# Ultrastructure of vitrified rabbit transgenic embryos

*P. Chrenek*<sup>1,3</sup>, *A.V. Makarevich*<sup>2</sup>, *M. Popelková*<sup>4</sup>, *J. Schlarmannová*<sup>5</sup>, *S. Toporcerová*<sup>4</sup>, *A. Ostró*<sup>4</sup>, *J. Živčák*<sup>6</sup> and *Zs. Bosze*<sup>7</sup>

Animal Production Research Centre Nitra; Slovak University of Agriculture; P.J. Šafárik University, Košice; University of Constantine Philosophy, Nitra; Technical University, Košice, Slovak Republic; and Agriculture Biotechnological Centre, Godollo, Hungary

Date submitted: 12.09.2012. Date revised/accepted: 09.02.2013

## Summary

The aim of the study was to determine the viability of rabbit transgenic (enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-positive) embryos cultured in vitro and compare with gene-microinjected (Mi) nontransgenic (EGFP-negative) embryos following vitrification. Non-microinjected and non-vitrified embryos were used as the control. Morphological signs of injury to embryo organelles were determined at the ultrastructural level using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Morphometric evaluation was performed on cellular organelles using microphotographs obtained by TEM. Intact and Mi embryos recovered from in vivo fertilized eggs at 19-20 hours post coitum (hpc) were cultured for up to 72 hpc (morula stage), evaluated for the EGFP gene integration and then vitrified in 0.25 ml insemination straws in modified EFS (40% ethylene glycol + 18% Ficoll 70 + 0.3 M sucrose) vitrification solution. After 1–3 days the embryos were devitrified, a representative selection of embryos was analyzed by TEM and the remaining embryos were subjected to additional in vitro culture. Observations by TEM showed that the vitrified/warmed EGFP-positive and EGFP-negative embryos had a slight accumulation of cellular debris and lipid droplets compared with the control intact embryos. More severe changes were detected in the membrane structures of the treated embryos, mostly in the cytoplasmic envelope, trophoblastic microvilli, junctional contacts and mitochondria. We suggest that the higher proportion of deteriorated cell structures and organelles in the treated embryos may be due to the vitrification process rather than to mechanical violation (the gene-microinjection procedure), as a detailed inspection of ultrastructure revealed that most damage occurred in the cell membrane structures.

Keywords: EGFP, Morphometry, Rabbit embryo, Transgenesis, Ultrastructure, Vitrification

# Introduction

Cryopreservation of oocytes and embryos is a crucial step for the widespread conservation of animal genetic resources. Currently, there are two techniques for gamete and embryo cryopreservation: slow freezing and vitrification. Vitrification has become a viable and promising alternative to traditional approaches, especially when dealing with *in vitro*-produced or micromanipulated embryos and oocytes (Pereira & Marques, 2008) or transgenic embryos (Chrenek *et al.*, 2011, 2013).

Many variables in the vitrification process, including the type and concentration of cryoprotectant, the temperature of the vitrification solution at exposure, the type of device that is used for vitrification, the species and genotype of the animal, the developmental stage of the embryos, as well as the type (*in vivo* versus *in vitro*) of embryo production, can profoundly influence its effectiveness (Vajta & Kuwayama, 2006). The two most important parameters for the success of vitrification are the rates of the cooling and warming (Morato *et al.*, 2008) and the effects of dissolved substances, especially the concentration of the cryoprotectants (Almasi-Turk *et al.*, 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All correspondence to: P. Chrenek. Animal Production Research Centre Nitra, 95141 Luzianky Near Nitra, Slovak Republic. Tel: + 421 37 6546 289. Fax: + 421 37 6546 285. e-mail: chrenekp@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Animal Production Research Centre Nitra, Slovak Republic. <sup>3</sup>Slovak University of Agriculture, Slovak Republic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovak Republic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>University of Constantine Philosophy, Nitra, Slovak Republic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Technical University, Košice, Slovak Republic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Agriculture Biotechnological Centre, Godollo, Hungary.

Minimizing the toxicity of the cryoprotectant can be achieved through the additional use of non-permeable polymers such as polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), polyethyleneglycol (PEG), or Ficoll 70. In addition, the toxicity of the cryoprotectant can be lowered by using a combination of two cryoprotectants and a stepwise exposure of cells to pre-cooled concentrated solutions (Orief *et al.*, 2005).

The efficiency of the vitrification method can be estimated in various ways, such as the post-thaw survival of embryos in culture, stereomicroscopical evaluation of morphology or ultrastructural evaluation of morphology using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Cuello et al. (2007) demonstrated that vitrified-warmed porcine blastocyst hatching during in vitro culture appears to coincide with good ultrastructure and low cell-death index, suggesting that the hatching rate assessed by stereomicroscopy is a more appropriate parameter than embryo reexplantation for an evaluation of the quality of vitrified-warmed blastocyst. Ultrastructural studies carried out with vitrified bovine embryos (Vajta et al., 1997) have shown that certain abnormalities and alterations remain undetected by stereomicroscopy only. A study by Bettencourt et al. (2009) revealed that the extension of ultrastructural damage, especially of mitochondria and cytoskeleton, was related to the light microscopy semithin evaluation but not to stereomicroscopical embryo scoring at thawing. This finding suggests that embryo scoring according to semithin evaluation is a useful tool for the prediction of ultrastructural lesions. Although, the studies focused on bovines (Fair et al., 2001), porcine (Fabian et al., 2005; Cuello et al., 2007), ovine (Bettencourt et al., 2009) and rabbit (Popelkova et al., 2005) embryo ultrastructure findings after vitrification were published, the ultrastructural changes of transgenic rabbit embryos after vitrification have not been documented to date.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficiency of ethylene glycol, Ficoll 70 and sucrose (EFS) vitrification solution for vitrification of rabbit genemicroinjected embryos at the morula stage, and to characterize the ultrastructural alterations induced in gene-microinjected enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-positive (EGFP+) and EGFP-negative (EGFP–) embryos by the vitrification process.

## Materials and methods

#### Egg collection

New Zealand White rabbit does (4–6-month-old) reared on the local farm were superovulated using a single intramuscular (i.m.) injection of 20 IU/kg pregnant mare's serum gonadotrophin (PMSG;

Werfaser, Alvetra & Werfft, Vienna, Austria), 72 h before mating. Immediately prior to mating with a male of proven fertility, the females were injected i.m. with human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG; Werfachor, Alvetra & Werfft) at 40 IU/kg live weight. The pronuclear stage eggs were flushed from the oviduct of slaughtered animals with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (FCS) both purchased from Gibco BRL (Auckland, New Zealand) 19–20 hours post coitum (hpc). The flushed eggs were evaluated morphologically and the eggs with two pronuclei, two polar bodies and compact cytoplasm were selected and randomly divided into two groups: (1) intended for gene microinjection; and (2) non-microinjected (non-Mi, intact) eggs.

#### Gene microinjection

Gene microinjection was carried out using an Olympus microscope equipped with micromanipulation units (Alcatel, France) and a microinjector (Eppendorf, Germany). The DNA solution (EGFP; Clontech, USA) was injected into the male pronucleus of rabbit eggs in CO2-independent medium (CIM; Gibco BRL) supplemented with 10% FCS (Chrenek et al., 2011, 2013). Eggs in the untreated, non-Mi group were transferred into four-well dishes (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) that contained 600 µl of knockout Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (k-DMEM; Gibco BRL) supplemented with 10% FCS immediately after flushing, whilst the other group was subjected first to microinjection followed by a transfer of Mi eggs into culture medium. Embryos were incubated for up to 72 hpc (morula stage) at 39 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air up to vitrification process.

### Vitrification protocol

CO<sub>2</sub>-independent medium supplemented with 20% FCS (Gibco BRL) was used as a basic solvent for the vitrification solution composed of ethylene glycol (EG, 40%), Ficoll 70 (18%) and sucrose (0.3 M) (EFS medium; Kasai et al., 1992); dilution medium was 0.5 M sucrose. Vitrification of embryos at morula stage was performed as described previously (Kasai et al., 1992; Papis et al., 2005, Chrenek et al., 2013). Briefly, five to eight embryos were subjected to equilibration in EFS solution for 3-4 min at room temperature  $(22 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$ . Within this period of time, embryos were mixed with the vitrification solution by repeated aspiration into glass capillaries and then were inserted into a middle column of EFS solution in a preloaded, 0.25 ml insemination straw (Minitübe, Germany) in a small volume ( $<0.5 \mu l$ ) of medium. The straw was kept above the surface of the liquid nitrogen for 60 s before being plunged into it. After 1–3 days of embryo storage, straws were warmed in air (10 s) and the

Cellular components	Control embryos ( $n = 50$ )	EGFP-positive embryos ( $n = 50$ )	EGFP-negative embryos ( $n = 50$ )
Vacuoles	$2.06\pm0.2^a$	$1.92\pm0.25^a$	$1.20 \pm 0.17^{b}$
Dense bodies	$5.16 \pm 0.47$	$4.76\pm0.29$	$3.88\pm0.40$
Cellular debris	$1.14\pm0.26^a$	$2.42\pm0.37^a$	$4.02\pm0.31^b$
Lipid droplets	$5.48\pm0.44^{a}$	$11.6\pm0.61^b$	$5.78\pm0.56^a$
Flocculent vesicles	$10.00 \pm 0.73^{a}$	$9.80\pm0.62^a$	$6.82\pm0.51^b$

**Table 1** Changes in volume density (%) of undamaged cellular components of devitrified and control rabbit embryos (mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM))

*n*, Number of random micrographs.

<sup>*a,b*</sup>Within rows, values with different superscripts differ at P < 0.05 (one-way ANOVA; Kruskal–Wallis test).

EGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein.

contents of each straw were expelled into 2 ml of 0.5 M sucrose solution. At approximately 7–8 min later, embryos were transfered to CIM/FCS to complete the EG dilution. Subsequently, a proportion of the warmed morulae (EGFP+, EGFP–) was fixed and taken for electron microscopy analysis. The control group consisted of intact non-microinjected and non-vitrified morulas. The remainder of the warmed morulas were cultured additionally for 3 days in k-DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FCS. *In vitro* development of embryos to the blastocyst stage within 48 h and to the hatching/hatched blastocyst stage within 72 h was recorded.

#### Electron microscopy

Embryos were assigned into the following groups: (1) vitrified microinjected EGFP+ embryos; and (2) microinjected EGFP- embryos. The control group consisted of intact non-microinjected and non-vitrified embryos. Embryos were placed into Karnovsky's fixative (2% paraformaldehyde and 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.15 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.1–7.3) for 1 h at 4 °C, and then washed twice in cacodylate buffer for 5 min (Karnovsky, 1965). Subsequently, the embryos were embedded into 4% agar individually and postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in cacodylate buffer for 1 h. Samples were then dehydrated by passage through an ethanol series, embedded into Durcupan ACM (Fluka), and serially sectioned into ultrathin sections. Ultrathin sections were collected on copper and nickel grids, contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and examined under a transmission electron microscope (JEM 100 CXII, Jeol, Japan) operating at 80 kV.

### Morphometry

The volume density of cellular components was determined using the point-count method (Weibel & Bolender, 1973). Briefly, a transparent grid that consisted of 154 total test points was laid over each micrograph. The square occupied by each component was expressed as a percentage of the number of points that covered the structural component relative to the total number of test points of the test grid. Within an individual embryo, the average volume density represents total counts from the micrographs of the whole embryo.

#### Statistical analysis

The data on volume density (%) of cellular components were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error of mean (SEM) and assessed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Kruskal–Wallis test. Effect of treatment was considered to be statistically different at a *P*-value <0.05.

### Results

We found a higher content of cellular debris (4.02%; Fig. 1) and flocculent vesicles (6.82%) in vitrified EGFP– embryos in contrast with the non-vitrified control (Table 1). In vitrified EGFP+ embryos only the fat droplets content (11.60%) was increased. The proportion of dense bodies present in the cells of experimental and control embryos appeared to be similar (5.16% and 4.76% versus 3.88%, respectively), a finding that suggested that vitrification does not affect this ultrastructure parameter significantly.

Major organelles damaged in the process of vitrification in both EGFP+ and EGFP– vitrified embryos compared with the control embryos were mitochondria (6.01% and 4.96% versus 2.18%, Fig. 2), trophoblastic microvilli (2.36% and 2.14% versus 0.88%), plasma membrane (1.80% and 1.90% versus 0.72%) and rough endoplasmic reticulum (3.46% and 1.94% versus 1.52%, respectively; Table 2). A higher proportion of deteriorated cell structures and organelles may be caused by the vitrification process rather than by mechanical violation (by the genemicroinjection procedure), as a detailed inspection of the ultrastructure revealed that most damage occurred in the membrane structures.

Cellular components	Control embryos ( $n = 50$ )	EGFP-positive embryos ( $n = 50$ )	EGFP-negative embryos ( $n = 50$ )
Cytoplasmic envelope (CE)	$0.72\pm 0.14^a$	$1.80\pm0.29^b$	$1.90\pm0.28^b$
Nuclear envelope	$0.22\pm0.07^a$	$0.34\pm0.10^a$	$1.20\pm0.22^b$
Trophoblastic microvilli (TM)	$0.88\pm0.15^a$	$2.36\pm0.38^b$	$2.14\pm0.27^b$
Junctional contacts (JC)	$0.22\pm0.08^a$	$0.34\pm0.10^b$	$0.82\pm0.14^b$
Mitochondria (M)	$2.18\pm0.22^a$	$6.01\pm0.30^b$	$4.96\pm0.40^b$
Rough endoplasmic reticulum (RER)	$1.52 \pm 0.15^{a}$	$3.46\pm0.22^b$	$1.94\pm0.15^a$
Golgi apparatus (GA)	$1.18\pm0.21^a$	$1.92\pm0.22^b$	$1.60\pm0.24^a$

**Table 2** Changes in volume density (%) of damaged cellular components of devitrified and control rabbit embryos (mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM))

*n*, Number of random micrographs.

<sup>*a,b*</sup>Within rows, values with different superscripts differ at P < 0.05 (one-way ANOVA; Kruskal–Wallis test).

EGFP, enhanced green fluorescent protein.



**Figure 1** Higher content of cellular debris (CD) and flocculent vesicles in vitrified enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)negative (EGFP–) embryos in the perivitelline space (PvS), magnification ×7200.

## Discussion

Vitrification has achieved wide attention for use with embryos from several mammalian species. This technique should allow the storage of embryos for extended periods with reduced loss of their capacity to develop further into live offspring. Li *et al.* (2006) have reported the birth of transgenic pigs after *in vitro* production and OPS vitrification. This report shows the potential of vitrification for practical application.

Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), propylene glycol (PG), glycerol, or ethylene glycol (EG), alone or as mixtures,

have been used as cryoprotective additives for vitrification of rabbit embryos. Cryoprotectant mixtures (e.g. EG and DMSO) may have some advantages over solutions that contain only one penetrating cryoprotectant. However, the overall composition of the solution is also important, as embryo and oocyte survival is modified by other components such as sugars, macromolecules, or polymers, and salts. High concentrations of polymers such as Ficoll and dextran appear to be non-toxic to the embryos and can be used to replace an approximately equal amount of EG without changing the solution glass transition



**Figure 2** Major organelles (M, mitochondrion) damaged in the process of vitrification in both enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-positive (EGFP+) and EGFP-negative (EGFP-) vitrified embryos, magnification ×7200.

temperature (Visintin *et al.*, 2002). Recently, Makarevich *et al.* (2008) documented significant higher survival rate, lower apoptotic index and better actin filament quality for the vitrified rabbit embryos at morula stage when using EFS-based solution in comparison with equi-molar concentrations of EG plus DMSO.

In the present study the vitrification solution (EFS) composed of 40% EG, 18% (v/w) Ficoll 70 and 0.3 M sucrose was used for vitrification in straws. Devitrified gene-microinjected embryos showed a high survival rate evaluated on the basis of number of cells to reach blastocyst (90%) or hatching stages (86%) (data not shown). In the control group all embryos reached the blastocyst stage and 98% of them were further maintained to cleave into hatching blastocyst stage. This difference was observed to be not significant. The study of Papis et al. (2005) was conducted using a similar experimental outline (the same vitrification protocol and embryo developmental stage). Among all treated embryos, 71% were able to develop into the blastocyst stage, but only 23.5% developed to term after embryo transfer. Papis et al. used a different genotype of the embryo donors (paralytic tremor (pt) rabbit mutant lane of the Chinchilla breed), which could be a reason for the lower efficiency of the vitrification method. It seems that pt rabbit embryos are more sensitive and have lower cryoresistance. As was found in former studies, some differences can be observed in embryo development and quality in terms of embryo freezability among different strains of mice (Rall *et al.*, 2000), as well as in rabbits (Vicente & Garcia-Ximenez, 1993).

Abe et al. (2005) compared a single and stepwise exposure of bovine cumulus oocyte complexes to the solution, which was composed of 40% EG, 18% (w/v) Ficoll-70 and 0.3 M sucrose (EFS40) using a nylon-mesh holder. In the stepwise exposure, few abnormalities were observed compared with the single-step exposure, in which most oocytes showed a highly vacuolated cytoplasm with many ruptured mitochondria. Investigations by Boonkusol et al. (2007) were focused on developmental capacity and ultrastructural changes of matured buffalo oocytes vitrified using 35 or 40% EG as vitrification solution for solid surface vitrification (SSV) and in-straw vitrification (ISV). Both methods of vitrification caused profound ultrastructural modifications to microvilli, mitochondria, oolemma and cortical granules, however damaged mitochondria were more abundant in

ISV vitrified oocytes than in SSV vitrified oocytes, a finding that correlated with developmental data. Bettencourt *et al.* (2009) assumed that cryopreservation methods must be chosen according to the species and embryonic stage and improvements in cryopreservation and thawing methods to decrease cellular damage of embryos are still warranted.

Toxicity of high concentrations of cryoprotectants can be attributed to osmotic stress and biochemical injuries, which depend on the rate of permeation and concentration of cryoprotectants and is influenced by the duration and temperature of exposure. Osmotic damage results from important changes in volume during addition and dilution of cryoprotectants. Intrinsic biochemical toxicity may result from specific interaction between cryoprotectant and cell components (e.g. proteins, DNA and biochemical membranes) or from non-specific effects of cryoprotectants on the environment of cellular biomolecules (e.g. ionic strenght, pH, surface tension, and redox potential). The early injuries, such as loss of microvilli, intercellular junctions and cytoplasmic membrane stability, could have a disruptive impact over the cytoskeleton, which would then lead to a severely disturbed cell organization, such as that found in degenerated blastomeres (Kaidi et al., 1999). We have found significantly higher changes in volume densities of cytoplasmic envelope, trophoblastic microvilli and junctional contacts in both vitrified groups (EGFP+ and EGFP-) compared with the non-vitrified control. However, we can suggest that these structural changes, observed by TEM immediately after thawing, were not detrimental for subsequent developmental competence of Mi embryos, and can be restored during further embryo culture. This suggestion is based on a high developmental competence and hatching rates of devitrified Mi-derived embryos documented in post-culture.

In both vitrified groups (EGFP+ and EGFP-) cell damage was associated with mitochondrial injuries that ranged from severe matrix swelling with loss of cristae to membrane degeneration and rupture. Damaged mitochondria after cryopreservation has been reported in previous studies (Cocero et al., 2002; Cuello et al., 2007), suggesting that the preservation level of the mitochondrial matrix and envelope can be used as a reliable sign of cellular damage. An early loss of the mitochondrial structure leads to disruption of energy supply to cell boundaries. Clear signs of regeneration were observed at the ultrastructural level after in vitro culture periods (for 4 or 24 h after thawing), including the re-establishment of junctional contacts between trophectoderm cells and normalization of the mitochondrial morphology (Vajta et al., 1997; Fabian et al., 2005; Popelkova et al., 2005).

In conclusion, this study describes, for the first time, the ultrastructural evaluation of vitrified rabbit transgenic embryos. The ultrastructural lesions found for EGFP+ and EGFP– embryos vitrified by EFS vitrification solution were similar. Observation of TEM showed slight accumulation of cellular debris and lipid droplets compared with control intact embryos. More severe alteration were detected in membrane structures, such as mitochondria and the cytoskeleton, which can influence vital cell functioning and thus compromise embryo viability after cryopreservation. We suggest that the higher proportion of deteriorated cell structures and organelles may be caused as a consequence of the vitrification process rather than by mechanical violation, as a detailed inspection of ultrastructure revealed most damage in cell membrane structures.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under contracts No. APVV LPP-0119–09 and No. APVV-0556–011, and by the Ministry of Education (Slovak Republic) under contracts KEGA No. 012UPJS-4/2011 and VEGA No. 1/0415/12, and international project A Collaborative European Network on Rabbit Genome Biology (COST-RGB-NET). The research leading to these results has also received funding from the European Community under Project No. 26220220180: Building Research Centre 'AgroBioTech'. The authors thank Dr Shubhadeep Roychoudhury, Assistant Professor, Assam University, India for language corrections.

#### References

- Abe, Y., Hara, K., Matsumoto, H., Kobayashi, J., Sasada, H., Ekwall, H., Rodriguez-Martinez, H. & Sato, E. (2005). Feasibility of nylon-mesh holder for vitrification of bovine germinal vesical oocytes in subsequent production of viable blastocysts. *Biol. Reprod.* **72**, 1416–20.
- Almasi-Turk, S., Roozbahi, A., Alibadi, E., Haeri, A., Sadeghi, Y. & Hosseni, A. (2009). Developmental consequences of mouse cryotop vitrified oocytes and embryo using low concentrated cryoprotectants. *Iranian J. Reprod. Med.* 7, 181–88.
- Bettencourt, E.M.V., Bettencourt, C.M., Silva, J.N.C.E., Ferreira, P., de Matos, C.P., Oliveira, E., Ramao, R.J., Rocha, A. & Sousa, M. (2009). Ultrastructure characterization of fresh and cryopreserved in vivo produced ovine embryos. *Theriogenology* **71**, 947–58.
- Boonkusol, D., Faisaikarm, T., Dinnyes, A. & Kitiyanant, Y. (2007). Effects of vitrification procedures on subsequent development and ultrastructure of in vitro-matured swamp buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) oocytes. *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* 19, 383–91.
- Cocero, M.J., Díaz de la Espina, S.M. & Aquilar, B. (2002). Ultrastructural characteristics of fresh and frozen-thawed ovine embryos using two cryoprotectants. *Biol. Reprod.* 66, 1244–58.

- Chrenek, P., Bauer, M. & Makarevich, A.V. (2011). Quality of transgenic rabbit embryos with different EGFP gene constructs. *Zygote* **19**, 85–90.
- Chrenek, P., Turanová, Z., Slamečka, J. Jr & Makarevich, A. (2013). Quality of rabbit vitrified/thawed transgenic embryos. *Zygote* 21, 53–8.
- Cuello, C., Bethelot, F., Delaleu, B., Venturi, E., Pastor, L.M. & Vazquez, J.M. (2007). The effectiveness of the stereomicroscopic evaluation of embryo quality in vitrified-warmed porcine blastocysts: an ultrastructural and cell death study. *Theriogenology* **67**, 970–82.
- Fabian, D., Gjorret, J.O., Berthelot, F., Martinat-Botté, F. & Maddox-Hyttel, P. (2005). Ultrastructure and cell death of in vivo derived and vitrified porcine blastocysts. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **70**, 155–65.
- Fair, T., Lonergan, P., Dinnyes, A., Cottel, D.C., Hyttel, P. & Ward, F.A. (2001). Ultrastructure of bovine blastocysts following cryopreservation: effect of method of blastocyst production. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 58, 186–95.
- Kaidi, S., Van Langendonckt, A., Massip, A., Dessy, F. & Donnay, I. (1999). Cellular alteration after dilution of cryoprotective solutions used for the vitrification of in vitro-produced bovine embryos. *Theriogenology* 52, 515–25.
- Karnovsky, M.J. (1965). A formaldehyde-glutaraldehyde fixative of high osmolality for use in electron microscopy. *J. Cell. Biol.* **27**, 137A.
- Kasai, M., Hamaguchi, Y., Zhu, S.E., Miyake, T., Sakurai, T. & Machida, T. (1992). High survival of rabbit morulae after vitrification in an ethylene glycol-based solution by a simple method. *Biol. Reprod.* 46, 1042–46.
- Kuwayama, M, Vajta, G., Ieda, S. & Kato, O. (2005). Vitrification of human embryos using the CryoTip<sup>TM</sup> method. *Reprod. BioMed. Online* **11**, 608–14.
- Li, R., Lai, L., Wax, D., Hao, Y., Murphy, C.N., Rieke, A. (2006). Cloned transgenic swine via *in vitro* production and cryopreservation. *Biol. Reprod.* **75**, 226–30.
- Makarevich, A.V., Chrenek, P., Olexikova, L., Popelkova, M., Turanova, Z., Ostro, A. & Pivko, J. (2008). Postthaw survival, cell death and actin cytoskeleton in gene-microinjected rabbit embryos after vitrification. *Theriogenology* **70**, 675–81.

- Morato, R., Izquierdo, D., Paramio, M.T. & Mogas, T. (2008). Cryotops versus open-pulled straw (OPS) as carriers for the cryopreservation of bovine oocytes: Effects of spindle and chromosome configuration and embryo development. *Cryobiology* **57**, 137–41.
- Orief, Y., Schultze-Mosgau, A., Dafopoulos, K. & Al-Hasani, S. (2005). Vitrification: will it replace the conventional gamete cryopreservation techniques? *Middle East Fertility Society Journal* 10, 171–84.
- Papis, K., Sypecka, J., Korwin-Kossakowski, M., Wenta-Muchalska, E. & Bilska, B. (2005). Banking of embryos of mutated, paralytic tremor rabbit by means of vitrification. *Lab. Anim.* **39**, 284–89.
- Pereira, R.M. & Marques, C.C. (2008). Animal oocytes and embryo cryopreservation. *Cell Tissue Banking* **9**, 267–77.
- Popelkova, M., Chrenek, P., Pivko, J., Makarevich, A.V., Kubovicova, E. & Kacmarik, J. (2005). Survival and ultrastructure of gene-microinjected rabbit embryos after vitrification. *Zygote* 13, 283–93.
- Rall, W.F., Schmidt, P.M., Lin, X., Brown, S.S., Ward, A.C. & Hansen, C.T. (2000). Factors affecting the efficiency of embryo cryopreservation and rederivation of rat and mouse models. *ILAR J.* 41, 221–27.
- Vajta, G. & Kuwayama, M. (2006). Improving cryopreservation systems. *Theriogenology* 65, 236–44.
- Vajta, G., Hyttel, P. & Callesen, H. (1997). Morphological changes of in vitro-produced bovine blastocysts after vitrification, in-straw direct rehydratation, and culture. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 48, 9–17.
- Vicente, J.S. & Garcia-Ximenez, F. (1993). Effects of strain and embryo transfer model (embryo from one versus two donor doses/recipient) on results of cryopreservation in rabbit. *Reprod. Nutr. Dev.* 33, 5–13.
- Visintin, J.A., Martins, J.F.P., Bevilacqua, E.M., Mello, M.R.B., Nicácio, A.C. & Assumpção, M.E.O.A. (2002). Cryopreservation of *Bos taurus* versus *Bos indicus* embryos: are they really different? *Theriogenology* 57, 345–59.
- Weibel, E.R. & Bolender, R.P. (1973). Stereological techniques for electron microscopic morphometry. In *Principles and Techniques for Electron Microscopy*, vol. 3 (ed. M.A.Hayat), p. 237. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.