

Existence of positive solutions for a semipositone p -Laplacian problem

Alfonso Castro

Department of Mathematics, Harvey Mudd College, Claremont,
CA 91711, USA (castro@g.hmc.edu)

Djairo G. de Figueredo

Instituto de Matemática, Estatística e Computação Científica,
Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Caixa Postal 6065, Campinas,
SP 13083-859, Brazil (djairo@ime.unicamp.br)

Emer Lopera

Escuela de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional de Colombia,
Sede Medellín, Apartado Aéreo 3840, Medellín, Colombia
(edlopera@unal.edu.co)

(MS received 4 November 2014; accepted 25 March 2015)

We prove the existence of positive solutions to a semipositone p -Laplacian problem combining mountain pass arguments, comparison principles, regularity principles and *a priori* estimates.

Keywords: mountain pass theorem; semipositone problem; positive solutions; p -Laplacian; maximum principles; *a priori* estimates

2010 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 35J92; 35J20; 35J60

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the existence of *positive* weak solutions to the problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta_p u &= \lambda f(u) && \text{in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta_p(u) = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ denotes the p -Laplacian operator, $p > 2$. Ω is an open smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $N > 2$. The function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function with $f(0) < 0$ (*semipositone*). We assume that there exist $q \in (p-1, Np/(N-p)-1)$, $A > 0$, $B > 0$ such that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A(u^q - 1) &\leq f(u) \leq B(u^q + 1) && \text{for } u > 0, \\ f(u) &= 0 && \text{for } u \leq -1. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

© 2016 The Royal Society of Edinburgh

We also assume an Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz type of condition, namely that there exist $\theta > p$ and $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$uf(u) \geq \theta F(u) + M, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$F(u) = \int_0^u f(s) \, ds.$$

The assumption $f(0) < 0$ implies that $u = 0$ is not a subsolution to (1.1), making the finding of positive solutions rather challenging; this was pointed out in [6].

The aim of this paper is to prove the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. *There exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, then the problem (1.1) has a positive weak solution $u_\lambda \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in (0, 1)$.*

Our results extend [1, theorem 1.1], where the case $p = 2$ was studied. Extending such a theorem to $p > 2$ is not straightforward due to the lack of regularity and linearity of Δ_p . Associated to (1.1) we have a functional, which will be defined in the next section. We show that this functional has a critical point of mountain pass type and, consequently, a weak solution of (1.1) for appropriate values of $\lambda > 0$. Finally, using order properties of $-\Delta_p$, we prove that by further restricting λ such a solution is actually positive. For recent results on semipositone problems the reader is referred to [2, 3].

2. Preliminary results

Let $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denote the Banach space of functions in $L^p(\Omega)$ with first-order partial derivatives in $L^p(\Omega)$ and vanishing on $\partial\Omega$. By a weak solution to (1.1) we mean an element $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, \nabla \phi \rangle \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u) \phi \, dx \quad (2.1)$$

for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. We denote by $\|\cdot\|_s$ the norm in the space $L^s(\Omega)$ and by $\|\cdot\|_{1,p}$ the norm in the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Associated to (1.1) we have the functional $J_\lambda: W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J_\lambda(u) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^p}{p} \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \lambda F(u(x)) \, dx, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$F(s) := \int_0^s f(r) \, dr.$$

It is well known that J_λ is a functional of class C^1 (see [7]) and that the critical points of the functional J_λ are the weak solutions of (1.1). The proof of theorem 1.1 consists of two main steps:

- (i) the proof of existence of one solution via the mountain pass theorem,
- (ii) the proof that for proper values of λ the solution is indeed positive.

It follows from (1.2) that there exist positive real numbers A_1, B_1 such that

$$F(u) \leq B_1(|u|^{q+1} + 1) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$F(u) \geq A_1(|u|^{q+1} - 1) \quad \text{for all } u \geq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

For simplicity of the notation, we define $r = 1/(q + 1 - p) > 0$. Let $\varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denote a positive differentiable function with $\|\varphi\|_{1,p} = 1$. Let us define the constant

$$c = (2p^{-1}A_1^{-1}\|\varphi\|_{q+1}^{-q-1})^r, \tag{2.5}$$

which will be used in the next lemma.

The next two lemmas prove that J_λ satisfies the geometric hypotheses of the mountain pass theorem.

LEMMA 2.1. *There exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, then $J_\lambda(c\lambda^{-r}\varphi) \leq 0$.*

Proof. Let $s = c\lambda^{-r}$, with c and r as defined above. Hence, due to (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(s\varphi) &= \int_\Omega \left\{ \frac{|\nabla(s\varphi)|^p}{p} - \lambda F(s\varphi) \right\} dx \\ &\leq \frac{s^p}{p} - \lambda A_1 \int_\Omega (s^{q+1}\varphi^{q+1} - 1) dx \\ &= \frac{s^p}{p} - A_1 s^{q+1} \|\varphi\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \lambda + \lambda A_1 |\Omega| \\ &= c^p \left\{ \frac{\lambda^{-rp}}{p} - \lambda A_1 c^{q+1-p} \lambda^{-r(q+1)} \|\varphi\|_{q+1}^{q+1} \right\} + \lambda A_1 |\Omega|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Substituting (2.5) into (2.6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(s\varphi) &\leq c^p \left(\frac{\lambda^{-rp}}{p} - \frac{2}{p} \lambda^{1-r(q+1)} \right) + \lambda A_1 |\Omega| \\ &= c^p \lambda^{-rp} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{p} \lambda^{1+rp-r(q+1)} \right) + \lambda A_1 |\Omega| \\ &= -c^p \lambda^{-rp} \frac{1}{p} + \lambda A_1 |\Omega|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Taking $\lambda_1 < \min\{1, (pA_1c^{-p}|\Omega|)^{-1/(1+pr)}\}$, the lemma is proven. □

LEMMA 2.2. *There exist $\tau > 0, c_1 > 0$, and $\lambda_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that if $\|u\|_{1,p} = \tau\lambda^{-r}$, then $J_\lambda(u) \geq c_1(\tau\lambda^{-r})^p$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_2)$.*

Proof. By the Sobolev embedding theorem there exists $K_1 > 0$ such that if $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $\|u\|_{q+1} \leq K_1\|u\|_{1,p}$. Let

$$\tau = \min\{(2pK_1^{q+1}B_1)^{-r}, c\|\varphi\|_{1,p}\}. \tag{2.8}$$

If $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}} = \tau\lambda^{-r}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\lambda(u) &= \frac{(\tau\lambda^{-r})^p}{p} - \int_\Omega \lambda F(u) \\
 &\geq \frac{(\tau\lambda^{-r})^p}{p} - \lambda \int_\Omega B_1 |u|^{q+1} - \lambda |\Omega| B_1 \\
 &\geq \frac{(\tau\lambda^{-r})^p}{p} - \lambda B_1 K_1^{q+1} \|\nabla u\|_p^{q+1} - \lambda |\Omega| B_1 \\
 &= \frac{(\tau\lambda^{-r})^p}{p} - \lambda B_1 K_1^{q+1} (\tau\lambda^{-r})^{q+1} - \lambda |\Omega| B_1 \\
 &= \lambda^{-rp} \left[\frac{\tau^p}{2p} - \lambda^{1+rp} |\Omega| B_1 \right] \\
 &\geq \lambda^{-rp} \frac{\tau^p}{4p},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where we have used that $\tau \leq (2pK_1^{q+1}B_1)^{-r}$ (see (2.8)). Taking $c_1 = \tau^p/(4p)$ and $\lambda_2 = \tau^{p/(1+rp)}(4pB_1|\Omega|)^{-1/(1+rp)}$, the lemma is proven. \square

Next, using the mountain pass theorem we prove that (1.1) has a solution $u_\lambda \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\lambda_3 = \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$. There exists $c_2 > 0$ such that, for each $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_3)$, the functional J_λ has a critical point u_λ of mountain pass type that satisfies $J_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq c_2\lambda^{-pr}$.*

Proof. First we show that J_λ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition.

Assume that $\{u_n\}_n$ is a sequence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\{J_\lambda(u_n)\}_n$ is bounded and $J'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. Hence, there exists $\nu > 0$ such that $\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle \leq \|\nabla u_n\|_p$ for $n \geq \nu$. Thus,

$$-\|\nabla u_n\|_p^p - \|\nabla u_n\|_p \leq -\lambda \int_\Omega f(u_n)u_n \, dx \quad \text{for } n \geq \nu.$$

Let K be a constant such that $|J_\lambda(u_n)| \leq K$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. From (1.3), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u_n\|_p^p - \frac{\lambda}{\theta} \int_\Omega f(u_n)u_n \, dx + \frac{\lambda}{\theta}M|\Omega| \leq \frac{1}{p}\|\nabla u_n\|_p^p - \lambda \int_\Omega F(u_n) \, dx \leq K.$$

From the last two inequalities we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right)\|\nabla u_n\|_p^p - \frac{1}{\theta}\|\nabla u_n\|_p \leq K - \frac{\lambda}{\theta}M|\Omega|.$$

This proves that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\{u_n\}$ converges weakly. Let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be its weak limit. Since $q < Np/(N - p)$, by the Sobolev embedding theorem we may assume that $\{u_n\}$ converges to u in $L^q(\Omega)$. These assumptions and Hölder’s inequality imply

$$\int_\Omega \lambda f(u_n)(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.10) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} J'_\lambda(u_n) = 0$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \, dx = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Using again that u is the weak limit of $\{u_n\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \, dx = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \, dx \\ & \geq \|\nabla u_n\|_p^p - \|\nabla u\|_p \|\nabla u_n\|_p^{p-1} - \|\nabla u_n\|_p \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1} + \|\nabla u\|_p^p \\ & = (\|\nabla u_n\|_p^{p-1} - \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1}) (\|\nabla u_n\|_p - \|\nabla u\|_p) \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.11)–(2.13),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|\nabla u_n\|_p^{p-1} - \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1}) (\|\nabla u_n\|_p - \|\nabla u\|_p) = 0,$$

which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla u_n\|_p = \|\nabla u\|_p$. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p}$. This proves that J_λ satisfies the Palais–Smale condition.

From (2.6) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{J_\lambda(s\varphi); s \geq 0\} & \leq \frac{C^{1+pr}((q+1)^{r(q-p)} - p)}{D^{pr}p(q+1)^{r(q+1)}} \lambda^{-pr} + \lambda A_1 |\Omega| \\ & := c'_2 \lambda^{-pr} + \lambda A_1 |\Omega| \leq c'_2 \lambda^{-pr} + A_1 |\Omega| \lambda^{-pr} \\ & := c_2 \lambda^{-pr}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where $C = \|\nabla \varphi\|_p^p$ and $D = A_1 \|\varphi\|_{q+1}^{q+1}$.

With this estimate and lemma 2.2, the existence of $u_\lambda \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $\nabla J_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$ and

$$c_1(\tau \lambda^{-r})^p \leq J_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq c_2 \lambda^{-pr} \tag{2.15}$$

follows by the mountain pass theorem. □

REMARK 2.4. The solution $u_\lambda \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is indeed in $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ (cf. [5]).

LEMMA 2.5. *Let u_λ be as in lemma 2.3. Then there is a positive constant M_0 such that*

$$M_0 \lambda^{-r} \leq \|u_\lambda\|_\infty. \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. We already know that there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that $J(u_\lambda) \geq c_1 \lambda^{-rp}$. On the other hand, we have that $F(s) \geq \min F > -\infty$ and $f(s)s \leq B_1(|s|^{q+1} + |s|)$ for all

$s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_{\lambda})u_{\lambda} \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\lambda}|^p \, dx \\ &= pJ(u_{\lambda}) + p\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(u_{\lambda}) \, dx \\ &\geq pC_1\lambda^{-rp} + p|\Omega|\lambda \min F \\ &\geq C_1\lambda^{-rp}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \|u_{\lambda}\|_{\infty} = +\infty$. On the other hand, by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(u_{\lambda})u_{\lambda} \, dx &\leq B_1\lambda \int_{\Omega} (|u_{\lambda}|^{q+1} + |u_{\lambda}|) \, dx \\ &\leq B_1\lambda \int_{\Omega} (\|u_{\lambda}\|_{\infty}^{q+1} + \|u_{\lambda}\|_{\infty}) \, dx \\ &\leq 2B_1|\Omega|\lambda \|u_{\lambda}\|_{\infty}^{q+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $0 < \lambda < 1$. Finally, taking $M_0 = C_1/2B_1|\Omega|$, the lemma is proven. □

LEMMA 2.6. *Let u_{λ} be as in lemma 2.3. Then there exists $c_3 > 0$ such that*

$$\|u_{\lambda}\|_{1,p}^p \leq c_3\lambda^{-pr} \tag{2.17}$$

for all $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_3)$.

Proof. By (1.3) and the definition of u_{λ} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{\theta - p}{\theta} u_{\lambda} f(u_{\lambda}) \, dx &\leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} (u_{\lambda} f(u_{\lambda}) - pF(u_{\lambda})) \, dx - \frac{\lambda p M |\Omega|}{\theta} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_{\lambda}|^p - p\lambda F(u_{\lambda})) \, dx - \frac{\lambda p M |\Omega|}{\theta} \\ &\leq c_2\lambda^{-rp} + \frac{\lambda p M |\Omega|}{\theta} \\ &\leq 2c_2\lambda^{-rp}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where we have used $0 < \lambda < 1$. Now the result follows from (2.18) and the fact that u_{λ} is a weak solution of (1.1). □

3. Proof of theorem 1.1

We prove theorem 1.1 by contradiction. Suppose there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_j\}_j$, $1 > \lambda_j > 0$ for all j , converging to 0 such that the measure $m(\{x \in \Omega; u_{\lambda_j}(x) \leq 0\}) > 0$.

Letting $w_j = u_{\lambda_j}/\|u_{\lambda_j}\|_{\infty}$, we see that

$$-\Delta_p(w_j) = \lambda_j f(u_{\lambda_j}) \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_{\infty}^{1-p}. \tag{3.1}$$

From lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 there is a constant C_3 such that

$$\|w_j\|_{1,p} \leq C_3. \tag{3.2}$$

By [4, proposition 3.7] the sequence w_j is uniformly bounded in $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Hence, for any $\beta \in (0, \alpha)$, the sequence w_j has a subsequence that converges in $C_0^{1,\beta}$. Let us denote its limit by w .

Next, using comparison principles, we prove that $w(x) \geq 0$.

Let $v_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the solution of

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta_p v_0 &= 1 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ v_0 &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3.3}$$

Let $K_j := \lambda_j \min\{f(t); t \in \mathbb{R}\} \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty^{1-p}$. Then the solution v_j of the equation

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta_p v_j &= K_j && \text{in } \Omega, \\ v &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3.4}$$

is given by $v_j = (-K_j)^{1/(p-1)} v_0$.

Since $\lambda_j f(u_{\lambda_j}) \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty^{1-p} \geq K_j$, it follows by the comparison principle in [9] that $w_j \geq v_j$. Then the fact that $v_j(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $w(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Since, by hypothesis, $q > p - 1$, we have $s = Npr/(N - p) > 1$. This result, together with the Sobolev embedding theorem, (1.2) and lemma 2.6, gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega |f(u_{\lambda_j})|^s \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty^{s(1-p)} dx &\leq B^s 2^{s-1} \int_\Omega (|u_{\lambda_j}|^{(q+1-p)s} + 1) dx \\ &\leq C(\|u_{\lambda_j}\|_{1,p}^{Np/(N-p)} + 1) \\ &\leq C(c_3 \lambda_j^{-rNp/(N-p)} + 1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of j and, without loss of generality, we have assumed $\|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty \geq 1$. From (3.5) and the fact that $rNp/(sN - sp) = 1$ we see that $\{\lambda_j f(u_{\lambda_j}) \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty^{1-p}\}$ is bounded in $L^s(\Omega)$, so we may assume that it converges weakly. Let $z \in L^s(\Omega)$ be the weak limit of such a sequence. Since $\|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty^{1-p} \lambda_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ and f is bounded from below, $z \geq 0$. Now if $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \|\nabla w\|^{p-2} \langle \nabla w, \nabla \phi \rangle dx &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \|\nabla w_j\|^{p-2} \langle \nabla w_j, \nabla \phi \rangle dx \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty^{1-p} \|\nabla u_{\lambda_j}\|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_{\lambda_j}, \nabla \phi \rangle dx \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega \|u_{\lambda_j}\|_\infty^{1-p} \lambda_j f(u_{\lambda_j}) \phi dx \\ &= \int_\Omega z \phi dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, $-\Delta_p w = z$. Since $\|w_j\|_\infty = 1$, $w \neq 0$. By Hopf's maximum principle for the p -Laplacian operator (see [8, theorem 5.1]), $w > 0$ in Ω and

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}(x) < 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega.$$

Here $\partial/\partial n$ denotes the outward unit normal derivative. Therefore, since $\{w_j\}_j$ converges in $C^{1,\alpha}$ to w , for sufficiently large j , $w_j(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Hence,

$u_{\lambda_j}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$, which contradicts the assumption that

$$m(\{x; u_{\lambda_j}(x) < 0\}) > 0.$$

This contradiction proves theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements

A.C. was partly supported by Grant no. 245966 from the Simons Foundation.

References

- 1 S. Caldwell, A. Castro, R. Shivaji and S. Unsurangsie. Positive solutions for classes of multiparameter elliptic semipositone problems. *Electron. J. Diff. Eqns* **2007** (2007), paper 96.
- 2 M. Chhetri and R. Shivaji. Existence of a positive solution for a p -Laplacian semipositone problem. *Bound. Value Probl.* **2005** (2005), 323–327.
- 3 M. Chhetri, and P. Girg. Existence of positive solutions for a class of superlinear semipositone systems. *J. Math. Analysis Applic.* **408** (2013), 781–788.
- 4 D. G. de Figueredo, J. P. Gossez and P. Ubilla. Local superlinearity and sublinearity for the p -Laplacian. *J. Funct. Analysis* **257** (2009), 721–752.
- 5 M. Guedda and L. Veron. Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Nonlin. Analysis* **13** (1989), 879–902.
- 6 P. L. Lions. On the existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations. *SIAM Rev.* **24** (1982), 441–467.
- 7 P. H. Rabinowitz. *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 65 (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1986).
- 8 P. Takáč. Degenerate elliptic equations in ordered Banach spaces and applications. In *Nonlinear differential equations*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 404, pp. 111–196 (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 1999).
- 9 P. Tolksdorf. On the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear equations in domains with conical boundary points. *Commun. PDEs* **8** (1983), 773–817.