Highly repetitive high energy/power beam combination laser: IFE laser driver using independent phase control of stimulated Brillouin scattering phase conjugate mirrors and pre-pulse technique

H.J. KONG, S.K. LEE, AND D.W. LEE

Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejon, South Korea (RECEIVED 26 July 2004; Accepted 6 October 2004)

Abstract

A beam combination laser with stimulated Brillouin scattering-phase conjugate mirrors (SBS-PCMs), which is expected to produce a high output energy and power with a repetition rate over 10 Hz, is a candidate for a practically useful laser fusion driver in the future. In order to develop the beam combination laser with SBS-PCMs, it is necessary to control the phase of each SBS wave whose phases are known as inherently random. We have proposed a new phase control technique and demonstrated experimentally that the relative phase difference between two SBS waves can be controlled to a certain value and locked to it with an accuracy less than $\lambda/4$. Additionally we have proposed and demonstrated a new technique to preserve the pulse shape of the SBS wave. Based on these experimental results, we have proposed two schemes of the new schemes for the high repetition and high energy/power beam combination laser system (laser fusion driver) using the SBS-PCMs of amplitude division and wave-front division techniques, which can operate with repetition rate over 10 Hz, in this paper.

Keywords: Beam combination; IFE laser driver; Phase control; Stimulated Brillouin scattering

1. INTRODUCTION

For achieving a high power laser with a repetition rate over 10 Hz, which is required for a laser fusion driver, several methods have been widely investigated by many researchers such as a beam combination technique, a diode pumped laser system with gas cooling, an electron beam pumped gas laser, and a large sized ceramic Nd: YAG (Hogan et al., 1995; Kong et al., 1997; Rockwell & Giuliano, 1986; Loree et al., 1987; Lu et al., 2002). Especially, the beam combination method seems to be one of the most practical techniques for this application (Kong et al., 1997; Rockwell & Giuliano, 1986; Loree et al., 1987). The laser system using a beam combination technique, in which a laser beam is divided into several beams and recombined after separate amplification and does not need a large gain medium. Hence, it can operate at a repetition rate exceeding 10 Hz regardless of the output energy and is easily adaptable to the modern laser technology. Kong et al. (1997) proposed a promising beam combination laser system using the stimulated Brillouin scattering-phase conjugate mirrors (SBS-PCMs), whose output energy can be unlimitedly scaled up by increasing the number of separate amplifiers. In addition, the SBS-PCMs produce a phase conjugated wave to compensate many kinds of optical distortions in the system, induced during the amplification (Rockwell, 1988). In the beam combination laser using SBS-PCMs; however, it is necessary to control the phase of each beam reflected by the SBS-PCM to get the good beam recombination. It has been known that the phase of the beam reflected by SBS-PCM is inherently random (Loree et al., 1987; Lu et al., 2004; Rockwell, 1988; Boyd et al., 1990) because SBS starts from a noise in the SBS medium. There have been several works to control or lock the phases of SBS wave, and some of them are very successful (Rockwell & Giuliano, 1986; Loree et al., 1987). By overlapping the laser beams at one focal point, the phases are almost locked (Rockwell & Giuliano, 1986). However, since all the beams must be focused at one point, the energy scaling is limited, and the beams are not symmetrically focused at the focal spot. The back seeding of the Stokes beam overcomes the above drawbacks (Loree et al., 1987),

Address correspondence and reprint requests to H.J. Kong, Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejon 305-701, South Korea. E-mail: hjkong@kaist.ac.kr

but the phase conjugation is incomplete if the injected Stokes beam is not completely correlated. In this paper, we have proposed and demonstrated a new phase control technique, wherein each beam is focused at separate focal points without using any backward Stokes seed beams, and hence, the energy scaling is not limited and the phase conjugation is not disturbed at all. For getting a given output energy, we may simply install the proper number of amplifier lines in parallel for beam combination, because the whole system is alignment insensitive in this new laser systems.

2. PHASE CONTROL OF SBS WAVE BY SELF-GENERATED DENSITY MODULATION

The acoustic wave, Brilluin grating, is simply represented as

$$\tilde{\rho}(z,t) = \rho(z,t) \cos[q(z-z_0) - \Omega(t-t_0)], \qquad (1)$$

propagating in the positive z direction, where $\rho(z, t)$, q, and Ω are the amplitude, the wave vector, and the frequency of the acoustic wave, respectively. The initial phase is given by $\phi_a = qz_0 - \Omega t_0$, where z_0 and t_0 are known to vary randomly because the acoustic wave begins from a random acoustic noise (Boyd *et al.*, 1990). However, we have found it is possible to decide z_0 and t_0 by putting a mirror in the back of the SBS-PCM to make a standing wave at the focus (Kong *et al.*, 2004). One of the standing wave is the candidate of the ignition position z_0 , and $t_0 = t_c$ is determined by the pumping energy E_p from the equation,

$$E_c = \int_0^{t_c} P(t) \, dt,$$

where E_c and P(t) are the SBB threshold energy and the pumping pulse form, respectively, and $t_0 = t_c$ as described in Kong *et al.* (2004).

Figures 1, 2, and 3 shows the theoretical values of the ignition time (t_c) , phase uncertainty $(\Delta \phi)$, and the relative



Fig. 1. $t_c \& dt_c/dE_p$ as a function of the pump energy.



Fig. 2. Phase change of the SBS wave as a function of the pump energy $(\Delta E_p/E_p = 1, 2 \text{ and } 5\%)$.

phase difference uncertainty $(\Delta \Phi)$ depending on the pumping energy, respectively. It should be noted that $\Delta \phi$ is inversely proportional to the pumping energy E_p .

3. EXPERIMENTS ON THE PHASE CONTROLLING AND LOCKING

We have measured the relative phase difference $\Phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ between two Stokes beams for the case of the amplitude dividing. The experimental setup is shown schematically in Figure 4. A Q-switched 1064 nm Nd:YAG oscillator with a bandwidth of ~ 120 MHz and ~ 2% energy stability was used for the pump. The pulse width was 7 to 8 ns and the repetition rate was 10 Hz. The output from an oscillator is divided into two sub-beams by a beam splitter (BS). Both beams then pass through the separate wedges (W1 & W2) and are backward focused into each SBS-PCM. The wedge reflects a part of the backward Stokes beam, which is overlapped with that of the other Stokes beam, onto a CCD



Fig. 3. Change of the relative phase difference as a function of pump energy for the cases of $E_{p1} = 0.5E_{p2}$ and $E_{p1} = 0.999E_{p2}$.



Fig. 4. Experimental setup for measuring the relative phase difference; HWP1 & HWP2; half wave plate; BS; beam splitter; M; mirror; W1 & W2; wedges; P1; polarizer; PBS; polarization beam splitter; CM1 & CM2; concave mirrors.

camera to get an interference pattern of them. For stabilizing the phase by the self-generated density modulation, the pump pulse is backward focused by a concave mirror with R = 50 cm and reflectivity > 99%. The density modulation is induced by the electrostriction in the SBS material, especially at the focal area by the standing wave interference pattern at the focal region. We used Fluorinert FC-75 as a SBS medium. The length of a SBS cell of 50 cm is used in this experiment (Yoshida *et al.*, 1997).

The diagnostics for the phase control of the Stokes beams is straightforward. The interference pattern acquired by the CCD camera yields the relative phase difference $\Phi = \phi_1 - \phi_1$ ϕ_2 between the Stokes beams incident on the CCD, where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 denote the phases of two Stokes beams, respectively. Therefore, we can quantitatively analyze the degree of the phase stabilization by measuring the movement of the peaks. From the interference pattern of every shot, the intensity profile of its horizontal line is selected and stacked as shown in Figure 4. A peak position P is then determined from the selected line. Since the relative phase difference Φ between two beams fluctuates, the peak position P also moves to the left or right with respect to a fixed point F. The relative phase difference Φ can be expressed as $2\pi\ell/T$, where ℓ is the distance between *F* and *P* and *T* is a spatial period of the interference pattern.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have measured Φ for two specific cases of $E_{p1} \cong E_{p2}$ and $E_{p1} \cong 0.5E_{p2}$. Figure 5 shows the experimental results for the case of $E_{p1} = 9.4$ mJ and $E_{p2} = 4.66$ mJ. Each point in Figure 5a represents one of 256 laser pulses. Figure 5b



Fig. 5. (a) Relative phase difference for 256 laser pulses and (b) Intensity profile of horizontal lines selected from 256 interference patterns for the case of $E_{p1} = 9.4$ mJ and $E_{p2} = 4.66$ mJ.

shows the intensity profile of 256 horizontal lines selected from each interference pattern. It shows that there is something missing for phase locking.

Figure 6 shows the experimental results for the case of $E_{p1} = 10.56$ mJ and $E_{p2} = 10.55$ mJ. The relative phase difference between two SBS waves is smaller than $\lambda/4$ for every shot. Compared to the previous results, the fluctuation of relative phase difference is considerably reduced. The profile also represents that the relative phase difference is stabilized quite a lot. The experimental results qualitatively agree with the theoretical results in Figure 3. Consequently, we have shown that it is possible to control and lock the relative phase difference by a self-density modulation, provided that the energies of the two beams are made similar.

5. PRE-PULSE TECHNIQUE FOR THE SBS WAVE FORM

The wave form is deformed by the SBS reflection, due to the consumption of the front part of the wave energy for the formation of the acoustic grating formation and the extension of the interaction zone during the pulse propagation. We have found it is possible to keep the wave form by using the pre-pulse technique (Kong *et al.*, 2005). Figure 7a is the input pulse, Figure 7b is the SBS wave without pre-pulse, Figure 7c is the SBS wave with pre-pulse, Figure 7d is the comparison of the input pulse, and the SBS wave. From these experimental results, it is clear that the pre-pulse technique is quite useful to preserve the pulse shape.



Fig. 6. (a) Relative phase difference for 254 laser pulses and (b) Intensity profile of horizontal lines selected from 254 interference patterns for the case of $E_{p1} = 10.56$ mJ and $E_{p2} = 10.55$ mJ.

6. A NEWLY PROPOSED LASER FUSION DRIVER

We have proposed two laser fusion drivers of amplitude dividing and wave-front dividing schemes as shown in Figures 8a and 8b. With the phase controlling technique and the pre-pulse technique described upper, we can produce huge output energy as we want by scaling-up. The wavefront dividing scheme was proposed already by one of the



Fig. 7. The pulse shapes, (a); input wave, (b); SBS wave without prepulse, (c): SBS wave with pre-pulse, and (d); comparison of the input pulse and SBS wave with the pre-pulse.





Fig. 8. Schematic diagrams of the proposed laser fusion driver with SBS-PCM beam combination; (a) amplitude dividing scheme and (b) wave-front dividing scheme: PC; phase controller, PP1 and PP2; prepulsers, AMP1 and AMP2; amplifiers, FR1 and FR2; faraday rotators, IR1 and IR2; image relays, WD1 and WD2; wave-front dividers, BE1 and BE2; beam expanders, PBS1; polarizing beam expanders, QW1 and QW2; quarter wave plates, 45R; 45 degree rotator.

authors in 1997 (Kong *et al.*, 1997). Also these proposed laser systems are alignment insensitive so that it is very easy to install or maintain the system because we may just put the components in their position with the mechanical accuracy not optical accuracy. Also this system requires low quality of the optical components but the polarizing components, because the optical distortions caused by the low quality optical components and during the amplifications in the gain media can be compensated by this system automatically.

7. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a new phase control technique by a self-generated density modulation in order to control the phase of the SBS waves, which is required for the beam combination laser with SBS-PCMs. Theoretical analysis shows that the phase fluctuation is inversely proportional to the pump energy and is proportional to the pump energy fluctuation. By means of the amplitude dividing, we have achieved that the relative phase difference between two SBS waves can be made smaller than $\lambda/4$. We expect that this phase control technique is very useful to develop a practically useful laser fusion driver using the beam combination with SBS-PCMs. Even if a large number of amplifiers should be installed in parallel for huge energy/power output, it will be very easy to install or maintain them because of their special characteristics such as the compensation of

the optical distortions by the phase conjugated mirrors and the alignment insensitiveness by the cross type amplifier system with the symmetrical SBS-PCMs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the KISTEP, the RRC at Dankook University, and the IAEA, Austria, contract no. 11636/R2.

REFERENCES

- BOYD, R.W., RAŻEWSKI, K. & NARUM P. (1990). Noise initiation of stimulated Brillouin scattering. *Phys. Rev. A* 42, 5514–5521.
- HOGAN, W.J. et al. (1995). Energy from Inertial Fusion. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency, Chap. 3.
- KONG, H.J., LEE, J.Y., SHIN, Y.S., BYUN, J.O., PARK, H.S. & KIM, H. (1997). Beam recombination characteristics in array laser amplification using stimulated Brillouin scattering phase conjugation. *Opt. Rev.* 4, 277–283.
- KONG, H.J., SHIN, Y.S. & KIM, H. (1999). Beam combination characteristics in an array using stimulated Brillouin scattering phase conjugation mirrors considering partial coherency between the beams. *Fus. Engin. Des.* 44, 407–417.

- KONG, H.J., BEAK, D.H. & LEE, S.K. (2005). Pre-pulse technique for preserving the wave form of the stimulated Brillouin scattering. Paper in preparation.
- KONG, H.J., LEE, S.K., LEE, D.W. & GUO, H. (2004). Phase control of stimulated Brillouin scattering phase conjugate mirrors by a self-generated density modulation. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* Submitted.
- LOREE, T.R., WATKINS, D.E., JOHNSON, T.M., KURNIT, N.A. & FISHER, R.A. (1987). Phase locking two beams by means of seeded Brillouin scattering. *Opt. Lett.* **12**, 178–180.
- LU, J., LU, J., MURAI, T., TAKAICHI, K., UEMATSU, T., XU, J., UEDA, K., YAGI, H., YANAGITANI, T. & KAMINSKII, A.A. (2002). 36-W diode-pumped continuous-wave 1319 nm Nd: YAG ceramic laser. *Opt. Lett.* 27, 1120–1122.
- ROCKWELL, D.A. & GIULIANO, C.R. (1986). Coherent coupling of laser gain media using phase conjugation. Opt. Lett. 11, 147–149.
- ROCKWELL, D.A. (1988). A review of phase-conjugate solid state lasers. *IEEE J. Quan. Elect.* 24, 1124–1140.
- YOSHIDA, H., KMETIK, V., FUJITA, H., NAKATSUKA, M., YAMANAKA, T. & YOSHIDA, K. (1997). Heavy fluorocarbon liquids for a phase-conjugated stimulated Brillouin scattering mirror. *Appl. Opt.* 36, 373–3744.