CrossMark

Functional characterization of a pheromone-binding protein from rice leaffolder *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* in detecting pheromones and host plant volatiles

X. Sun^{1,2}, Z.-F. Zhao¹, F.-F. Zeng¹, A. Zhang³, Z.-X. Lu⁴ and M.-Q. Wang¹*

¹Hubei Insect Resources Utilization and Sustainable Pest Management Key Laboratory, College of Plant Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, People's Republic of China: ²Key Laboratory of Invasive Ecology, College of Life Sciences, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan, People's Republic of China: ³Invasive Insect Biocontrol and Behavior Laboratory, BARC-West, USDA-ARS, Beltsville, MD 20705-2350, USA: ⁴Institute of Plant Protection and Microbiology, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China

Abstract

Pheromone-binding proteins (PBPs) are believed to be involved in the recognition of semiochemicals. In the present study, western blot analysis, fluorescence-binding characteristics and immunolocalization of CmedPBP4 from the rice leaffolder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*, were investigated. Western blot analysis revealed that CmedPBP4 showed obvious antenna-specific expression patterns in female and male antenna, and made a clearly different sex-biased expression. Immunocytochemical labeling revealed that CmedPBP4 showed specific expression in the trichoid sensilla. Competitive fluorescence binding assays indicated that CmedPBP4 could selectively recognize three sex pheromone components (Z13-18:Ac, Z11-16:Al and Z13-18:OH) and eleven rice plant volatiles, including cyclohexanol, nerolidol, cedrol, dodecanal, ionone, $(-)-\alpha$ -cedrene, (Z)-farnesene, β -myrcene, R-(+)-limonene, (-)-limonene, and (+)-3-carene. Meanwhile the CmedPBP4 detection of sex pheromones and host odorants was pH-dependent. Our results, for the first time, provide further evidence that trichoid sensilla might be play an important role in detecting sex pheromones and host plant volatiles in the C. medinalis moth. Our systematic studies provided further detailed evidence for the function of trichoid sensilla in insect semiochemical perception.

Keywords: *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis,* pheromone-binding proteins, immunocytochemistry, fluorescence competitive binding assays, trichoid sensilla

(Accepted 11 June 2016; First published online 7 July 2016)

Introduction

Communication through sex pheromones plays important roles in animal reproduction. In insects, reception of sex pheromones by conspecific males is highly sensitive and speciesspecific (Roelofs, 1995). Soluble pheromone-binding proteins (PBPs), which belong to odorant-binding proteins (OBPs),

*Author for correspondence E-mail: mqwang@mail.hzau.edu.cn are believed to solubilize and transport hydrophobic sex pheromones across the sensillum lymph to membrane associated pheromone receptors located on the olfactory receptor neurons (Vogt, 1987; Pelosi & Maida, 1990; Prestwich et al., 1995), thus PBPs play important roles in pheromone reception processes (Vogt et al., 1985; Leal et al., 2005). In situ hybridization and immunocytochemical localization analyses showed that PBPs exclusively or predominantly localized in the lymph of long and short sensilla trichodea (Zhang et al., 2001; Grobe-Wilde et al., 2007; Forstner et al., 2009), and long trichoid sensilla are thought to be pheromone sensitive and specialized for pheromone detection (Schneider, 1964; Visser, 1986). Thus far, three different PBPs have been reported in at least seven moth species (Picimbon & Gadenne, 2002; Abraham et al., 2005; Jin et al., 2014), while more than three PBPs have been reported in Bombyx mori (Gong et al., 2009a) and Ostrinia furnacalis (Allen & Wanner, 2011). The existence of multiple PBPs in a single species strongly implies functional differences among PBPs, possibly in binding selectivity of different components of female sex pheromone (Steinbrecht, 1998; Abraham et al., 2005; Watanabe et al., 2009; Weng et al., 2015). Considering the nature of moth multiple sex pheromone components, it has been hypothesized that each PBP might recognize a specific component of the sex pheromone (Mohl et al., 2002). However, this hypothesis was not supported by other studies (Willett & Harrison, 1999; Guo et al., 2012). In Mamestra brassicae, MbraPBP1 strongly bound all three sex pheromones Z11-16:Ald, Z11-16:OH and Z11-16:Ac (Campanacci et al., 2001), and no obvious binding selectivity was observed in B. mori (Grater et al., 2006), Plutella xyllotella (Sun et al., 2013a), Helicoverpa armigera and Helicoverpa assulta (Guo et al., 2012) and Spodoptera litura (Liu et al., 2012). Whether PBPs are specific to pheromone ligands is a long-standing question.

Based on their amino acid sequence similarities, lepidopteran OBPs can be divided into PBPs (Vogt & Riddiford, 1981), general odorant-binding proteins (GOBP1 and GOBP2) (Vogt *et al.*, 1991) and antennal binding proteins (Krieger *et al.*, 1996). The PBPs with GOBPs belong to a closely related gene cluster and share one common ancestral gene that diverged by gene duplication events after specialization (Vogt *et al.*, 2002; Gong *et al.*, 2009b). The homologous relationship in evolution means a similar function in olfactory reception. GOBPs were thought to participate in the recognition of general odorants, thus, we wondered whether the PBPs could contribute to the recognition of host plant odorants in the environment. Up to now, there are not many concrete information regarding the plant odorants reception of PBPs is available.

The rice leaffolder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenee) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), which is an important leaf-feeding insect pest to rice, is distributed throughout humid tropical to temperate zones (Khan *et al.*, 1988; Kawazu *et al.*, 2001). Recently, it has become widespread throughout the major rice-growing regions of Asia, especially in South China (Inoue *et al.*, 2004). Due to the cryptic feeding habit of *C. medinalis* larvae, which damage plants by folding the leaves (Nathan *et al.*, 2004), effective control strategies should be based on controlling or killing adults. Previously, we identified three PBP genes from *C. medinalis* antennal libraries and eight morphological sensilla types were identified in both sexes of *C. medinalis* (Sun *et al.*, 2011). PBP genes seemed to be antenna-specific (Zeng *et al.*, 2013). To answer the question if PBPs could participate in recognizing pheromones and host

plant odorants, we focused on CmedPBP4, which was expressed more highly in male antennae, carrying out immunocytochemistry to localize CmedBPB4 in specific olfactory sensilla. Furthermore, fluorescence binding assays of CmedPBP4 with 41 chemicals, including four main sex pheromones and 37 host plant volatiles, were performed. The results showed that trichoid sensilla might be play an important role in the detection of sex pheromones and host odorants for the *C. medinalis* moth. Our systematic studies provide further detailed evidence for the function of trichoid sensilla in insect semiochemical perception.

Materials and methods

Insects

The rice leaffolder *C. medinalis* larvae or pupae were collected in Wuxue, China (115°45′E; 30°00′N) and reared on corn plants in a greenhouse at $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, 16 h light: 8 h dark cycle, 60–80% relative humidity in Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China. Sexed pupae were kept inside glass tubes until moths emerged. Immediately after emergence, female and male adults were provided with a 10% sucrose solution. To obtain mated females, newly emerged (0 day) male and female moths were paired in plastic-screen cages ($20 \times 20 \times 10 \text{ cm}^3$).

Synthetic compounds

All chemicals used fall into two categories: four pheromone components, including (Z)-11-hexadecenyl acetate (Z11-16:Ac), (Z)-13-octadecenyl acetate (Z13-18:Ac), (Z)-11-hexadecenal (Z11-16:Al) and (Z)-13-octadecenol (Z13-18:OH), which were gifted from Dr Aijun Zhang, Invasive Insect Biocontrol and Behavior Laboratory, USDA-ARS-Plant Sciences Institute, Baltimore Ave, Beltsville, USA; and 37 rice volatiles belonging to different chemical classes, including alkanes [octane, tetradecane, hexadecane, heptadecane, octadecane, nonadecane, eicosane, henicosane], alcohols [cyclohexanol, linalool, nerolidol, cedrol, a-terpineol, 3-pentanol, (Z)-3-hexenol, (E)-2-hexenol, heptanol, octen-3-ol, terpinene-4-ol], alkenes [(–)- α -cedrene, (Z)-farnesene, α -terpinene, β -myrcene, sabinene, (–)-(E)-caryophyllene, γ-terpinene, r-(+)-limonene, (-)-limonene, (+)-3-carene], carbonyls [dodecanal, benzaldehyde, hexanal, ionone, 2-heptanone, 2-tridecanone], aromatics [p-cymene, methyl benzoate], which were purchased from commercial sources (Sigma-Aldrich Inc.). All of the chemicals were dissolved in spectrophotometric grade methanol to make stock solutions.

Cloning and sequencing

Total RNA was isolated by TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), the integrity was then checked by 1.1% agarose electrophoresis and the concentration was quantified by spectrophotometry at optical density (OD) at 260 nm. First-strand cDNA was synthesized with a first strand synthesis kit using reverse transcriptase X L (AMV) and an oligo dT 18 primer (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The polymerase chain reactions (PCR) were carried out with 300 ng male antennal cDNAs with two pairs of primers (CmedPBP4-F: CCG<u>GAATTC</u>ATGGAAGTA GAAATGTTACCA; CmedPBP4-R: CCG<u>CTCGAG</u>TCACA CGTCAGCAATGAT), which were based on the cloned fulllength of CmedPBPs (Zeng *et al.*, 2013). The reaction mixture



Fig. 1. Expression and purification of CmedPBP4. The crude bacterial extracts before (lane 1) and after (lane 2) induction with 0.1 mM IPTG, and the purified fractions of recombinant proteins (lane 3–9). M: Protein molecular mass marker of 97, 66, 44, 29, 20 and 14 kDa.

contained 0.1 mM dNTPs, 0.5 mM of each primer and 0.5 U of Ex Taq DNA polymerase (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The PCR cycling conditions were: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min; then 30 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 59°C for 45 s, 72°C for 1 min, and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were gel-purified and subcloned into a pMD 18-T simple vector (TaKaRa, China).

Prokaryotic expression and purification of CmedPBP4 protein

The PCR products were first subcloned into pMD-18T easy vector (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) and then into the bacterial expression vector pET-22b (+) (Novagen, Madison, WI, USA) between the *EcoR* I and *Xhol* I restriction sites, and verified by sequencing. Plasmids containing the correct insert were transformed into Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) PLySs competent cells. When the culture OD_{600} reached 0.6, the protein expression was induced at 37°C for 3 h with 0.1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopryranoside. The bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation (8000 g, 10 min), resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid, pH 7.4) by sonication (10 s, five passes) on ice and centrifuged again (12,000 g, at 4°C for 60 min). The expression of CmedPBP4 was checked by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).

Preparation of antisera

Antisera were obtained by injecting an adult rabbit subcutaneously and intramuscularly with 500 mg of recombinant protein, followed by two additional injections of 300 mg after 15 and 30 days. The protein was emulsified with an equal volume of Freund's complete adjuvant for the first injection and incomplete adjuvant for further injections. Animals were bled 10 days after the last injection and the serum was used without further purification. Rabbits were individually housed in large cages, at constant temperature, and all operations were performed according to ethical guidelines in order to minimize pain and discomfort to animals (Jin *et al.*, 2005). The antisera of anti-CmedPBP4 were used in this study produced against the recombinant CmedPBP4.



Fig. 2. Western blot of total protein extracts of male and female of *C. medinalis*. 1. Antennae, 2. Thorax, 3. Abdomen, 4. Leg, F. Female, M. Male. The results clearly showed that the expression of CmedPBP4 is antenna- specific in the both sexes and the expression level in male is higher than in female.

Western blot analysis

The adults C. medinalis were anesthetized on ice. Antennae were dissected under the microscope using forceps and immediately transferred into a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube that was immersed in liquid nitrogen, and then stored at -80°C. Total protein was extracted by Protein Extraction Kit (Promega, Beijing, China). Protein concentration was quantified by BCA Protein Assay Kit (Sigma-Aldrich). Total proteins from male and female, and from different tissues of the adult, including abdomen, thorax, leg and antennae, were extracted and standardized to 5 mg ml⁻¹ per sample. After electrophoretic separation, protein bands were transferred from an 18% SDS-PAGE to an Immun-Blot PVDF membrane (Liuyi, Beijing, China). After being treated with 5% Difco skim milk (Promega, Beijing, China) in PBST overnight, the PVDF membrane was then incubated with the primary antiserum obtained previously at a dilution of 1:3000. Horseradish peroxidase labeled goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG, diluted 1: 4000) was used as the secondary antibody. Immunoreactions were visualized by an Efficient Chemiluminescent kit (Gen-View Scientific, Inc., El Monte, CA, USA).

Immunolocalization

Antennae were chemically fixed in a mixture of paraformaldehyde (4%) and glutaraldehyde (2%) in 0.1 M phosphatebuffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4), dehydrated in an ethanol series and embedded in LR white resin with polymerization at 60°C. Ultrathin sections (60-80 nm) were cut with a diamond knife on ultramicrotome Leica EM UC6 (Leica, Hamburg, Germany). For immunocytochemistry, the grids were subsequently floated on 30 ml droplets of the following solutions: PBS (containing 50 mM glycine), and then PBGT (PBS containing 0.2% gelatin, 1% bovine serum albumin and 0.02%Tween-20), and after primary antiserum diluted with PBGT. After washing six times with PBGT, secondary antibody diluted with PBGT, PBS glycine, PBS and water, respectively. The sample was observed in a transmission electron microscope. The primary antisera were examined at a dilution ranging from 1: 1200 for CmedPBP4, and incubated at 4°C overnight. As a negative control, the serum supernatant from an uninjected healthy rabbit at the same dilution rate was used as the primary antiserum. The secondary antibody, anti-rabbit IgG (whole molecule)-Gold (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), was diluted 1:30 and then incubated at room temperature for 120-150 min. Immunocytochemical labeling was carried out on sections of ten adults from each sex.



Fig. 3. Immunocytochemical localization of CmedPBP4 proteins in the olfactory sensilla of *C. medinalis* moth antennae. The sensillum lymph in the trichoid sensilla was heavily labeled by anti-PBP4 antisera (the cross sections a–c), while the sensillum basiconic sensilla and sensilla coeloconica were not labeled (the cross-sections d–h). CW, cuticle wall; P, pore; D, dendrites; SL, sensillum lymph.

Fluorescence competitive binding assays

Fluorescence competitive binding assays were performed to determine the binding affinity of the CmedPBP4 protein for various volatile ligands using *N*-phenyl-1-naphthylamine (1-NPN) as a fluorescent probe with 2 μ M of protein. Fluorescence of 1-NPN was excited at 337 nm and emission was recorded between 100 and 1000 nm during a high-speed scan using an RF-5301pc fluorescence spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), a 1 cm light path and a quartz cuvette. Spectra were recorded with a scan speed of 240 nm \min^{-1} and three accumulations. The slit width used for excitation and emission was 3 nm.

To measure the affinity of the fluorescent ligands 1-NPN to CmedPBP4, a 2 μ M solution of protein in 30 mM Tris–HCl, at pH 7.4 and 5.5, respectively, was titrated with aliquots of 1 mM ligand solution in methanol to a final concentration of 1–20 μ M. Binding of all chemicals to recombinant CmedPBP4 was measured by competitive fluorescence assays, using 1-NPN as the fluorescent probe following the described



Fig. 4. Binding curves and Scatchard plots of the fluorescence probe 1-NPN to CmedPBP4 in pH 7.4 and 5.5. The binding curve and the relative Scathard plot indicate the binding constants of CmedPBP4/1-NPN complex were 0.997 ± 0.137 and 6.83 ± 1.52 mM for pH 7.4 and 5.5, respectively.

protocol. Binding data were collected as three independent measurements.

The saturation curve of the binding of 1-NPN by CmedPBP4 protein was constructed and the dissociation constant (K_d) of the binding reaction was calculated by performing a Scatchard analysis of the data using GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). The binding analyses were performed based on the assumption that the protein was completely active and the stoichiometry of binding was 1:1 at saturation. Competition assays were performed to estimate the binding affinity of CmedPBP4 for various volatile ligands. Aliquots of a competitor ligand were added to a sample containing 2 µM recombinant CmedPBP4 and a standard concentration of 1-NPN. A reduction in the relative fluorescence intensity indicated that the competitor displaced 1-NPN from the binding site of the CmedPBP4 protein. The binding data were collected during three independent high-speed scans. The K_i , which represents the K_d of the competitor, was determined based on the concentration of the competitor that displaced 50% of the bound 1-NPN at the standard total 1-NPN concentration (bound plus free) at equilibrium. The K_{i} was calculated according to the following equation: $K_i = [IC50]/1 + [1-NPN]/K1-NPN$, where [1-NPN] is the free concentration of 1-NPN and K1-NPN is the K_d of the 1-NPN-CmedPBP4-binding reaction determined in the Scatchard analysis (Campanacci et al., 2001).

Results

Recombinant protein expression and purification

SDS-PAGE analysis showed that CmedPBP4 was present mainly in the inclusion body. The inclusion bodies were sequentially washed with buffered 1% Triton X-100 and 30 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4). After centrifuged and suspended, inclusion bodies were treated with 15 ml of 8 M urea, and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Then 0.5 ml dithiothreitol and 0.2 ml 100 mM cystine were added. Lastly, they were treated with 15 ml of 5 mM cysteine and centrifuged for 60 min. The denatured proteins in the supernate were refolded by dialysis at 4°C against 1 liter of 30 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0). The supernatant was loaded on a HiPrepTM QFF column (GE Healthcare Biosciences, Beijing, China) and HiPrepTM DEAE column (GE Healthcare Biosciences) and separated with a linear gradient of 0–500 mM NaCl in Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). The identity and integrity of the recombinant proteins were confirmed by SDS–PAGE (fig. 1).

Immunolocalization of CmedPBP4 on antennal sensilla

To characterize the specificity of antibodies among different tissues of *C. medinalis*, we carried out Western blot examinations with the CmedPBP4 antibodies against each of the total protein of antennae, thorax, abdomen and legs in females and males. The results clearly revealed that the expression of CmedPBP4 is antenna-specific in both sexes, and the expression level in male is higher than in female (fig. 2).

Polyclonal antisera made from purified CmedPBP4 was used for the cellular localization of CmedPBP4 in *C. medinalis* antennal sensilla, the results indicated that the trichoid sensilla was strongly labeled by anti-PBP4 antisera, while other kinds of sensilla such as basiconic sensilla and coeloconic sensilla were not labeled by the anti-PBP4 antisera in both sexes (fig. 3).

Ligand-binding of CmedPBP4

In order to evaluate the binding abilities of CmedPBP4 with sex pheromones and host odorants of C. medinalis, the recombinant CmedPBP4 protein was expressed and purified. Fluorescent competitive binding assays were conducted using a fluorescence probe (1-NPN. The binding plots for CmedPBP4 indicated that the binding of 1-NPN was saturable and consistent with a single population of binding sites, with no apparent allosteric effect (fig. 4), which was used to calculate the K_i of sex pheromones and host odorants in the competitive binding assay. Based on the competitive binding curves and the K_i values, the K_d for four main sex pheromones (Kawazu et al., 2000; Kawazu & Tatsuki, 2002; Cho et al., 2013) and 37 host odorants (Lou et al., 2005; Wechgama et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2013b, 2014) with different structures were calculated (table 1). The binding results indicated that CmedPBP4 displayed high binding affinity to three sex pheromone components (Z)-11-hexadecenyl acetate (Z11-16:Ac), (Z)-13-octadecenyl acetate (Z13-18:Ac) and (Z)-13-octa decenol (Z13-18:OH) with IC_{50} values of 2.98 \pm 0.52, 1.10 \pm 0.37 and 1.34 \pm 0.58 mM, respectively. To explore the binding specificities of CmedPBP4 to host odorants, we measured the affinities of the CmedPBP4 to 37 host odorants (fig. 5). The results suggested that the CmedPBP4 had strong affinities to cyclohexanol, nerolidol, cedrol, dodecanal, lonone, (-)- α -cedrene, (Z)-farnesene, β -myrcene, R-(+)-limonene, (-)-limonene and (+)-3-carene.

Effects of pH on binding affinity of CmedPBP4

Although CmedPBP4 exhibited an overall similar pH-dependent change in 1-NPN fluorescence, the CmedPBP4 was very different in sensitivity to pH variation for sex pheromones and host odorants. As a result, CmedPBP4 had no binding affinities to sex pheromones at pH 5.5. Interestingly, CmedPBP4 only bound (–)-(E)-caryophyllene with relatively high affinity at pH 5.5, but with weak or no binding affinities to the other host odorants (fig. 5).

Discussion

Insects mainly rely on various hair-like sensilla located on the antennae to detect plant volatiles or pheromones from the environment (Pelosi, 1994; Gu *et al.*, 2011). Eight

Table 1. Binding data of the recombinant CmedPBP4 with different chemicals at pH 5.5 and 7.4.

Ligand	pH 7.4		pH 5.5	
	IC50	KD	IC50	KD
Sex pheromones				
(Z)-11-hexadecenyl acetate (Z11-16:Ac)	2.98 ± 0.52	2.04 ± 0.3	>50	>50
(Z)-13-octadecenyl acetate (Z13-18:Ac)	1.10 ± 0.37	0.75 ± 0.25	>50	>50
(Z)-11-Hexadecenal (Z11-16:Al)	0.34 ± 0.06	0.23 ± 0.04	>50	>50
(Z)-13-octadecenol (Z13-18:OH)	1.34 ± 0.58	0.92 ± 0.40	>50	>50
Host odorants				
Tetradecane	47.82 ± 12.93	23.87 ± 6.45	>50	>50
Hexadecane	>50	>50	>50	>50
Heptadecane	58.99 ± 18.83	29.44 ± 9.40	>50	>50
Octadecane	>50	>50	>50	>50
Nonadecane	>50	>50	>50	>50
Eicosane	>50	>50	>50	>50
Henicosane	>503	>50	>50	>50
Octane	40.48 ± 5.44	20.20 ± 2.72	>50	>50
Cyclohexanol	5.13 ± 2.50	2.56 ± 1.25	>50	>50
Linalool	>50	>50	>50	>50
Nerolidol	25.69 ± 3.02	12.82 ± 1.51	>50	>50
Cedrol	13.67 ± 1.69	6.82 ± 0.84	>50	>50
α-Terpineol	>50	>50	>50	>50
Heptanol	>502	>50	>50	>50
(Z)-3-hexenol	>504	>50	>50	>50
(E)-2-hexenol	>50	31.85 ± 3.66	>50	>50
octen-3-ol	49.35 ± 8.54	24.63 ± 4.26	>50	>50
3-Pentanol	>50	>50	>50	>50
Terpinene-4-ol	53.11 ± 8.98	26.51 ± 4.48	>50	>50
(–)-α-Cedrene	5.21 ± 0.29	2.60 ± 0.15	>50	>50
(Z)-Farnesene	6.64 ± 0.45	3.16 ± 0.22	>50	>50
α-Terpinene	40.92 ± 5.05	20.42 ± 2.52	>50	>50
β-Myrcene	20.72 ± 2.42	10.34 ± 1.21	32.93 ± 6.72	28.72 ± 5.8
Sabinene	55.54 ± 15.51	27.72 ± 7.74	>50	>50
(–)-(E)-caryophyllene	4.64 ± 0.09	2.32 ± 0.04	6.19 ± 0.91	5.39 ± 0.79
γ-Terpinene	53.24 ± 3.05	26.57 ± 1.52	>50	>50
R-(+)-Limonene	23.64 ± 1.79	11.8 ± 0.89	>50	>50
(–)-Limonene	38.15 ± 15.01	19.04 ± 7.49	>50	>50
(+)-3-carene	23.08 ± 4.03	11.52 ± 2.01	>50	>50
Dodecanal	19.34 ± 1.75	9.65 ± 0.87	>50	>50
Benzaldehyd	>50	>50	>50	>50
Hexanal	>50	26.62 ± 7.10	>50	>50
2-Heptanone	>50	>50	>50	>50
Ionone	14.28 ± 0.14	7.13 ± 0.07	>50	>50
2-Tridecanone	>50	>50	>50	>50
p-Cymene	>50	46.60 ± 12.18	>50	>50
Methyl benzoate	>50	35.22 ± 5.69	>50	>50

morphological types of olfactory sensilla were recorded in both sexes on the C. medinalis moth antennae and four types of trichoid sensilla were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Sun et al., 2011). It is commonly accepted that basiconic sensilla is pheromone sensitive and specialized for pheromone detection, while long trichoid sensilla are generally odorant sensitive and believed to serve as general olfactory sense organs (Schneider, 1964; Visser, 1986; Vogt et al., 1991; Prestwich et al., 1995). PBPs are suspected to play an indispensable role in pheromone perception (Vogt et al., 1985; Krieger & Breer, 1999; Leal, 2003). Tissue expression patterns could provide functional information for CmedPBP4. The results showed that the expression of CmedPBP4 was antenna-specific, suggesting that it was involved in chemical signal detection. Furthermore, the higher expression levels in male antenna indicated that CmedPBP4 might play roles in the detection of female sex pheromones. The lower expression in female antenna

suggested that females might also perceive their own or male pheromone components, or other volatiles. Similar expression patterns of PBPs have been previously reported in multiple lepidopteran insects (Groot et al., 2005; Grobe-Wilde et al., 2007; Hillier & Vickers, 2011; Zhang et al., 2011). Our immunocvtochemistry results showed the high expression intensity of CmedPBP4 in the sensillum lymph of the trichoid sensilla. SEM revealed trichoid sensilla are the most widespread and numerous sensilla on the antennae of both sexes of C. medinalis, and these sensilla are covered with longitudinal grooves or orderly patterns of squamas. TEM of their cross-sections reveal that they have thick cuticular wall surrounding an inner lumen innervated by up to dendritic branches (Sun et al., 2011). The trichoid sensilla, which was the only sensilla that expressed CmedPBP4 in the C. medinalis moth, might be involved in binding and transporting sex pheromones.

It has been hypothesized that PBPs may play roles in pheromone recognition, with each PBP being a specific



Fig. 5. Comparisons of the binding specificity of CmedPBP4 at pH 7.4 and 5.0. Heat map summarizing the mean binding affinities of CmedPBP4 to four sex pheromones (blue) and 37 host odorants (green). Binding affinities are color-coded according to the scale on the right.

component of the sex pheromone blend (Mohl et al., 2002; Maida et al., 2003; Grosse-Wilde et al., 2006), or each PBP detecting different types of sex pheromones (Campanacci et al., 2001; Guo et al., 2012). In our fluorescence-binding assay, CmedPBP4 showed higher binding ability to three major sex pheromones (Z13-18:Ac, Z11-16:Al and Z13-18:OH) of C. medinalis. This result is in agreement with those reporting that PBPs might bind the components of sex pheromone with different affinities (Maida et al., 2003; Hooper et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2012). Perhaps the specificity of pheromone reception may be involved in pheromone receptors. Furthermore, CmedPBP4 could not only carry sex pheromones but also selectively bind and transport host odorants, such as cyclohexanol, nerolidol, cedrol, dodecanal, lonone, (-)- α -cedrene, (Z)-farnesene, β-myrcene, R-(+)-limonene, (-)-limonene and (+)-3-carene. Previous results revealed that none of the plant odorants had any affinity to AipsPBP1-3 of Agrotis ipsilon (Gu et al., 2013). It has been reported that the expression pattern of CmedPBP4 was similar to CmedGOBP2 (Zeng et al., 2013). CmedPBP4 showed high binding affinity to three sex pheromones and eleven host odorants, indicating that CmedPBP4 could be able to selectively discriminate and recognize sex pheromones and host odorants. Previously, a pH-dependent conformational change was postulated to be involved in binding and releasing (Horst et al., 2001; Leal et al., 2005; Michel et al., 2011). Our results showed that pH has an obvious effect on the binding affinity of CmedPBP4, which displayed a higher affinity for competitors at pH 7.4 than those at pH 5.5. It is suggested that CmedPBP4 may exhibit a pH-changed mechanism in sex pheromone and host odorant perception. And together with the result of immunocytochemistry, our results support the notion that the trichoid sensilla is not only involved in the binding and transporting of sex pheromones, but also in host plant volatiles of the C. medinalis moth, providing further evidence for the function of the trichoid sensilla in lepidopteran moth olfaction.

In conclusion, CmedPBP4 showed obvious antenna-specific expression patterns in female and male antennae. Moreover, it exhibited different expression levels between sexes, making a clearly different sex-biased expression. Binding assays further revealed that CmedPBP4 could selectively recognize sex pheromones and host odorants, and the CmedPBP4 detection of sex pheromones and host odorants was pH-dependent. The results of immunocytochemical labeling revealed that CmedPBP4 showed specific expression in the trichoid sensilla. Our results, for the first time, provide evidence that trichoid sensilla might play an important role in detecting sex pheromones and host plant volatiles in the *C. medinalis* moth. The CmedPBP4 gene identified in the current study may serve as a valuable new target for interrupting and monitoring pest populations of the *C. medinalis* moth in integrated pest management programs. Functional characterization of CmedPBP4 in *C. medinalis* pheromone and host odorant reception could provide further evidence to find new environmentally friendly alternative pest control strategies.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported and funded by the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program) (Grant no. 2014AA10A605), China Agriculture Research System (Grant no. CARS-01-17) and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant no. 2013PY046).

References

- Abraham, D., Löfstedt, C. & Picimbon, J.F. (2005) Molecular characterization and evolution of pheromone binding protein genes in *Agrotis* moths. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 35, 1100–1111.
- Allen, J.E. & Wanner, K.W. (2011) Asian corn borer pheromone binding protein 3, a candidate for evolving specificity to the 12-tetradecenyl acetate sex pheromone. *Insect Biochemistry* and Molecular Biology 41, 141–149.
- Campanacci, V., Krieger, J., Bette, S., Sturgis, J.N., Lartigue, A., Cambillau, C., Breer, H. & Tegoni, M. (2001) Revisiting the specificity of *Mamestra brassicae* and *Antheraea polyphemus* pheromone-binding proteins with a fluorescence binding assay. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276, 20078–20084.
- Cho, J.R., Choi, K.S., Park, H.H., Lee, S., Yum, K.H., Jung, J.K., Seo, B.Y. & Lee, M. (2013) Electroantennogram and field responses of Korean population of the rice leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae), to sex attractant candidates. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* 16, 61–66.
- Forstner, M., Breer, H. & Krieger, J. (2009) A receptor and binding protein interplay in the detection of a distinct pheromone component in the silkmoth *Antheraea polyphemus*. *International Journal of Biological Sciences* 5, 745–757.
- Gong, D.P., Zhang, H.J., Zhao, P., Xia, Q.Y. & Xiang, Z.H. (2009a) The odorant binding protein gene family from the genome of silkworm, *Bombyx mori. BMC Genomics* 10, 332.
- Gong, Z.J., Zhou, W.W., Yu, H.Z., Mao, C.G., Zhang, C.X., Cheng, J.A. & Zhu, Z.R. (2009b) Cloning, expression and functional analysis of a general odorant-binding protein 2

gene of the rice striped stem borer, *Chilo suppressalis* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Insect Molecular Biology* **18**, 405–417.

- Grater, F., Xu, W., Leal, W. & Grubmuller, H. (2006) Pheromone discrimination by the pheromone-binding protein of *Bombyx mori. Structure* **14**, 1577–1586.
- Grobe-Wilde, E., Gohl, T., Bouché, E., Breer, H. & Krieger, J. (2007) Candidate pheromone receptors provide the basis for the response of distinct antennal neurons to pheromonal compounds. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 25, 2364–2373.
- Groot, A., Gemeno, C., Brownie, C., Gould, F. & Schal, C. (2005) Male and female antennal responses in *Heliothis virescens* and *H. subflexa* to conspecific and heterospecific sex pheromone compounds. *Environmental Entomology* 34, 256–263.
- Grosse-Wilde, E., Svatos, A. & Krieger, J. (2006) A pheromonebinding protein mediates the bombykol-induced activation of a pheromone receptor *in vitro*. *Chemical Senses* 31, 547–555.
- Gu, S.H., Wang, S.P., Zhang, X.Y., Wu, K.M., Guo, Y.Y., Zhou, J.J.
 & Zhang, Y.J. (2011) Identification and tissue distribution of odorant binding protein genes in the lucerne plant bug *Adelphocoris lineolatus* (Goeze). *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 41, 254–263.
- Gu, S.H., Zhou, J.J., Wang, G.R., Zhang, Y.J. & Guo, Y.Y. (2013) Sex pheromone recognition and immunolocalization of three pheromone binding proteins in the black cutworm moth *Agrotis ipsilon. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 43, 237–251.
- Guo, H., Huang, L.Q., Pelosi, P. & Wang, C.Z. (2012) Three pheromone-binding proteins help segregation between two *Helicoverpa* species utilizing the same pheromone components. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 42, 708–716.
- Hillier, N. & Vickers, N. (2011) Hairpencil volatiles influence interspecific courtship and mating between two related moth species. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 37, 1127–1136.
- Hooper, A.M., Dufour, S., He, X., Muck, A., Zhou, J.J., Almeida, R., Field, L.M., Svatoš, A. & Pickett, J.A. (2009) High-throughput ESI-MS analysis of binding between the *Bombyx mori* pheromone-binding protein BmorPBP1, its pheromone components and some analogues. *Chemical Communications* 38, 5725–5727.
- Horst, R., Damberger, F., Luginbuhl, P., Guntert, P., Peng, G., Nikonova, L., Leal, W.S. & Wuthrich, K. (2001) NMR structure reveals intramolecular regulation mechanism for pheromone binding and release. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 98, 14374– 14379.
- Inoue, H., Kamiwada, H. & Fukamachi, S. (2004) Seasonal changes in adult density and female mating status of the rice leaf roller *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in paddy fields of Southern Kyushu, Japan. *Applied Entomology* and Zoology 48, 177–183.
- Jin, J.Y., Li, Z.Q., Zhang, Y.N., Liu, N.Y. & Dong, S.L. (2014) Different roles suggested by sex-biased expression and pheromone binding affinity among three pheromone binding proteins in the pink rice borer, *Sesamia inferens* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology* 66, 71–79.
- Jin, X., Brandazza, A., Navarrini, A., Ban, L., Zhang, S., Steinbrecht, R.A., Zhang, L. & Pelosi, P. (2005) Expression and immunolocalisation of odorant-binding and chemosensory proteins in locusts. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 62, 1156– 1166.
- Kawazu, K. & Tatsuki, S. (2002) Diel rhythms of calling behavior and temporal change in pheromone production of the rice leaffolder moth, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *Applied Entomology and Zoology* 37, 219–224.

- Kawazu, K., Hasegawa, J., Honda, H., Ishikawa, Y., Wakamura, S., Sugie, H., Kamiwada, H., Kamimuro, T., Yoshiyasu, Y. & Tatsuki, S. (2000) Geographical variation in female sex pheromones of the rice leaf folder moth, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*: identification of pheromone components in Japan. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 96, 103–109.
- Kawazu, K., Setokuchi, O., Kohno, K., Takahashi, K., Yoshiyasu, Y.
 & Tatsuki, S. (2001) Sex pheromone of the rice leaffolder moth. *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae): synthetic Indian and Philippine blends are not attractive to male *C. medinalis*, but are attractive to *C. pilosa* in the South-Western islands in Japan. *Applied Entomology and Zoology* 36, 471–474.
- Khan, Z.R., Barrion, A.T., Litsinger, J.A., Castilla, N.P. & Joshi, R.C. (1988) A bibliography of rice leaffolders (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Insect Science* 9, 129–174.
- Krieger, J. & Breer, H. 1999. Olfactory reception in invertebrates. Science 286, 720–723.
- Krieger, J., von Nickisch-Rosenegk, E., Mameli, M., Pelosi, P. & Breer, H. (1996) Binding proteins from the antennae of *Bombyx* mori. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 26, 297–307.
- Leal, W.S. (2003) Proteins that make sense. pp. 447–476 in Blomquist, G.J. & Vogt, R.G. (Eds) Insect Pheromone Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, the Biosynthesis and Detection of Pheromone and Plant Volatiles. London, Elsevier Academic Press.
- Leal, W.S., Chen, A.M., Ishida, Y., Chiang, V.P., Erickson, M.L., Morgan, T.I. & Tsuruda, J.M. (2005) Kinetics and molecular properties of pheromone binding and release. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102, 5386–5391.
- Liu, N.Y., He, P. & Dong, S.L. (2012) Binding properties of pheromone-binding protein 1 from the common cutworm Spodoptera litura. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology – Part B: Biochemistry & Molecular Biology 161, 295–302.
- Lou, Y.G., Ma, B. & Cheng, J.A. (2005) Attraction of the parasitoid Anagrus nilaparvatae to rice volatiles induced by the rice brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **31**, 2357–2372.
- Maida, R., Ziegelberger, G. & Kaissling, K.E. (2003) Ligand binding to six recombinant pheromone-binding proteins of Antheraea polyphemus and Antheraea pernyi. Journal of Comparative Physiology B 173, 565–573.
- Michel, E., Damberger, F.F., Ishida, Y., Fiorito, F., Lee, D., Leal, W.S. & Wuthrich, K. (2011) Dynamic conformational equilibria in the physiological function of the *Bombyx mori* pheromonebinding protein. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **408**, 922–931.
- Mohl, C., Breer, H. & Krieger, J. (2002) Species-specific pheromonal compounds induce distinct conformational changes of pheromone binding protein subtypes from *Antheraea poly*phemus. Invertebrates Neuroscience 4, 165–174.
- Nathan, S.S., Chung, P.G. & Murugan, K. (2004) Effect of botanical insecticides and bacterial toxins on the gut enzyme of the rice leaffolder *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*. *Phytoparasitica* 32, 433–443.
- **Pelosi, P.** (1994) Odorant-binding proteins. Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology **29**, 199–228.
- Pelosi, P. & Maida, R. (1990) Odorant-binding proteins in vertebrates and insects: similarities and possible common function. *Chemical Senses* 15, 205–215.
- Picimbon, J.F. & Gadenne, C. (2002) Evolution of noctuid pheromone binding proteins: identification of PBP in the black cutworm moth, Agrotis ipsilon. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 32, 839–846.

- Prestwich, G.D., Du, G. & LaForest, S. (1995) How is pheromone specificity encoded in proteins? *Chemical Senses* 20, 461–469.
- Roelofs, W.L. (1995) Chemistry of sex attraction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 92, 44–49.
- Schneider, D. (1964) Insect antennae. Annual Review of Entomology 9, 103–122.
- Steinbrecht, R.A. (1998) Odorant-binding proteins: expression and function. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 855, 323–332.
- Sun, M., Liu, Y. & Wang, G. (2013a) Expression patterns and binding properties of three pheromone binding proteins in the diamondback moth, *Plutella xyllotella*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 59, 46–55.
- Sun, X., Wang, M.Q. & Zhang, G.A. (2011) Ultrastructural observations on antennal sensilla of *Cnaphalocrocis medialis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Microscopy Research and Technique* 74, 113–121.
- Sun, X., Zhou, W., Liu, H., Zhang, A.J., Ai, C.R., Zhou, S.S., Zhou, C.X. & Wang, M.Q. (2013b) Transgenic Bt rice does not challenge host preference of the target pest of rice leaffolder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). PLoS ONE 8, e79032.
- Sun, X., Liu, Z., Zhang, A., Dong, H., Zeng, F.F., Pan, X.Y., Wang, Y. & Wang, M.Q. (2014) Electrophysiological Responses of *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) to synthetic plant volatiles. *Journal of Insect Science* V14, 70.
- Visser, J.H. (1986) Host odor perception in phytophagous insects. Annual Review of Entomology 31, 121–144.
- Vogt, R.G. (1987) The molecular basis of pheromone reception: its influence on behavior. pp. 385–431 in Prestwich, G.D. & Blomquist, G.J. (*Eds*) Pheromone Biochemistry. New York, Academic Press.
- Vogt, R.G. & Riddiford, L.M. (1981) Pheromone binding and inactivation by moth antennae. *Nature* 293, 161–163.
- Vogt, R.G., Riddiford, L.M. & Prestwich, G.D. (1985) Kinetic properties of a sex pheromone-degrading enzyme: the sensillar esterase of Antheraea polyphemus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 82, 8827–8831.

- Vogt, R.G., Prestwich, G.D. & Lerner, M.R. (1991) Odorant-binding-protein subfamilies associate with distinct classes of olfactory receptors neurons in insect. *Journal of Neurobiology* 22, 74–84.
- Vogt, R.G., Rogers, M.E., Franco, M.D. & Sun, M. (2002) A comparative study of odorant binding protein genes: differential expression of the PBP1-GOBP2 gene cluster in *Manduca sexta* (Lepidoptera) and the organization of OBP genes in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera). *Journal of Experimental Biology* 205, 719–744.
- Watanabe, H., Tabunoki, H., Miura, N., Matsui, A., Sato, R. & Ando, T. (2009) Identification of a new pheromone-binding protein in the antennae of a geometrid species and preparation of its antibody to analyze the antennal proteins of moths secreting type II sex pheromone components. *Bioscience, Biotechnology & Biochemistry* 73, 1443–1446.
- Wechgama, K., Laopaiboom, L. & Laopaiboon, P. (2008) Quantitative analysis of main volatile and other compounds in traditional distilled spirits from Thai rice. *Biotechnology* 7, 718–724.
- Weng, C., Fu, Y., Jiang, H., Zhuang, S. & Li, H. (2015) Binding interaction between a queen pheromone component HOB and pheromone binding protein ASP1 of *Apis cerana*. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules* 72, 430–436.
- Willett, C.S. & Harrison, R.G. (1999) Pheromone binding proteins in the European and Asian corn borers: no protein change associated with pheromone differences. *Insect Biochemistry* and Molecular Biology 29, 277–284.
- Zeng, F.F., Sun, X., Dong, H.B. & Wang, M.Q. (2013) Analysis of a cDNA library from the antenna of *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* and the expression pattern of olfactory genes. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 433, 463–469.
- Zhang, S.G., Maida, R. & Steinbrecht, R.A. (2001) Immunolocalization of odorant-binding proteins in noctuid moths (Insecta, Lepidoptera). *Chemical Senses* 26, 885–896.
- Zhang, T.T., Gu, S.H., Wu, K.M., Zhang, Y.J. & Guo, Y.Y. (2011) Construction and analysis of cDNA libraries from the antennae of male and female cotton bollworms *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) and expression analysis of putative odorant-binding protein genes. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 407, 393–399.