# Inferring the population structure of *Myzus persicae* in diverse agroecosystems using microsatellite markers

### Juan Antonio Sanchez<sup>1</sup>\*, Michelangelo La-Spina<sup>1</sup>, Pedro Guirao<sup>2</sup> and Fernando Cánovas<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Biotecnología y Protección de Cultivos, Instituto Murciano de Investigación y Desarrollo Agrario y Alimentario (IMIDA), C/Mayor, 1, 30150 La Alberca (Murcia), Spain: <sup>2</sup>Departamento de Producción Vegetal y Microbiología, Escuela Politécnica Superior de Orihuela, Universidad Miguel Hernández, Ctra. de Beniel, km 3,2, 03312 Orihuela, Alicante: <sup>3</sup>CCMAR, CIMAR-Laboratório Associado, University of Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

#### Abstract

Diverse agroecosystems offer phytophagous insects a wide choice of host plants. *Myzus persicae* is a polyphagous aphid common in moderate climates. During its life cycle it alternates between primary and secondary hosts. A spatial genetic population structure may arise due to environmental factors and reproduction modes. The aim of this work was to determine the spatial and temporal genetic population structure of *M. persicae* in relation to host plants and climatic conditions. For this, 923 individuals of M. persicae collected from six plant families between 2005 and 2008 in south-eastern Spain were genotyped for eight microsatellite loci. The population structure was inferred by neighbour-joining, analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) and Bayesian analyses. Moderate polymorphism was observed for the eight loci in almost all the samples. No differences in the number of alleles were observed between primary and secondary hosts or between geographical areas. The proportion of unique genotypes found in the primary host was similar in the north  $(0.961 \pm 0.036)$ and the south  $(0.987 \pm 0.013)$ , while in the secondary host it was higher in the north  $(0.801 \pm 0.159)$  than in the south  $(0.318 \pm 0.063)$ . Heterozygosity excess and linkage disequilibrium suggest a high representation of obligate parthenogens in areas with warmer climate and in the secondary hosts. The  $F_{ST}$ -values pointed to no genetic differentiation of *M. persicae* on the different plant families. *F*<sub>ST</sub>-values, AMOVA and Bayesian model-based cluster analyses pointed to a significant population structure that was related to primary and secondary hosts. Differences between primary and secondary hosts could be due to the overrepresentation of parthenogens on herbaceous plants.

Keywords: hemiptera, aphids, host plants, parthenogenesis, molecular diversity, climate

(Accepted 22 January 2013; First published online 1 March 2013)

\*Author for correspondence: Juan Antonio Sanchez Phone: + 34 968 362787 Fax: + 34 968 366792 E-mail: cagitan2@hotmail.com; juana.sanchez23@carm.es

#### Introduction

The complex environmental matrix of diverse agroecosystems offers phytophagous insects a wide array of host plants with variable degrees of suitability for development and reproduction. In this scenario, it is likely that different genotypes perform differently according to their intrinsic aptitudes and the characteristics of their host plants. Ecotypes associated with particular host plant species have been reported in many phytophagous arthropods, leading eventually to the formation of new species living in sympatry (Feder et al., 1998; Berlocher & Feder, 2002). Aphids are a very interesting group for studying the effect of host plants on population structure because of their polyphagy, host alternation and variations in their reproduction modes. Myzus persicae (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and Acyrthosiphon pisum (Harris) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) are among the aphid species in which population differentiation and the ecological and genetic factors driving divergence between host-races have been most extensively investigated (Carroll & Boyd, 1992; Via, 1999; Via et al., 2000; Via & Hawthorne, 2002; Del Campo et al., 2003; Barker, 2005; Frantz et al., 2006).

*M. persicae* is a ubiquitous and polyphagous aphid of great economic importance, frequently found in many agroecosystems in areas with a moderate climate (Blackman & Eastop, 2000). The life cycle of M. persicae generally involves the alternation of several parthenogenetic generations in herbaceous plants with migration to the primary host for sexual reproduction. However, there are many variations in the general pattern of cyclical alternation of holocyclic morphs between primary and secondary hosts, for example: (1) obligate parthenogenetic morphs, which reproduce cyclically by parthenogenesis and lack the capacity to reproduce sexually, also known as anholocyclic; (2) morphs that reproduce by obligate parthenogenesis but produce males that migrate to the primary host, where they mate with the cyclical parthenogens (androcyclic); and (3) morphs with an intermediate kind of life cycle, with females reproducing continuously by parthenogenesis, but occasionally producing females that migrate to the primary host, where they originate females and males which mate to produce the overwintering eggs (Blackman, 1971, 1972). The abundance of morphs with different kinds of reproduction in a population depends greatly on the availability of the primary host and the severity of the climate (Margaritopoulos et al., 2003; Vorburger, 2004; Blackman et al., 2007). This versatility in reproduction allows the species to display an abacus of strategies, profiting from the advantages of both sexual and asexual reproduction (Vorburger et al., 2003b). Interaction among genetic, environmental, climate and stochastic factors gives rise to a mosaic of possibilities that ultimately determine the structure of M. persicae populations in agroecosystems. A population of M. persicae in secondary hosts in summer generally consists of a mixture of clones, some of them migrating from the primary host, and others obligate parthenogenetic clones from the previous winter (Blackman et al., 2007).

À geographic genetic structure may result from restricted gene flow among local populations due to regional variations in environmental conditions (Vorburger *et al.*, 2003a). However, other factors, such as demographic events associated with the plasticity of reproductive modes (Delmotte *et al.*, 2002; Guillemaud *et al.*, 2003; Blackman *et al.*, 2007; Margaritopoulos *et al.*, 2007a), host specialization (De Barro *et al.*, 1995; Sunnucks *et al.*, 1997) and the alternation between primary and secondary hosts (Sunnucks et al., 1997), may also influence differentiation in aphid populations. Host plant-related variations in the performance of different genotypes have been observed in M. persicae (Weber, 1985, 1986; Edwards, 2001; Vorburger et al., 2003b). Molecular and morphological studies have revealed that populations of M. persicae on Nicotiana tabacum L. (Solanaceae) are genetically and morphologically different from those on other host plants, and support the existence of a host race associated with tobacco (Blackman, 1987; Blackman & Spence, 1992; Margaritopoulos et al., 1998, 2000, 2003, 2007a, 2007b; Zitoudi et al., 2001; Blackman et al., 2007). Microsatellite or DNA short tandem repeats (STR) are codominant markers that have been successfully used to provide information about the population structure in aphids in relation to their life cycle, host, geographical distribution and dynamics (Sunnucks et al., 1997; Simon et al., 1999; Delmotte et al., 2002; Guillemaud et al., 2003; Vorburger et al., 2003a; Vorburger, 2006; Blackman et al., 2007; Margaritopoulos et al., 2007a).

In agricultural areas of south-eastern Spain, agroecosystems are very diverse, with a great variety of crops intermingling with uncultivated land harbouring many wild plant species that are potential hosts for M. persicae (Sanchez et al., 2011). In the southern part of that region, the mild climate and the abundance of both the primary and secondary hosts enable M. persicae to reproduce continuously through parthenogenesis on herbaceous plants, or to engage in cyclical switching between the secondary and primary hosts. These favourable conditions allow M. persicae to overwinter on wild herbaceous plants, which serve as a source of aphids for temporal crops (e.g. pepper grown in greenhouses). In the northern part of the working area, winter temperatures frequently fall below 0°C and the survival of aphids with anholocylic life cycles is expected to be lower than in the southern part (Blackman, 1974). The aim of this work was to determine the spatial and temporal genetic population structure of M. persicae in the diverse agroecosystems of Murcia province (south-eastern Spain) in relation to host plants and the climatic conditions of the area. We were also interested in comparing the population structure in temporal elements of the landscape, like pepper crops, versus permanently available resources, such as Prunus spp., and the herbaceous plants used as secondary hosts. For that purpose, we scored eight microsatellite loci in M. persicae collected from different host plant species in the province over a period of four years. These data provided information about genetic diversity, the population structure at spatial and temporal scales, and the colonization pattern of pepper greenhouses by M. persicae.

#### Materials and methods

#### Sampling of M. persicae

*M. persicae* was collected from 2005 to 2008 from several areas of the province of Murcia in south-eastern Spain (table 1, fig. 1). Samples were collected from 130 sampling sites in the Campo de Cartagena area, 20 sites from Aguilas, ten sites around Murcia city and 14 sites in the northern part of Murcia Province. The isocline maps for the average and minimum temperatures in January were produced by inverse distance weighting interpolation of the average temperature records from 2000 to 2010 obtained from 40 meteorological stations

1	7	5
4	1	J

Locality	Area	Year	Month	Host	Sample code	Ν
Campo de Cartagena	South	2005	March, April and May	Pepper	CAPEP05	122
1 0			March, April and May	Herbaceous plants	CAHER05	222
			April	Prunus dulcis	CAPRU05	29
		2006	March, April and May	Pepper	CAPEP06	26
			April and October	Herbaceous plants	CAHER06	121
		2007	February, April and May	Herbaceous plants	CAHER07	79
		2008	April and June	Pepper	CAPEP08	61
			February	Herbaceous plants	CAHER08	70
			April	P. dulcis	CAPRU08	43
Aguilas, Murcia	South	2005	April	Herbaceous plants	AGHER05	27
Jumilla, Murcia	North	2008	April	Prunus persica	JUPRU08	26
Murcia, Murcia	South	2008	April	P. persica	MUPRU08	15
Noroeste, Mucia	North	2005	April	Herbaceous plants	NOHER05	19
			April and May	P. dulcis	NOPRU05	25
	North	2008	April	Herbaceous plants	NOHER08	16
			April	P. dulcis	NOPRU08	22

Table 1. Localities, area, year and month of sampling, hosts and number of individuals genotyped (N) in M. persicae samples.

of SIAM, IMIDA (http://siam.imida.es) (fig. 1). The southern part of the province is within the warm temperate zone as described by Blackman (1974), corresponding to the 10°C isotherm, with average temperatures in January between 10 and 20°C. The northern part of the province is within the medium temperate zone corresponding to the 0°C isotherm, with average temperatures in January between 0 and 10°C (fig. 1).

The distance between sampling sites within the same area ranged from 0.5 to 10km. M. persicae was collected from different secondary host plant species of Chenopodiaceae [Chenopodium album L., Chenopodium murale L., Beta vulgaris L. and Beta maritima L.], Convolvulaceae [Convolvulus arvensis L. and Convolvulus althaeoides L.], Brassicaceae [Sisymbrium irio L., Brassica oleracea L., Diplotaxis erucoides (L.), Eruca vesicaria (L.) Cav., Moricandia arvensis (L.) DC., Raphanus raphanistrum L. and Rapistrum rugosum (L.) Al], Malvaceae [Malva parviflora L.], Rosaceae [Prunus dulcis (Mill.) and Prunus persica (L.) Batsch] and Solanaceae [Capsicum annuum L. and Solanum tuberosum L.]. The primary host samples were collected from P. dulcis in the southern part of the province and from P. dulcis and P. persica in the northern part of the province. The aphids were collected separately from individual plants and introduced into single translucent plastic containers. To reduce the chances of individuals descending from the same progenitor, individual plants at the sampling sites were more than 5 m apart. The M. persicae samples were taken to the laboratory in refrigerated boxes, placed in glass vials with absolute ethanol and stored at 4°C.

#### Amplification of microsatellites loci

Two individuals for each plant species, collected from different individual plants at each sampling site, were analysed. DNA was extracted from apterous adult females of *M. persicae* using the Quelex 100 chelating ion exchange resin method (Malloch *et al.*, 2006). The loci used in this study were two X-linked (M86 and Myz25) and six autosomal (Myz9, M35, M37, M40, M49 and M63) loci (Sloane *et al.*, 2001; Wilson *et al.*, 2004). The X-linked loci, M37-M49 and M35-M63 belonged to three different linkage groups (Sloane *et al.*, 2001).

groups (Sloane et al., 2001). Amplification reactions were prepared using  $2\mu$ l of approximately  $0.5 \,\text{ng}\,\mu\text{l}^{-1}$  of genomic DNA, 0.5 µM of each primer, 0.2 mM of dNTPs, 10 mM Tris/ HCl (pH 8.8), 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.01% of Tween and 0.5 unit of DNA polymerase (DFS-Taq DNA polymerase, Bioron GmbH, Ludwigshafen, Germany), in a total volume of 20 µl. Forward primers were 5' labelled with 6-FAM, VIC, NED or PET dyes (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications were carried out in an Eppendorf Mastercycler EPgradient (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany) under the following conditions: 2 min at 94°C followed by 36 cycles of 15s at 94°C, 30s at 61°C and 30s at 72°C and then a 10min incubation at 72°C. The PCR products were run at 1:50 dilution on an AB3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) with the LIZ500-labelled size standard (Applied Biosystems). Fragments were detected with Peak ScannerTM v1.0 (Applied Biosystems) and verified manually.

Myz9 and M40 belonged to another two different linkage

#### Genetical statistical analyses

A total of 923 M. persicae were successfully genotyped for the eight microsatellite loci (table 1). To prevent unreliable results due to the inclusion of multiple clonal copies (Sunnucks et al., 1997), genotypes with the same score for the eight microsatellites on samples from the same plant species and sampling sites were excluded from the analyses. We assumed that two individuals were in the same genotype or clone whenever they had the same score for the eight microsatellites. The number of alleles per locus and population, heterozygosity, deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), the fixation index ( $F_{IS}$ ) and genotypic linkage disequilibrium (LD) were calculated using the FSTAT 2.9.3.2 software (Goudet, 2002). All probabilities were Bonferroni corrected (Rice, 1989). The frequency of null alleles was estimated using Genepop 4.0 (Rousset, 2008). ANOVA was used to test whether the proportion of a linked pair of loci in samples (number of linked loci/total number of possible pairwise comparisons) was significantly influenced by linkage group (same or different chromosome), host (primary/ secondary host) and geographical area (north/south). The



Fig. 1. Location of the sampling areas (top) and maps with the isoclines of the average (bottom left) and minimum temperatures in January (bottom right).

number of alleles per individual, the proportion of genotypes (number of different genotypes/number of individuals genotyped) and the number of loci not under HWE in the samples were tested by ANOVA as a function of the host and the geographical area. Data were transformed by the natural logarithm of (x + 1) when needed to account for heteroscedasticity. All the above statistical analyses were performed using the R software (R Development Core Team, 2005).

Pairwise genetic distances for populations were calculated using the Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards chord distance ( $D_C$ ) (Cavalli-Sforza & Edwards, 1967) using FSTAT.  $D_C$  is considered the most efficient distance for obtaining the correct tree topology under different conditions for microsatellite markers (Takezaki & Nei 1996). A neighbour-joining tree for all populations (table 1) was constructed using Population 1.2.32 (Langella, 1999). The dendrogram was displayed using Treeview (Page, 1996). Genotypic differentiation between populations was tested by  $F_{ST}$  according to Weir & Cockerham (1984), and *P*-values obtained after 36,000 permutations using FSTAT; probabilities were Bonferroni corrected (Rice, 1989). Population differentiation in *M. persicae* in relation to host plant families was tested using the samples from Campo de Cartagena collected in 2005. To test whether samples of different plant families represented different M. persicae subpopulations, aphids collected from different plant species were pooled by family to calculate pairwise  $F_{\rm ST}$ -values. For analysis of the genetic population structure at geographical and temporal scale,  $F_{ST}$ -values were calculated by grouping the samples from each year by crop (pepper), secondary host (herbaceous plants other than pepper) and primary host (Prunus spp.). Two AMOVA analyses were performed using ARLEQUIN 2.0 (Excoffier et al., 2005), grouping the samples according to host category (pepper; secondary and primary hosts) and year, respectively. The AMOVA in which the samples were grouped by year was performed using only the samples from 2005 and 2008 because some of the host categories were not available for 2006 and 2007. The population structure was inferred using STRUCTURE v2.3 following the method described by Pritchard et al. (2000), assuming a model with 1 to 10 populations (K clusters). Each K was replicated 20 times for 100,000 iterations after a burn-in of 100,000 without any prior information on the population of origin. The ad hoc statistic  $\Delta K$ , based on the rate of change in the log probability between successive K values, was used to estimate the uppermost hierarchical level of structure (optimal *K*) (Evanno *et al.*, 2005).

		Samples															
Loci		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
M86	n H <sub>o</sub> H <sub>e</sub> F <sub>IS</sub>	11 0.917 0.854 -0.075	6 1 0.734 - 0.367*	7 0.933 0.818 -0.146	8 1 0.783 -0.282*	13 0.974 0.795 - 0.225*	11 0.973 0.845 -0.154*	8 1 0.763 -0.318*	11 0.974 0.831 - 0.176	8 0.765 0.875 0.121	$14 \\ 0.871 \\ 0.828 \\ -0.053$		7 0.778 0.778 0.000	7 0.929 0.743 -0.261	10 0.727 0.939 0.204	7 0.385 0.865 0.542*	8 0.765 0.807 0.055
Myz25	n H <sub>o</sub> H <sub>e</sub> F <sub>IS</sub>	$5 \\ 0.792 \\ 0.671 \\ -0.184$	$4 \\ 1 \\ 0.556 \\ -0.810^*$	$\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 0.867\\ 0.729\\ -0.221 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 0.737 \\ 0.544 \\ - 0.36 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 0.984 \\ 0.655 \\ - 0.505^{*} \end{array}$	5 0.947* 0.713 - 0.331		$5 \\ 0.974 \\ 0.685 \\ -0.429^*$	5 0.588 0.529 -0.115	7 0.677 0.661 -0.025	5 0.667 0.712 0.065	5 0.556 0.680 0.101	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 0.786 \\ 0.669 \\ -0.182 \end{array}$	$3 \\ 0.818 \\ 0.658 \\ -0.259$	3 1 0.649 -0.576	4 0.647 0.709 0.051
Myz9	n H <sub>o</sub> H <sub>e</sub> F <sub>IS</sub>	14 0.833 0.777 - 0.075	7 0.959 0.721 - 0.332*	$7 \\ 0.933 \\ 0.818 \\ -0.146$	7 0.921 0.755 - 0.223	$18 \\ 0.948 \\ 0.750 \\ -0.264^*$	11 0.853 0.795 - 0.074	9 0.926 0.763 - 0.218	9 0.974 0.793 -0.232	$5 \\ 0.824 \\ 0.62 \\ -0.341$	$12 \\ 0.839 \\ 0.82 \\ -0.032$		$3 \\ 0.778 \\ 0.621 \\ -0.273$	$10 \\ 0.929 \\ 0.815 \\ -0.146$	9 0.818 0.913 0.100	$7 \\ 0.769 \\ 0.649 \\ -0.194$	$7 \\ 0.882 \\ 0.799 \\ -0.109$
M49	n H <sub>o</sub> H <sub>e</sub> F <sub>IS</sub>	16 0.917 0.926 0.010	6 0.699 0.718 0.021	$     \begin{array}{r}       6 \\       0.933 \\       0.834 \\       -0.123     \end{array} $	$10 \\ 0.868 \\ 0.816 \\ -0.079$	$17 \\ 0.921 \\ 0.744 \\ -0.239^*$	9 0.84 0.843 0.003	8 0.63 0.752 0.148	$12 \\ 0.947 \\ 0.836 \\ -0.136$	12 1 0.913 -0.099	15 0.774 0.906 0.148	16 0.667 0.895 0.259	6 0.444 0.830 0.439	10 0.929 0.860 -0.083	11 0.818 0.948 0.130	8 0.846 0.852 0.008	6 0.824 0.865 0.049
M63	n H <sub>o</sub> H <sub>e</sub> F <sub>IS</sub>	$9 \\ 0.958 \\ 0.842 \\ -0.141$	7 1 0.757 -0.325*	7 0.933 0.844 -0.11		10 0.911 0.813 -0.120*	11 0.907 0.87 - 0.042	9 0.852 0.788 - 0.086	10 0.816 0.766 -0.066	$10 \\ 1 \\ 0.838 \\ -0.201$	10 0.742 0.747 0.007	8 0.905 0.754 -0.206	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 0.667 \\ 0.660 \\ -0.055 \end{array}$		9 1.000 0.909 - 0.106	7 0.923 0.806 -0.152	7 0.941 0.754 -0.258
M37	n H <sub>o</sub> H <sub>e</sub> F <sub>IS</sub>	6 0.458 0.726 0.345	$3 \\ 0.274 \\ 0.252 \\ -0.143$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.543 \\ -0.212 \end{array}$	4 0.342 0.446 0.235	$10 \\ 0.482 \\ 0.474 \\ -0.024$	6 0.573 0.504 - 0.139	4 0.296 0.384 0.168	7 0.395 0.431 0.039	7 0.529 0.754 0.282	7 0.452 0.528 0.109	6 0.429 0.512 0.165	1 0.000 0.000 NA	4 0.357 0.675 0.454	4 0.636 0.688 0.079	5 0.538 0.751 0.273	$3 \\ 0.588 \\ 0.456 \\ -0.301$
M40	n H <sub>o</sub> H <sub>e</sub> F <sub>IS</sub>	7 0.917 0.787 - 0.169	4 0.945 0.629 - 0.507*	$5 \\ 0.867 \\ 0.770 \\ -0.13$	7 0.974 0.742 - 0.317*	9 0.812 0.62 -0.311*	7 0.853 0.77 - 0.108	6 0.926 0.712 - 0.308	6 0.816 0.773 - 0.064	$5 \\ 0.941 \\ 0.766 \\ -0.252$	7 0.903 0.797 -0.136	$5 \\ 0.714 \\ 0.625 \\ -0.2$	$5 \\ 1.000 \\ 0.771 \\ -0.321$	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 0.929 \\ 0.762 \\ - 0.229 \end{array}$	6 0.818 0.853 0.027	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 0.923 \\ 0.717 \\ - 0.303 \end{array}$	4 0.471 0.613 0.229
M35	n Ho He FIS	6 0.667 0.784 0.142	4 0.986 0.645 -0.535*	4 0.533 0.708 0.22	$5 \\ 0.921 \\ 0.652 \\ -0.425^*$	8 0.906 0.706 - 0.283*	7 0.653 0.748 0.122	6 0.556 0.588 0.011	6 0.947 0.592 -0.613*	6 0.647 0.699 0.036	6 0.71 0.777 0.074	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 0.714 \\ 0.698 \\ - 0.062 \end{array}$	$3 \\ 0.667 \\ 0.667 \\ -0.185$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 1.000 \\ 0.659 \\ - 0.549 \end{array}$	8 0.727 0.823 0.121	5 1 0.797 - 0.268	4 0.529 0.756 0.306

Table 2. Population code: 1=AGHER05, 2=CAPEP05, 3=CAPEP06, 4=CAPEP08, 5=CAHER05, 6=CAHER06, 7=CAHER07, 8=CAHER08, 9=CAPRU05, 10=CAPRU08, 11=JUPRU08, 12=MUPRU08, 13=NOHER05, 14=NOHER08, 15=NOPRU05, and 16=NOPRU08.

Number of alleles (*n*), observed (*H*<sub>o</sub>) and expected (*H*<sub>e</sub>) heterozygosity, *F*<sub>IS</sub>-values (\*significantly different from expected in HW equilibrium after Bonferroni correction). NA, not available.

Inferring the population structure of Myzus persicae

Table 3. Pairwise comparison for genetic differentiation based on  $F_{ST}$ -values for *M. persicae* samples on different plant families. URT, urticaceae; SOL, solanaceae; PLA, plantaginaceae; MAL, malvaceae; BRA, brassicaeae; CON, convolvulaceae; CHE, chenopodiaceae; ROS, Rosaceae (*Prunus* spp.). NS = non-significant, \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001. Significance after the correction of *P* by Bonferroni. Number of individuals genotyped (excluding duplicates) in brackets.

					Samples				
	Pepper (73)	URT (5)	SOL (13)	PLA (7)	MAL (56)	BRA (57)	CON (16)	CHE (37)	ROS (17)
Pepper		NS	***	NS	***	***	NS	***	***
URT	0.015		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
SOL	0.053	0.043		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	**
PLA	0.021	0.007	0.029		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MAL	0.026	0.000	0.018	0.014		NS	NS	NS	***
BRA	0.041	0.019	0.011	0.002	0.007		NS	NS	***
CON	0.022	0.004	0.033	0.022	0.001	0.008		NS	**
CHE	0.052	0.045	0.037	0.014	0.017	0.013	0.001		***
ROS	0.130	0.111	0.080	0.089	0.090	0.072	0.080	0.087	

#### Results

#### Microsatellite markers, linkage disequilibrium and genetic diversity

All eight microsatellite loci amplified successfully for the M. persicae samples. A total of 289 different genotypes were found in the 630 individuals scored for the eight microsatellites, excluding duplicates from the same sampling site and plant species. Polymorphism was observed for the eight loci in all the samples with the exception of MUPRU08, which was monomorphic for M37 (table 2). The average allelic richness across loci was higher for the herbaceous (mean, 5.75: range, 3-10.53) and primary host (5.56: 1-10) than for pepper (4.52: 2.06-6.53) (table 2) samples. The maximum number of alleles was observed in Myz9 (18), followed by M49 (17) (table 2). The maximum number of alleles across samples was found in the samples from herbaceous plants in 2005 (91) followed by the samples in the primary host in 2008 (78) (table 2). There were no significant differences in the number of alleles between primary and secondary hosts (F=0.368, df=1, 12, P=0.556), nor between samples from the southern and the northern part of the province (F=0.723, df=1, 12, P= 0.412). However, the number of alleles on pepper samples in Campo de Cartagena was significantly lower than on samples from herbaceous plants (F = 9.07, df=1, 5, P=0.030) and Prunus (F=6.78, df=1, 3, P=0.080). The proportion of unique genotypes in the primary host was similar in the northern (mean  $\pm$  SE, 0.961  $\pm$  0.036) and the southern  $(0.987 \pm 0.013)$  part of the province. In the secondary host, the proportion of unique genotypes was higher in the north  $(0.801 \pm 0.159)$  than in the south  $(0.318 \pm 0.063)$ . The ANOVA denoted significant differences in the proportion of unique genotypes between areas (F=18.50, df=1, 12, P < 0.001) and host (F = 58.58, df = 1, 12, P < 0.001), with a significant area-host interaction (F = 11.10, df = 1, 12, P < 0.01). The proportion of unique genotypes on pepper and herbaceous plants in the surroundings of greenhouses in Campo de Cartagena was not significantly different (F=1.13, df=1, 5, P = 0.336).

The average proportion of null alleles across samples ranged from 0.04 to 5.27%. In samples from the southern part of the province, significant disequilibrium was detected between loci belonging to the same and different linkage groups, both in herbaceous plants and *Prunus* spp. The average linkage proportions for pairs of loci located in the same chromosome in the southern part of the province were 0.333 and 0.222 in herbaceous and *Prunus*, respectively. For the loci in different chromosomes, the linkage proportion was higher in herbaceous plants (0.395) than in *Prunus* spp. (0.133). In the northern part of the province, no linkage disequilibrium was observed between loci located in different groups, and low linkage was observed for loci located in the same group in samples from herbaceous plants (0.02). The proportion of linked loci did not differ significantly in relation to linkage group (*F*=0.021, df=1, 28, *P*=0.887) or host (herbaceous plants/*Prunus*) (*F*=0.962, df=1, 28, *P*=0.335), but significant differences were found between the southern and the northern part of the province (*F*=6.10, df=1, 28, *P*=0.020).

Heterozygosity was high in most of the samples and loci (table 2). The average  $H_o$  ranged from 0.452 to 0.900, and the average  $H_e$  from 0.513 to 0.844 (table 2). Heterozygosity excess was observed in most of the samples, with a significant departure from HWE in all samples on pepper and herbaceous plants in Campo de Cartagena (table 2); the average number of loci not in HWE was the same for pepper and herbaceous plant samples (three loci). Significantly lower heterozygosity than expected was found only for M86 in NOPRU08 (table 2). The number of loci not in HWE was significantly higher in the southern than in the northern part of the province (F=5.45, df=1, 12, P=0.034), and in the secondary host than in the primary host (F=4.22, df=1, 12, P=0.062).

## *Genetic population structure in* M. persicae *in relation to host plant*

The  $F_{ST}$ -values pointed to a degree of differentiation in *M. persicae* samples according to the primary and secondary hosts. The overall  $F_{ST}$ -values among the samples from different families of herbaceous plants in the environment of pepper greenhouses were low (0.001–0.053) and not significant in any case (table 3). These low  $F_{ST}$ -values indicate null or little genetic differentiation among samples in relation to plant family. However, significant  $F_{ST}$ -values were found between pepper and most of the herbaceous plant samples, and between herbaceous plants (including pepper) and the primary host (table 3). The highest  $F_{ST}$ -values (0.072–0.130) were found between samples on the primary host and the rest of the samples (table 3). Given the lack of population differentiation in relation to plant families, *M. persicae* samples

	16	**	***	*	***	***	***	***	***	***	NS	NS	NS	***	NS	***	2
	15	NS	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	NS	**	***	*	NS	**		0.102
	14	NS	***	NS	NS	**	NS	NS	NS	*	NS	**	*	NS		0.081	0.053
	13	NS	***	NS	*	***	**	*	NS	*	**	***	**		0.056	0.060	0.089
	12	NS	***	*	*	***	**	**	*	*	NS	NS		0.115	0.114	0.127	0.044
	11	*	***	**	***	***	***	***	**	* *	NS		0.042	0.066	0.051	0.082	0.010
	10	NS	***	NS	**	***	***	**	NS	**		0.010	0.022	0.046	0.040	0.063	0.013
oles	6	NS	***	***	***	***	***	***	***		0.053	0.044	0.101	0.051	0.062	0.017	0.071
Sam	8	*	***	NS	SN	**	***	*		0.071	0.033	0.050	0.093	0.039	0.051	0.093	0.075
	7	NS	***	NS	**	**	***		0.050	0.096	0.061	0.050	0.109	0.060	0.075	0.132	0.092
	9	NS	***	NS	***	***		0.068	0.057	0.101	0.047	0.065	0.106	0.069	0.006	0.112	0.069
	ß	***	***	**	***		0.050	0.041	0.022	0.082	0.045	0.055	0.104	0.034	0.060	0.109	0.080
	4	NS	***	NS		0.024	0.044	0.064	0.017	0.099	0.034	0.060	0.088	0.041	0.058	0.113	0.067
	ю	NS	***		0.045	0.058	0.010	0.078	0.058	0.116	0.060	0.081	0.135	0.074	0.001	0.129	0.085
	7	***		0.090	0.037	0.030	0.086	0.089	0.043	0.130	0.084	0.111	0.144	0.061	0.096	0.156	0.129
	1		0.071	0.019	0.027	0.034	0.019	0.052	0.032	0.037	0.020	0.036	0.068	0.013	0.009	0.038	0.049
		AGHER05	CAPEP05	CAPEP06	CAPEP08	CAHER05	CAHER06	CAHER07	CAHER08	CAPRU05	CAPRU08	JUPRU08	<b>MUPRU08</b>	NOHER05	NOHER08	NOPRU05	NOPRU08
		1	2	ŝ	4	ы	9	~	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16

on herbaceous plants in the same year and locality were grouped together for the following analyses.

#### Genetic population structure at geographical and temporal scale

 $F_{ST}$ -values ranged from 0.001 to 0.156 and denoted a variable degree of differentiation among samples in the three groups of hosts (pepper, herbaceous plants and Prunus) in the different geographical areas and years (table 4).  $F_{ST}$ -values in the four years of the study ranged from 0.037 to 0.090 among pepper samples, from 0.022 to 0.068 among samples of herbaceous plants and from 0.010 to 0.127 among samples of *Prunus* (table 4).  $F_{ST}$ -values among pepper and herbaceous plant samples in Campo de Cartagena ranged from 0.010 to 0.017, with lower  $F_{ST}$ -values among pepper and herbaceous plant samples in the same year than among those in different years (table 4). Significant differences were found among pepper samples from Campo de Cartagena and samples on herbaceous plants from other localities in approximately half of the cases (table 4).  $F_{ST}$ -values always showed significant differences in pairwise comparisons among pepper and Prunus samples, with the exception of CAPEP06 and CAPRU08 (table 4). Samples on herbaceous plants in the north and the south of the province differed significantly in six out of ten pairwise comparisons (table 4). No significant differences were found among any Prunus samples in the same year, which was also sometimes the case with pairwise comparison among samples from different years (table 4).

AMOVA pointed to a significant population structure when samples were grouped by host category (pepper, secondary and primary hosts). Differences between individuals within samples accounted for most of the genetic variation (94.14%), followed by the variation among samples within groups (4.15%) and differences among groups of hosts (1.71%) (table 5). When samples were grouped by year, most of the genetic variation was also due to differences between individuals within samples (94.80%), followed by variations among samples within groups (4.54%) and between groups (0.66%) (table 6). Two main clusters may be observed in the dendrogram showing the relationship among M. persicae samples: one of the clusters includes all the samples from *Prunus* and the other all the samples from pepper and the rest of herbaceous plants (fig. 2). There was no clear structure associated with the year of sampling, although, samples from the same year were frequently located in the same branch. A structural analysis was run for K values ranging from 1 to 10, with the *ad hoc* statistic ( $\Delta K$ ) reaching its maximum at K=3 $(55.4 \pm 3.9, \text{ average} \pm \text{SE})$  followed by K=2  $(52.4 \pm 4.2)$ . For K=2, most of the individuals from the primary host were included in the first cluster, while the samples from pepper and the rest of the secondary hosts were a mixture of individuals assigned to both clusters (fig. 3). The percentage of individuals assigned to the first cluster in the primary host ranged from 88.2 to 99.3%. In the pepper samples, most of the individuals were generally included in the second cluster (47.4–70.9%), while for the other secondary hosts the highest numbers of individuals were assigned to the first cluster (52.7-81.8%) (table 7). For K=3, most of the individuals from the primary host had the highest probability of ancestry in the first cluster (79.3-96.5%) (fig. 3, table 7). In pepper, a high percentage of individuals were assigned to the second cluster (40.9-64.2%), but the results varied with the years (table 7). In the samples from herbaceous plants, there was no clear pattern in the assignment of individuals to clusters (table 7).

Table 5. Hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) for samples of *M. persicae* collected from 2005 to 2008 grouped by host category (crop, secondary and primary hosts). Degrees of freedom (df), sums of squared deviations (SSD), the percentage of the total variance because of each level, and the probability test calculated after 1023 permutations.

Source of variation	df	SSD	Variance component	Percentage	P-value
Among groups	2	66.7	0.052	1.71	<0.001
Among samples within groups	13	154.6	0.125	4.15	<0.001
Within samples	1212	3436.0	2.835	94.14	<0.001

Table 6. Hierarchical AMOVA for samples of *M. persicae* collected from 2005 and 2008 on different host categories grouped by year. Degrees of freedom (df), sums of squared deviations (SSD), the percentage of the total variance because of each level, and the probability test calculated after 1023 permutations.

Source of variation	df	SSD	Variance component	Percentage	P-value
Among groups	1	24.5	0.019	0.66	<0.001
Among populations within groups	10	116.2	0.134	4.54	<0.001
Within populations	960	2680.9	2.793	94.80	<0.001



Fig. 2. Population dendrogram for samples of *M. persicae* collected from 2005 to 2008 on different host plants (see table 1 for sample codes). Numbers on nodes show bootstrap support (10,000 pseudo replications).

#### Discussion

#### Genetic diversity

In this work, we investigated the population structure of *M. Persicae* in diverse agroecosystems in areas with different climatic conditions and in relation to the primary and secondary hosts. We also looked at the change in the population structure in temporal hosts (pepper crops) *versus* permanent hosts (*Prunus* spp. and herbaceous plants). The high genetic diversity found in Murcia province agrees with the high haplotype diversity observed using other markers such as RAPD (Random amplified polymorphic DNA) (Martinez-Torres *et al.*, 1997) or rDNA fingerprinting (Fenton *et al.*, 1998). The differences in the proportion of

the population structure of M. persicae according to the host (primary or secondary) and the geographical area of distribution. The proportion of unique genotypes was higher in Prunus than in the secondary host plants but, while in Prunus the proportion was similar in the northern and southern part of the province, on the secondary host the proportion of unique genotypes was lower in the southern area. No significant differences were found in unique genotypes between pepper and herbaceous plant samples in the vicinity of greenhouses in the southern area, although, a lower number of alleles were observed on pepper than on herbaceous plants and Prunus, which might be due to a founder effect. The higher proportion of unique genotypes in herbaceous plants in the northern part of the province might be explained by the lower survivorship of obligate parthenogens in severe winter conditions, and consequently, by a lower representation of anholocyclic forms in populations. Lower genotypic variability is to be expected in obligate parthenogenetic aphids as a result of the absence of recombination in asexual reproduction (Delmotte et al., 2001, 2002; Guillemaud et al., 2003). These findings agree with the distribution and abundance of holocyclic and anholocyclic *M. persicae* in relation to climatic conditions predicted by Blackman (1974). The different climatic conditions of our two geographical areas of study may have a significant effect on the M. persicae population structure. In the southern part of the province anholocyclics may easily survive mild winter temperatures, while in the northern part their survivorship will depend greatly on the severity of winter temperatures. Vorburger et al. (2003a) found that both the abundance of peach trees and temperature influenced the distribution of genotypes with different reproduction strategies, and the genetic diversity in populations of M. persicae in Victoria, Australia. Cold winters may eliminate anholocyclic M. persicae, reducing genetic variability and imposing population 'bottlenecks' (Fenton et al., 1998). The results of the present work also agree with those of Guillemaud et al. (2003), who found a higher proportion of multilocus genotypes in mixed samples of obligate and cyclical parthenogens than on peach samples of cyclical parthenogens.

unique genotypes, linkage disequilibrium, number of alleles

and the number of loci under HWE indicated divergences in

Table 7.	Results of E	Bayesian	analyses u	using STRUC	CTURE.	Percentage	of individual	s in the ∧	1. persicae	samples a	ssigned t	o each	cluster
accordin	g to their res	spective	probabiliti	es of ancestr	y for K	=2 and $K=3$	3 in samples.						

	K	=2	K=3						
Sample codes	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 1	Cluster 2	Cluster 3				
CAPEP05	29.1	70.9	21.3	64.4	14.3				
CAPEP06	52.6	47.4	13.3	40.0	46.7				
CAPEP08	43.1	56.9	36.6	55.3	8.2				
AGHER05	66.7	33.3	50.8	27.1	21.9				
CAHER05	52.7	47.3	39.1	44.6	15.9				
CAHER06	62.2	37.8	25.6	30.7	43.7				
CAHER07	63.2	36.8	53.8	34.6	11.5				
CAHER08	53.4	46.6	47.5	46.2	6.3				
NOHER05	59.5	40.5	46.8	37.1	16.1				
NOHER08	81.8	18.2	40.9	18.2	40.9				
CAPRU05	88.2	11.8	88.2	11.8	0.0				
CAPRU08	89.2	10.8	79.3	8.8	11.9				
MUPRU08	98.1	1.9	93.0	6.4	0.0				
JUPRU08	99.2	0.8	96.5	0.0	3.0				
NOPRU05	88.2	11.8	88.2	11.8	0.0				
NOPRU08	99.3	0.7	95.0	0.0	5.0				



Fig. 3. STRUCTURE analyses for *K* = 2 and 3 clusters. *M. persicae* population structure based on microsatellite scores. Each line represents a single individual and is fragmented in different colours according to the coefficient of ancestry of each individual.

Linkage disequilibrium was frequent in samples from the southern part of the province, both in the primary and secondary hosts, but rare in the northern area. A similar degree of association found at both, loci belonging to the same and different linkage groups, might be explained by the generation of new genotypes resulting from the mutation of obligate parthenogens. The significant linkage found on peach samples in the southern part of the province might be explained by the contribution of androcyclic and facultative parthenogenetic clones to the population pool reproducing sexually in the primary host. Gillemaud *et al.* (2003), found no significant linkage among loci in samples of *M. persicae* populations collected on peach, with frequent linkage disequilibria in samples from aerial traps, supposedly integrated by a mix of obligate and cyclical parthenogens. Linkage disequilibrium at loci belonging to different linkage groups was also observed in *M. persicae* by Wilson *et al.* (2002).

The significant heterozygosity excess found on pepper and herbaceous plants in the southern part of the province may be attributed to the high representation of obligate parthenogens in *M. persicae* populations in the secondary hosts. The same diversity pattern associated with the over representation of obligate parthenogens in populations has been reported for other aphids such as *Sitobion* spp. (Sunnucks *et al.*, 1996; Wilson *et al.*, 1999), *Aphis gossyii* Glover (Fuller *et al.*, 1999) and *Myzus antirrhinii* Macchiati (Hales *et al.*, 2002). This higher heterozygosity in obligate parthenogenetical lineages may be explained by the accumulation of mutations at loci during extended cycles of asexual multiplication, among other factors (Birky 1996; Fenton *et al.*, 1998; Guillemaud *et al.*, 2003; Vorburger *et al.*, 2003a). The hybridization between highly differentiated populations is another factor leading to heterozygosity excess in aphid populations (Delmotte *et al.*, 2001). This argument favours our hypothesis of interbreeding between androcyclic and facultative obligate parthenogens with cyclical parthenogens in the primary host in Murcia province. Complementary studies will help determine the contribution of hybridization to the genetic variability of *M. persicae* populations.

#### Population genetic structure in relation to host plants

Host specialization is common in aphids and the formation of host races has been reported in several polyphagous species (Blackman & Eastop 2000; Frantz et al., 2006). Divergences in the populations of A. pisum associated with host specialization in alfalfa [Medicago sativa L. (Fabaceae)] and red clover [Trifolium pratense L. (Fabaceae)] have been reported both in the ancestral geographic range of distribution and in the New World (Via, 1999; Hawthorne & Via 2001; Simon et al., 2003; Frantz et al., 2006). The association of lineages with specific host plant species was also reported in Sitobion avenae (F.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in France (Sunnucks et al., 1997). The specialization of *M. persicae* seems to be mostly related to tobacco and little evidence of population differentiation has been reported in other host plants. Molecular, morphological and behavioural studies pointed to significant differences between M. persicae clones on tobacco and other host plants in tobacco-growing regions in northern and central Greece (Margaritopoulos et al., 2000, 2003, 2007a; Nikolakakis et al., 2003). The overrepresentation of one *M. persicae* genotype on Solanum physalifolium Rusby (Solanaceae) is the only evidence provided as a possible case of specialization of M. persicae in a host other than tobacco. Fenton et al. (1998) found no differences in the distribution of genotypes between Brassica and potato crops. Similarly, Zitoudi et al. (2001) found no specific band pattern in clones collected from different host plants using RAPD. In the present work, we found no evidence of M. persicae population divergence in any of the families of herbaceous plants commonly present in the vicinity of pepper greenhouses in Murcia province.

## M. persicae population structure at geographical and temporal scale

Analysis of the population structure using microsatellite markers revealed a marked microgeographic structure associated with primary and secondary hosts, and variable with time.  $F_{ST}$ -values ranging from 0.034 to 0.130 indicated moderate differentiations between M. persicae populations in the primary and secondary host plants; samples from the herbaceous plants and Prunus also grouped into two distinct clusters in the NJ dendrogram. As stated above, these differences in structure might be due to populations in herbaceous plants being made up of both obligate and cyclical parthenogenetic genotypes, while cyclical parthenogens would be the predominant forms in the primary host. Bayesian analyses showed that the population of M. persicae in Murcia province could be grouped into two or three clusters. In both cases (K=2 and 3), the samples from the primary host were mainly composed of individuals belonging to the first cluster, while the samples from the secondary host were made up of genotypes belonging to the first, second and third (in the case of K = 3) clusters. The existence of two clusters could be explained according to reproductive modes: Prunus would mainly host holocyclic genotypes, while herbaceous plants would host both holocyclic and anholocyclic forms. The high level of organization (K=3) predicted by STRUCTURE is difficult to explain because no obvious pattern is observed in the secondary host. Genetic differentiation between populations with a high percentage of cyclical parthenogens and those consisting mostly of anholocyclic lineages were reported in tobacco-growing regions in Greece (Margaritopoulos et al., 2007a). Martinez-Torres et al. (1996) predicted that a different distribution of anholocyclic and holocyclic aphids in populations would result in significant structuring of populations as long as gene flow between the two lineages and migration levels were limited. The little differentiation between samples of *M. persicae* on pepper and herbaceous plants in the same year seems to indicate that herbaceous plants in the vicinity of greenhouses are the most likely source of aphids for pepper crops. F<sub>ST</sub>-values among pepper and herbaceous plant samples from Campo de Cartagena ranged from 0.001 to 0.017, with lower  $F_{ST}$ -values between samples on pepper and herbaceous plants from the same year than between those in different years. The differences between herbaceous plants and pepper found in some years could be due to a founder effect, as populations in greenhouses may be composed of the few lineages that spread from herbaceous plants and Prunus.

The significant change observed in the structure of M. persicae populations on the secondary hosts from one year to another might be due to a high turn-over of obligate parthenogenetic genotypes surviving the winter, combined with a variation in the contribution of genotypes generated by cyclical parthenogens reproducing sexually in the primary host. Moreover, the changes in the structure of the population found on Prunus between years might also be connected with the selection of genotypes in secondary hosts that migrate later to the primary host. Impoverishment and increased differentiation between summer and autumn populations of M. persicae were reported in central and northern France (Guillemaud et al., 2003). Treatment with insecticides may also have a strong impact on the shaping of M. persicae population in agroecosystems, selecting genotypes resistant to insecticides (Devonshire et al., 1998).

Overall  $F_{ST}$ -values (0.022±0.009) among *Prunus* samples from distant localities in the same year indicate a low degree of population differentiation, possibly due to the homogenization of populations by the displacement of *M. persicae* over a greater distance than considered in this study (<100 km). In contrast, samples on herbaceous plants showed higher geographical differentiation on the same spatial scale (overall  $F_{ST}$ =0.040±0.006). A higher degree of population homogenization and, therefore, lower population differentiation is expected in cyclical than in obligate parthenogenetic aphid populations due to the migrations of cyclical parthenogens between the primary and secondary hosts.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Pedro Fernández, Marta Miguel, M<sup>a</sup> Carmen Martínez, Conchi Fernández, Antonio Gutiérrez and Laura Gutiérrez for helping with the sampling, and Javier Cerezuela for technical support. We thank Manolo Caro from SIAM for providing the temperature records and producing the isocline maps. This work was funded by the research project INIA RTA03-101. The senior author (J.A.S.) was awarded grants by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Ramón y Cajal programme), the European Social Fund and FEDER. Michelangelo La Spina received a grant from the INIA.

#### References

- Barker, J.S.F. (2005) Population structure and host-plant specialization in two *Scaptodrosophila* flower-breeding species. *Heredity* 94, 129–138.
- Berlocher, S.H. & Feder, J.L. (2002) Sympatric speciation in phytophagous insects: moving beyond controversy? *Annual Review of Entomology* 47, 773–815.
- Birky, C.W. (1996) Heterozygosity, heteromorphy, and phylogenetic trees in asexual eukaryotes. *Genetics* 144, 427–437.
- Blackman, R.L. (1971). Variation in the photoperiodic response within natural populations of *Myzus persicae* (Sulz.). Bulletin of Entomological Research 60, 533–546.
- Blackman, R.L. (1972) The inheritance of life-cycle differences in Myzus persicae (Sulz.) (Hem., Aphididae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 62, 281–294.
- Blackman, R.L. (1974) Life-cycle variation of Myzus persicae (Sulz.) (Hom., Aphididae) in different parts of the world, in relation to genotype and environment. Bulletin of Entomological Research 63, 595–607.
- Blackman, R.L. (1987) Morphological discrimination of a tobaccofeeding form of Myzus persicae (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae), and a key to New World Myzus (Nectarosiphon) species. Bulletin of Entomological Research 77, 713–730.
- Blackman, R.L. & Eastop, V.F. (2000) Aphids on the world's crop: and identification and information guide. Chicherter, UK, John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Blackman, R.L. & Spence, J.M. (1992) Electrophoretic distinction between the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*, and the tobacco aphid, *M. nicotianae* (Homoptera, Aphididae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 82, 161–165.
- Blackman, R.L., Malarky, G., Margaritopoulos, J.T. & Tsitsipis, J.A. (2007) Distribution of common genotypes of *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in Greece, in relation to life cycle and host plant. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 97, 253–263.
- Carroll, S.P. & Boyd, C. (1992) Host race radiation in the soapberry bug natural history with the history. *Evolution* 46, 1052– 1069.
- Cavalli-Sforza, L.L. & Edwards, A.W.F. (1967) Phylogenetic analysis: models and estimation procedures. *American Journal* of Human Genetics 19, 233–257.
- De Barro, P.J., Sherratt, T.N., Brookes, C.P.David, O. & Maclean, N. (1995) Spatial and temporal genetic variation in British field populations of the grain aphid *Sitobion avenae* (F.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) studied using RAPD-PCR. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 262, 321–327.
- Del Campo, M.L., Via, S. & Caillaud, M.C. (2003) Recognition of host-specific chemical stimulants in two sympatric host races of the pea aphid *Acyrthosiphon pisum*. *Ecological Entomology* 28, 405–412.
- Delmotte, F., Leterme, N., Bonhomme, J., Rispe, C. & Simon, J.C. (2001) Multiple routes to asexuality in an aphid species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 268, 2291– 2299.
- Delmotte, F., Leterme, N., Gauthier, J.P., Rispe, C. & Simon, J.C. (2002) Genetic architecture of sexual and asexual populations of the aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* based on allozyme and microsatellite markers. *Molecular Ecology* **11**, 711–723.

- Devonshire, A.L., Field, L.M., Foster, S.P., Moores, G.D., Williamson, M.S. & Blackman, R.L. (1998) The evolution of insecticide resistance in the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **353**, 1677–1684.
- Edwards, O.R. (2001) Interspecific and intraspecific variation in the performance of three pest aphid species on five grain legume hosts. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **100**, 21–30.
- Evanno, G., Regnaut, S. & Goudet, J. (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology* 14, 2611–2620.
- Excoffier, L., Laval, G. & Schneider, S. (2005) Arlequin (version 3.0): an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics* 1, 47–50.
- Feder, J.L., Berlocher, S.H. & Opp, S.B. (1998) Sympatric hostrace formation and speciation in *Rhagoletis* (Diptera: Tephritidae): a tale of two species for Charles D. pp. 408–441 *in* Mopper, S. & Strauss, S.Y. (Eds) *Genetic structure and local adaptation in natural insect populations*. New York, USA, Chapman and Hall.
- Fenton, B., Woodford, J.A.T. & Malloch, G. (1998) Analysis of clonal diversity of the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer), in Scotland, UK and evidence for the existence of a predominant clone. *Molecular Ecology* 7, 1475–1487.
- Frantz, A., Plantegenest, M., Mieuzet, L. & Simon, J.C. (2006) Ecological specialization correlates with genotypic differentiation in sympatric host-populations of the pea aphid. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 19, 392–401.
- Fuller, S.J., Chavigny, P., Lapchin, L. & Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F. (1999) Variation in clonal diversity in glasshouse infestations of the aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover in southern France. *Molecular Ecology* 8, 1867–1877.
- Goudet, J. (2002) FSTAT, a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (Version 2.9.3.2). Available online at http://www2.unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.htm
- Guillemaud, T., Mieuzet, L. & Simon, J.C. (2003) Spatial and temporal genetic variability in French populations of the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Heredity* 91, 143–152.
- Hales, D. F., Wilson, A.C.C., Sloane, M.A., Christophesimon, J., Legallic, J.F. & Sunnucks, P. (2002) Lack of detectable genetic recombination on the X chromosome during the parthenogenetic production of female and male aphids. *Genetical Research* 79, 203–209.
- Hawthorne, D.J. & Via, S. (2001) Genetic linkage of ecological specialization and reproductive isolation in pea aphids. *Nature* 412, 904–907.
- Langella, O. (1999) Populations, 1.2.32. Available online at http:// bioinformatics.org/~tryphon/populations/
- Malloch, G., Highet, F., Kasprowicz, L., Pickup, J., Neilson, R. & Fenton, B. (2006) Microsatellite marker analysis of peachpotato aphids (*Myzus persicae*, Homoptera: Aphididae) from Scottish suction traps. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 96, 573–582.
- Margaritopoulos, J.T., Mamuris, Z. & Tsitsipis, J.A. (1998) Attempted discrimination of *Myzus persicae* and *Myzus nicotianae* (Homoptera: Aphididae) by random amplified polymorphic DNA polymerase chain reaction technique. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **91**, 602–607.
- Margaritopoulos, J.T., Tsitsipis, J.A., Zintzaras, E. & Blackman, R.L. (2000) Host-correlated morphological variation of *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) populations in Greece. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **90**, 233–244.

- Margaritopoulos, J.T., Blackman, R.L., Tsitsipis, J.A. & Sannino, L. (2003) Co-existence of different host-adapted forms of the *Myzus persicae* group (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in southern Italy. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 93, 131–135.
- Margaritopoulos, J.T., Malarky, G., Tsitsipis, J.A. & Blackman, R.L. (2007a) Microsatellite DNA and behavioural studies provide evidence of host-mediated speciation in Myzus persicae (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 91, 687–702.
- Margaritopoulos, J.T., Shigehara, T., Takada, H. & Blackman, R.L. (2007b) Host-related morphological variation within *Myzus persicae* group (Homoptera: Aphididae) from Japan. *Applied Entomology and Zoology* **42**, 329–335.
- Martínez-Torres, D., Simon, J.C., Fereres, A. & Moya, A. (1996) Genetic variation in natural populations of the aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* as revealed by maternally inherited markers. *Molecular Ecology* 5, 659–670.
- Martínez-Torres, D., Carrio, R., Latorre, A., Simon, J. C., Hermoso, A. & Moya, A. (1997) Assessing the nucleotide diversity of three aphid species by RAPD. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **10**, 459–477.
- Nikolakakis, N.N., Margaritopoulos, J.T. & Tsitsipis, J.A. (2003) Performance of *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) clones on different host-plants and their host preference. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **93**, 235–242.
- Page, R.D.M. (1996) Treeview: an application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. *Computer Applications in the Biosciences*, **12**, 357–358.
- Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 155, 945–959.
- **R Development Core Team**. (2005) A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Rice, W. R. (1989) Analyzing tables of statistical tests. Evolution 43, 223–225.
- Rousset, F. (2008) GENEPOP 007: a complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8, 103–106.
- Sanchez, J. A., La-Spina, M., Michelena, J. M., Lacasa, A. & Hermoso de Mendoza, A. (2011) Ecology of the aphid pests of protected pepper crops and their parasitoids. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 21, 171–188.
- Simon, J.C., Baumann, S., Sunnucks, P., Hebert, P.D.N., Pierre, J. S., Le Gallic, J.F. & Dedryver, C.A. (1999) Reproductive mode and population genetic structure of the cereal aphid *Sitobion avenae* studied using phenotypic and microsatellite markers. *Molecular Ecology* 8, 531–545.
- Simon, J.C., Carre, S., Boutin, M., Prunier-Leterme, N., Sabater-Muñoz, B., Latorre, A. & Bournoville, R. (2003) Host-based divergence in populations of the pea aphid: insights from nuclear markers and the prevalence of facultative symbionts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 270, 1703– 1712.
- Sloane, M. A., Sunnucks, P., Wilson, A.C.C. & Hales, D.F. (2001) Microsatellite isolation, linkage group identification and determination of recombination frequency in the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Genetical Research* 77, 251–260.
- Sunnucks, P., England, P.R., Taylor, A.C. & Hales, D.F. (1996) Microsatellite and chromosome evolution of

parthenogenetic *Sitobion* aphids in Australia. *Genetics* 144, 747–756.

- Sunnucks, P., Barro, P.J.d., Lushai, G., Maclean, N. & Hales, D. (1997) Genetic structure of an aphid studied using microsatellites: cyclic parthenogenesis, differentiated lineages and host specialization. *Molecular Ecology* 6, 1059–1073.
- Takezaki, N. & Nei, M. (1996) Genetic distances and reconstruction of phylogenetic trees from microsatellite DNA. *Genetics* 144, 389–399.
- Via, S. (1999) Reproductive isolation between sympatric races of pea aphids. I. Gene flow restriction and habitat choice. *Evolution* 53, 1446–1457.
- Via, S. & Hawthorne, D.J. (2002) The genetic architecture of ecological specialization: correlated gene effects on host use and habitat choice in pea aphids. *American Naturalist* 159, S76–S88.
- Via, S., Bouck, A.C. & Skillman, S. (2000) Reproductive isolation between divergent races of pea aphids on two hosts. II. Selection against migrants and hybrids in the parental environments. *Evolution* 54, 1626–1637.
- Vorburger, C. (2004) Cold tolerance in obligate and cyclical parthenogens of the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. *Ecological Entomology* 29, 498–505.
- Vorburger, C. (2006) Temporal dynamics of genotypic diversity reveal strong clonal selection in the aphid Myzus persicae. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 19, 97–107.
- Vorburger, C., Lancaster, M. & Sunnucks, P. (2003a) Environmentally related patterns of reproductive modes in the aphid *Myzus persicae* and the predominance of two 'superclones' in Victoria, Australia. *Molecular Ecology* 12, 3493–3504.
- Vorburger, C., Sunnucks, P. & Ward, S.A. (2003b) Explaining the coexistence of asexuals with their sexual progenitors: no evidence for general-purpose genotypes in obligate parthenogens of the peach-potato aphid, *Myzus persicae*. Ecology Letters 6, 1091–1098.
- Weber, G. (1985) Genetic-variability in host plant adaptation of the green peach aphid, Myzus persicae. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 38, 49–56.
- Weber, G. (1986) Ecological genetics of host plant exploitation in the green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae*. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 40, 161–168.
- Weir, B.S. & Cockerham, C.C. (1984) Estimating F-statistic for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution* 38, 1358–1370.
- Wilson, A.C.C., Sunnucks, P. & Hales, D.F. (1999) Microevolution, low clonal diversity and genetic affinities of parthenogenetic *Sitobion* aphids in New Zealand. *Molecular Ecology* 8, 1655–1666.
- Wilson, A.C.C., Sunnucks, P., Blackman, R.L. & Hales, D.F. (2002) Microsatellite variation in cyclically parthenogenetic populations of *Myzus persicae* in south-eastern Australia. *Heredity* 88, 258–266.
- Wilson, A.C.C., Massonnet, B., Simon, J.C., Prunier-Leterme, N., Dolatti, L., Llewellyn, K.S., Figueroa, C.C., Ramirez, C.C., Blackman, R.L., Estoup, A. & Sunnucks, P. (2004) Crossspecies amplification of microsatellite loci in aphids: assessment and application. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4, 104–109.
- Zitoudi, K., Margaritopoulos, J.T., Mamuris, Z. & Tsitsipis, J.A. (2001) Genetic variation in *Myzus persicae* populations associated with host-plant and life cycle category. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 99, 303–311.