

# On one-sided topological conjugacy of topological Markov shifts and gauge actions on Cuntz–Krieger algebras

KENGO MATSUMOTO

*Department of Mathematics, Joetsu University of Education, Joetsu 943-8512, Japan  
(e-mail: kengo@juen.ac.jp)*

*(Received 4 July 2020 and accepted in revised form 5 April 2021)*

*Abstract.* We characterize topological conjugacy classes of one-sided topological Markov shifts in terms of the associated Cuntz–Krieger algebras and their gauge actions with potentials.

**Key words:** Cuntz–Krieger algebra, gauge action, topological conjugacy, topological Markov shift.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 37A55, 46L55 (Primary); 46L35, 37B10 (Secondary)

For an irreducible non-permutation matrix  $A = [A(i, j)]_{i, j=1}^N$  with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ , let us denote by  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  the associated one-sided topological Markov shift. It consists of the compact Hausdorff space  $X_A$  of right one-sided sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $x_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  satisfying  $A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the continuous surjective map of the right one-sided shift  $\sigma_A : X_A \rightarrow X_A$  defined by  $\sigma_A((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The topology of  $X_A$  is defined by the relative topology of the infinite product topology of  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . A two-sided topological Markov shift  $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$  is similarly defined by replacing right one-sided sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with two-sided sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . See the textbooks [7, 8] for general theory of symbolic dynamical systems. By the monumental introduction of Cuntz–Krieger algebras  $\mathcal{O}_A$  by Cuntz and Krieger in [6], lots of important and interesting interplays between topological Markov shifts and the Cuntz–Krieger algebras have been studied and clarified. The Cuntz–Krieger algebra  $\mathcal{O}_A$  for the matrix  $A$  is defined by the universal unital  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $N$  partial isometries  $S_1, \dots, S_N$  satisfying the relations  $1 = \sum_{j=1}^N S_j S_j^*$  and  $S_i^* S_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i, j) S_j S_j^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . As in the paper [6], the original space  $X_A$  appears in the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_A$  as a

maximal commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra, written  $\mathcal{D}_A$ , generated by projections of the form  $S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^*$  for  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  that is canonically isomorphic to the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(X_A)$  of complex-valued continuous functions on  $X_A$ , through the identification between the projection  $S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_m} S_{\mu_m}^* \cdots S_{\mu_1}^*$  and the characteristic function  $\chi_{U_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m}}$  on  $X_A$  for the cylinder set  $U_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_m} = \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_A \mid x_1 = \mu_1, \dots, x_m = \mu_m\}$ . The gauge action  $\rho^A$  on  $\mathcal{O}_A$  is defined by the automorphisms  $\rho_t^A, t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{T}$  satisfying  $\rho_t^A(S_j) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t) \cdot S_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Cuntz and Krieger themselves proved in [6] the following fundamental results (A), (B) and (C) that show close relationships between topological dynamical systems and  $C^*$ -algebras. Let us denote by  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on the separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  and its maximal commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra consisting of diagonal operators, respectively. Let  $A, B$  be two irreducible non-permutation matrices with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ .

- (A) If one-sided topological Markov shifts  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are topologically conjugate, then there exists an isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  of  $C^*$ -algebras such that

$$\Phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ \rho_t^A = \rho_t^B \circ \Phi, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{1}$$

- (B) If two-sided topological Markov shifts  $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$  and  $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$  are topologically conjugate, then there exists an isomorphism  $\bar{\Phi} : \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$  of  $C^*$ -algebras such that

$$\bar{\Phi}(\mathcal{D}_A \otimes \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}_B \otimes \mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Phi} \circ (\rho_t^A \otimes \text{id}) = (\rho_t^B \otimes \text{id}) \circ \bar{\Phi}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{2}$$

- (C) If two-sided topological Markov shifts  $(\bar{X}_A, \bar{\sigma}_A)$  and  $(\bar{X}_B, \bar{\sigma}_B)$  are flow equivalent, then there exists an isomorphism  $\bar{\Phi} : \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}$  of  $C^*$ -algebras such that

$$\bar{\Phi}(\mathcal{D}_A \otimes \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}_B \otimes \mathcal{C}. \tag{3}$$

The converse implications of the above three implications for each have been longstanding open problems. Matui and the author in [18] showed that the converse implication of (C) holds (cf. [2, 3, 5, 14, 19], etc). Carlsen and Rout in [4] showed that the converse implication of (B) holds by a groupoid technique (cf. [3, 5, 15], etc). Concerning the implication (A), the author in [12] showed that the condition that there exists an isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  of  $C^*$ -algebras satisfying (1) is equivalent to the condition that  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are eventually conjugate, where one-sided topological Markov shifts  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are said to be *eventually conjugate* if there exist a homeomorphism  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  and a non-negative integer  $K$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_B^K(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_B^{K+1}(h(x)), & x \in X_A, \\ \sigma_A^K(h^{-1}(\sigma_B(y))) = \sigma_A^{K+1}(h^{-1}(y)), & y \in X_B. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

If one may take the integer  $K$  as zero, then the relations (4) reduce to the definition of topological conjugacy  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ . In [1], Brix and Carlsen found an example of irreducible topological Markov shifts  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  that are eventually conjugate, but not topologically conjugate. In the paper, they characterized topological

conjugacy of one-sided topological Markov shifts not only in terms of their associated étale groupoids [1, Corollary 3.5(ii)], but also in terms of their Cuntz–Krieger algebras [1, Corollary 3.5(iii)] in the following way. Following [1], let  $\tau_A : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_A$  be a completely positive map defined by  $\tau_A(Y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N S_i Y S_j^*$ ,  $Y \in \mathcal{O}_A$ . Brix and Carlsen proved that  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are topologically conjugate if and only if there exists an isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  of  $C^*$ -algebras satisfying  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$  and  $\Phi \circ \tau_A = \tau_B \circ \Phi$  [1, Corollary 3.5]. This gives rise to a characterization of one-sided topological conjugacy of one-sided topological Markov shifts in terms of  $C^*$ -algebras. We note that the gauge action also appears in their other characterization of one-sided topological conjugacy as in [1, Theorem 3.3(iv)].

In this short paper, we will attempt to characterize one-sided topological conjugacy of one-sided topological Markov shifts in terms of Cuntz–Krieger algebras and their gauge actions with potentials to compare with the characterization of eventual conjugacy as in (1). For an integer-valued continuous function  $g \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $X_A$ , the action  $\rho^{A,g}$  is defined by the automorphisms  $\rho_t^{A,g}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_A$  satisfying  $\rho_t^{A,g}(S_j) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}tg) \cdot S_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . The action  $\rho^{A,g}$  was called a generalized gauge action in [11, 13]. In this paper, we call it the gauge action with potential  $g$ . We will prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $A, B$  be two irreducible non-permutation matrices with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ . The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The one-sided topological Markov shifts  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are topologically conjugate.*
- (ii) *There exists an isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  of  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$  and*

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^{A,f \circ h} = \rho_t^{B,f} \circ \Phi \quad \text{for all } f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}), t \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{5}$$

where  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  is a homeomorphism induced by  $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_B$  satisfying  $\Phi(a) = a \circ h^{-1}$  for  $a \in \mathcal{D}_A$  under the canonical identification between  $\mathcal{D}_A$  and  $C(X_A)$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii): Suppose that there exists a topological conjugacy  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  between  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$ . It satisfies  $h \circ \sigma_A = \sigma_B \circ h$ . As  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  gives rise to a continuous orbit equivalence between them in the sense of [10], a homomorphism  $\Psi_h : C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$  of abelian groups is defined by setting

$$\Psi_h(f)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{l_1(x)} f(\sigma_B^i(h(x))) - \sum_{j=0}^{k_1(x)} f(\sigma_B^j(h(\sigma_A(x))), \quad f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}), x \in X_A, \tag{6}$$

where  $k_1(x), l_1(x)$  are non-negative integers satisfying the equation

$$\sigma_B^{k_1(x)}(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_B^{l_1(x)}(h(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in X_A. \tag{7}$$

By [13, Theorem 3.2], there exists an isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  of  $C^*$ -algebras such that

$$\Phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ \rho_t^{A,\Psi_h(f)} = \rho_t^{B,f} \circ \Phi \quad \text{for all } f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}), t \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{8}$$

Now  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  is a topological conjugacy, so that one may take the integers such as  $k_1(x) = 0, l_1(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X_A$ . Hence, we know that  $\Psi_h(f) = f \circ h$ , proving the assertion (ii).

(ii)  $\implies$  (i): Assume that there exists an isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  of  $C^*$ -algebras satisfying  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$  and the equalities (5). Since the isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  satisfies  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$ , the homeomorphism  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  satisfying  $\Phi(a) = a \circ h^{-1}$  under the canonical identification between  $\mathcal{D}_A$  and  $C(X_A)$  gives rise to a continuous orbit equivalence between  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  [10, Propositions 5.3 and 5.5]. Hence, as in [13, Theorem 3.2], the homeomorphism  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  extends to the whole  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_A$ , so that there exists an isomorphism  $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  of  $C^*$ -algebras such that

$$\Phi_1(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_1 \circ \rho_t^{A, \Psi_h(f)} = \rho_t^{B, f} \circ \Phi_1 \quad \text{for all } f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}), t \in \mathbb{T}, \tag{9}$$

and  $\Phi_1(a) = a \circ h^{-1}$  for  $a \in \mathcal{D}_A$  under the canonical identification between  $\mathcal{D}_A$  and  $C(X_A)$ . The condition  $\Phi_1(a) = a \circ h^{-1}$  for  $a \in \mathcal{D}_A$  follows from the construction of  $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  in [13]. Since the original isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  satisfies the condition  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$  and  $\Phi(a) = a \circ h^{-1}, a \in \mathcal{D}_A$ , the restriction of the automorphism  $\Phi_1^{-1} \circ \Phi$  on  $\mathcal{D}_A$  is the identity. By [9, Lemma 4.6], one may find a unitary  $U_1 \in \mathcal{D}_B$  such that  $\Phi_1(S_i) = U_1 \Phi(S_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , where  $S_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  are the canonical generating partial isometries of  $\mathcal{O}_A$ . By (9), we have

$$\Phi_1 \circ \rho_t^{A, \Psi_h(f)}(S_i) = \rho_t^{B, f} \circ \Phi_1(S_i) \quad \text{for } f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}), t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Since  $\rho_t^{A, \Psi_h(f)}(S_i) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t\Psi_h(f)) \cdot S_i$ , we have

$$\Phi_1(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t\Psi_h(f))) \cdot \Phi_1(S_i) = \rho_t^{B, f}(U_1 \Phi(S_i)).$$

As  $\Phi_1(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t\Psi_h(f))) = \Phi(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t\Psi_h(f)))$ , because  $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t\Psi_h(f)) \in \mathcal{D}_A$ , we have

$$\Phi(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t\Psi_h(f))) \cdot U_1 \Phi(S_i) = U_1 \rho_t^{B, f}(\Phi(S_i))$$

and hence

$$\Phi(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t\Psi_h(f))) \cdot \Phi(S_i) = \rho_t^{B, f}(\Phi(S_i)),$$

so that

$$\Phi(\rho_t^{A, \Psi_h(f)}(S_i)) = \rho_t^{B, f}(\Phi(S_i)).$$

This implies that the equality

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^{A, \Psi_h(f)} = \rho_t^{B, f} \circ \Phi \quad \text{for all } f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{10}$$

holds. By (5) and (10), we have

$$\Psi_h(f) = f \circ h \quad \text{for all } f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}). \tag{11}$$

In (5), by taking  $f \equiv 1$ , we have  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$  and  $\Phi \circ \rho_t^A = \rho_t^B \circ \Phi, t \in \mathbb{T}$ . Hence,  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are eventually conjugate via the homeomorphism  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$ . Hence, there exists a non-negative integer  $K$  satisfying (4). The final step to complete the proof of the implication (ii)  $\implies$  (i) is to show the following lemma.  $\square$

LEMMA 2. Suppose that  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are eventually conjugate such that there exists a non-negative integer  $K$  satisfying (4). If the equality (11) holds, then  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  gives rise to a topological conjugacy between  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$ .

Proof. Now the non-negative integer  $K$  satisfies (4), so that we have, by (6),

$$\Psi_h(f)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{K+1} f(\sigma_B^i(h(x))) - \sum_{j=0}^K f(\sigma_B^j(h(\sigma_A(x))))), \quad f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}), \quad x \in X_A.$$

If  $K = 0$ , the homeomorphism  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  gives rise to a topological conjugacy between  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$ . Hence, we assume that  $K \geq 1$ .

By the condition (11) together with the equality  $\sigma_B^{K+1}(h(x)) = \sigma_B^K(h(\sigma_A(x)))$ , we see that the equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^K f(\sigma_B^i(h(x))) = \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} f(\sigma_B^j(h(\sigma_A(x))))), \quad f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}), \quad x \in X_A \quad (12)$$

holds. For a fixed  $x \in X_A$ , we put  $y = \sigma_B(h(x))$ ,  $w = h(\sigma_A(x))$ , so that we obtain the equalities  $\sigma_B^K(y) = \sigma_B^K(w)$  and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{K-1} f(\sigma_B^i(y)) = \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} f(\sigma_B^j(w)), \quad f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (13)$$

Put  $y(j) = \sigma_B^j(y)$ ,  $w(j) = \sigma_B^j(w)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, K - 1$ , and

$$Y_0 = \{y(0), y(1), \dots, y(K - 1)\}, \quad W_0 = \{w(0), w(1), \dots, w(K - 1)\}.$$

By (13), we have

$$\sum_{y(i) \in Y_0} f(y(i)) = \sum_{w(j) \in W_0} f(w(j)), \quad f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (14)$$

If  $Y_0 \cap W_0 = \emptyset$ , one may find  $f_0 \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$f_0(y(i)) = 1, \quad f_0(w(i)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, K - 1,$$

a contradiction to (13) unless  $K = 0$ . Hence,  $Y_0 \cap W_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $i_0, j_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, K - 1\}$  such that  $y(i_0) = w(j_0)$ . We put

$$Y_1 = Y_0 \setminus \{y(i_0)\}, \quad W_1 = W_0 \setminus \{w(j_0)\},$$

so that we have

$$\sum_{y(i) \in Y_1} f(y(i)) = \sum_{w(j) \in W_1} f(w(j)), \quad f \in C(X_B, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (15)$$

Inductively, we finally know that  $Y_0 = W_0$  unless  $K = 0$ . Hence, we may find  $p, q \in \{0, 1, \dots, K - 1\}$  such that  $y = \sigma_B^p(w)$ ,  $w = \sigma_B^q(y)$ . If  $q = 0$ , then we have  $h(\sigma_A(x)) = \sigma_B(h(x))$ . If  $q \neq 0$ , we have  $y = \sigma_B^{p+q}(y)$  and hence  $y$  is periodic. Therefore, we conclude that the equality  $h(\sigma_A(x)) = \sigma_B(h(x))$  holds for  $x \in X_A$  such that  $y = \sigma_B(h(x))$  is not periodic. A point  $x \in X_A$  is said to be eventually periodic if  $\sigma_A^L(x)$  is periodic for

some non-negative integer  $L$ . The set of non-eventually periodic points is dense in the topological Markov shift for an irreducible non-permutation matrix. Since a continuous orbit equivalence preserves the set of eventually periodic points, we know that the equality  $h(\sigma_A(x)) = \sigma_B(h(x))$  holds for all  $x \in X_A$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.* The equality (5) is equivalent to the following equality:

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^{A,g} = \rho_t^{B,g \circ h^{-1}} \circ \Phi \quad \text{for all } g \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z}), t \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{16}$$

Let  $A, B$  be irreducible, non-permutation matrices with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$ . As in [10], one-sided topological Markov shifts  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are said to be *continuously orbit equivalent* if there exist non-negative integer-valued continuous functions  $k_1, l_1$  on  $X_A$  and  $k_2, l_2$  on  $X_B$  such that

$$\sigma_B^{k_1(x)}(h(\sigma_A(x))) = \sigma_B^{l_1(x)}(h(x)), \quad x \in X_A, \tag{17}$$

$$\sigma_A^{k_2(y)}(h^{-1}(\sigma_B(y))) = \sigma_A^{l_2(y)}(h^{-1}(y)), \quad y \in X_B. \tag{18}$$

If one may take  $k_1 \equiv 0, l_1 \equiv 1, k_2 \equiv 0, l_2 \equiv 1$ , then the above equalities (17) and (18) reduce to the definition that  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are topologically conjugate. If one may take  $k_1 \equiv K, l_1 \equiv K + 1, k_2 \equiv K, l_2 \equiv K + 1$  for some constant non-negative integer  $K$ , then the above equalities (17) and (18) reduce to the definition that  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are eventually conjugate. If one may take  $l_1 - k_1 = 1 + b_1 - b_1 \circ \sigma_A$  and  $l_2 - k_2 = 1 + b_2 - b_2 \circ \sigma_B$  for some integer-valued continuous functions  $b_1 : X_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b_2 : X_B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , respectively, then the above equalities (17) and (18) reduce to the definition that  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  are *strongly continuous orbit equivalent* [11]. The continuous orbit equivalence between  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  is completely characterized by the condition that there exists an isomorphism  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  satisfying  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$ . Take a homeomorphism  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  such that  $\Phi(a) = a \circ h^{-1}$  for  $a \in \mathcal{D}_A$ . In particular, we see that  $\Phi(g) = g \circ h^{-1}$  for  $g \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z})$ . We finally summarize characterization of these subequivalence relations of continuous orbit equivalence in one-sided topological Markov shifts in the following way.

**COROLLARY 4.** (Theorem 1 and [13, Corollary 3.5]; see also [12, Theorem 1.5] and [11, Theorem 6.7]) *Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  be an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras satisfying  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$ . Let  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  be the homeomorphism satisfying  $\Phi(a) = a \circ h^{-1}$  for  $a \in \mathcal{D}_A$ .*

(i) *The homeomorphism  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  gives rise to a topological conjugacy between  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  if and only if*

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^{A,g} = \rho_t^{B,\Phi(g)} \circ \Phi \quad \text{for all } g \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z}), t \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{19}$$

(ii) *The homeomorphism  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  gives rise to an eventual conjugacy between  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  if and only if*

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^A = \rho_t^B \circ \Phi, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{20}$$

(iii) The homeomorphism  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  gives rise to a strongly continuous orbit equivalence between  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$  if and only if there exists a unitary one-cocycle  $v_t \in \mathcal{D}_B$  for the gauge action  $\rho^B$  such that

$$\Phi \circ \rho_t^A = \text{Ad}(v_t) \circ \rho_t^B \circ \Phi, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{21}$$

*Proof.* (i) The ‘if’ part follows from Theorem 1 (ii)  $\implies$  (i) and its proof by noticing Remark 3. We will show the ‘only if’ part. Suppose that  $h : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  is a topological conjugacy between  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$  and  $(X_B, \sigma_B)$ . By Theorem 1 (i)  $\implies$  (ii) and its proof, one may find an isomorphism  $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{O}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B$  of  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $\Phi_1(\mathcal{D}_A) = \mathcal{D}_B$ ,  $\Phi_1(a) = a \circ h^{-1}$  for  $a \in \mathcal{D}_A$  and

$$\Phi_1 \circ \rho_t^{A,g} = \rho_t^{B,\Phi_1(g)} \circ \Phi_1 \quad \text{for all } g \in C(X_A, \mathbb{Z}), t \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{22}$$

Hence,  $\Phi_1$  coincides with  $\Phi$  on the subalgebra  $\mathcal{D}_A$ . By using a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 1 (ii)  $\implies$  (i), one may find a unitary  $U_1$  in  $\mathcal{D}_B$  such that  $\Phi_1(S_i) = U_1 \Phi(S_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , where  $S_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , are the canonical generating partial isometries of  $\mathcal{O}_A$ , so that we have  $\Phi \circ \rho_t^{A,g} = \rho_t^{B,\Phi_1(g)} \circ \Phi$  by the same argument as the one obtained from (9) to (10). As  $\Phi_1(g) = \Phi(g)$ , we conclude the equality (19).

(ii) and (iii) The ‘if’ parts of (ii) and (iii) follow from [13, Corollary 3.5(i)] and [13, Corollary 3.5(ii)] (see also [13, Theorem 3.3(i)] and [13, Theorem 3.3(ii)]) and their proofs, respectively. The ‘only if’ parts follow from [13, Theorem 3.3(i)] and [13, Theorem 3.3(ii)] and their proofs, respectively, by using a similar argument to the ‘only if’ part of the above proof (i). □

A generalization of Theorem 1 to more general subshifts treated in the paper [16] will be studied in a forthcoming paper [17].

*Acknowledgments.* The author would like to deeply thank the referee for his/her careful reading the first version of the paper and various helpful comments in the presentation of the paper. Especially, the referee kindly pointed out an error of the formulation of Corollary 4 in the first version, so that the statement of Corollary 4 is corrected. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19K03537.

### REFERENCES

- [1] K. A. Brix and T. M. Carlsen. Cuntz–Krieger algebras and one-sided conjugacy of shifts of finite type and their groupoids. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **109** (2020), 289–298.
- [2] N. Brownlowe, T. M. Carlsen and M. F. Whittaker. Graph algebras and orbit equivalence. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **37**(2017), 389–417.
- [3] T. M. Carlsen, S. Eilers, E. Ortega and G. Restorff. Flow equivalence and orbit equivalence for shifts of finite type and isomorphism of their groupoids. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **469**(2019), 1088–1110.
- [4] T. M. Carlsen and J. Rout. Diagonal-preserving gauge invariant isomorphisms of graph  $C^*$ -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **273**(2017), 2981–2993.
- [5] T. M. Carlsen, E. Ruiz and A. Sims. Equivalence and stable isomorphism of groupoids, and diagonal-preserving stable isomorphisms of graph  $C^*$ -algebras and Leavitt path algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145**(2017), 1581–1592.
- [6] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger. A class of  $C^*$ -algebras and topological Markov chains. *Invent. Math.* **56**(1980), 251–268.

- [7] B. P. Kitchens. *Symbolic Dynamics*. Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [8] D. Lind and B. Marcus. *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [9] K. Matsumoto. On automorphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts. *J. Operator Theory* **44**(2000), 91–112.
- [10] K. Matsumoto. Orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz–Krieger algebras. *Pacific J. Math.* **246**(2010), 199–225.
- [11] K. Matsumoto. Strongly continuous orbit equivalence of one-sided topological Markov shifts. *J. Operator Theory* **74**(2015), 101–127.
- [12] K. Matsumoto. Uniformly continuous orbit equivalence of Markov shifts and gauge actions on Cuntz–Krieger algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145**(2017), 1131–1140.
- [13] K. Matsumoto. Continuous orbit equivalence, flow equivalence of Markov shifts and circle actions on Cuntz–Krieger algebras. *Math. Z.* **285**(2017), 121–141.
- [14] K. Matsumoto. Relative Morita equivalence of Cuntz–Krieger algebras and flow equivalence of topological Markov shifts. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **370**(2018), 7011–7050.
- [15] K. Matsumoto. State splitting, strong shift equivalence and stable isomorphism of Cuntz–Krieger algebras. *Dyn. Syst.* **34**(2019), 93–112.
- [16] K. Matsumoto. Simple purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras associated with normal subshifts. *Preprint*, 2020, [arXiv:2003.11711v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.11711v2) [mathOA].
- [17] K. Matsumoto. One-sided topological conjugacy of normal subshifts and gauge actions on the associated  $C^*$ -algebras. *Preprint*, 2021, [arXiv:2104.02203v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.02203v2) [mathOA].
- [18] K. Matsumoto and H. Matui. Continuous orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and Cuntz–Krieger algebras. *Kyoto J. Math.* **54**(2014), 863–878.
- [19] K. Matsumoto and H. Matui. Continuous orbit equivalence of topological Markov shifts and dynamical zeta functions. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **36**(2016), 1557–1581.