4) that

$$E_n(f) \leq c^* \ln n / n^{p+\mu-\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ for } p + \mu \geq \sigma + \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Remark 2.* If  $E_n^{(\tau)}$  is the best approximation of  $f^{(\tau)}(x)$  by polynomials of degree  $\leq n$  then it is easy to verify from (1.4) that

$$E_n^{(\tau)} \leq c_3^* \ln n / n^{p+\mu-\sigma-2\tau-\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ for } p + \mu \geq \sigma + 2\tau + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Following word for word the proof of the above lemmas and Theorem 2 and making some minor changes there we also easily establish the following:

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $f(x)$  has  $p$  continuous derivatives on  $[-1, 1]$  and  $f^{(p)}(x) \in \text{Lip } \mu$ ,  $0 < \mu < 1$ , then for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$  and  $p + \mu \geq \max(\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - \tau)$ ,*

$$(4.5) \quad |f(x) - S_n(x)| \leq c_{26} \ln n / n^{p+\mu-\sigma-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and for  $p + \mu \geq \max(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - \tau)$ ,

$$(4.6) \quad (1-x)^{\frac{1}{4}(2\alpha+1)} (1+x)^{\frac{1}{4}(2\beta+1)} |f(x) - S_n(x)| \leq c_{27} \ln n / n^{p+\mu},$$

where  $\sigma = \max(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\tau = \min(\alpha, \beta)$ ;  $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ .

In view of the above theorem one can now also find an estimate for the best approximation as in Remark 1 under the condition

$$p + \mu \geq \max(\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - \tau).$$

## REFERENCES

1. I. P. Natanson, *Constructive function theory* (English transl.), (FUPC., New York, 1964).
2. J. Prasad, *Remarks on a theorem of P. K. Suetin*, Czechoslovak Math. J. *21* (1971), 349–354.
3. R. B. Saxena, *Expansion of continuous differentiable functions in Fourier Legendre series*, Can. J. Math. *19* (1967), 823–827.
4. P. K. Suetin, *Representation of continuous and differentiable functions by Fourier series of Legendre polynomials*, Soviet Math. Dokl. *5* (1964), 1408–1410.
5. G. Szego, *Orthogonal polynomials* (Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub. 2nd ed., 1959).

*City University of New York,  
New York, New York;  
California State University,  
Los Angeles, California*