

Research Article

Cite this article: Pisello L, Forte C, D'Avino N, Pisano R, Hyatt DR, Rueca F and Passamonti F (2021). Evaluation of Brix refractometer as an on-farm tool for colostrum IgG evaluation in Italian beef and dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Research* **88**, 189–193. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029921000315>

Received: 8 April 2020

Revised: 6 December 2020

Accepted: 1 February 2021

First published online: 6 May 2021

Keywords:

Brix; beef cattle; cut-point; immunoglobulin; radial immunodiffusion

Author for correspondence:

Lorenzo Pisello,

Email: pisello.lorenzo@gmail.com

Evaluation of Brix refractometer as an on-farm tool for colostrum IgG evaluation in Italian beef and dairy cattle

Lorenzo Pisello¹, Claudio Forte⁴, Nicoletta D'Avino², Rocco Pisano¹, Doreene Rose Hyatt³, Fabrizio Rueca¹ and Fabrizio Passamonti¹

¹Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Perugia, Via San Costanzo, 4, 06126, Perugia, Italy; ²Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale dell'Umbria e delle Marche 'Togo Rosati', Via Gaetano Salvemini, 1, 06126, Perugia, Italy; ³Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA and ⁴Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Turin, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco, Italy

Abstract

In this study it is hypothesized that there are differences between immunoglobulin G (IgG) content in colostrum from beef (Chianina, Podolica) and dairy (Holstein Friesian) cows and that variables such as breed, and parity can influence IgG content. The further objective was to determine if these factors may vary in terms of sensitivity, specificity and the cut point when data obtained with the digital Brix refractometer is compared with the gold standard radial immunodiffusion assay (RID). A total of 90 samples of first-milking colostrum were collected within 2 h after parturition. IgG concentration was determined indirectly by digital Brix refractometer and directly by RID. Results obtained by RID were compared among breed and parity. For the digital Brix refractometer, sensitivity and specificity to detect colostrum with an IgG concentration lower than 50 g/l were calculated and the optimal cut-point was selected for each breed. Samples containing less than <50 g/l IgG accounted for 15.9% of the total. Parity influenced colostrum IgG concentration and beef cows had a higher mean concentration of IgG (101.1 g/l in Chianina and 90.6 g/l in Podolica) than dairy cows (71.1 g/l in Holstein Friesian). First parity Chianina cows had the highest IgG mean content (116.1 g/l). At the optimal cut-point for Brix refractometer (20%) sensitivity and specificity were 0.93 (0.84–0.97) and 0.81 (0.70–0.88), however, a breed-related cut-point could be used to reduce evaluation error. Linear regression modeling showed that refractometer data were related to RID ($r = 0.78$). Results obtained suggest that breed and parity can influence IgG content of colostrum and, despite the Brix refractometer being an excellent on-farm tool, a breed-based definition of optimal cut point is needed.

Calves are born agammaglobulinemic, with no circulating G (IgG), M (IgM), A (IgA) or E (IgE) immunoglobulins. In the first period of life, the calf's immune defenses derive exclusively from the intestinal absorption of colostrum immunoglobulins and the administration of an adequate colostrum IgG mass is, therefore, crucial to minimize the risk of failure of passive transfer of immunity (FPTI) (Godden, 2008). The main factors influencing an adequate absorption of passive immunity are the volume of the maternal colostrum fed, the immunoglobulin content of the colostrum and the time elapsed between the birth and the first feeding (Nocek *et al.*, 1984; Jaster, 2005). Although it is well known that colostrum contains a wide variety of immune and nutritional components, the content of colostrum IgG is considered the hallmark for the evaluation of colostrum quality. For this reason, dairy cow colostrum is commonly defined as high immunological quality if it contains an IgG concentration higher than 50 g/l (McGuirk and Collins, 2004; Godden, 2008). However, different factors contribute to colostrum quality, such as breed or parity of the cows and genetic characteristics which can be managed by the producer (Godden, 2008; Moretti *et al.*, 2020). It is clear from the few comparison studies of dairy and beef cattle that beef cows produce first-milking colostrum with a higher IgG₁ concentration (Guy *et al.*, 1994). In a recent review, the IgG/IgG₁ concentration reported for beef and dairy cows were 99 mg/ml (from 31 to 200) and 66 mg/ml (27 to 117) respectively (McGee and Earley, 2018).

Radial immunodiffusion (RID) is recognized as the most accurate direct method for evaluating colostrum IgG content (Fleener and Stott, 1980). Direct tests quantify the absolute concentration of immunoglobulin, whereas indirect tests, like optical or digital Brix refractometer provide an indirect measure of the IgG content (McGee and Earley, 2018). The Brix refractometer is usually used to measure a liquid's sucrose concentration. When used in liquids without sucrose, the Brix percentage is equal to the total solids percentage, which in colostrum samples is closely related to the IgG content (Quigley *et al.*, 2013). Nevertheless, translating Brix refractometer reference values of dairy cow colostrum to beef

cattle breeding could lead to an incorrect or incomplete assessment of colostrum quality. For this reason, it is necessary to deepen the understanding about the quality of dairy and beef cow's colostrum, and the relative value of on-farm diagnostic techniques which can determine the quantity of IgG in beef cattle colostrum and help to ensure that beef calves receive an adequate amount of IgG after birth. The aims of the present study were to establish if there are any differences in colostrum IgG content between beef and dairy cattle and the diagnostic properties of the Brix refractometer using RID as the gold standard and to determine the relative breed related cut-point of an on-farm tool like Brix refractometer compared with the gold standard RID.

Materials and methods

Colostrum samples were collected from 90 beef and dairy cows, 30 Chianina (CHIA, Italian beef cattle breed), 30 Podolica (POD, Italian beef cattle breed) and 30 Holstein Friesian (HF, dairy cattle breed). The cows calved between November 2018 and July 2019 in 14 farms in central and southern Italy. The criteria for selecting the farms were farm location (compliance with the traditional area of diffusion for beef breeds was required), being a specialized beef or dairy farm, a willingness to collect colostrum samples from cows, and that the farm placed the cows in a free-stall barn one week before parturition.

All cows included in this study were non-vaccinated during the period preceding calving. Breed and parity data were collected from each cow and were classified in four groups per breed (first, second, third, and fourth or more parity). All calvings were eutocic and observed by farm personnel. A 50 ml aliquot of first milking maternal colostrum was collected before allowing the calf to nurse (within 2 h after calving). All colostrum samples from an individual cow were pooled, with a sample representative of each quarter of each udder being included in the pool. Each sample was frozen at the farm and transported to the laboratory to be stored at -30°C until testing was performed.

The colostrum was thawed at 4°C and then warmed to room temperature ($20\text{--}23^{\circ}\text{C}$) before testing. Any visibly blood-contaminated samples were excluded from testing. A total of 8 colostrum aliquots were not analyzed because of the presence of blood (CHIA $n = 2$, POD $n = 4$, HF $n = 2$). The remaining samples were mixed and divided into 10 ml aliquots and analyzed to assess the immunological quality. Total solids were measured with a digital Brix refractometer (MISCO Palm Abbe no. PA201, Misco, Solon, OH). IgG concentration was directly analyzed by a commercial RID kit (Bovine Ig Test Kit, Triple J Farms, Bellingham, WA). All colostrum samples were tested using the manufacturer's directions and reference sera provided in the commercial RID kit was used as a positive control. Further details are provided in the online Supplementary File.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for results obtained by RID. The Shapiro test was used to test for the normality of data. One-way ANOVA was used to compare mean colostrum IgG concentration related to the breed and the parity within breed. The Tukey test was used for comparison of the means among breed and parity, and significance was accepted at a probability of 0.05 ($P < 0.05$). All analyses were performed using dedicated statistical software package R statistical software V 3.6.1 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, AT).

Diagnostic test characteristics: sensitivity, specificity (and their 95% interval confidence), positive predictive values (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) were estimated for the data obtained by digital Brix refractometer and compared to RID gold standard. Sensitivity was defined as the probability of a test result indicative of an inadequate colostrum IgG concentration less than 50 g/l as determined by RID. Specificity was defined as the probability of a test result indicative of an adequate concentration ≥ 50 g/l as determined by RID. Epidemiological diagnostic characteristics of digital Brix refractometer were calculated on the whole colostrum sample and between cow breeds (Chianina, Podolica and Holstein Friesian). The computed sensitivity and specificity for each of the possible cut-off values were tabulated and the optimal cut-off value was defined as the value with the highest Youden's index. Linear regression modeling was used to determine the relationship between colostrum IgG concentration as determined by RID and results of digital Brix refractometer.

Results

A total of 82 colostrum samples were analyzed (Chianina $n = 28$, Podolica $n = 26$, Holstein Friesian $n = 28$). Mean colostrum IgG concentrations in all samples measured by RID was 87.5 g/l.

A total of 15.9% of samples (13/82) had IgG concentrations < 50 g/l. Of these 13 low IgG samples, 2 were from CHIA (7.1% of the breed total), 5 were from POD (19.2% of the breed total) and 6 were from HF cows (21.4% of the breed total).

Mean IgG concentration for colostrum samples from beef cows (CHIA: 101.1 g/l and POD: 90.6 g/l) were higher ($P < 0.01$) than that of samples from HF dairy cows (71.1 g/l). First parity CHIA cows had the highest IgG mean content (116.1 g/l), but there were no significant differences detected between different parity within the breed ($P > 0.05$). Within the HF breed, multiparous colostrum samples had the highest immunoglobulin G concentration (88.5 g/l in fourth or more parity HF), but no statistical difference was detected compared to the first and third parity cows (Table 1). The lowest concentration was found in second parity HF (55.8 g/l).

A 20% Brix concentration had the highest combined sensitivity and specificity. This concentration provided the highest Youden's Index for the detection of a minimum IgG concentration of 50 g/l as compared to RID results. At this cut-point, sensitivity was 0.93 (0.84–0.97) and specificity was 0.81 (0.70–0.88) (Table 2). In CHIA cows (Supplementary Table S1) the optimal Brix cut-point was determined to be 16% (sensitivity, 1.0 (0.85–1.00); specificity 1.0 (0.85–1.00)). Brix cut-point for POD was 17% (Supplementary Table S2) (sensitivity, 1.0 (0.84–1.00); specificity, 1.0 (0.84–1.00)) and the Brix cut-point for HF was 20% (Supplementary Table S3) (sensitivity, 0.83 (0.63–0.93); specificity; 0.77 (0.57–0.90)). The correlation between RID IgG concentration and digital Brix refractometer values was 0.78 ($P < 0.0001$; Supplementary Figure S1). The correlation between RID analysis and Brix value (Supplementary Figure S2) for the three breeds were 0.63 in CHIA ($P = 0.0002$), 0.92 in POD ($P < 0.0001$) and 0.84 in HF ($P < 0.0001$).

Discussion

In the current study the mean IgG colostrum concentration as measured by RID was 87.5 g/l and ranged from 8.9 g/l to 160.9 g/l. These IgG concentrations for the specific breeds tested have not been previously reported. It is well known that breed is one of

Table 1. Effect of breed and parity on colostral IgG concentration (g/l)

	Parity				SEM	P	Overall
	1st	2nd	3rd	≥4th			
Chianina	116.1 ^a	105.1 ^a	102.2	87.5	15.13	0.7114	101.1 ^a
Podolica	22.6 ^{B,b}	98.8 ^{A,a}	108.1 ^A	103.7 ^A	11.72	0.0008	90.6 ^{ab}
Holstein Friesian	76.6 ^{AB,c}	55.8 ^{B,b}	78.8 ^{AB}	88.5 ^A	10.56	0.0268	71.1 ^b
SEM	9.07	11.65	15.36	9.29			6.16
P	<0.0001	0.0071	0.3544	0.5600			0.0030

SEM, standard error of the mean. ^{A,B} within row, means without a common letter differ ($P < 0.05$). ^{a,b,c} within column, means without a common letter differ ($P < 0.05$).

Table 2. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of different digital Brix refractometer cut-points used to screen 82 bovine colostrum samples for low IgG concentration <50 g/l

Cut-point Brix (%)	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)	PPV (95% CI)	NPV (95% CI)
<18	0.78 (0.68–0.86)	0.95 (0.88–0.98)	0.78 (0.67–0.86)	0.95 (0.88–0.87)
<19	0.61 (0.49–0.71)	0.95 (0.87–0.98)	0.78 (0.67–0.86)	0.89 (0.80–0.95)
<20	0.93 (0.84–0.97)	0.81 (0.70–0.88)	0.50 (0.38–0.61)	0.98 (0.91–0.99)
<21	0.93 (0.84–0.97)	0.69 (0.58–0.78)	0.38 (0.28–0.49)	0.98 (0.91–0.99)
<22	0.93 (0.84–0.97)	0.62 (0.51–0.72)	0.33 (0.23–0.44)	0.97 (0.91–0.99)
<23	0.93 (0.84–0.97)	0.53 (0.42–0.64)	0.28 (0.19–0.40)	0.97 (0.90–0.99)
<24	1.00 (0.94–1.00)	0.44 (0.34–0.56)	0.26 (0.18–0.38)	1.00 (0.94–1.00)
<25	1.00 (0.94–1.00)	0.40 (0.30–0.51)	0.25 (0.16–0.36)	1.00 (0.94–1.00)

CI, confidence interval.

the main factors influencing immunoglobulin concentration in first milking colostrum and that in beef cows colostral IgG concentration is higher than in dairy cows (McGee and Earley, 2018). To date there is no information regarding the Italian beef cattle breeds. The present study found that the two Italian beef cows breed produce a colostrum with a higher IgG concentration than HF reared in Italy. IgG content of CHIA colostrum was higher than what has been reported in a French beef cattle breed (Vandeputte *et al.*, 2014) but lower than what was found in Irish (McGee *et al.*, 2005; Earley *et al.*, 2018) and Canadian beef herds (Homerosky *et al.*, 2017; Elsohaby *et al.*, 2018).

Immunoglobulin concentrations in POD were lower than in Chianina beef cows. Differences in genotype and first-milking colostrum yield are likely contributory factors to the differences detected within the two Italian beef cow breeds (Vann *et al.*, 1995; McGee *et al.*, 2005). Podolica cows which are genetically selected for both meat and milk production, usually produce a colostrum volume higher than Chianina cows. This volume difference leads to a dilution effect which may contribute to a reduction in colostrum IgG concentration. Usually there is a negative, relatively weak, association between colostrum volume/weight and IgG concentration (Morin *et al.*, 2010; Kehoe *et al.*, 2011; Conneely *et al.*, 2013; Silva-del-Río *et al.*, 2017). our measure of Holstein Friesian colostral immunoglobulin G concentration is comparable to what was reported by Quigley and colleagues (Quigley *et al.*, 2013) and slightly higher than data reported by Elsohaby *et al.* (2018).

The range of values in the three different breeds demonstrate the wide variability of IgG concentration in first-milking

colostrum. There are several possible explanations for these differences. Previous studies have reported that genetic variation contributes significantly to the differences in colostral IgG concentration. Particularly, the continuing increase in genetic selection of other characteristics also may influence indirectly the colostral IgG concentration (Baumrucker *et al.*, 2010).

Parity is an important factor influencing colostral IgG concentration. In dairy breeds, colostrum obtained from older cows usually contains higher IgG concentrations than colostrum from a younger cow (Silva-del-Río *et al.*, 2017), as also was confirmed by our study. The lowest concentration found in this study was from second parity HF as has been previously reported (Gulliksen *et al.*, 2008). McGee and Earley (2018) reported that differences in IgG concentration, or their subclass (IgG₁), between primiparous and multiparous beef cattle is small (McGee and Earley, 2018). Our data also demonstrates no statistically significant differences between parity group in the CHIA breed.

The differences, or lack thereof, detected in IgG colostral content between primiparous and multiparous beef cows could be because of the age at the first parity. A significant age difference among the breed in the first and second parity groups was found in the beef cattle included in this study. Primiparous beef cattle are usually older than primiparous dairy cows and have had a greater period of exposure to microorganisms, both pathogens and non-pathogens. Additionally, in beef cattle there is a wide difference in the volume of first-milking colostrum. Primiparous volume is about half of the multiparous, 2.54 and 4.52 L respectively (McGee *et al.*, 2006). The larger volume of milk produced by multiparous cows could lead to a greater

dilution of the immunoglobulin G in the first milked colostrum. This dilution effect could have diminished the ability to detect significantly different concentrations of IgG between colostrum from primiparous and multiparous cows. Similarly, given that multiparous cows are older, the dilution effect would also confound testing of age-related differences in colostrum IgG. In this study, no statistically significant differences were detected between beef and dairy cows with three or more parity.

Previous studies in dairy cows recommended a Brix percentage value between 20 to 23% to identify high quality colostrum (Bielmann *et al.*, 2010; Quigley *et al.*, 2013). Vandeputte and colleagues (2014) suggested a 22.5% Brix cut-point to classify colostrum with an IgG concentration higher than 50 g/l in beef cattle (Vandeputte *et al.*, 2014). The definition of a reasonable cut-point must ensure an adequate IgG mass to the calf in the first 12 h. Even though our data corresponds to values recommended by previous research in Holstein Friesian (20% Brix), there are differences for colostrum from beef cattle. Our cut-point values (with high sensitivity and specificity) in Chianina (16%) and Podolica (17%) cows are lower than the suggested cut points of 20–23% in dairy cows and 22.5% in beef cows (Charolais and Limousine beef cows) (Vandeputte *et al.*, 2014). These differences require further investigation using an optical Brix refractometer.

The use of a cut-point below optimal would increase the percentage of first-milking colostrum with lower IgG concentration being classified as adequate. If Chianina and Podolica colostrum was evaluated using the recommended higher value for dairy breeds (23%) some (32 and 21.5% respectively) would be misclassified as inadequate. This false negative result would require more time, effort, and cost to a producer since they must ensure a proper passive transfer to have healthy calves. Using valid cut-off data, performing an on-farm screening of colostrum quality by Brix refractometer could ensure the correct mass of IgG is given to the calf in the first hours after calving. This could be especially advantageous in beef cattle breeds due to the reduced volumes of colostrum produced.

The correlation coefficient between RID and Brix values differed among the three breeds with the highest value in Podolica (0.92), the lowest in Chianina (0.63) and 0.84 in Holstein Friesian. Some factors that might influence the correlation include volume and the content of non-immunoglobulin protein in the colostrum which most likely interferes with the accuracy of the Brix refractometer. Fat proportion and other milk proteins have been reported to alter the results obtained by refractometer (Fox *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, it is plausible that the different non-IgG compositions of the colostrum between the breeds in this study probably interfered with the refractive index of the Brix refractometer as has been suggested by other authors (Bielmann *et al.*, 2010).

In conclusion, this study confirms that breed and parity can influence the colostrum IgG concentration and consequently the amount of immunoglobulin G mass that the calf consumes in the first hours after calving. Given this, it may be necessary to pay more attention to the calves born from cows in specific parity groups among the different breeds. Because of the differences that have been detected, it is essential to use an on-farm tool to ensure that newborn calves receive adequate passive transfer of immunoglobulins in the colostrum. Digital Brix refractometer can provide an acceptable test sensitivity and specificity and requires minimal cost and time for the farm. The optimal cut-point value to detect a low-quality colostrum is generally considered to be <20% but this study has found that breed-related cut-points should be

determined as we found the optimum to be lower in beef cattle breeds (16% Chianina, 17% Podolica). Digital Brix refractometer should be utilized in a daily colostrum monitoring program for beef cattle breeding, not only in the dairy farm environment. Colostrum monitoring is necessary to guarantee the appropriate amount of IgG to the calf and improve neonatal calf health.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029921000315>

References

- Baumrucker CR, Burkett AM, Magliaro-Macrina AL and Dechow CD (2010) Colostrogenesis: mass transfer of immunoglobulin G1 into colostrum. *Journal of Dairy Science* **93**, 3031–3038.
- Bielmann V, Gillan J, Perkins NR, Skidmore AL, Godden S and Leslie KE (2010) An evaluation of Brix refractometry instruments for measurement of colostrum quality in dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* **93**, 3713–3721.
- Conneely M, Berry DP, Sayers R, Murphy JP, Lorenz I, Doherty ML and Kennedy E (2013) Factors associated with the concentration of immunoglobulin G in the colostrum of dairy cows. *Animal: An International Journal of Animal Bioscience* **7**, 1824.
- Earley B, Tiernan K, Duffy C and McGee M (2018) Effect of suckler cow vaccination against glycoprotein E (gE)-negative bovine herpesvirus type 1 (BoHV-1) on passive immunity and physiological response to subsequent bovine respiratory disease vaccination of their progeny. *Research in Veterinary Science* **118**, 43–51.
- Elsohaby I, Windeyer MC, Haines DM and Keefe GP (2018) Application of transmission infrared spectroscopy and partial least squares regression to predict immunoglobulin G concentration in dairy and beef cow colostrum. *Journal of Animal Science* **96**, 771–782.
- Fleenor WA and Stott GH (1980) Hydrometer test for estimation of immunoglobulin concentration in bovine colostrum. *Journal of Dairy Science* **63**, 973–977.
- Fox PF, Uniacke-Lowe T, McSweeney PLH and O'Mahony JA (2015) Chemistry and biochemistry of cheese. *Dairy Chemistry and Biochemistry*. Cham: Springer, pp. 499–546.
- Godden S (2008) Colostrum management for dairy calves. *Veterinary Clinics of North America - Food Animal Practice* **24**, 19–39.
- Gulliksen SM, Lie KI, Solverød L and Østerås O (2008) Risk factors associated with colostrum quality in Norwegian dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **91**, 704–712.
- Guy MA, McFadden TB, Cockrell DC and Besser TE (1994) Regulation of colostrum formation in beef and dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **77**, 3002–3007.
- Homerovsky ER, Timsit E, Pajor EA, Kastelic JP and Windeyer MC (2017) Predictors and impacts of colostrum consumption by 4 h after birth in newborn beef calves. *Veterinary Journal* **228**, 1–6.
- Jaster EH (2005) Evaluation of quality, quantity, and timing of colostrum feeding on immunoglobulin G1 absorption in Jersey calves. *Journal of Dairy Science* **88**, 296–302.
- Kehoe SI, Heinrichs AJ, Moody ML, Jones CM and Long MR (2011) Comparison of immunoglobulin G concentrations in primiparous and multiparous bovine colostrum. *The Professional Animal Scientist* **27**, 176–180.
- McGee M and Earley B (2018) Review: passive immunity in beef-suckler calves. *Animal: An International Journal of Animal Bioscience* **13**, 810–825.
- McGee M, Drennan MJ and Caffrey PJ (2005) Effect of suckler cow genotype on cow serum immunoglobulin (Ig) levels, colostrum yield, composition and Ig concentration and subsequent immune status of their progeny. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research* **44**, 173–183.
- McGee M, Drennan MJ and Caffrey PJ (2006) Effect of age and nutrient restriction pre partum on beef suckler cow serum immunoglobulin concentrations, colostrum yield, composition and immunoglobulin concentration and immune status of their progeny. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research* **45**, 157–171.

- McGuirk SM and Collins M** (2004) Managing the production, storage, and delivery of colostrum. *Veterinary Clinics: Food Animal Practice* **20**(3), 593–603.
- Moretti DB, Santos CB, Alencar SM and Machado-Neto R** (2020) Colostrum from primiparous Holstein cows shows higher antioxidant activity than colostrum of multiparous ones. *Journal of Dairy Research* **87**, 356–359.
- Morin DE, Nelson SV, Reid ED, Nagy DW, Dahl GE and Constable PD** (2010) Effect of colostrum volume, interval between calving and first milking, and photoperiod on colostrum IgG concentrations in dairy cows. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* **237**, 420–428.
- Nocek JE, Braund DG and Warner RG** (1984) Influence of neonatal colostrum administration, immunoglobulin, and continued feeding of colostrum on calf gain, health, and serum protein. *Journal of Dairy Science* **67**, 319–333.
- Quigley JD, Lago A, Chapman C, Erickson P and Polo J** (2013) Evaluation of the Brix refractometer to estimate immunoglobulin G concentration in bovine colostrum. *Journal of Dairy Science* **96**, 1148–1155.
- Silva-del-Río N, Rolle D, García-Muñoz A and Pandey P** (2017) Colostrum immunoglobulin G concentration of multiparous Jersey cows at first and second milking is associated with parity, colostrum yield, and time of first milking, and can be estimated with Brix refractometry. *Journal of Dairy Science* **100**, 5774–5781.
- Vandeputte S, Detilleux J and Rollin F** (2014) Investigation of colostrum quality in beef cattle by radial immunodiffusion and brix refractometry. *Veterinary Record* **175**, 353.
- Vann RC, Holloway JW, Carstens GE, Boyd ME and Randel RD** (1995) Influence of calf genotype on colostrum immunoglobulins in *Bos taurus* and *Bos indicus* cows and serum immunoglobulins in their calves. *Journal of Animal Science* **73**, 3044–3050.