

The book's last section focuses on the development and global spread of the phonograph and its inventors and innovators in Britain, Germany, and the United States. Liebersohn's marvelous narration of Thomas Edison's and Emile Berliner's competitive innovations notes their transformation of modern recording technology and the global culture it created. Agents and sound engineers were sent all over the world to record music and sell records. We follow the indefatigable self-taught engineer Fred Gaisberg as he travels the globe, recording operatic tenor Enrico Caruso, Tatar singers along the Volga, and the polyglot Indian diva Gauhar Jaan. Edison's less worldly preferences for "sentimental Victorian songs" and ragtime influenced the work of Charles Ives and Aaron Copland (248).

Liebersohn proves repeatedly that music is always already both national and cosmopolitan—that seemingly unitary musical practices, genres, and instruments are themselves products of global cultural encounters. Each encounter in this book raises different questions about music, technology, migration, cross-class and -cultural encounters. Just about every anecdote deftly interweaves musical history with personal biography and economic, institutional, academic, and imperial history. *Music and the New Global Culture* is not a book to skim. This reviewer is glad to have read it word for word, page by page.

doi:10.1017/S0008938922000826

Johannes Scherr: Mediating Culture in the German Nineteenth Century

By Andrew Cusack. Rochester: Camden House, 2021. Pp. 204. Cloth \$90.00. ISBN 978-1640140578.

George S. Williamson

Florida State University

The writer and historian Johannes Scherr (1817–1886) can be seen as a classic example of the "second-tier writer," an author who is popular and prolific in his own day but whose works are largely ignored by subsequent generations. In the introduction to this book, the literary historian Andrew Cusack explains that he had originally intended to write a single chapter on Scherr as part of a broader study of *Kulturgeschichte*, which would have emphasized that genre's democratic and emancipatory potential. By focusing entirely on Scherr, Cusack ends up telling a more complex, contradictory, and interesting story about a historian whose works were read widely but who remained distant from the historians' guild, who was an advocate of cosmopolitanism but at times stoked nationalist prejudices, who promoted female writers but wrote works laced with misogyny, and who was deeply engaged with German affairs but spent most of his life in Swiss exile.

For Cusack, Scherr's writerly career was defined by the experience of liminality, i.e., of living and working along and across the borders between regions, nations, reading publics, and professional identities. Scherr was born in 1817 in the village of Rechberg in Württemberg, the son of a schoolteacher and the youngest of ten siblings. Growing up, he experienced repeated bouts of bad health and was often confined to his bed. This led his parents to send him to Zurich to live with his older brother Thomas, a school teacher, educational reformer, and ardent liberal. Canton Zurich had adopted a republican constitution in 1831 and was a preferred destination for South German liberals and democrats fleeing the repressive measures implemented after the Hambach Festival. Although Scherr spent only a

year in Zurich before returning to Württemberg, the experience was formative for his later democratic activism as well as a life spent on both sides of the Swiss-German border. Scherr would eventually complete a doctorate at Tübingen (with a dissertation on the *Nibelungenlied*). After teaching school for two years in Winterthur, he moved to Stuttgart and became active in democratic politics. During the 1848 revolution he was elected to the Württemberg parliament, and in May-June 1849 he participated in the campaign for the *Reichsverfassung*. When a warrant was issued for his arrest, Scherr fled across the border to Canton Zurich. There he would spend the rest of his days, first as a freelance writer in Winterthur and, after 1860, as professor of history at the new Zurich Polytechnic.

Although he eventually achieved the status of *Ordinarius*, Scherr's literary oeuvre was shaped by his decades writing for a broad, non-academic audience—readers who had a secondary-school education but nothing more. This can be seen in his best-known work, *Deutsche Kultur- und Sittengeschichte* (1852). Eschewing the university historians' typical focus on high politics, Scherr presented a sort of history from below, stressing the role of the middle classes as the bearers of German culture and agents of a democratizing impulse that stretched from the late Middle Ages into the present. This was an argument designed to flatter its target audience—indeed, Cusack notes, Scherr rarely challenged the prejudices or assumptions of his readers. To hold their attention, Scherr emphasized narrative over analysis and adopted a voice that was alternately brash, jocular, inventive (a favorite neologism was *Metternichtigkeit*), and vulgar (he once referred to Napoleon III's subordinates as “fartcatchers”). This *burschikos* style (as one critic labeled it) played well with readers, as becomes evident when one considers the number of editions of Scherr's works and their omnipresence in late-nineteenth-century lending libraries. More than even Jacob Burckhardt, Cusack writes, “Scherr deserves to be regarded as the representative cultural historian of the German nineteenth century” (52).

Cusack repeatedly emphasizes Scherr's role as a mediator, not just between academic learning and a general readership, but also between cultures. An early example of this was *Die Schweiz und die Schweizer* (1845), which offered German readers an introduction to Swiss history, politics, and culture in the form of fifteen letters written by an imaginary German political refugee traveling through Switzerland. Two other works, *Bildersaal der Weltliteratur* (1848) and *Allgemeine Geschichte der Literatur* (1851), provided accessible and wide-ranging (if largely Eurocentric) introductions to “world literature.” Scherr was also an enthusiastic advocate of George Sand, translating twenty-seven of her works between 1844 and 1851 (a project he shared with his wife Maria Susanne Kübler, who was also a writer) and endorsing Sand's vision of a socialism leavened by worldly spirituality. During the 1860s, however, Scherr would criticize Sand for what he now saw as her naïve idealism. That attack coincided with a brief (and rather opportunistic) turn to *Nachmärz* realism, which included bellicose support for Bismarck and his wars of unification.

In his final chapter, Cusack examines Scherr's embrace of philosophical pessimism in the 1870s. As a history professor at a technical university, Scherr had a sense of the precarious status of the humanities, particularly in an era of rapid industrialization and growing material prosperity. He rankled at the celebration of “winners” in history and the tendency to equate success with moral superiority, a trend that seemed especially prevalent in the new German Reich. These factors led Cusack to adopt the pessimistic philosophy of Eduard von Hartmann, who (like Schopenhauer) held that human existence inevitably contains more suffering than happiness. Hartmann's pessimism left Scherr skeptical of “optimistic” schemes to bring about a universal “state of happiness” (138), such as those of the anarchists who attended the university of Zurich and frequented his lectures at the Polytechnic. In particular, he rejected the means/ends calculations that would allow for the deaths of thousands if utopia could be achieved in the process. In his last years, Scherr called for an alleviation of suffering and care for those left behind by the engines of “progress.”

In a brief conclusion, Cusack ponders whether Scherr's works can be rescued for a twenty-first-century reading public, since so many of his views (e.g., his criticism of women's emancipation) no longer align with contemporary values. One question Cusack does not consider is the role Scherr might play in a revised intellectual history of German-speaking Europe, particularly one that reassesses the neglected years between 1850 and 1880, an era that produced relatively few canonical writers, in part because of the turn against philosophical idealism and an orientation to a more popular, accessible style of writing. There is unlikely to be a Scherr revival, but the world in which he lived and acted deserves further scrutiny. Cusack's original, insightful, and well-written study offers an initial path into that world. In this sense, it is itself a work of mediation, though without the *burschikos* wordplay.

doi:10.1017/S0008938922000942

Vielfalt ordnen. Das föderale Europa der Habsburgermonarchie vom Vormärz bis 1918

By Jana Osterkamp. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2020. Pp. 531. Cloth €80.00. ISBN 978-3525370933.

Annemarie Steidl

University of Vienna

Jana Osterkamp sets out ambitiously to write a history of the federal system in the Habsburg Empire in the nineteenth century, to bridge the gap between the traditional premodern and the disillusioned postmodern time. Her study is based on the classical theory of the state. After an introduction in necessary conceptualizations, such as the concept of federation, Osterkamp starts her comprehensive historical study with the so-called Völkermanifest (People's Manifesto) which was released by Emperor Karl I on October 16, 1918. The author conceptualizes the publication of this manifest in the last days of the empire within a series of attempts to protect the Habsburg Lands from collapse after a failed war with many casualties. The manifest emphasizes concepts that had been important for the political discourse and the political practice of the empire in its last decades, such as the federation of a state with different nationalities, the reconstruction of Habsburg dualism, the personal autonomy of the Emperor, or the federation of the crownlands.

Osterkamp's book does not always follow a strict chronological order, however her analytical approach mostly does. In her words, telling the story of federal developments of the Habsburg Empire from its end, helps us understand that until the very last days of its existence, federalism was one of the main political guiding principles to establish a unified identity and culture among its plurality. The disintegration of the Habsburg Empire caused a federal paradox. While the German-speaking crownlands, which mostly supported a central state, are now the federal Republic of Austria, the more federalist lands and communities of the Habsburg territories established new states after World War I.

Her next chapter, on plurality of governance during the *Vormärz* era, presents an overview of territorialization, spaces of empire, and affiliations of its many inhabitants. The section on administrative federalism until 1867 covers a broad chronological range, as initiatives and policies to administratively cover an empire with many nationalities, religiously and confessionally diverse inhabitants, as well as its large variety of languages, already started in the first decades of the nineteenth century. In contrast, the next chapters,