

## Research Paper

**Cite this article:** De Ro M, Enriquez T, Bonte J, Ebrahimi N, Casteels H, De Clercq P, Colinet H (2021). Effect of starvation on the cold tolerance of adult *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **111**, 694–704. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485321000377>

Received: 29 October 2020

Revised: 6 April 2021

Accepted: 12 April 2021

First published online: 24 August 2021

**Keywords:**


Body composition; cold hardiness; energy reserves; food deprivation; spotted wing drosophila

**Author for correspondence:**

Hervé Colinet,

Email: [herve.colinet@univ-rennes1.fr](mailto:herve.colinet@univ-rennes1.fr)

# Effect of starvation on the cold tolerance of adult *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae)

Madelena De Ro<sup>1,2</sup>, Thomas Enriquez<sup>3</sup>, Jochem Bonte<sup>1</sup>, Negin Ebrahimi<sup>1</sup>, Hans Casteels<sup>1</sup>, Patrick De Clercq<sup>2</sup> and Hervé Colinet<sup>3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Flanders Research Institute for Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (ILVO), Plant – Crop Protection – Entomology, Burgemeester Van Gansberghelaan 96, 9820 Merelbeke, Belgium; <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Department of Plants and Crops, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, 9000 Gent, Belgium and <sup>3</sup>University of Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO [(Ecosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution)] – UMR 6553, F-35000 Rennes, France

**Abstract**

The spotted wing drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*, is an invasive pest in Europe and North America. Access to resources may be challenging in late fall, winter and early spring and flies may suffer from food deprivation along with cold stress in these periods. Whereas a plethora of studies have been performed on the overwintering capacity of *D. suzukii*, the effects of starvation on the fly's cold tolerance have not been addressed. In the present study, young *D. suzukii* adults (reared at 25°C, LD 12:12 h) were deprived of food for various periods (0, 12, 24 and 36 h), after which chill coma recovery time, critical thermal minimum, as well as acute and chronic cold tolerance were assessed. Additionally, the body composition of adults (body mass, water content, total lipid, glycerol, triglycerides, glucose and proteins) before and after starvation periods was analysed to confirm that starvation had detectable effects. Starved adults had a lower body mass, and both lipid and carbohydrate levels decreased with starvation time. Starvation slightly increased critical thermal minimum and affected chill coma recovery time; however, these changes were not gradual with starvation duration. Starvation promoted acute cold tolerance in both sexes. This effect appeared faster in males than in females. Food deprivation also led to enhanced survival to chronic cold stress. Short-term starvation was thus associated with significant changes in body composition in *D. suzukii*, and these alterations could alter some ecologically relevant traits related to cold tolerance, particularly in females. Our results suggest that food deprivation during short time (<36 h) can promote cold tolerance (especially survival after a cold stress) of *D. suzukii* flies. Future studies should address the ecological significance of these findings as short food deprivation may occur in the fields on many occasions and seasons.

**Introduction**

The spotted wing drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura) (Diptera: Drosophilidae), was first detected in Europe and in the contiguous United States in 2008 (Goodhue *et al.*, 2011; Hauser, 2011; Walsh *et al.*, 2011; Calabria *et al.*, 2012). In the following years, *D. suzukii* continued to rapidly spread throughout large parts of North and South America and Europe and has been identified in North Africa, Middle East and Oceania (Asplen *et al.*, 2015; Nikolouli *et al.*, 2017; EPPO, 2021). Unlike most other drosophilids, females of *D. suzukii* have a serrated and highly sclerotized ovipositor that enables them to deposit eggs in healthy, ripening fruits (Mitsui *et al.*, 2010; Hauser, 2011). The resulting larvae feed on the fruit tissue, and secondary damage by pests and pathogens could initiate from the oviposition sites, making the fruits unmarketable, causing major economic losses in soft and stone fruits (Walsh *et al.*, 2011; Rombaut *et al.*, 2017). This species has a high fecundity and a wide host range (Lee *et al.*, 2011; Kenis *et al.*, 2016). Depending on various factors, including crop, cultivar and location, damage caused by *D. suzukii* varies from negligible to 80% of harvest losses (Bolda *et al.*, 2010). More recently, Mazzi *et al.* (2017) estimated revenue losses of up to €64,000 ha<sup>-1</sup> for sweet cherry production in Switzerland. Various integrated pest management programs are under development to control this pest (Haye *et al.*, 2016; Mazzi *et al.*, 2017; Nikolouli *et al.*, 2017). Understanding the complex environmental effects on the biological responses of *D. suzukii* and on thermal (cold) tolerance in general is crucial for estimating population dynamics over the seasons and anticipating population growth at the beginning of the growing season. Such information can be used to develop predictive models that are an essential part of sustainable management programs (Asplen *et al.*, 2015; Hamby *et al.*, 2016).

To characterize cold tolerance of insects, several metrics are often used, including the supercooling point, the critical thermal minimum (CT<sub>min</sub>), the chill coma recovery time

(CCRT), the lower lethal temperature and the lower lethal time (Sinclair *et al.*, 2015). Since most Drosophilidae, including *D. suzukii* (Jakobs *et al.*, 2015; Stephens *et al.*, 2015; Enriquez and Colinet, 2017), are chill susceptible and quickly die as a result of non-freezing cold exposures, their supercooling point (i.e. the temperature at which the insect's body fluids freeze) has little ecological value (Bale, 1993; Andersen *et al.*, 2015; Sinclair *et al.*, 2015). Both  $CT_{min}$  (i.e. the low temperature provoking the loss of neuromuscular coordination) and CCRT (i.e. the time it takes to recover from chill coma) are ecologically relevant measures for insect thermal performance and have therefore been used in many *Drosophila* studies (e.g. Gibert and Huey, 2001; Hazell and Bale, 2011; MacMillan and Sinclair, 2011). Furthermore, Andersen *et al.* (2015) found  $CT_{min}$ , lower lethal temperature and lower lethal time to be the best predictors of the estimated cold tolerance and the geographical distribution of *Drosophila* species. The lower lethal temperature (i.e. the low temperature at which a certain percentage of the test population dies) and the lower lethal time (i.e. the time required to kill a predefined percentage of individuals at a certain temperature) characterize mortality caused by the accumulation of direct and indirect chill injuries, respectively, and can be used to describe the acute and chronic cold tolerance of insects (Denlinger and Lee, 2010; Andersen *et al.*, 2015; Sinclair *et al.*, 2015).

Cold hardness is species-specific, and numerous factors are known to affect this trait, such as the sex or the exposure duration and intensity. Survival at low temperature depends highly on the temperature to which the insect was exposed prior, during and after the cold temperature event (Colinet and Hoffmann, 2012; Grumiaux *et al.*, 2019; Enriquez *et al.*, 2020). Additionally, the duration of exposure, the cooling and rewarming rate, and the frequency of these low-temperature events also affect insect survival (Danks, 1996; Chown and Terblanche, 2006; Colinet *et al.*, 2015). Other ecological variables are known to influence the thermal tolerance of insects, including the relative humidity, wind, photo-period or solar radiation (Danks, 1996; Chown and Terblanche, 2006; Andersen *et al.*, 2013). Food deprivation can also alter insect cold tolerance. Indeed, several studies have shown that starvation can affect the resistance to long-term cold stress in *D. melanogaster* (Le Bourg, 2013; Le Bourg, 2015; Le Bourg and Massou, 2015; Pathak *et al.*, 2018), but the underlying mechanisms remained unresolved.

In recent years, a plethora of studies have focused on the overwintering biology of *D. suzukii*. Winter phenology studies indicate that *D. suzukii* flies most likely overwinter as dormant females in natural or man-made refuges (e.g. Zerulla *et al.*, 2015; Pelton *et al.*, 2016; Rossi-Stacconi *et al.*, 2016; Thistlewood *et al.*, 2018). Several studies have suggested the occurrence of reproductive dormancy in *D. suzukii*, most likely a quiescence rather than a true diapause (e.g. Toxopeus *et al.*, 2016; Wallingford *et al.*, 2016; Wallingford and Loeb, 2016; Zhai *et al.*, 2016; Everman *et al.*, 2018). In addition, studies have evaluated cold tolerance of different life stages and populations (e.g. Kimura, 2004; Jakobs *et al.*, 2016; Plantamp *et al.*, 2016; Ryan *et al.*, 2016; Enriquez and Colinet, 2017), as well as the impact of different acclimation responses and other environmental factors on the thermal (cold) susceptibility of *D. suzukii* (e.g. Jakobs *et al.*, 2015; Shearer *et al.*, 2016; Toxopeus *et al.*, 2016; Enriquez *et al.*, 2018; Stockton *et al.*, 2018; Grumiaux *et al.*, 2019; Enriquez and Colinet, 2019a, 2019b).

Despite the suspected role of food deprivation in shaping the aspects of insect's cold tolerance, including in drosophilids, via

cross-tolerance and hormetic responses (e.g. Salin *et al.*, 2000; Nyamukondiwa and Terblanche, 2009; Andersen *et al.*, 2013; Le Bourg, 2013; Le Bourg, 2015; Scharf *et al.*, 2016), so far, no study has addressed whether starvation can alter the cold tolerance of *D. suzukii*. Therefore, in the present study, we assessed the effects of various periods of starvation (0, 12, 24 and 36 h) on subsequent cold tolerance of adults (reared at 25°C, LD 12:12 h), using several classical cold tolerance metrics (CCRT,  $CT_{min}$ , acute and chronic cold survival). The body composition and energetic reserves (mass, water content, total lipid, glycerol, triglycerides, glucose and soluble proteins) were measured in control and starved individuals to attest that starvation treatments had detectable effects, assuming that starvation would strongly affect lipids and cold tolerance (Hoffmann *et al.*, 2005).

## Materials and methods

### Mass rearing and starvation treatments

A 1-year-old laboratory stock culture of *D. suzukii* flies was used. It was established from a field collection of flies on blueberries and raspberries in Thorigné Fouillard, France (48°3'41.8"N, 1°14'19.3"W), in September 2016. The colony was reared in 100 ml glass bottles containing an artificial diet (per litre water: 15 g agar, 50 g sucrose, 30 g inactive dry brewer's yeast, 20 g cornmeal, 50 g carrot powder, 1.2 g methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (Nipagin) dissolved in 12 ml ethanol, 2.22 g tartaric acid, 0.89 g ammonium sulphate, 0.22 g magnesium sulphate and 0.67 g potassium phosphate) and kept in an incubator (MIR-154-PE, Panasonic, Healthcare Co., Ltd., Gunma, Japan) set at 25°C, LD 12:12 h and 70% RH. Newly emerged adults were collected daily and maintained on the same artificial diet. Three- to four-day-old adults were randomly taken from the rearing stock, were transferred in groups of approximately 30 individuals to 40 ml plastic *Drosophila* vials (25 × 95 mm, VWR International, France) and divided into four experimental treatments in which they were either given access to medium *ad libitum* (= control group, or 0 h starvation) or deprived of food for increasing periods (12, 24 or 36 h). Vials of the control group contained 5 ml of the artificial medium described above, whereas those of the other treatments were filled with 5 ml agar-water medium (1 litre distilled water, 15 g agar and 1.2 g methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate dissolved in 12 ml ethanol) to induce starvation without desiccation. Mortality due to starvation was checked before the experiments and never exceeded 2%.

### Critical thermal minimum

The  $CT_{min}$  of flies submitted to increasing starvation periods was studied using a long (52 × 4.7 cm), vertically positioned glass knockdown column containing several cleats to help flies hold on to the column while still awake. The column was connected to a thermostatic cooling bath (Lauda ECO RE 630S, Lauda Dr R. Wobser GmbH & Co. KG, Lauda-Königshofen, Germany) that pumped monopropylene glycol through the double-walled column. For each treatment group and each sex separately, approximately 60 flies, randomly selected within each starvation treatments, were placed in the upper end of the column, after which temperature was gradually decreased from 20 to -5°C at a rate of 0.5°C min<sup>-1</sup> ( $n$  = approximately 60 flies), a rate considered as a standard when assessing  $CT_{min}$  (Sinclair *et al.*, 2015). The temperature inside the column was monitored continuously

using a thermocouple type K connected to a Comark Tempscan C8600 scanning thermometer (Comark Instruments, Norwich, Norfolk, UK). The  $CT_{\min}$  values were individually recorded at the moment flies lost muscular functions due to cold-induced paralysis, also known as chill coma, and hence fell out of the column. This experiment was conducted twice with flies of two successive generations (i.e.  $n$  total per starvation treatment and per sex = approximately 120 flies).

#### Chill coma recovery time

For each starvation treatment and each sex separately, chill coma was induced by placing 50 flies, randomly selected within each treatment, in a 35 ml glass test tube that was placed inside an ice-water slurry already at 0°C for 8 h ( $n = 50$ ). This temperature and exposure time were chosen according to previous work on the thermal tolerance of *D. sukuzii* (Enriquez and Colinet, 2017) and are classically used in *Drosophila* (Sinclair *et al.*, 2015). Upon removal, adults were supinely positioned on a table in a temperature-regulated room at  $24 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  using a fine paintbrush, and the time to regain the ability to stand (i.e. chill coma recovery time, CCRT) was monitored individually. After 1 h, the experiment was stopped. Flies that were not on their legs after 1 h of recovery were considered not recovered. Measurements of CCRT were done in two repeated experiments using adult *D. sukuzii* of two successive generations (i.e.  $n$  total per starvation treatment and sex = 100 flies).

#### Acute cold tolerance assay

For each starvation treatment and sex separately, 100 flies randomly selected within each treatment were separated into ten replicates of ten individuals ( $n = 100$  per treatment and sex). Flies were exposed to acute cold stress in 35 ml empty glass test tubes ( $n = 10$  per tube) directly placed in a cryostat (Lauda ECO RE 630S, Lauda Dr R. Wobser GmbH & Co. KG) set at  $-5^\circ\text{C}$  for 1 h. This temperature and exposure time were chosen according to previous work on the thermal tolerance of *D. sukuzii* (Enriquez and Colinet, 2017). Afterwards, flies were transferred back to food vials and placed in an incubator (MIR-154-PE, Panasonic, Healthcare Co., Ltd.) set at rearing conditions. Survival was visually assessed 48 h after cold exposure. Acute cold tolerance assays were performed twice in two successive generations (i.e.  $n$  total per starvation treatment and sex = 200 flies).

#### Chronic cold tolerance assay

To assess the chronic cold tolerance, for each starvation treatment and each sex separately, 100 flies randomly selected within each treatment were separated into ten replicates of ten individuals ( $n = 100$  per treatment and sex). Flies were placed in empty glass test tubes (10 per tube) and directly exposed to chilling in an ice-water slurry at 0°C for 8 h. This temperature and exposure time were chosen according to previous work on the thermal tolerance of *D. sukuzii* (Enriquez and Colinet, 2017). Following chronic cold treatment, all individuals were transferred to an incubator (MIR-154-PE, Panasonic, Healthcare Co., Ltd.) maintained at rearing conditions in food vials. Like in the acute cold tolerance assay, the number of survivors was counted 48 h later. Chronic cold tolerance assays were performed twice in two successive generations (i.e.  $n$  total per starvation treatment and sex = 200 flies).

#### Gravimetric measurements

For each starvation treatment, fresh mass of 30 randomly chosen males and females ( $n = 30$ ) was quantified with 0.001 mg accuracy using an XP2U microbalance (Mettler Toledo International Inc., Greifensee, Switzerland). Flies were briefly anaesthetized with  $\text{CO}_2$  during the procedure. Next, adults were placed individually in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes and stored at  $-80^\circ\text{C}$  for approximately 2 h. The flies were then dried in a universal oven (UNE 200, Memmert GmbH & Co. KG, Schwabach, Germany) at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  for 48 h. Afterwards, all flies were reweighed to determine their dry mass. The body water content of each individual was then calculated as the difference between the fresh and dry mass and quantified as a percentage of fresh mass.

Lean dry mass was measured by the addition of 1.5 ml Folch mixture (= 2:1 chloroform:methanol) to the tubes containing the dried flies, after which the tubes were placed horizontally on a shaker (Polymax 1040, Heidolph Instruments GmbH & Co. KG, Schwabach, Germany). Three days later, the liquid phase containing total lipids was removed and flies were redried in the oven at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h. Samples were reweighed to quantify the lean dry mass. The total lipid content of the individual corresponds to the dry mass subtracted by its lean dry mass and divided by its fresh mass, respectively.

#### Triglyceride and glycerol quantification

For each starvation treatment and sex, eight biological replicates, each consisting of a pool of five adults ( $n = 8$ ) were used to detect triglyceride (TAG) and glycerol concentrations by means of a colourimetric assay with triglyceride reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, France; T2449) as described by Tennessen *et al.* (2014). This method is commonly used to quantify TAG. However, it should be kept in mind that this colourimetric assay not only releases glycerol from triglycerides but also from mono- and diglycerides. Flies were snap-frozen and homogenized in liquid nitrogen using a pellet pestle to obtain a fine powder. This powder was then diluted in 200  $\mu\text{l}$  of PBST buffer solution (phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) + 0.05% Tween). After heat inactivation of the enzymes (10 min at  $70^\circ\text{C}$ ), two sets of aliquots were taken from each sample. Free glycerol reagent (Sigma-Aldrich; F6428) was added to all aliquots, while triglyceride reagent, containing a lipoprotein lipase that cleaves glycerides into glycerol and fatty acids, was only added to one set of aliquots. The optical density of these samples was measured at 540 nm using a 96-well plate reader (VersaMax Microplate Reader, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Conversion of the absorbance in each sample to its total glycerol concentration was done based on the triolein-equivalent standard curve (0–1  $\text{mg ml}^{-1}$  range) (Sigma-Aldrich; G7793). The total amount of glycerides was then calculated by subtracting the glycerol concentration in the aliquots containing triglyceride reagent from that in the aliquots without triglyceride reagent (i.e. the initial concentration of free glycerol). Finally, TAG and glycerol levels were converted and expressed as quantities ( $\mu\text{g}$ ) per adult fly.

#### Glucose and protein quantification

For each starvation treatment and sex, free glucose levels were determined using the Glucose Oxidase (GO) assay kit (Sigma-Aldrich; GAGO-20), following the protocol described by Tennessen *et al.* (2014). For this colourimetric assay, eight

biological replicates, each consisting of five pooled adults, were homogenized into a fine powder using liquid nitrogen and a pestle ( $n = 8$ ). After adding 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of PBS, samples were incubated at 70°C for 10 min, and diluted 1:8 in PBS. Then, 30  $\mu\text{l}$  of clear supernatant was transferred to a 96-well microplate. To each well, 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of GO reagent consisting of glucose oxidase and peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich; G3660), and o-dianisidine (Sigma-Aldrich; D2679) was added. These samples were incubated at 37°C for 30 min, after which time the enzymatic reaction was stopped by the addition of 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of 12N sulphuric acid. The optical density was measured at 540 nm using a 96-well plate reader (VersaMax Microplate Reader, Molecular Devices), with the intensity of the colour being proportional to the original glucose concentration in the sample. Quantification was done using the calibration curve from the glucose standard solution (0–0.16 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> range) (Sigma-Aldrich; G3285) and converted to  $\mu\text{g}$  per adult fly.

To measure the soluble protein content, 10  $\mu\text{l}$  of the homogenized samples from the glucose assay was subjected to low-spin centrifugation (500  $\times$  g, 5 min, 4°C) to allow gentle sedimentation of cell debris. From each sample, 5  $\mu\text{l}$  of clear supernatant was taken, diluted three times in PBS and transferred to a 96-well microplate, together with 250  $\mu\text{l}$  of Bradford micro-assay reagent (Sigma-Aldrich; B6916) for measurement of the optical density at 595 nm (VersaMax Microplate Reader, Molecular Devices). The quantity of soluble proteins was determined based on a standard curve using a bovine serum albumin standard (Sigma-Aldrich; P0834 and P0914) (0–1.25 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> range) and converted to  $\mu\text{g}$  per adult fly.

### Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed in R version 3.4.4 (R Core Team, 2018). To determine if there was a significant difference between the two replicated experiments of cold tolerance, a generalized linear model (GLM) was fitted to the data, with ‘repetition’ as a factor. When data between both replicated experiments did not differ significantly ( $P > 0.05$ ), they were pooled, and a GLM was used to describe the effects of ‘sex’, ‘starvation’ (i.e. fed or starved for various durations, coded as a categorical variable) and ‘sex by starvation’ interaction. When a significant difference was found between the two repeated experiments, a generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) was fitted to the data (‘glmer’ function in ‘lme4’ package) via restricted maximum likelihood (REML), with ‘repetition’ as a random effect. For acute and chronic cold survival data, regression models with binomial error distribution and logit link function were used to analyse the data. For survival data, we specified the number of failures (i.e. dead) as well as the numbers of successes (i.e. alive) in a two-vector binomial response variable. As individuals from the same starvation treatment were divided into ten vials during the exposures, we build a first GLMM model with ‘vial’ as a random factor to account for any uncontrolled variability among the vials. We also build a classical GLM model without this random factor, and next, we compared both models using the ‘model.sel’ function from the ‘MuMin’ package. Based on smaller AIC, the GLM models were chosen. For the continuous and positive data (i.e. CT<sub>min</sub> and CCRT), a significant difference was found between the two repeated experiments, hence a GLMM was used, with  $\gamma$  error distribution and identity link. For all models, the statistical significance of each variable was determined by an analysis of deviance via the ‘Anova’ function implemented in the ‘car’ package (Fox and Weisberg, 2011). Differences among groups of

‘starvation’ or ‘sex by starvation’ variables were computed using estimated marginal means (EMMs) in ‘emmeans’ package (Lenth, 2018) and were considered significantly different when  $P \leq 0.05$ .

Data obtained from gravimetric measurements and TAG, glycerol, glucose and soluble protein were checked for normality and homogeneity of variances. When these assumptions were not fulfilled, data were log-transformed or non-parametric tests were used. Total lipid, glucose and TAG were analysed with (parametric) ANOVA with ‘starvation’, ‘sex’ and ‘sex by starvation’ interaction as factors. Post-hoc tests were then conducted on significant terms via EMMs. Due to heteroscedasticity of variances, the data of lean dry mass and body water were analysed with Welch’s ANOVA, using the ‘oneway.test’ function implemented in the ‘stats’ package (R Core Team, 2018). Pairwise comparisons of starvation groups were done with the Games–Howell post hoc test using ‘posthocTGH’ function in ‘userfriendlyscience’ package (Peters, 2017). The Kruskal–Wallis test was applied to analyse the data of fresh mass, dry mass, glycerol and soluble protein content, and Dunn’s post-hoc tests were used to determine differences among all the ‘sex by starvation’ combinations (in ‘Dunn.test’ package). The Kruskal–Wallis test cannot be applied to a factorial structure, hence, when these tests were used, we did not report separate effects of ‘starvation’, ‘sex’ and their ‘interaction’. Outliers were identified based on the interquartile range criterion and removed if present.

## Results

### Critical thermal minimum


Fasting significantly affected the CT<sub>min</sub> of adults (GLMM,  $\chi^2 = 44.60$  df = 3,  $P < 0.001$ ), whereas sex did not (GLMM,  $\chi^2 = 1.69$ , df = 1,  $P = 0.19$ ). No significant interaction was detected between sex and starvation (GLMM,  $\chi^2 = 3.40$ , df = 3,  $P = 0.334$ ), therefore EMMs were not performed on the interaction between starvation and sex. Males and females starving for periods of 12, 24 or 36 h had a higher CT<sub>min</sub> (on average 5.2, 5.4 and 5.4°C, respectively) than control flies fed *ad libitum* (4.8°C) (EMMs,  $P < 0.001$  for all treatments) (fig. 1). Even if fasting globally increased CT<sub>min</sub> compared to control only, comparisons of CT<sub>min</sub> within the starved flies (i.e. 12, 24, 36 h) were not different (EMMs,  $P > 0.05$ ) (fig. 1).

### Chill coma recovery time


Starvation had a global significant effect on CCRT (GLMM,  $\chi^2 = 20.69$ , df = 3,  $P = 0.0001$ , fig. 2). However, no clear pattern was observed among the different starvation treatments for males. Yet, females starved for 36 h needed more time to recover from chill coma than those fasting for 12 h (EMMs,  $P = 0.011$ ) or 24 h (EMMs,  $P < 0.001$ ) (fig. 2). Males had globally a higher CCRT than females (GLMM,  $\chi^2 = 8.17$ , df = 1,  $P = 0.004$ ), especially when flies were starved for 12 or 24 h (EMMs, all  $P$  values  $< 0.001$ ) (fig. 2). A small, but significant interaction between the duration of starvation and sex (GLMM,  $\chi^2 = 8.85$ , df = 3,  $P = 0.031$ ) indicated that the effect of starvation on CCRT differed between sexes.

### Acute cold tolerance assay

Starvation significantly increased acute cold survival (GLM,  $\chi^2 = 36.73$ , df = 3,  $P < 0.001$ ). There was only a marginal overall




**Figure 1.** Boxplots of the critical thermal minimum (CT<sub>min</sub>) of *Drosophila sukuzii* following a starvation period of 0, 12, 24 and 36 h in females ( $n = 134, 124, 135$  and  $117$ , respectively; dark grey) and in males ( $n = 137, 120, 130$  and  $129$ , respectively; light grey). The data combined two replicated experiments. Observations within the 25–75 percentile range are represented by the boxes. The horizontal lines inside the boxes display the medians and the crosses represent the means. Boxes with different letters indicate differences among the starvation periods (i.e. significant effect of ‘starvation period’ followed by EMMs post-hoc tests,  $P \leq 0.05$ ).



**Figure 2.** Boxplots of the chill coma recovery time (CCRT) of *Drosophila sukuzii* following a starvation period of 0, 12, 24 and 36 h in females ( $n = 100$ ; dark grey) and in males ( $n = 100$ ; light grey). The data combined two replicated experiments. Observations within the 25–75 percentile range are represented by the boxes. The horizontal lines inside the boxes display the medians and the crosses represent the means. Boxes with different letters indicate differences among all the combined treatments (i.e. significant ‘sex by starvation’ interaction followed by EMMs post-hoc tests,  $P \leq 0.05$ ).

difference between sexes (GLM,  $\chi^2 = 3.79$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.05$ ), and survival was affected by the interaction between starvation and sex (GLM,  $\chi^2 = 12.85$ ,  $df = 3$ ,  $P = 0.005$ ). A fasting period of 36 h resulted in female flies being more resistant to acute cold exposure than females that were starved for 12 or 24 h or that were fed *ad libitum* (EMMs,  $P < 0.05$  for all treatments) (fig. 3). Results also

showed that males starved for 12, 24 and 36 h were more cold tolerant than controls and >90% were still alive after the cold treatment (EMMs, all  $P$  values  $< 0.05$ ) (fig. 3). Overall, males appeared to slightly better cope with acute cold exposure than females; the survival rates being different between sexes for flies starved for 12 h (EMMs,  $P < 0.001$ ) and 24 h (EMMs,  $P = 0.001$ ) (fig. 3).



**Figure 3.** Mean survival ratio of *Drosophila sukuzii* 48 h after an acute cold exposure (1 h at  $-5^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) according to sex and starvation group ( $n=200$  individuals for each bar). Error bars represent standard errors of the means. Bars with different letters indicate differences among all the treatment combinations (i.e. significant 'sex by starvation' interaction followed by EMMs post-hoc tests,  $P \leq 0.05$ ).

### Chronic cold tolerance assay

Starvation globally affected chronic cold tolerance (GLM,  $\chi^2 = 33.91$ ,  $df = 3$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), and overall survival differed between sexes (GLM,  $\chi^2 = 4.79$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.028$ ). Females that were starved for 24 or 36 h had significantly higher chronic cold survival than control females (EMMs,  $P < 0.05$  for all treatments) (fig. 4). In males, starvation for 12 and 24 h increased survival, but these changes were not significant, and a 36 h starvation caused lower survival than a 12 h starvation (EMMs,  $P = 0.038$ ) (fig. 4). Fasting for 36 h resulted in more females surviving than males (EMMs,  $P = 0.008$ ), but such sex difference was not found in the other starvation treatments or the control group (fig. 4). These differences between males and females were highlighted by a significant 'sex by starvation' interaction (GLM,  $\chi^2 = 25.68$ ,  $df = 3$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ).

### Gravimetric measurements

Fresh weight was affected by starvation (Kruskal–Wallis,  $\chi^2 = 167.61$ ,  $df = 7$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Among the different starvation treatments, only 36 h of fasting distinctly reduced male and female fresh weight (Dunn tests, all  $P$  values  $< 0.05$ ) (table 1). The same response was observed for the dry mass (Kruskal–Wallis,  $\chi^2 = 166.85$ ,  $df = 7$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and lean dry mass (Welch's ANOVA,  $F_{(7, 94.4)} = 89.98$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Body weight measurements, i.e. fresh, dry and lean dry mass, indicated that females were on average heavier than males in all treatment groups, as expected ( $P < 0.001$  for all pairwise comparisons of fresh and dry mass (Dunn post-hoc tests), and lean dry mass (Games–Howell post-hoc tests)).

Water content was significantly affected by starvation (Welch's ANOVA,  $F_{(7, 94.3)} = 17.06$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). The water content of females that starved for 24 h or more was slightly higher than that of fed females (Games–Howell, all  $P < 0.001$ ). Furthermore, with the


exception of the 12 h starvation group, both starved and non-starved males contained significantly more water than females (i.e. 72 vs. 70%) (Games–Howell,  $P$  values  $< 0.05$ ) (table 1).

For the total lipid content, the parametric ANOVA indicated that all factors had a significant effect ( $F_{3, 221} = 12.46$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ;  $F_{1, 221} = 165.66$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ;  $F_{3, 221} = 3.06$ ,  $P = 0.029$  for starvation, sex and their interaction, respectively). Starvation for 24 and 36 h resulted in females having lower body lipid content than controls (EMMs,  $P \leq 0.01$ ) (table 1). Males that fasted for 24 or 36 h contained less lipids compared to 12 h starved males (EMMs,  $P < 0.05$  for both pairwise comparisons), but not to controls (EMMs,  $P$  values  $> 0.05$ ) (table 1). In all treatments, females had a markedly higher lipid content than males (EMMs, all  $P$  values  $< 0.001$ ) (table 1).

### Triglyceride and glycerol quantification

Starvation and sex affected TAG quantity, but there was a significant interaction between these two factors (ANOVA, starvation:  $F_{3, 53} = 29.81$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; sex:  $F_{1, 53} = 190.03$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; sex by starvation:  $F_{3, 53} = 1.04$ ,  $P = 0.380$ ). The longer the starvation period, the lower the TAG level (EMMs,  $P < 0.05$  for both males and females) (table 2). Triglycerides were detected in significantly higher amounts in females than in males, and this for both starved and non-starved flies (EMMs, all  $P$  values  $< 0.001$ ). Females contained between 43.7 and 72.1% more TAG compared to males (table 2).

For glycerol, although Kruskal–Wallis test showed significant differences among all the combined groups (Kruskal–Wallis,  $\chi^2 = 44.824$ ,  $df = 7$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), the amount of glycerol in males and females was not noticeably impacted by increased starvation time within each sex group (Dunn tests,  $P > 0.05$  for all pairwise comparisons) (table 2). This difference was due to the females that typically contained more glycerol than males (table 2).



**Figure 4.** Mean survival ratio of *Drosophila suzukii* 48 h after a chronic cold exposure (8 h at 0°C) according to sex and starvation group ( $n=200$  individuals for each bar). Error bars represent standard errors of the means. Bars with different letters indicate differences among all the treatment combinations (i.e. significant 'sex by starvation' interaction followed by EMMs post-hoc tests,  $P \leq 0.05$ ).

**Table 1.** Body weight, water and lipid content of non-starved and starved male and female *Drosophila suzukii* adults

Sex	Starvation duration (h)	$n^1$	Fresh mass ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	Dry mass ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	Lean dry mass ( $\mu\text{g}$ )	Water content (%)	Lipid content (%)
Females	0	27	1641.0 $\pm$ 24.3 a	523.0 $\pm$ 10.5 a	399.7 $\pm$ 7.0 a	68.6 $\pm$ 0.3 e	7.6 $\pm$ 0.3 a
	12	30	1575.4 $\pm$ 51.7 a	487.5 $\pm$ 20.1 a	378.4 $\pm$ 15.9 a	69.1 $\pm$ 0.4 de	6.6 $\pm$ 0.3 ab
	24	28	1619.5 $\pm$ 24.4 a	468.5 $\pm$ 9.0 a	388.1 $\pm$ 8.2 a	70.6 $\pm$ 0.3 cd	5.6 $\pm$ 0.2 bc
	36	29	1297.0 $\pm$ 26.1 c	383.0 $\pm$ 8.2 d	306.3 $\pm$ 6.3 b	70.7 $\pm$ 0.2 bc	6.0 $\pm$ 0.2 b
Males	0	28	1123.5 $\pm$ 33.8 b	328.0 $\pm$ 11.6 b	265.1 $\pm$ 9.8 cd	72.0 $\pm$ 0.4 ab	4.4 $\pm$ 0.2 de
	12	30	1095.0 $\pm$ 15.7 b	326.0 $\pm$ 7.4 b	275.5 $\pm$ 6.0 c	70.5 $\pm$ 0.4 bd	4.9 $\pm$ 0.2 cd
	24	28	1125.0 $\pm$ 16.8 b	300.5 $\pm$ 6.3 bc	262.6 $\pm$ 4.8 c	72.4 $\pm$ 0.3 a	4.0 $\pm$ 0.2 e
	36	29	971.00 $\pm$ 20.1 d	266.0 $\pm$ 6.7 c	225.6 $\pm$ 5.1 d	72.6 $\pm$ 0.3 a	3.8 $\pm$ 0.2 e

<sup>1</sup>Number of tested individuals (replicates).

Means ( $\pm$ SEM) or median within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ).

Post-hoc  $P$  values were determined with Dunn's tests (for fresh mass, dry mass), Games-Howell tests (for lean dry mass, water content) or EMMs tests (for lipid content).

### Glucose and protein quantification

Glucose content was significantly influenced by sex and starvation, but not by their interaction (ANOVA, sex:  $F_{1, 55} = 69.29$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; starvation:  $F_{3, 55} = 26.10$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; starvation  $\times$  sex:  $F_{3, 52} = 0.734$ ,  $P = 0.537$ ). Starvation led to decreased glucose contents in both sexes. This inverse relationship was significant for flies starved for 24 or 36 h (EMMs, all  $P < 0.05$ ) (table 2). In general, glucose fractions differed markedly between males and females, with the latter having a 26.4–57.6% higher glucose content than males (EMMs, all  $P$  values  $< 0.001$ ) (table 2).

Fasting also affected the protein content of *D. suzukii* (Kruskal-Wallis,  $\chi^2 = 46.25$ ,  $df = 7$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). Fasting for 24 h caused males to lose a distinct quantity of soluble proteins compared to controls (Dunn test,  $P = 0.027$ ), but no such loss was detected in females (table 2). Although no other significant

differences were found between treatments in both sexes, the mean soluble protein content tended to diminish gradually with increasing starvation periods (table 2). Females contained considerably more soluble protein than males (Dunn tests, all  $P$  values  $< 0.05$ ), except for those starved for 36 h (Dunn test,  $P = 0.056$ ) (table 2).

### Discussion

In the present study, we assessed the effect of food deprivation on subsequent cold tolerance of *D. suzukii* adults. Starvation resulted in decreased body weights in both males and females, demonstrating clearly that flies had experienced a nutritional shortage. Similarly, lipid and glucose stores dropped because of starvation, and 12 h of fasting were already sufficient to significantly reduce

**Table 2.** Amounts of triglycerides, glycerol, glucose and soluble proteins of non-starved and starved *Drosophila suzukii* adults

Sex	Starvation duration (h)	Triglycerides (µg)	Glycerol (µg)	Glucose (µg)	Soluble proteins (µg)
Females	0	38.9 ± 2.4 A (8)	2.4 ± 0.1 a (8)	8.6 ± 0.4 A (8)	103.3 ± 2.7 a (8)
	12	39.7 ± 2.2 A (6)	1.9 ± 0.1ab (6)	7.25 ± 0.6 BA (8)	99.0 ± 4.9 ab (8)
	24	30.5 ± 2.0 B (8)	1.9 ± 0.1 ab (8)	7.1 ± 0.4 B (8)	84.1 ± 3.4 abc (8)
	36	23.6 ± 2.2 C (8)	1.9 ± 0.0 ab (8)	4.4 ± 0.3 C (6)	74.8 ± 4.0 abcd (7)
Males	0	18.2 ± 1.2 A (7)	1.6 ± 0.1 bc (7)	5.7 ± 0.3 A (8)	72.5 ± 4.1 bcd (8)
	12	22.3 ± 1.2 A (8)	1.6 ± 0.0 bc (8)	5.3 ± 0.3 BA (8)	61.3 ± 4.8 cde (8)
	24	16.0 ± 1.8 B (8)	1.5 ± 0.1 c (8)	4.1 ± 0.6 B (8)	51.2 ± 1.7 e (8)
	36	6.6 ± 0.7 B (8)	1.4 ± 0.0 c (8)	1.9 ± 0.3 C (6)	56.722 ± 2.9 de (8)

The number of tested replicates, each containing five pooled individuals, is shown in parentheses.

Within a column, means (±SEM) or median followed by the same letters are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ ). Lowercase letters correspond to comparisons among all conditions (Kruskal–Wallis tests followed by Dunn's post-hoc tests for glycerol and proteins). Uppercase letters correspond to comparisons among the four different starvation durations only (ANOVA followed by EMMs post-hoc tests for triglycerides and glucose).

glucose and glyceride levels. It is well known that a mixture of different energy resources, mainly carbohydrates and lipids, and occasionally proteins, are metabolized during starvation in *Drosophila* flies (Oudman *et al.*, 1994; Marron *et al.*, 2003; Schwasinger-Schmidt *et al.*, 2012). Starvation in *Drosophila melanogaster* flies initially leads to the catabolism of non-lipid reserves (Lee and Jang, 2014). This may change after approximately 36–48 h (depending on the diet) when body fat resources become the primary energy source (Lee and Jang, 2014). Starved flies may ultimately die due to the complete depletion of their lipid reserves (Rion and Kawecki, 2007; Lee and Jang, 2014). In our study, we starved adults for various periods to generate mild stress pre-treatments before the cold stress exposures; yet, the starvation periods chosen were short enough to avoid significant mortality. Since previous studies have shown that fasting has a robust hormetic effect on subsequent survival to cold in many *D. melanogaster* genotypes (Le Bourg, 2013; Le Bourg and Massou, 2015), we speculated it might also be the case for *D. suzukii*. From our data, it was clear that flies had their physiological status and their energy stores altered by starvation, and we thus expected this pre-treatment to affect cold tolerance in some positive ways (cross-tolerance and hormesis), as reported in other *Drosophila* studies (e.g. Le Bourg, 2013; Le Bourg, 2015; Le Bourg and Massou, 2015; Pathak *et al.*, 2018). Genetic associations between resistance to cold and starvation have been investigated in laboratory-selected strains for different stressors in *D. melanogaster* to find cross-tolerance effects (Bubliy and Loeschcke, 2005).

Our data showed that starvation affected cold tolerance in *D. suzukii* but these effects were metric-dependent, as we observed positive, negative or no effect of starvation according to the assay. For instance, in starved adults, chill coma occurred at slightly higher temperatures than in fed individuals (5.3 vs. 4.9°C). Some studies have focused on the effect of starvation on the cold tolerance of insects but a few have used  $CT_{min}$  as a metric for low-temperature performance. Nyamukondiwa and Terblanche (2009) assessed the influence of feeding status on the thermal activity thresholds of two tephritid fruit flies and found similar results as in our study:  $CT_{min}$  of both species increased as a result of a 48 h starvation period, and no major sex-related variation was detected. This slight increase in  $CT_{min}$  values could be due to the depletion of energy reserves because of nutrient restriction. Genetic experiments on lines of *D. melanogaster*, which were

selected either for increased starvation tolerance or for decreased CCRT, revealed that starvation and cold resistance were negatively correlated (Hoffmann *et al.*, 2005). This could be due to the competitive use of lipid storage. Moreover, tests performed on the tsetse fly *Glossina pallidipes* showed that individuals with the lowest  $CT_{min}$  had the highest body lipid and water content, suggesting an inverse relationship between energy resources and knockdown temperature thresholds (Terblanche *et al.*, 2008). This could, however, not be fully confirmed in our study, as the lowest  $CT_{min}$  values were observed in *ad libitum* fed *D. suzukii* and increased already after a 12 h starvation. On the other hand, total lipids and body water mass only dropped markedly after 36 h of starvation. Thus, in our case, *D. suzukii* adults with the highest lipid and water levels did not necessarily have the lowest critical thermal minima. The slight increase in  $CT_{min}$  of <1°C probably has a very limited ecological impact on stress resistance in the field.

Fasting affected CCRT; however, patterns were rather erratic and no clear pattern was observed among the different starvation treatments. This cold tolerance metric is a highly variable trait at the individual level (David *et al.*, 1998), as noted in the present study. There is relatively little information in the literature on the influence of feeding or starvation on CCRT in insects but positive, negative or no effects were reported. Fed locusts (*Locusta migratoria*) had an increased CCRT compared to fasted counterparts (Andersen *et al.*, 2013). Similarly, in a study on *Drosophila immigrans*, a beneficial effect of starvation on the cold tolerance was found (Pathak *et al.*, 2018). In that study, flies that have fasted for 48 h had greater cold tolerance than their fed counterparts (differences in CCRT and survival after cold-shock), thus suggesting again a possible cross-tolerance between starvation and cold tolerance. On the contrary, red flour beetles (*Tribolium castaneum*) starved for 48 h recovered slower from chill coma than fed beetles, although this detrimental effect could also be attributed to the combined effect of starvation and desiccation because no water source was available during the starvation treatment (Scharf *et al.*, 2016). Research on *Ceratitis capitata* adults revealed no change in CCRT between *ad libitum* fed flies and those deprived of food for 72 h (Mitchell *et al.*, 2017). Likewise, a 21-day fasting period did not significantly affect CCRT of *Myrmeleon hyalinus* (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae) or *Vermileo* sp. (Diptera: Vermileoidea), two ambush insect predators (Scharf *et al.*, 2017). It thus appears that the effects of



starvation on non-lethal measures of cold tolerance are not universal and context-dependent. Here, we found that starvation affected CCRT and  $CT_{min}$  differently, which is not so surprising as these metrics have different underlying mechanisms, despite both being related to chill coma (David *et al.*, 2003; MacMillan and Sinclair, 2011; Ransberry *et al.*, 2011; Andersen *et al.*, 2015). Accordingly, in a study assessing different measures of cold tolerance as predictors of the cold distribution limits of 14 drosophilid species, no significant correlation was detected between  $CT_{min}$  and CCRT (Andersen *et al.*, 2015).

We conclusively found that starved males and females had higher survival rates than well-fed flies when they were subjected to acute cold stress. Yet, this positive effect of short-term starvation on acute cold tolerance occurred faster in males than in females. These observations support the notion that starvation can promote cold tolerance, particularly survival-related traits (Le Bourg, 2013; Le Bourg, 2015; Le Bourg and Massou, 2015; Pathak *et al.*, 2018). Generally, males were more likely than females to survive acute cold exposure, albeit significant differences between both sexes were merely found after 12 and 24 h of fasting. Recent research on the basal heat and low-temperature survival of *D. suzukii* also reported males to be less cold susceptible than females when exposed to acute cold stress (Enriquez and Colinet, 2017). Stephens (2015), on the contrary, observed females to be more cold tolerant than males when subjected to  $-5^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 2 h. Again, such inconsistencies between studies may be due to uncontrolled factors such as age, genotype, larval density or even microbiota (Colinet *et al.*, 2013; Gerken *et al.*, 2015; Henry and Colinet, 2018; Henry *et al.*, 2018, 2020). In general, it remains unclear if females are more cold tolerant than males because results depend on the cold-tolerance metrics, as observed in *Toxopeus et al.* (2016), as well as in the present study.

Just as found in the acute cold survival assays, chronic cold tolerance assays also revealed that females starved for 24 or 36 h had higher survival than fed flies. Furthermore, a 36 h starvation period seemed to have an adverse effect on the chronic cold survival of males, although this was statistically detectable only when compared to the 12 h starvation group. After 36 h of fasting, survival of females was also higher than that of males. This could indicate that, unlike for females, a 36 h starvation period might be a stress too severe for males. Females of *D. melanogaster* have higher starvation resistance than males (Kubrak *et al.*, 2017). The effect of food deprivation on the resistance to long-term cold stress has also been described in *D. melanogaster* (Le Bourg, 2013; Le Bourg, 2015; Le Bourg and Massou, 2015). In these three studies, 1-week-old adults were starved for 24 h before being exposed to  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 16–48 h, and resulting data showed similar responses as those observed here. Fasting increased the chronic cold tolerance of young *D. melanogaster* females but had no effect or a deleterious effect on young males (Le Bourg, 2013; Le Bourg, 2015; Le Bourg and Massou, 2015). In addition, a 2–6 h delay between starvation and cold exposure could further enhance the survival of young flies, and this was the case for both sexes (Le Bourg, 2013; Le Bourg, 2015; Le Bourg and Massou, 2015). Age and timing thus also seem to play a role in the magnitude of the beneficial effect of starvation on cold survival traits.

Overall, both acute and chronic cold survival of *D. suzukii* were promoted by a starvation pre-treatment, though males and females reacted slightly differently. The other performance-related traits, such as knockdown and recovery, were affected by starvation in some complex way that can hardly be conclusively linked to starvation pretreatment. The mechanisms responsible for the

better tolerance to acute and chronic cold survival of fasting flies are still unknown. Using RNA interference, Le Bourg and Massou (2015) tested different genes involved in cold resistance (*Frost*), the innate immune system (*Dif<sup>d</sup>*) and the metabolic pathways at play during starvation (autophagy (*Atg7*) and the insulin/insulin-like growth factor 1 pathway (*dFOXO*)). However, none of them could explain the augmented chronic cold tolerance of starved *D. melanogaster*. The positive effect of starvation on cold survival (chronic and acute) may result from a reduced gut content. During exposure to sub-zero temperatures, ingested food materials may act as ice nucleating agents. An empty gut could prevent this and, hence, decrease the cold susceptibility of freeze-intolerant insects to a certain extent (Salt, 1953; Danks, 1996; Chapman, 2013). For this reason, the ingestion of food has been linked to higher supercooling points in various taxa (Baust and Morrissey, 1975; Sømme and Conradi-Larsen, 1977; Sømme, 1982; Sømme and Block, 1982; Leather *et al.*, 1993; Salin *et al.*, 2000). Nevertheless, this principle could neither explain the enhanced chronic cold survival, nor the enhanced acute cold survival because spontaneous freezing occurs at much lower temperatures than  $-5^{\circ}\text{C}$  in *D. suzukii* (Toxopeus *et al.*, 2016). In our case, we believe that the beneficial effect of starvation pre-treatment on acute and chronic cold tolerance of flies may be related to changes in haemolymph osmolality and altered ions or water balance, as observed in starved migratory locusts (Andersen *et al.*, 2013). Indeed, the maintenance of hydric and ionic homeostasis is directly related to the cold tolerance of insects (Overgaard and MacMillan, 2017).

In conclusion, we found that fasting *D. suzukii* adults had lower body mass and energy reserves, especially when starvation periods were longer than 12 h. Short-term starvation pre-treatment led to an increased acute and chronic survival. Chill knockdown-related metrics were either slightly affected. Our study suggests that metrics of acute and chronic survival may rely on different physiological responses than those responsible for chill coma onset and recovery. The underlying mechanisms responsible for the beneficial impact of short starvation on acute and chronic survival warrant further investigation. Our results suggest that the absence of food during short periods can promote the cold survival of *D. suzukii* females. Such food scarcity conditions may occur in the field for instance in late fall, winter and early spring. As our study was conducted under standardized laboratory conditions, further research is required to elucidate the ecological significance of these results in natural situations.

**Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to EcoChimie Platform (EcoChim) from UMS OSUR 3343 for access to facilities and support.

**Financial support.** This study was supported by SUZUKILL project (The French National Research Agency): ANR-20-CE02-0011-01 and Austrian Science Fund (FWF): I 2604-B25.

**Conflict of interest.** None.

**Ethical standards.** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

## References

- Andersen JL, Findsen A and Overgaard J (2013) Feeding impairs chill coma recovery in the migratory locust (*Locusta migratoria*). *Journal of Insect Physiology* **59**, 1041–1048.
- Andersen JL, Manenti T, Sørensen JG, MacMillan HA, Loeschcke V and Overgaard J (2015) How to assess *Drosophila* cold tolerance: chill coma

- temperature and lower lethal temperature are the best predictors of cold distribution limits. *Functional Ecology* **29**, 55–65.
- Asplen MK, Anfora G, Biondi A, Choi D-S, Chu D, Daane KM, Gibert P, Gutierrez AP, Hoelmer KA, Hutchison WD, Isaacs R, Jiang Z-L, Kárpáti Z, Kimura MT, Pascual M, Philips CR, Plantamp C, Ponti L, Véték G, Vogt H, Walton VM, Yu Y, Zappalà L and Desneux N (2015) Invasion biology of spotted wing *Drosophila* (*Drosophila suzukii*): a global perspective and future priorities. *Journal of Pest Science* **88**, 469–494.
- Bale JS (1993) Classes of insect old hardiness. *Functional Ecology* **7**, 751–753.
- Baust JG and Morrissey R (1975) Supercooling phenomenon and water content independence in the overwintering beetle, *Coleomegilla maculata*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **21**, 1751–1754.
- Bolda MP, Goodhue RE and Zalom FG (2010) Spotted wing drosophila: potential economic impact of a newly established pest. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Update* **13**, 5–8.
- Bubliy OA and Loeschcke V (2005) Correlated responses to selection for stress resistance and longevity in a laboratory population of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **18**, 789–803.
- Calabria G, Máca J, Bächli G, Serra L and Pascual M (2012) First records of the potential pest species *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in Europe. *Journal of Applied Entomology* **136**, 139–147.
- Chapman RF (2013) *The Insects: Structure and Function*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Chown SL and Terblanche JS (2006) Physiological diversity in insects: ecological and evolutionary contexts. *Advances in Insect Physiology* **33**, 50–152.
- Colinet H and Hoffmann AA (2012) Comparing phenotypic effects and molecular correlates of developmental, gradual and rapid cold acclimation responses in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Functional Ecology* **26**, 84–93.
- Colinet H, Siauxat D, Bozzolan F and Bowler K (2013) Rapid decline of cold tolerance at young age is associated with expression of stress genes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **216**, 253–259.
- Colinet H, Sinclair BJ, Vernon P and Renault D (2015) Insects in fluctuating thermal environments. *Annual Review of Entomology* **60**, 123–140.
- Danks HV (1996) The wider integration of studies on insect cold-hardiness. *European Journal of Entomology* **93**, 383–403.
- David RJ, Gibert P, Pla E, Petavy G, Karan D and Moreteau B (1998) Cold stress tolerance in *Drosophila*: analysis of chill coma recovery in *D. melanogaster*. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **23**, 291–299.
- David JR, Gibert P, Moreteau B, Gilchrist GW and Huey RB (2003) The fly that came in from the cold: geographic variation of recovery time from low-temperature exposure in *Drosophila subobscura*. *Functional Ecology* **17**, 425–430.
- Denlinger DL and Lee Jr RE (2010) *Low Temperature Biology of Insects*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Enriquez T and Colinet H (2017) Basal tolerance to heat and cold exposure of the spotted wing drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*. *PeerJ* **5**, e3112.
- Enriquez T and Colinet H (2019a) Cold acclimation triggers lipidomic and metabolic adjustments in the spotted wing drosophila *Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura). *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* **316**, R751–R763.
- Enriquez T and Colinet H (2019b) Cold acclimation triggers major transcriptional changes in *Drosophila suzukii*. *BMC Genomics* **20**, 413.
- Enriquez T, Renault D, Charrier M and Colinet H (2018) Cold acclimation favors metabolic stability in *Drosophila suzukii*. *Frontiers in Physiology* **9**, 1506.
- Enriquez T, Ruel D, Charrier M and Colinet H (2020) Effects of fluctuating thermal regimes on cold survival and life history traits of the spotted wing *Drosophila* (*Drosophila suzukii*). *Insect Science* **27**, 317–335.
- EPPO. Global Database. (2021) Last updated: 2021-01-27. Available at <https://gd.eppo.int/taxon/DROSSU/distribution> (Accessed 31 March 2021).
- Everman ER, Freda PJ, Brown M, Schieferecke AJ, Ragland GJ and Morgan TJ (2018) Ovary development and cold tolerance of the invasive pest *Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura) in the central plains of Kansas, United States. *Environmental Entomology* **47**, 1013–1023.
- Fox J and Weisberg S (2011) *An R Companion to Applied Regression*. Thousand Oaks, California, USA: Sage Publications.
- Gerken AR, Eller OC, Hahn DA and Morgan TJ (2015) Constraints, independence, and evolution of thermal plasticity: probing genetic architecture of long- and short-term thermal acclimation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **112**, 4399–4404.
- Gibert P and Huey RB (2001) Chill-coma temperature in *Drosophila*: effects of developmental temperature, latitude and phylogeny. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **74**, 429–434.
- Goodhue RE, Bolda M, Farnsworth D, Williams JC and Zalom FG (2011) Spotted wing drosophila infestation of California strawberries and raspberries: economic analysis of potential revenue losses and control costs. *Pest Management Science* **67**, 1396–1402.
- Grumiaux C, Andersen MK, Colinet H and Overgaard J (2019) Fluctuating thermal regime preserves physiological homeostasis and reproductive capacity in *Drosophila suzukii*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **113**, 33–41.
- Hamby KA, Bellamy DE, Chiu JC, Lee JC, Walton VM, Wiman NG, York RM and Biondi A (2016) Biotic and abiotic factors impacting development, behavior, phenology, and reproductive biology of *Drosophila suzukii*. *Journal of Pest Science* **89**, 605–619.
- Hauser M (2011) A historic account of the invasion of *Drosophila suzukii* (Matsumura) (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in the continental United States, with remarks on their identification. *Pest Management Science* **67**, 1352–1357.
- Haye T, Girod P, Cuthbertson AGS, Wang XG, Daane KM, Hoelmer KA, Baroffio C, Zhang JP and Desneux N (2016) Current SWD IPM tactics and their practical implementation in fruit crops across different regions around the world. *Journal of Pest Science* **89**, 643–651.
- Hazell SP and Bale JS (2011) Low temperature thresholds: are chill coma and CT<sub>min</sub> synonymous? *Journal of Insect Physiology* **57**, 1085–1089.
- Henry Y and Colinet H (2018) Microbiota disruption leads to reduced cold tolerance in *Drosophila* flies. *Science of Nature* **105**, 59.
- Henry Y, Renault D and Colinet H (2018) Hormesis-like effect of mild larval crowding on thermotolerance in *Drosophila* flies. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **221**, jeb169342.
- Henry Y, Overgaard J and Colinet H (2020) Dietary nutrient balance shapes phenotypic traits of *Drosophila melanogaster* in interaction with gut microbiota. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, A* **241**, 110626.
- Hoffmann AA, Hallas R, Anderson AR and Telonis-Scott M (2005) Evidence for a robust sex-specific trade-off between cold resistance and starvation resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **18**, 804–810.
- Jakobs R, Garipey TD and Sinclair BJ (2015) Adult plasticity of cold tolerance in a continental-temperate population of *Drosophila suzukii*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **79**, 1–9.
- Jakobs R, Ahmadi B, Houben S, Garipey TD and Sinclair BJ (2016) Cold tolerance of third-instar *Drosophila suzukii* larvae. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **96**, 45–52.
- Kenis M, Tonina L, Eschen R, van der Sluis B, Sancassani M, Mori N, Haye T and Helsen H (2016) Non-crop plants used as hosts by *Drosophila suzukii* in Europe. *Journal of Pest Science* **89**, 735–748.
- Kimura MT (2004) Cold and heat tolerance of drosophilid flies with reference to their latitudinal distributions. *Oecologia* **140**, 442–449.
- Kubrak OI, Nylin S, Flatt T, Nässel DR and Leimar O (2017) Adaptation to fluctuating environments in a selection experiment with *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Ecology and Evolution* **7**, 3796–3807.
- Leather SR, Walters KFA and Bale JS (1993) *The Ecology of Insect Overwintering*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Le Bourg É (2013) Fasting can protect young and middle-aged *Drosophila melanogaster* flies against a severe cold stress. *Biogerontology* **14**, 513–529.
- Le Bourg É (2015) Fasting and other mild stresses with hormetic effects in *Drosophila melanogaster* can additively increase resistance to cold. *Biogerontology* **16**, 517–527.
- Le Bourg É and Massou I (2015) Fasting increases survival to cold in FOXO, DIF, autophagy mutants and in other genotypes of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Biogerontology* **16**, 411–421.
- Lee KP and Jang T (2014) Exploring the nutritional basis of starvation resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Functional Ecology* **25**, 1144–1155.
- Lee JC, Bruck DJ, Curry H, Edwards D, Haviland DR, Van Steenwyk RA and Yorgey BM (2011) The susceptibility of small fruits and cherries to the spotted-wing drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*. *Pest Management Science* **67**, 1358–1367.

- Lenth R** (2018) Emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. R package version 1.1.3. Available at <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans>.
- MacMillan HA and Sinclair BJ** (2011) Mechanisms underlying insect chill-coma. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **57**, 12–20.
- Marron MT, Markow TA, Kain KJ and Gibbs AG** (2003) Effects of starvation and desiccation on energy metabolism in desert and mesic *Drosophila*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **49**, 261–270.
- Mazzi D, Bravin E, Meraner M, Finger R and Kuske S** (2017) Economic impact of the introduction and establishment of *Drosophila suzukii* on sweet cherry production in Switzerland. *Insects* **8**, 18.
- Mitchell KA, Boardman L, Clusella-Trullas S and Terblanche JS** (2017) Effects of nutrient and water restriction on thermal tolerance: a test of mechanisms and hypotheses. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A* **212**, 15–23.
- Mitsui H, Beppu K and Kimura MT** (2010) Seasonal life cycles and resource uses of flower- and fruit-feeding drosophilid flies (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in central Japan. *Entomological Science* **13**, 60–67.
- Nikolouli K, Colinet H, Renault D, Enriquez T, Mouton L, Gibert P, Sassu F, Cáceres C, Stauffer C, Pereira R and Bourtzis K** (2017) Sterile insect technique and *Wolbachia* symbiosis as potential tools for the control of the invasive species *Drosophila suzukii*. *Journal of Pest Science* **91**, 489–503.
- Nyamukondiwa C and Terblanche JS** (2009) Thermal tolerance in adult Mediterranean and Natal fruit flies (*Ceratitidis capitata* and *Ceratitidis rosa*): effects of age, gender and feeding status. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **34**, 406–414.
- Oudman L, Van Delden W, Kamping A and Bijlsma R** (1994) Starvation resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster* in relation to the polymorphisms at the Adh and  $\alpha$ Gdph loci. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **40**, 709–713.
- Overgaard J and MacMillan HA** (2017) The integrative physiology of insect chill tolerance. *Annual Review of Physiology* **79**, 187–208.
- Pathak A, Munjal A and Parkash R** (2018) Cold acclimation conditions constrain plastic responses for resistance to cold and starvation in *Drosophila immigrans*. *Biology Open* **7**, bio034447.
- Pelton E, Gratton C, Isaacs R, Van Timmeren S, Blanton A and Guédot C** (2016) Earlier activity of *Drosophila suzukii* in high woodland landscapes but relative abundance is unaffected. *Journal of Pest Science* **89**, 725–733.
- Peters G-JY** (2017) Diamond plots: a tutorial to introduce a visualization tool that facilitates interpretation and comparison of multiple sample estimates while respecting their inaccuracy. PsyArXiv Preprints. Available at <http://osf.io/fzh6c>.
- Plantamp C, Salort K, Gibert P, Dumet A, Mialdea G, Mondy N and Voituren Y** (2016) All or nothing: survival, reproduction and oxidative balance in spotted wing drosophila (*Drosophila suzukii*) in response to cold. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **89**, 28–36.
- Ransberry VE, MacMillan HA and Sinclair BJ** (2011) The relationship between chill-coma onset and recovery at the extremes of the thermal window of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* **84**, 553–559.
- R Core Team** (2018) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Rion S and Kawecki TJ** (2007) Evolutionary biology of starvation resistance: what we have learned from *Drosophila*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **20**, 1655–1664.
- Rombaut A, Guilhot R, Xuéreb A, Benoit L, Chapuis MP, Gibert P and Fellous S** (2017) Invasive *Drosophila suzukii* facilitates *Drosophila melanogaster* infestation and sour rot outbreaks in the vineyards. *Royal Society Open Science* **4**, 170117.
- Rossi-Stacconi MV, Kaur R, Mazzoni V, Ometto L, Grassi A, Gottardello A, Rota-Stabelli O and Anfora G** (2016) Multiple lines of evidence for reproductive winter diapause in the invasive pest *Drosophila suzukii*: useful clues for control strategies. *Journal of Pest Science* **89**, 689–700.
- Ryan GD, Emiljanowicz L, Wilkinson F, Kornya M and Newman JA** (2016) Thermal tolerances of the spotted-wing drosophila *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* **109**, 746–752.
- Salin C, Renault D, Vannier G and Vernon P** (2000) A sexually dimorphic response in supercooling temperature, enhanced by starvation in the lesser mealworm, *Alphitobius diaperinus* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Journal of Thermal Biology* **25**, 411–418.
- Salt RW** (1953) The influence of food on cold hardiness of insects. *Canadian Entomologist* **85**, 261–269.
- Scharf I, Wexler Y, MacMillan HA, Presman S, Simson E and Rosenstein S** (2016) The negative effect of starvation and the positive effect of mild thermal stress on thermal tolerance of the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. *Science of Nature* **103**, 20.
- Scharf I, Daniel A, MacMillan HA and Katz N** (2017) The effect of fasting and body reserves on cold tolerance in 2 pit-building insect predators. *Current Zoology* **63**, 287–294.
- Schwasinger-Schmidt TE, Kachman SD and Harshman LG** (2012) Evolution of starvation resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*: measurement of direct and correlated responses to artificial selection. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **25**, 378–387.
- Shearer PW, West JD, Walton VM, Brown PH, Svetec N and Chiu JC** (2016) Seasonal cues induce phenotypic plasticity of *Drosophila suzukii* to enhance winter survival. *BMC Ecology* **16**, 11.
- Sinclair BJ, Coello Alvarado LE and Ferguson LV** (2015) An invitation to measure insect cold tolerance: methods, approaches, and workflow. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **53**, 180–197.
- Somme L** (1982) Supercooling and winter survival in terrestrial arthropods. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A* **73**, 519–543.
- Somme L and Block W** (1982) Cold hardiness of Collembola at Signy Island, maritime Antarctic. *Oikos* **38**, 168–176.
- Somme L and Conradi-Larsen EM** (1977) Cold-hardiness of collembolans and oribatid mites from windswept mountain ridges. *Oikos* **29**, 118–126.
- Stephens AR** (2015) *Cold Tolerance of Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) (MSc thesis). University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA.
- Stephens AR, Asplen MK, Hutchison WD and Venette RC** (2015) Cold hardiness of winter-acclimated *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) adult. *Environmental Entomology* **44**, 1619–1626.
- Stockton DG, Wallingford AK and Loeb GM** (2018) Phenotypic plasticity promotes overwintering survival in a globally invasive crop pest, *Drosophila suzukii*. *Insects* **9**, 105.
- Tennessen JM, Barry WE, Cox J and Thummel CS** (2014) Methods for studying metabolism in *Drosophila*. *Methods* **68**, 105–115.
- Terblanche JS, Clusella-Trullas S, Deere JA and Chown SL** (2008) Thermal tolerance in a south-east African population of the tsetse fly *Glossina pallidipes* (Diptera, Glossinidae): implications for forecasting climate change impacts. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **54**, 114–127.
- Thistlewood HMA, Gill P, Beers EH, Shearer PW, Walsh DB, Rozema BM, Acheampong S, Castagnoli S, Yee WL, Smytheman P and Whitener AB** (2018) Spatial analysis of seasonal dynamics and overwintering of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) in the Okanagan-Columbia basin, 2010–2014. *Environmental Entomology* **47**, 221–232.
- Toxopeus J, Jakobs R, Ferguson LV, Garipey TD and Sinclair BJ** (2016) Reproductive arrest and stress resistance in winter-acclimated *Drosophila suzukii*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **89**, 37–51.
- Wallingford AK and Loeb GM** (2016) Developmental acclimation of *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae) and its effect on diapause and winter stress tolerance. *Environmental Entomology* **45**, 1081–1089.
- Wallingford AK, Lee JC and Loeb GM** (2016) The influence of temperature and photoperiod on the reproductive diapause and cold tolerance of spotted-wing drosophila, *Drosophila suzukii*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **159**, 327–337.
- Walsh DB, Bolda MP, Goodhue RE, Dreves AJ, Lee J, Bruck DJ, Vaughn MW, O'Neal SD and Zalom FG** (2011) *Drosophila suzukii* (Diptera: Drosophilidae): invasive pest of ripening soft fruit expanding its geographic range and damage potential. *Journal of Integrated Pest Management* **2**, G1–G7.
- Zerulla FN, Schmidt S, Streitberger M, Zebitz CPW and Zelger R** (2015) On the overwintering ability of *Drosophila suzukii* in South Tyrol. *Journal of Berry Research* **5**, 41–48.
- Zhai Y, Lin Q, Zhang J, Zhang F, Zheng L and Yu Y** (2016) Adult reproductive diapause in *Drosophila suzukii* females. *Journal of Pest Science* **89**, 679–688.