cambridge.org/ags

# Crops and Soils Research Paper

**Cite this article:** Fernández-González M, Piña-Rey A, González-Fernández E, Aira M J, Rodríguez-Rajo F J (2019). First assessment of Goidanich Index and aerobiological data for *Plasmopara viticola* infection risk management in north-west Spain. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **157**, 129–139. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0021859619000376

Received: 14 November 2017 Revised: 13 March 2019 Accepted: 30 April 2019 First published online: 29 May 2019

**Key words:** Goidanich; grapevine; integrated pest management; models

Author for correspondence:

F. J. Rodríguez-Rajo, E-mail: javirajo@uvigo.es

# First assessment of Goidanich Index and aerobiological data for *Plasmopara viticola* infection risk management in north-west Spain

M. Fernández-González<sup>1</sup>, A. Piña-Rey<sup>1</sup>, E. González-Fernández<sup>1</sup>, M. J. Aira<sup>2</sup> and F. J. Rodríguez-Rajo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Biology and Soil Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, University of Vigo, Ourense Campus, E-32004, Ourense, Spain and <sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Pharmacy Faculty, University of Santiago of Compostela, Santiago of Compostela, E-15782, Spain

# Abstract

The climate of north-western Spain, with high temperatures and relative humidity during the grapevine vegetative cycle, can especially favour the development of fungal diseases in vineyards. One of the most important diseases is downy mildew, caused by the fungus Plasmopara viticola. The aim of the current study is to propose a system containing phenological data, biological sensors of pathogen indicator and the agrometeorological Goidanich Index in order to optimize the application of downy mildew fungicide treatments. The study was conducted in a vineyard of the 'Ribeiro' Designation of Origin region from 2005 to 2016 during the Vitis vegetative period. Aerobiological sampling was performed using a LANZONI VPPS-2000 volumetric trap. The highest number of infection cycles was recorded during the 2009 harvest, with a total of 16 cycles. Years with fewer infection cycles were 2008, 2011 and 2012 (13 cycles). Primary infections were produced during the third fortnight of April and a high amount of secondary infection cycles were detected by the Goidanich algorithm during the fruit development and berry ripening stages. The best estimators of the P. viticola spore concentrations were the fungus spore levels during the previous day, the average temperature 5 days before and rainfall 2 days before. The regression equation obtained accounted for the 95.9% of the spore concentration variation. The combination of the Goidanich index and biological sensors provides a valuable tool to establish an accurate, modern, integrated downy mildew pest-management strategy.

## Introduction

Historically, the vine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) was an important crop cultivated across Europe and provides approximately 60% of the global wine production volume. The main producer regions are located in France, Italy and Spain, countries where viticulture registers around 33% of the global vine land cover area (OIV, 2015). In north-western Spain, *Vitis vinifera* L. has a significant economic and social influence. Its winery industry constitutes an important base for the local economy in a context of a progressive wine quality improvement based on the establishment of Designation Origin Areas with autochthonous varieties (MAGRAMA, 2015).

During the production cycle, final grape harvest can be strongly influenced by meteorological variables through regulation of the main plant growth biological processes (Dalla Marta *et al.*, 2010; Eitzinger *et al.*, 2010; Fernández-González *et al.*, 2011; Caffi *et al.*, 2016) or development of fungal diseases (Ellison *et al.*, 1998; Fernández-González *et al.*, 2009, 2013; Calanca *et al.*, 2011; Kocmánková *et al.*, 2011). The particular climate of north-western Spain, with high temperatures and relative humidity during the grapevine vegetative cycle, can especially favour the development of fungal diseases in crops. One of the most important diseases is downy mildew, caused by the fungus *Plasmopara viticola* Berk et Curt. de Toni. The yeast can affect both the quantity of harvest and the quality of wine produced from infected grapes (Salinari *et al.*, 2006).

Grape downy mildew is a polycyclic disease that prompts primary infections caused by ospores and secondary infections by sporangia. The disease cycle starts when the oospores, which represent the sexual stage of *P. viticola*, which are deposited inside infected leaves, on the soil surface or within the surface layer of the soil (Carisse, 2016). These oospores mature during late winter and early spring. The oospores germinate and then reach morphological maturity in spring, causing the primary infections (Carisse, 2016). The main meteorological parameters involved in oospore dormancy completion are temperature, rainfall and humidity (Hill, 2000; Rossi *et al.*, 2008*a*, 2008*b*; Caffi *et al.*, 2009). Oospore germination is favoured by temperatures above 11 °C (Park *et al.*, 1997) and inhibited by temperatures above 30 °C (Blouin, 2007). When oospores germinate, they form biflagellate zoospores. If they are

© Cambridge University Press 2019



deposited on the leaves, under moist conditions they can swim towards stomata in the water film on the abaxial leaf surface (Kiefer *et al.*, 2002). Then they shed their flagella to form a germ tube that penetrates the stomata and encyst in the substomatal cavity (Unger *et al.*, 2007). The encysted zoospores produce hyphae that colonize the host tissue producing 'oil spots' in the infected leaves, which are identified as the initial disease symptoms (Rossi *et al.*, 2013). Viable zoospores on the soil are dispersed to leaves and bunches of fruit growing near the ground by rain splash (Viret and Siegfried, 1996; Rossi and Caffi, 2012).

The secondary infection starts 5–18 days after the primary infection has occurred (Rossi *et al.*, 2013). *P. viticola* produces sporangiospores bearing sporangia containing asexual zoospores, which are the inoculum responsible for multiple secondary cycles. The sporulation period is influenced by weather parameters, mainly at night, when the relative humidity is high (Rumbolz *et al.*, 2002) and temperatures range from 10 to 32 °C, with an optimum at 20 °C (Lalancette *et al.*, 1988; Caffi *et al.*, 2013). *P. viticola* disease spreads very rapidly during the secondary infection cycles. Nonetheless, the degree of primary infection is a key factor for successful control of secondary infections (Schwinn, 1981).

The most common P. viticola pest management method is the periodic application of chemical spray treatments with the aim of controlling both primary and secondary infections (Walters and Fountaine, 2009). As a consequence, a significant number of treatments (between 8 and 11 applications throughout the vine vegetative cycle) are usually conducted, most of them unnecessary. The aim of the current study was to assess a system to manage P. viticola infections based on all three causal components of the disease triangle: phenological information (host conditions), biological sensor data (a pathogen indicator such as levels of airborne fungal spores) and prediction of the occurrence of ideal environmental conditions for the pathogen (based on the Goidanich Index). Using the combination of these variables to decide when to spray would clearly lead to a lower number of treatments, and thus to a reduction of both economic costs and environmental damage.

## **Materials and methods**

The current study was carried out in a vineyard located in Cenlle, North-West Spain (42°18'N, 8°6'W, 140 m a.s.l.), belonging to the Ribeiro Designation of Origin Area (Fig. 1). This region is characterized by a particular Oceanic–Mediterranean transition ecoclimate (Köppen, 1931). The main grape varieties grown are Treixadura, Godello and Loureira. According to the Geoviticulture Multicriteria Climatic Classification System (available at http://www.cnpuv.embrapa.br/ccm/ccm.en.html), most winemaking areas in this region, watered by the river Miño, would be defined as temperate and warm, sub-humid, with very cold nights (Blanco-Ward *et al.*, 2007).

The study period covered 2005–2016 during the active *Vitis vinifera* vegetative cycle, from 1 April to harvest date, during September in each year.

An aerobiological study was carried out using a Lanzoni VPPS-2000 (Lanzoni Manufacturing, Italy) volumetric spore trap (Hirst, 1952) located inside the vineyard 2 m above ground level (so that spore trapping would not be impeded by plant growth). The Lanzoni sampler device was calibrated with a flow of 10 litres/min. The spores were caught on a melinex film inside the device. Spore identification and counts were conducted using



Fig. 1. Location of the study area.

the protocol proposed by the Spanish Aerobiological Network – R.E.A. – (Galán Soldevilla *et al.*, 2007). The *P. viticola* sporangium concentration was expressed as total spores or spores/ $m^3$  of air when referring to daily mean values.

The phenological study was conducted during the active grapevine cycle (from 1 April to the harvest date) during all study years in 22 selected plants of the Treixadura variety. The phenological study was conducted following the Biologische Bundesanstalt, Bundessortenamt und CHemische Industrie (BBCH, 1997) phenological scale recommended by Lorenz et al. (1994), used as the standardized scale for phenological observations (Meier, 2001). A total of six principal stages were monitored, growth stage (GS) 0 (bud development), GS 1 (leaf development), GS 5 (inflorescence emergence), GS 6 (flowering), GS 7 (development of fruits) and GS 8 (ripening of berries). The crop was visited weekly during the years of study, increasing to twice a week during flowering (GS 6). The start date of each phenological phase was considered as the date when 0.50 of studied plants were observed in that stage. The date on which fungicide was applied was also noted.

In order to ascertain the possible periods of disease attack, infection risk cycles (IRC) were calculated following the methodology proposed by Goidanich *et al.* (1957). Primary contamination begins the day after the following conditions occur: vine shoots are at least 10 cm in length, total rainfall collected in 1 day  $\geq 10$  mm and maximum temperature  $\geq 12$  °C. The Goidanich algorithm was calculated following the table proposed by Goidanich *et al.* (1957), which represents the percentage of fungal development with values ranging from 0 to 100%. The IRC is complete (100%) at the moment when the theoretical incubation period ends and fungal contamination is evidenced by the appearance of oil spots and spore asexual fructification. After the completion of the primary infection, multiple secondary infection cycles can occur (caused by asexual spores) under the following conditions: minimum temperature  $\geq$ 11–12 °C, 4 h of leaf moisture during the period from 22:00 to 04:00 h, average temperature ranging between 13 and 27 °C and any rainfall event (Goidanich *et al.*, 1957).

Meteorological data (maximum, minimum and average temperatures; dew point; relative humidity; wind speed) were obtained from a Hobo Micro Station data logger from HOBO Data Loggers (Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA, USA), located next to the spore sampler. The rainfall values (mm) were recorded by means of a Davis weather station also located in the vineyard. The values of the main meteorological parameters – maximum, minimum and average temperatures (° C), dew point (°C), relative humidity (%), rainfall (mm) and wind speed (m/s) – during the phenological stages are shown in Table 1.

In order to ascertain the influence of daily weather variables on daily mean P. viticola spore concentrations, a Spearman nonlinear correlation test was applied considering the meteorological values of the same day and values from the previous 7 days. Significance was calculated at  $P \leq 0.01$ . The results obtained by correlation analysis were considered for the design of a linear regression analysis in order to develop a model to predict P. viticola concentrations in the atmosphere of the vineyard. Variables included in the proposed model were the meteorological and phytopathological variables displaying high significance correlation level. The model was trained with harvest data from 2005 to 2013, whereas the data from 2014 to 2016 were not used for model elaboration, with the aim of proving its real accuracy. Moreover, a t test for dependent samples was carried out in order to check the significant differences between estimated and real data. For all statistical analysis, SPSS 22.0 software package was used.

## Results

The duration of the Treixadura grapevine cycle ranged from 154 days during 2011 to 176 days in 2008. Generally, the longest-lasting stage was GS 7 (development of fruits) with a mean duration of 55 days throughout the study years, followed by GS 8 (ripening of berries) with 41 days and GS 5 (inflorescence emergence) with 34 days. The shorter stages were GS 1 (leaf development) at 19 days and GS 6 (flowering) with a mean of 11 days during the years of study (Table 2).

The presence of P. viticola spores was not constant in the atmosphere of the vineyard during the grapevine cycle. In general, maximum spore counts were observed from the second fortnight of April to the first fortnight of May, and from the last 10 days of June to the last 10 days of September (Fig. 2, Table 2). The highest total of P. viticola spore concentrations was recorded in 2010 with 1255 spores/m<sup>3</sup> and the lowest in 2005 with a total value of 210 spores/m<sup>3</sup> (Table 2). Intermediate values were registered during the other years. The daily maximum peak spore value was registered on 25 June 2010 with a concentration of 125 spores/ m<sup>3</sup>. The average temperature that day was 21.7 °C and there was 25.2 mm of rainfall. The moment of peak daily P. viticola maximum spore concentrations varied among the years of study: during leaf development in 2006 and 2013, inflorescence emergence in 2005, 2011 and 2012, development of fruits in 2010 and finally during ripening of berries in 2007, 2008 and 2009 (Fig. 2, Table 2).

The percentage of infection risk was calculated using the Goidanich algorithm from 2005 to 2013 (Fig. 2). The highest

number of infection cycles was recorded during the 2009 harvest, with a total of 16 cycles. The years with fewest infection cycles were 2008, 2011 and 2012 (13 cycles) followed by 2010 and 2013 (15 cycles). The primary infection risk period was seen during the third fortnight of April, except in 2013 where the primary infection risk was advanced to the first half of April. The highest number of secondary infection cycles detected by the Goidanich algorithm was produced during the development of fruits and ripening of berries, coinciding with the periods of highest *P. viticola* concentrations (i.e. during the years 2008 and 2009 in GS 8 and during the year 2010 in GS 7). However, peak spore concentrations were advanced to GS 5 in 2011 and 2012 and to GS 1 in 2013, coinciding with the primary infection cycle detected by the Goidanich algorithm (Fig. 2).

In order to determinate the influence of the main weather variables on airborne spore presence, a Spearman correlation test was carried out during the 2005-2013 data set. A positive correlation coefficient ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) was obtained between the *P. viticola* spore concentrations and maximum temperature, rainfall and wind speed of the same day and the previous 7 days. In contrast, a negative correlation ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) was obtained with minimum or average temperatures, dew point and relative humidity of the same day and the previous 7 days (Table 3). The linear regression analysis developed to predict airborne spore concentrations included as independent variables the parameters with a highly significant correlation coefficient. The best estimators of P. viticola spore concentration were fungus spore levels during the previous day, average temperature 5 days before and the rainfall 2 days before. The regression equation obtained shows an F value of 15 980, standard error of estimate of 6.906 and P < 0.001, accounting for 95.9% of the spore concentration variation (Table 4). The accuracy of the prediction regression model was assessed by means of comparing the observed and predicted P. viticola spore concentrations during 2014, 2015 and 2016 (years not used for model training). In general, the forecasts for P. viticola spores fitted the real, observed data (Fig. 3). The precision of the proposed model was also verified statistically by means of ttest analysis. The results of the test indicated no significant differences between estimated and real daily P. viticola spore concentrations during 2014, 2015 and 2016 (Table 5).

#### Discussion

Downy mildew is a polycyclic fungal disease, which causes both direct and indirect yield losses (Hewitt and Pearson, 1988; Caffi *et al.*, 2006).

The incidence of downy mildew in 1 year will have an influence on the disease risk for the next year (Carisse, 2016). This fungus can overwinter as oospores, which are the main source of primary infection (Salinari et al., 2006; Gessler et al., 2011; Carisse, 2016). Some authors have noted the importance of oospore infection in downy mildew epidemics, as they have a great potential to germinate over relatively long periods (Gobbin et al., 2003, 2005). The current data showed that primary infections took place during a short period in the spring, whereas disease progression and, most importantly, yield reductions were caused mainly by secondary infections (Gessler et al., 2011). The grape downy mildew secondary attacks were regulated by synchronization of different variables such as the nature of the disease (the quantity of overwinter oospores), weather parameters (which influence pathogen development), susceptibility of the grape variety and temporal changes in organs during the growing

 Table 1. Main meteorological parameters -maximum, minimum and average temperatures (°C), dew point (°C), relative humidity (%), rainfall (mm) and wind speed (m/s) – during the phenological stages

Phenological stage	Max temp.	Min temp.	Av temp.	Dew point	RH	Rainfall	Wind speed
2005							
1	16.6	6.8	11.1	6.7	76.7	48.8	0.9
5	23.7	9.1	15.6	9.3	70.9	79.8	0.7
6	32.1	13.2	22.4	12.3	59.2	0.0	0.6
7	31.3	14.7	22.1	13.3	63.1	92.2	0.5
8	29.8	13.1	20.4	13.0	70.0	48.0	0.5
2006							
1	23.0	5.9	13.6	7.5	79.3	32.6	0.8
5	25.4	8.3	16.6	9.3	70.6	9.6	0.8
6	31.1	12.6	21.9	7.6	47.1	0.0	0.6
7	32.1	15.5	22.8	14.3	64.8	73.8	0.6
8	30.9	14.5	21.8	12.5	63.1	39.6	0.5
2007							
1	26.9	8.8	16.4	8.9	68.5	8.4	0.6
5	21.7	9.8	15.3	9.7	74.2	121.4	0.7
6	21.9	10.5	16.3	9.7	70.8	11.6	0.6
7	26.5	12.4	18.7	13.8	72.6	85.8	0.6
8	28.8	13.1	20.1	12.3	69.7	30.2	0.5
2008							
1	18.3	7.6	12.4	9.1	82.3	165.0	0.7
5	19.4	10.0	14.1	10.2	79.0	143.2	0.5
6	24.7	11.2	17.8	11.1	67.7	8.8	0.8
7	27.9	13.2	19.9	13.8	70.5	10.6	0.7
8	25.3	12.6	18.0	13.4	76.7	103.0	0.5
2009							
1	15.5	5.4	9.8	6.1	79.5	51.4	0.6
5	21.0	8.4	14.2	8.7	73.2	54.4	0.7
6	24.5	12.5	18.0	12.6	75.1	77.4	0.6
7	27.7	13.5	19.9	13.9	70.9	46.4	0.7
8	29.2	13.3	20.3	13.8	69.4	6.6	0.6
2010							
1	21.6	9.6	14.8	10.1	75.4	76.4	0.6
5	22.2	10.1	15.6	10.1	72.9	76.0	0.6
6	21.9	12.2	16.6	12.5	78.4	57.4	0.6
7	30.3	14.2	21.7	15.0	68.9	25.2	0.6
8	30.	14.4	21.6	14.2	66.8	11.6	0.5
2011							
1	26.3	9.1	17.0	9.9	66.6	0.3	0.6
5	23.4	10.0	15.8	11.2	76.7	101.2	0.6
6	27.5	11.9	18.4	13.4	75.2	22.6	0.6
7	26.4	11.8	18.7	12.4	69.3	5.9	0.9
8	29.7	13.8	20.8	14.4	70.7	19.3	0.9

(Continued)

1	3	3

Phenological stage	Max temp.	Min temp.	Av temp.	Dew point	RH	Rainfall	Wind speed
2012							
1	14.7	6.9	9.9	7.1	83.2	180.8	0.3
5	24.8	11.9	17.7	12.3	73.6	45.8	0.2
6	23.0	12.1	16.9	13.1	79.3	4.2	0.1
7	27.7	12.6	19.3	13.6	72.4	48.6	0.0
8	30.0	13.5	20.8	14.0	69.0	18.2	0.1
2013							
1	12.8	4.9	8.4	5.3	82.2	4.6	0.6
5	15.2	6.5	10.2	7.2	83.3	52.8	0.3
6	21.3	7.2	13.9	6.9	65.2	8.6	0.9
7	20.4	8.3	13.8	8.8	74.4	11.6	0.5
8	31.8	14.7	22.7	15.2	66.6	3.6	0.0

1. Leaf development; 5. Inflorescence emergence; 6. Flowering; 7. Development of fruits; 8. Ripening of berries

**Table 2.** Start date and length of the different phenological stages (days) in each study year. *P. viticola* total spores (spores) and maximum concentration (spores/m<sup>3</sup>) during the different phenological stages are also shown

	Phenological Stages					
	1	5	6	7	8	Total Spores
2005						
Start date	6 Apr	27 Apr	2 Jun	11 Jun	12 Aug	210
Length	21	36	9	62	34	
P. viticola max.	2	19	9	6	14	
Date max.	19 Apr	24 May	3 Jun	30 Jul, 11 Aug	4 Sep	
2006						
Start date	12 Apr	28 Apr	29 May	7 Jun	11 Aug	315
Length	16	31	9	65	38	
P. viticola max.	19	11	3	6	11	
Date max.	26 Apr	3 May	5 Jun	30 Jun	11 Sep	
2007						
Start date	11 Apr	23 Apr	25 May	7 Jun	2 Aug	731
Length	12	32	13	56	49	
P. viticola max.	3	28	3	18	32	
Date max.	14 Apr	9 May	4 Jun	13 Jul	25 Aug	
2008						
Start date	8 Apr	29 Apr	6 Jun	17 Jun	12 Aug	901
Length	21	38	11	56	43	
P. viticola max.	5	14	22	22	107	
Date max.	19 Apr	1 Jun	7 Jun	17 Jun	20 Sep	
2009						
Start date	3 Apr	21 Apr	30 May	13 Jun	29 Jul	338
Length	18	39	14	46	56	
P. viticola max.	2	6	3	11	21	

		Phenological Stages				
	1	5	6	7	8	Total Spores
Date max.	12 Apr	13 May	4,5,7,11 Jun	21 Jul	4 Aug	
2010						
Start date	13 Apr	4 May	3 Jun	16 Jun	3 Aug	1255
Length	21	30	13	48	41	
P. viticola max.	56	13	17	125	10	
Date max.	16 Apr	30 May	10 Jun	25 Jun	4 Sep	
2011						
Start date	6 Apr	19 Apr	19 May	2 Jun	26 Jul	371
Length	13	30	14	54	38	
P. viticola max.	21	24	3	2	4	
Date max.	18 Apr	2 May	2328 May	4 Jun	20 Aug	
2012						
Start date	10 Apr	7 May	4 Jun	12 Jun	7 Aug	504
Length	27	28	8	56	44	
P. viticola max.	13	66	3	10	24	
Date max.	2 May	19 May	6 Jun	20 Jun, 10 Jul	14 Aug	
2013						
Start date	14 Apr	8 May	17 Jun	26 Jun	20 Aug	276
Length	24	40	9	55	29	
P. viticola max.	33	9	4	9	3	
Date max.	15 Apr	9 Jun	22 Jun	13 Aug	3 Sep	

#### Table 2. (Continued.)

1. Leaf development; 5. Inflorescence emerge; 6. Flowering; 7. Development of fruits; 8. Ripening of berries

season (Carisse, 2016). Amongst these, the key factors for primary and secondary infection cycles were weather variables. The optimum conditions for oospore germination occurred during spring, when rainfall is >10 mm for 1-2 consecutive days and temperature is above 10-12 °C (Laviola et al., 1986; Rossi et al., 2008a). Zoospore release occurred during temperatures from 5 to 30 °C, with an optimum at 20-25 °C (Caffi et al., 2016). Several authors have indicated that most P. viticola infections ranged between early May to mid-July (Rumbou and Gessler, 2004; Gobbin et al., 2005; Kennelly et al., 2007). In the current study, maximum spore levels were registered from the second half of April to the second half of May in 2005, 2006, 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013, overlapping the phenological stages 1 (leaf development) and 5 (inflorescence emergence), but during GS 8 (ripening of berries) in 2007, 2008 and 2009. During these periods, maximum temperatures around 23 °C after 1-3 rainy days were recorded. The correlation analysis reinforces these findings, as the presence of spores in the atmosphere was highly correlated with rainfall for 2 days before and maximum temperature. These results were similar to those reported in previous studies by Fernández-González et al. (2016) in the same area and Kennelly et al. (2007) in an area of the USA located at a similar latitude. Both Fernández-González et al. (2016) and Kennelly et al. (2007) also connected high spore concentrations during the first grapevine phenological stages with the winter

germination of oospores, responsible for the primary infection. Inappropriate or poorly executed cultural practices, for example leaving pruning residues on the vineyard soil, could increase the primary infection incidence. Rosa et al. (1995) considered that primary infections depend on temperature and rainfall, but that secondary infections were regulated by means of temperature and continuous humidity on leaves. The optimum relative humidity for sporulation and development disease ranged from 95 to 100% in the area of study (Díaz, 1999). The current findings showed that continuous rainfall episodes, relative humidity values above 60% and maximum temperatures ranging from 20 to 37 °C represented the key factors for maximum spore concentrations during ripening of berries (GS 8) in 2007 and 2008. The current results were in accordance with Fernández-González et al. (2016). During the rest of the sampled years, lower spore levels were registered because higher maximum temperatures (between 21 and 42 °C), scarce rainfall events and lower than optimum relative humidity for sporulation values (between 49 and 95%) were recorded during the same months. Studies conducted by Lalancette et al. (1988) in North-American located at similar latitude stated that high temperatures and low relative humidity during the day could reduce sporangia survival rates.

With the aim of determining *P. viticola* incubation cycles, the method proposed by Goidanich *et al.* (1957) was assessed. Epidemiological conditions during the study years indicated





Fig. 2. Spore concentrations (areas), rainfall values (bars), average temperature (continuous line), Goidanich Index risk periods (discontinuous vertical lines) and chemical treatments date (arrows) during the years of the study. S-0 bud development; S-1 leaf development; S-5 inflorescence emerge; S-6 flowering; S-7 development of fruits; S-8 ripening of berries.

**Table 3.** Spearman's rank-order correlation coefficient between *Plasmopara viticola* spore concentrations and the main meteorological variables -maximum, minimum and average temperatures, dew point, relative humidity, rainfall and wind speed- during the same day and the previous 7 days. Maximum, minimum and average temperatures (°C), dew point (°C), relative humidity (%), rainfall (mm) and wind speed (m/s)

	R	Р		R	Р
			Dew point	-0.165	<0.001
P. viticola –1	0.850	<0.001	Dew point-1	-0.166	<0.001
P. viticola —2	0.819	<0.001	Dew point-2	-0.174	<0.001
P. viticola —3	0.803	<0.001	Dew point-3	-0.191	<0.001
P. viticola —4	0.793	<0.001	Dew point-4	-0.196	<0.001
P. viticola —5	0.785	<0.001	Dew point-5	-0.201	<0.001
P. viticola —6	0.794	<0.001	Dew point-6	-0.194	<0.001
P. viticola —7	0.778	<0.001	Dew point-7	-0.202	<0.001
Maximum temperature	0.225	<0.001	Relative humidity	-0.708	<0.001
Max. temp-1	0.211	<0.001	RH-1	-0.691	<0.001
Max. temp-2	0.199	<0.001	RH-2	-0.675	<0.001
Max. temp-3	0.194	<0.001	RH-3	-0.678	<0.001
Max. temp-4	0.195	<0.001	RH-4	-0.677	<0.001
Max. temp-5	0.197	<0.001	RH-5	-0.677	<0.001
Max. temp-6	0.198	<0.001	RH-6	-0.670	<0.001
Max. temp-7	0.194	<0.001	RH-7	-0.671	<0.001
Minimum temperature	-0.240	<0.001	Rainfall	0.662	<0.001
Min. temp-1	-0.241	<0.001	Rainfall-1	0.682	<0.001
Min. temp-2	-0.241	<0.001	Rainfall-2	0.701	<0.001
Min. temp-3	-0.240	<0.001	Rainfall-3	0.701	<0.001
Min. temp-4	-0.236	<0.001	Rainfall-4	0.695	<0.001
Min. temp-5	-0.237	<0.001	Rainfall-5	0.698	<0.001
Min. temp-6	-0.240	<0.001	Rainfall-6	0.701	<0.001
Min. temp-7	-0.251	<0.001	Rainfall-7	0.692	<0.001
Average temperature	-0.599	<0.001	Wind speed	0.660	<0.001
Avg. temp-1	-0.610	<0.001	Ws-1	0.650	<0.001
Avg. temp-2	-0.617	<0.001	Ws-2	0.645	<0.001
Avg. temp-3	-0.623	<0.001	Ws-3	0.651	<0.001
Avg. temp-4	-0.624	<0.001	Ws-4	0.658	<0.001
Avg. temp-5	-0.629	<0.001	Ws-5	0.660	<0.001
Avg. temp-6	-0.629	<0.001	Ws-6	0.657	<0.001
Avg. temp-7	-0.629	<0.001	Ws-7	0.653	<0.001

that the incubation cycle started when the 'three ten rules' occurred (Baldacci, 1947) during early spring; i.e. temperature reached a minimum of 10 °C, humidity concentration was 10 litres/m<sup>2</sup> and vine shoot length was 10 cm. The primary infection risk Goidanich cycles started around 20–22 April, during GS 1 (leaf development), except in 2013 when the first infection occurred 10 days before. The model indicates that the first rainfall episodes caused the disease onset, as this rain allowed zoospores to penetrate the stomata and initiate the primary infection. Menesatti *et al.* (2013), reporting on the first attack during leaf development, stated similar results in Northern Italian vineyards.

Pedrazzini *et al.* (2011), in the Lombardia region, indicated that the first fungicide treatment should be applied when the Goidanich model reached 80% of the index with the aim of diminishing oospore development. In order to maintain a vineyard under the 80% infection index throughout the grapevine cycle, a great number of fungicide treatments (ranging between 8 and 11) would be needed during the sampling period used in the current study. Some authors have reported between 7 and 10 fungicide treatments in Northern Italian vineyards (Rossi *et al.*, 2008*a*) and even 9–13 treatments in studies conducted in central Italy, where more favourable bioclimatic infection

Table 4. Forecast model of P. viticola spore concentrations considering the data set 2005–2013

	R	R <sup>2</sup>	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	F	s.E. estimate	Р
	0.979	0.960	0.959	15 980	6.906	<0.001
	β	s.e. $eta$	В	s.e. B	t(1995)	P-level
Intercepted			2.508	0.498	5.038	<0.001
P. viticola-1	0.913	0.008	0.912	0.008	110.717	<0.001
Avg. temp-5	-0.032	0.007	-0.115	0.024	-4.855	<0.001
Rainfall-2	0.054	0.007	0.220	0.030	7.404	<0.001



Fig. 3. Graphical comparison between the sampled and predicted *P. viticola* spore concentrations during 2014, 2015 and 2016 harvest.

conditions for the pathogen occurred (Menesatti *et al.*, 2013). A large number of fungicide treatments applied in vineyards has negative impacts on the environment and the economics of production (Seem *et al.*, 1985).

Nowadays, chemical treatments are mainly applied according to established calendars; consequently, a great number of applications are ineffective. The Goidanich model allows determination of the times at which meteorological conditions could facilitate Plasmopara attacks during the susceptible phenological stages of vine growth. Fungicides should only be applied when all three causal components of the disease triangle are present (meteorologically favourable conditions, susceptible host and pathogen presence). The disease is not produced if any one of these is lacking (Fernández-González et al., 2013). Atmospheric spore concentrations in the vineyard could be used as a biological sensor for pathogen presence and development. A number of worldwide surveys have sought to relate disease levels at a given time with airborne spore concentrations during previous periods (Jeger, 1984; Carisse et al., 2008). Studies conducted by Carisse et al. (2008) reported a significant correlation between airborne conidium concentrations on a given date and lesion density 1 week later in North-American areas located at the same latitude as the current study. A certain threshold level of spore concentration could be used as a warning of the real risk of disease if values of the Goidanich index around 80% were recorded. Implementation of a system of this sort would clearly lead to a lower number of treatments and thus to a reduction in both economic costs and environmental damage (Seem et al., 1985; Bugiani et al., 1995). The current work developed a model to predict spore concentration in the atmosphere of the vineyard. The meteorological variables included in the model were average temperature, rainfall and pathogen concentration on the previous day. The equation accounted for 95.9% of predicted spore count variation, showing a better accuracy than that reported by Fernández-González et al. (2009, 2016) in the same study area. The precision of the equation was tested with data from 2014, 2015 and 2016. The graphical representation of observed and predicted data fit well, and the t-test statistically reinforces the accuracv of the equation.

Considering the combination of the Goidanich model and biosensor information, it was found that incorrect timing of treatment administration induced the highest total annual spore concentrations in 2010 and 2008 with 1255 spores/m<sup>3</sup> and 901, spores/m, respectively. The first phytosanitary treatments were applied a few days after (in 2008) and a few days before (in 2010) the time at which the Goidanich model reached 80% of infection risk. These conditions could favour the increase of *P. viticola* concentrations due to rainy episodes on these days. With the aim of decreasing primary oospore concentrations at the beginning of the growing season, the experience acquired during the current study recommended the introduction of improved cultural practices (such as the elimination of pruning residue from the vineyard). Likewise, some changes in fungicide application

Р Mean SD Ν Diff. sp. Diff t D.F. P. viticola 2014 9.386 19.691 Forecast spores 8.964 17.786 132 0.423 16.438 0.295 131 0.768 1.623 3.199 P. viticola 2015 Forecast spores 1.744 3.184 130 -0.121 2.633 -0.524 129 0.601 P. viticola 2016 19.604 14.325 13.453 17.677 0.873 16.548 0.585 Forecast spores 123 122 0.560

Table 5. T-test for sampled concentration P. viticola values (2014. 2015 and 2016) and the concentrations estimated by the model.

dates (the first fungicide treatment should be applied during winter to reduce the population of overwintering oospores).

Prediction of the periods when a crop is at risk of attack from disease is very difficult. The combination of phenological information, biological sensor data such as the airborne spore levels in the vineyard and the prediction of ideal environmental conditions for the pathogen by means of the Goidanich Index allowed the development of a precise and modern integrated pest management strategy in the vineyards, with improvement in the quality of the final product and environmental conservation.

**Financial support.** The study was financed by the AGL2014-60412-R project supported by the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness of the Spanish Government.

Conflict of interest. None.

Ethical standards. Not applicable.

#### References

138

- Baldacci E (1947) Epifitie di Plasmopara viticola (1941–1946) nell'Oltrepo Pavese e adozione del calendario d'incubazione come strumento di lotta. Atti Istituto Botanico, Laboratorio Crittogamico Universitá Pavia 8, 45–85.
- **BBCH (Biologische Bundesanstallt für Land-und Forstwirtschaft)** (1997) Growth Stages of Mono-and Dicotyledonous Plants: BBCH Monograph. Berlin, Germany: Blackwell Wissenschafts-Verlag.
- Blanco-Ward D, García Queijeiro JM and Jones GV (2007) Spatial climate variability and viticulture in the Miño River Valley of Spain. Vitis 46, 63–70.
- **Blouin J** (2007) Les Parasites de la Vigne: Stratégies de Protection Raisonnée. Paris, France: Éditions Dunod.
- Bugiani R, Govoni P, Bottazzi R, Giannico P, Montini B and Pozza M (1995) Monitoring airborne concentrations of sporangia of *Phytophthora infestans* in relation to tomato late blight in Emilia Romagna, Italy. *Aerobiologia* 11, 41–46.
- Caffi T, Rossi V, Giosué S and Poni S (2006) Effect of downy mildew infection on grape leaf photosynthesis. *Journal of Plant Pathology* 88, 141–158.
- Caffi T, Rossi V, Bugiani R, Spanna F, Flamini L, Cossu A and Nigro C (2009) Evaluation of a model predicting primary infections of *Plasmopara viticola* in different grapevine growing areas of Italy. *Journal of Plant Pathology* **91**, 535–548.
- Caffi T, Gilardi G, Monchiero M and Rossi V (2013) Production and release of asexual sporangia in *Plasmopara viticola*. *Phytopathology* 103, 64–73.
- Caffi T, Legler SE, González-Domínguez E and Rossi V (2016) Effect of temperature and wetness duration on infection by *Plasmopara viticola* and postinoculation efficacy of copper. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 144, 737–750.
- Calanca P, Bolius D, Weigel AP and Liniger MA (2011) Application of longrange weather forecasts to agricultural decision problems in Europe. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 149, 15–22.
- **Carisse O** (2016) Development of grape downy mildew (*Plasmopara viticola*) under northern viticulture conditions: influence of fall disease incidence. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **144**, 773–783.

- Carisse O, Savary S and Willocquet L (2008) Spatiotemporal relationships between disease development and airborne inoculum in unmanaged and managed *Botrytis* leaf blight epidemics. *Phytopathology* **98**, 38–44.
- Dalla Marta A, Grifoni D, Mancini M, Storchi P, Zipoli G and Orlandini S (2010) Analysis of the relationships between climate variability and grapevine phenology in the Nobile di Montepulciano wine production area. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 148, 657–666.
- Díaz MR (1999) Aplicación de la Aerobiología en la agricultura. Control de enfermedades fúngicas y producción de Vitis vinifera (PhD thesis). University of Vigo, Spain.
- Eitzinger J, Orlandini S, Stefanski R and Naylor REL (2010) Climate change and agriculture: introductory editorial. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 148, 499–500.
- Ellison P, Ash G and McDonald C (1998) An expert system for the management of *Botrytis cinerea* in Australian vineyards. I. Development. *Agricultural Systems* 56, 185–207.
- Fernández-González M, Rodríguez-Rajo FJ, Jato V and Aira MJ (2009) Incidence of fungals in a vineyard of the denomination of origin Ribeiro (Ourense-North-Western Spain). Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine 16, 263–271.
- Fernández-González M, Escuredo O, Rodríguez-Rajo FJ, Aira MJ and Jato V (2011) Prediction of grape production by grapevine cultivar Godello in northwest Spain. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 149, 725–736.
- Fernández-González M, Rodríguez-Rajo FJ, Escuredo O and Aira MJ (2013) Optimization of integrated pest management for powdery mildew (*Unincula necator*) control in a vineyard based on a combination of phenological, meteorological and aerobiological data. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 151, 648–658.
- Fernández-González M, Ramos-Valcárcel D, Aira MJ and Rodríguez-Rajo FJ (2016) Prediction of biological sensors appearance with ARIMA models as a tool for Integrated Pest Management protocols. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine* 23, 129–137.
- Galán Soldevilla C, Cariñanos González P, Alcázar Teno P and Domínguez-Vilches E (2007) Spanish Aerobiology Network (REA): Management and Quality Manual. Córdoba, Spain: University of Córdoba Publication Service.
- Gessler C, Pertot I and Perazzolli M (2011) *Plasmopara viticola* a review of knowledge on downy mildew of grapevine and effective disease management. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* 50, 3–44.
- Gobbin D, Pertot I and Gessler C (2003) Identification of microsatellite markers for *Plasmopara viticola* and establishment of high throughput method for SSR analysis. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 109, 153–164.
- Gobbin D, Jermini M, Loskill B, Pertot I, Raynal M and Gessler C (2005) Importance of secondary inoculum of *Plasmopara viticola* to epidemics of grapevine downy mildew. *Plant Pathology* 54, 522–534.
- Goidanich G, Casarini B and Foschi S (1957) Lotta antiperonosporica e calendario di incubazione. *Giornale di Agricoltura* 13, 11–14.
- Hewitt W and Pearson R (1988) Downy mildew. In Pearson RC and Goheen A (eds), *Compendium of Grape Diseases*. St. Paul, Minnesota, USA: APS, pp. 11–13.
- Hill GK (2000) Simulation of *P. viticola* oospore-maturation with the model SIMPO. *IOBC/WPRS Bulletin* 23, 7–8.

- Hirst JM (1952) An automatic volumetric spore-trap. Annals of Applied Biology 39, 257–265.
- Jeger MJ (1984) Relating disease progress to cumulative numbers of trapped spores: Apple powdery mildew and scab epidemics in sprayed and unsprayed orchard plots. *Plant Pathology* **33**, 517–523.
- Kennelly MM, Gadoury DM, Wilcox WF, Magarey PA and Seem RC (2007) Primary infection, lesion productivity, and survival of sporangia in the grapevine downy mildew pathogen *Plasmopara viticola*. *Phytopathology* **97**, 512–522.
- Kiefer B, Riemann M, Buche C, Kassemeyer HH and Nick P (2002) The host guides morphogenesis and stomatal targeting in the grapevine pathogen *Plasmopara viticola*. *Planta* **215**, 387–393.
- Kocmánková E, Trnka M, Eitzinger J, Dubrovský M, Štepánek P, Semerádová D, Balek J, Skalák P, Farda A, Juroch J and Zalud Z (2011) Estimating the impact of climate change on the occurrence of selected pests at a high spatial resolution: a novel approach. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 149, 185–195.
- Köppen W (1931) *Grundiss der Klimakunde*, 2nd Edn. Berlin, Germany: Walter de Gruyter & Co.
- Lalancette N, Ellis MA and Madden LV (1988) Development of an infection efficiency model for *Plasmopara viticola* on American grape based on temperature and duration of leaf wetness. *Phytopathology* 78, 794–800.
- Laviola C, Burruano S and Strazzeri S (1986) Influenza della temperatura sulla germinazione delle oospore di *Plasmopara viticola* (Berk. Et Curt.) Berl. et De Toni. *Phytopathologia Mediterranea* 25, 80–84.
- Lorenz DH, Eichorn KW, Bleiholder H, Klose R, Meier U and Weber E (1994) Phänologische Entwicklungsstadien der Weinrebe (*Vitis vinifera* L. ssp. vinifera) Codierung und Beschreibung nach der erweiterten BBCH-Skala. *Viticultural and Enological Sciences* **49**, 66–70.
- MAGRAMA (Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente) (2015) ESYRCE, Encuesta sobre Superficies y Rendimientos de Cultivos: Informe sobre Regadíos en España. Madrid, Spain: MAGRAMA.
- Meier U (2001) Growth Stages of Mono and Dicotyledonous Plants. BBCH Monograph, 2nd Edn. Bonn, Germany: Federal Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry.
- Menesatti P, Antonucci F, Costa C, Mandalà C, Battaglia V and la Torre A (2013) Multivariate forecasting model to optimize management of grape downy mildew control. *Vitis* 52, 141–148.
- OIV (Organisation Internationale de la Vigne et du Vin) (2015) *World Vitiviniculture Situation*. OIV Statistical Report on World Vitiviniculture. Paris, France: OIV.
- Park EW, Seem RC, Gadoury DM and Pearson RC (1997) DMCAST: a prediction model for grape downy mildew development. *Viticulture and Enology Science* 52, 182–189.

- Pedrazzini A, Sordi D, Toffolatti SL, Venturini G and Vercesi A (2011) Model based strategy against *Plasmopara viticola* in Lombardia. In Šegvić Klarić M and Jelić D (eds), *Power of Fungi and Mycotoxins in Health and Disease.* Zagreb, Croatia: Croatian Microbiological Society, pp. 101–101.
- Rosa M, Gozzini B, Orlandini S and Seghi L (1995) A computer program to improve the control of grapevine downy mildew. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* **12**, 311–322.
- Rossi V and Caffi T (2012) The role of rain in dispersal of the primary inoculum of *Plasmopara viticola*. *Phytopathology* **102**, 158–165.
- Rossi V, Caffi T, Bugiani R, Spanna F and Della Valle D (2008a) Estimating the germination dynamics of *Plasmopara viticola* oospores using hydrothermal time. *Plant Pathology* 57, 216–226.
- Rossi V, Caffi T, Giosuè S and Bugiani R (2008b) A mechanistic model simulating primary infections of downy mildew in grapevine. *Ecological Modelling* 212, 480–491.
- **Rossi V, Caffi T and Gobbin D** (2013) Contribution of molecular studies to botanical epidemiology and disease modelling: grapevine downy mildew as a case-study. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **135**, 641–654.
- Rumbolz J, Wirtz S, Kassemeyer HH, Guggenheim R, Schäfer E and Büche C (2002) Sporulation of *Plasmopara viticola*: differentiation and light regulation. *Plant Biology* **4**, 413–422.
- Rumbou A and Gessler C (2004) Genetic dissection of Plasmopara viticola population from a Greek vineyard in two consecutive years. European Journal of Plant Pathology 110, 379–392.
- Salinari F, Giosué S, Tubiello FN, Rettori A, Rossi A, Spanna F, Rosenzweig C and Gullino ML (2006) Downey mildew (*Plasmopara viticola*) epidemics on grapevine under climate change. *Global Change Biology* 12, 1299–1307.
- Schwinn FJ (1981) Chemical control of downy mildews. In Spencer DM (ed.), *The Downy Mildews*. London, UK: Academic Press, pp. 305–319.
- Seem RC, Magarey PA, McCloud PI and Wachtel MF (1985) A sampling procedure to detect grapevine downy mildew. *Phytopathology* 75, 1252– 1257.
- Unger S, Büche C, Boso S and Kassemeyer HH (2007) The course of colonization of two different *Vitis* genotypes by *Plasmopara viticola* indicates compatible and incompatible host-pathogen interactions. *Phytopathology* 97, 780–786.
- Viret O and Siegfried W (1996) Fiche technique sur les maladies de la vigne: mildiou. Revue Suisse de Viticulture Arboriculture Horticulture 28, 373–374.
- Walters DR and Fountaine JM (2009) Practical application of induced resistance to plant diseases: an appraisal of effectiveness under field conditions. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 147, 523–535.