

# Direct numerical simulation of turbulent conjugate heat transfer in a porous-walled duct flow

# Y. Kuwata<sup>1</sup>,<sup>†</sup>, K. Tsuda<sup>1</sup> and K. Suga<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Osaka Prefecture University, Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

(Received 25 December 2019; revised 29 July 2020; accepted 4 August 2020)

In this study, the effects of permeable porous walls on momentum and heat transfer in a rectangular duct were studied by means of direct numerical simulation of the turbulent conjugate heat transfer. For this purpose, airflow through a rectangular duct, partially filled with a porous medium consisting of aluminium square bars, was simulated at a bulk mean Reynolds number of 3500, where the geometry of the duct used was identical to that employed in the experimental study of Suga et al. (J. Fluid Mech., vol. 884, 2020, A7). It was found that the large-scale perturbations arising from the Kelvin–Helmholtz type of instability developed over the porous medium wall, and the turbulence intensity, particularly in the porous wall-normal component, was enhanced significantly. The secondary flow was enhanced by a factor of three compared to that in a smooth-walled square duct flow, and could be characterized by a strong upward flow along the lateral walls and downward flow in the symmetry plane. The convection by the secondary flow considerably contributed to the momentum and heat transfer in the top half of the clear flow region, whereas the enhanced turbulence over the porous wall largely affected the momentum and heat transfer just above the porous medium wall, as seen in the case of a porous-walled channel flow. It should be noted that in the porous medium region, the mean temperature at the surface of the porous medium is non-uniform, with the solid- and fluid-phase temperatures reaching the equilibrium state. This could be correctly reproduced only with the conjugate heat transfer. It was found that the mean velocity dispersion as well as the turbulent velocity fluctuation contributed significantly to the energy transfer below the porous wall, which demonstrated the importance of the dispersion heat flux for heat transfer modelling of porous medium flows. Furthermore, it was observed that the secondary flow penetrated the porous medium region resulting in large-scale mean flow currents, which enhanced heat transfer inside the porous medium region.

Key words: convection in porous media, porous media, turbulence simulation

## 1. Introduction

Turbulent flows over permeable porous walls are frequently encountered in a variety of environmental, geophysical, biological and engineering applications. Familiar examples include turbulent flows over vegetation and urban canopies, natural riverbeds and sediment beds. Moreover, because porous media have large contact area per unit volume, enabling high heat and mass transfer, they are widely used in various engineering applications such as the use of carbon paper for a gas diffusion layer in proton exchange membrane fuel cells, heat exchangers and chemical reactors. Hence, considerable effort has been devoted to understanding the turbulent flow physics over permeable porous walls. One of the most important effects of a porous medium on turbulence is the wall permeability effect, which significantly enhances turbulence by relaxation of the wall-blocking effects; this leads to an increase in momentum exchange across the porous–fluid interface (Zagni & Smith 1976; Kong & Schetz 1982; Manes *et al.* 2009; Suga *et al.* 2010; Manes, Poggi & Ridol 2011; Kuwata & Suga 2016*a*). The key parameter that quantifies the wall permeability of a porous wall is the permeability tensor, which is defined in the convection theory for porous medium flow proposed by Darcy (1856). He assumed proportionality between the flow rate and pressure difference given as

$$U_i = -\frac{K_{ij}}{\mu} \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j} - \rho g_i \right), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $K_{ii}$ ,  $U_i$ , P,  $g_i$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  are the permeability tensor, Darcian velocity, fluid-phase averaged pressure, gravitational acceleration, dynamic viscosity and density of the fluid, respectively. The systematic studies of the influence of the permeability of isotropic porous media on turbulence ( $K = K_{11} = K_{22} = K_{33}$ ) by Breugem, Boersma & Uittenbogaard (2006), Suga et al. (2010), Manes et al. (2011), Suga, Mori & Kaneda (2011) and Kuwata & Suga (2016a) reached the same conclusion that the turbulence enhancement over a porous medium wall occurs with increasing wall permeability. However, it should be noted that an excessively high-permeability porous wall does not lead to further turbulence enhancement as expected (Kuwata & Suga 2019), and the anisotropic permeability sometimes causes a drag reduction, because the porous wall leads to a slippage velocity at the porous-fluid interface (Rosti, Brandt & Pinelli 2018). Moreover, special attention is paid to turbulence structure over the porous medium wall, because of the large-scale structure present due to the Kelvin-Helmholtz (K-H) type of instability, which originates from an inflectional point of the streamwise mean velocity profile. Finnigan (2000) and Raupach, Finnigan & Brunet (1996) reported that a turbulent flow over a highly porous wall behaves as a plane mixing layer flow rather than a boundary layer flow due to the presence of K-H-type coherent waves. Therefore, the turbulent structure over a porous medium is dominated by the relatively large-scale structures (Jimenez et al. 2001; Breugem et al. 2006; White & Nepf 2007; Kuwata & Suga 2016a, 2017; Suga et al. 2018), and pressure fluctuations associated with the K-H waves, which significantly affect the turbulent transport mechanisms via the pressure diffusion and redistribution processes (Kuwata & Suga 2016b).

Many extensive studies have been pursued to get insights into the turbulent flow physics over porous walls. Most of those studies are focused on two-dimensional (2-D) flow systems, such as a boundary layer flow or channel flow, despite the fact that flows in real life are frequently affected by three-dimensional (3-D) effects. One of the simplest flow configurations that are largely influenced by 3-D effects is a turbulent duct flow. As a result, numerous studies on flows in ducts with non-circular cross-section have been undertaken over the past few decades (Gavrilakis 1992; Huser & Biringen 1993; Vázquez & Métais 2002; Pinelli *et al.* 2010; Vinuesa *et al.* 2014). Interest is particularly centred on the influence of the secondary flows of the second kind, defined by Prandtl (1926), because

even though the secondary flow is quite weak relative to the streamwise mean velocity, it largely modifies the wall shear stress distribution, momentum transfer and heat transfer mechanisms. In contrast to the large number of studies of smooth-wall duct flows, few studies have been conducted of the duct flow with a porous medium wall to elucidate the wall effects in a 3-D flow system (Samanta et al. 2015; Suga, Okazaki & Kuwata 2020). Samanta et al. (2015) performed direct numerical simulation (DNS) of a turbulent flow through a duct partially filled with an isotropic porous medium. Their DNS was based on the volume-averaged Navier-Stokes (VANS) equations, which did not directly resolve the porous medium geometry, but the drag force model was included to account for the flow resistance effects, caused by the porous medium (Breugem et al. 2006). Their results showed that the secondary flow in the clear flow region was enhanced considerably, and exceeded that in a smooth-wall duct by a factor of four. Furthermore, the four pairs of counter-rotating vortices observed in the smooth-wall duct were absent in the porous duct flow, and relatively large secondary flow patterns were formed instead. As in the case of 2-D flows over porous media, turbulent structures associated with the K-H instability appeared, and prevented the development of the streaky structures of wall-bounded turbulence. Moreover, the streamwise turbulence intensity was not enhanced when the porous-wall-normal component increased substantially, which again was consistent with the observations in 2-D flows (Breugem et al. 2006; Suga et al. 2010; Kuwata & Suga 2016a).

Similar observations were made by Suga et al. (2020) from experiments of fully developed turbulent duct flows partially filled with rod arrays. They focused on a flow over gas diffusion layers in proton exchange membrane fuel cells, which was characterized by a relatively low-Reynolds-number flow ( $Re \approx 3000$ ) over a highly permeable porous wall (Suga et al. 2014). They found that the streamwise mean velocity distribution and the secondary flow pattern were hardly affected by an increase in the Reynolds number from  $Re \approx 3500$  to 7500. The magnitude of the secondary flow was also independent of the Reynolds number and approximately 6 % of the bulk velocity, which was slightly smaller than the 8 % reported in the DNS of Samanta et al. (2015). That study also reported that the correlations between the pore-scale Reynolds number and the log-law parameters near the symmetry plane were similar to those seen in 2-D flows (porous-walled channel flows), which meant that the presence of the lateral walls did not affect the streamwise mean velocity over the porous wall. However, the characteristic wavelengths of the K-H waves were found to be slightly smaller than the reported values for turbulent channel flows by Kuwata & Suga (2017) and Suga et al. (2018), which was possibly due to an interaction between the K-H waves and lateral walls.

The experimental study by Suga *et al.* (2020) revealed the modification of the turbulent coherent structure and secondary flow patterns in the presence of a porous wall. However, owing to the experimental difficulties in obtaining high-fidelity near-wall flow properties and full details of turbulence statistics, it was impossible to have a full appreciation of the secondary flow patterns and the momentum transfer mechanisms. The objective of this study is to complement the aforementioned study Suga *et al.* (2020) by providing further information about the secondary flow effects on turbulence intensities, skin friction and momentum transfer mechanisms. Hence, we considered the same geometry as that in Suga *et al.* (2020) to provide additional information that was not reported by those experimental investigations. In addition, motivated by the widespread applications of porous materials to heat and mass transfer products, we further discuss the influence of a porous medium on the turbulent heat and mass transfer in 3-D flow systems. Unlike DNS studies based on the VANS equations (Breugem *et al.* 2006; Samanta *et al.* 2015), the present study resolves

the porous medium geometry to account for the effects of the velocity and temperature dispersions faithfully. Given that the dependence of the Reynolds number on the secondary flow was not significant (Suga *et al.* 2020), we only focused on the low-Reynolds-number case of  $Re \approx 3300$ . The forced convection of an airflow through an aluminium porous medium is considered together with the conjugate heat transfer conditions for the porous medium, thereby avoiding unrealistic thermal boundary conditions for the surface of the porous medium.

#### 2. Numerical approach

In recent studies, the lattice Boltzmann method has been used for eddy-resolving simulations of turbulent flows in complicated geometries, such as flows in porous media (Chukwudozie & Tyagi 2013; Kuwata & Suga 2015; Fattahi et al. 2016) and flows over porous walls (Kuwata & Suga 2016a, 2017), rough walls (Kuwata & Kawaguchi 2018a, 2019) and urban canopy (Onodera et al. 2013; Lenz et al. 2019), because of its advantages such as simplicity of the wall treatment, high spatial locality of the calculations and high accuracy resulting from low numerical dissipation and dispersion. For 3-D simulations using the lattice Boltzmann method, several possible alternatives can be used for discrete velocity and collision models. In this study, we employed the D3Q27 multiple-relaxation-time lattice Boltzmann method for the flow fields, which was rigorously validated by conducting eddy-resolving simulations of a turbulent channel flow, a pipe flow, a duct flow and porous medium flows (Suga et al. 2015), and has been successfully applied to turbulent flows over porous and rough walls (Kuwata & Suga 2016a, 2017; Kuwata & Kawaguchi 2018a,b). For the scalar fields, we employed the D3O19 model with regularization procedures. The regularization procedure proposed by Latt & Chopard (2006) projects the non-equilibrium distribution function onto the Hermite polynomial, which greatly improves the accuracy and numerical stability, and has been successfully applied to the DNSs of turbulent heat transfer in complex geometries (Suga, Chikasue & Kuwata 2017; Nishiyama, Kuwata & Suga 2020).

## 3. Flow configuration and computational details

#### 3.1. Flow geometry and boundary conditions

Figure 1 illustrates the configuration of a rectangular duct flow partially filled with a porous material. This flow system is comparable to that employed in the experimental study of Suga *et al.* (2020). The rectangular duct size  $(L_x(x) \times L_y(y) \times L_y(y))$  $L_{z}(z)$  is 8.7 $H(x) \times 2H(y) \times H(z)$  in the streamwise, vertical and horizontal directions, respectively. A porous medium consisting of staggered square bar arrays is considered in the bottom half of the rectangular duct -1 < y/H < 0; hence, the cross-section of the clear flow region is  $H(y) \times H(z)$ . Periodic boundary conditions are applied in the streamwise direction, and the flow is driven by a streamwise pressure difference. To ensure the no-slip boundary conditions at the duct walls and surfaces of the porous medium, the half-way bounce-back method is applied to the distribution function. The Reynolds number based on the bulk mean velocity in the clear fluid region,  $U_b$ , and the duct width, H, is 3500. For the thermal boundary conditions, the top (y = H) and bottom (y = -H)walls are considered to be isothermal with a temperature difference  $\Delta T$  ( $\Delta T > 0$ ), and  $T_{y=H} = T_{y=-H} + \Delta T$ , whereas the lateral walls at z = -0.5H, 0.5H are considered as adiabatic walls. The conjugate heat transfer conditions are applied to the porous medium, and the heat conduction of the porous material is simultaneously solved considering the



FIGURE 1. Sketch of a configuration of a porous duct flow.

thermal energy interaction between the solid and fluid phases. The fluid Prandtl number is set to Pr = 0.71, and the ratio of the thermal diffusivity of the solid phase to that of the fluid phase is 4.4, assuming airflow thorough an aluminium porous material. The study by Yoshida & Nagaoka (2010) can be referred to for implementation of the adiabatic and isothermal boundary conditions, while the study of Wang, Wang & Li (2007) can help in understanding the conjugate heat transfer conditions in the framework of the lattice Boltzmann method. Note that the buoyancy effect is crucial in a turbulent square duct flow because the secondary flow pattern under the buoyancy effect entirely differs from that without the effect (Sekimoto *et al.* 2011). However, as a first step towards understanding the porous wall effects in 3-D flow systems, this study assumed a passive scale neglecting the buoyancy effect.

## 3.2. Porous medium characteristics and averaging procedure

The geometry of the porous medium under consideration is identical to that used in the experimental study of Suga *et al.* (2020), as shown in figure 2. As can be seen, the porous medium is comprised of square bars of size  $D/H = 6.00 \times 10^{-2}$ , and the distance between the bar centres is  $\ell_x/D = \ell_z/D = 4.33$ . The porosity of the porous medium is  $\varphi = 0.77$ . This porous medium is an orthogonal porous medium because the pressure gradient along the *i* axis,  $\partial P/\partial x_i$ , only drives the volume-averaged velocity component in the *i* direction,  $U_i$ , i.e. the off-diagonal components of the permeability tensor are zero,  $K_{ij} = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ). The permeability tensors were measured in the fully developed flows in the duct filled by the porous medium. The streamwise, vertical and horizontal components of the permeability tensor were obtained from the flow rate and pressure drops using the Darcy–Forchheimer equation (Whitaker 1986); the values measured by Suga *et al.* (2020) were  $K_{xx}/H^2 = 7.6 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $K_{yy}/H^2 = 6.0 \times 10^{-5}$  and  $K_{zz}/H^2 = 7.6 \times 10^{-5}$ , respectively.

In the following discussion, the variables in the clear flow region (y > 0) are averaged over time and in the streamwise direction, while for those in the porous medium region (y < 0), intrinsic line averaging (phase averaging) is conducted in the



FIGURE 2. Geometry of a porous medium. (a) Top view, (b) side view and (c) front view.

streamwise direction. Thus, the fluid-phase variables are averaged over a fluid-phase line segment whereas the solid ones are averaged over a solid-phase line segment as follows:

$$[\phi_f] = \frac{1}{\Delta L_f} \int_x \phi_f \,\mathrm{d}L_f, \quad [\phi_s] = \frac{1}{L_s} \int_x \phi_s \,\mathrm{d}L_s, \tag{3.1a,b}$$

where subscripts 'f' and 's' denote the variables for the fluid and solid phases, respectively;  $L_f$  and  $L_s$  are the streamwise line segments of fluid and solid phases:  $L_x = L_f + L_s$ . It is noted that, near the porous wall, the averaging over the streamwise direction does not coincide with the Reynolds averaging because the structure of the porous medium under consideration is inhomogeneous in the streamwise direction.

When we statistically discuss heat and fluid flow in the porous medium region with a macroscopic viewpoint, volume averaging carried out over the representative elementary volume (REV) is introduced as follows:

$$\langle \phi_f \rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta V_f} \int_{V_f} \phi_f \, \mathrm{d}V_f, \quad \langle \phi_s \rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta V_s} \int_{V_s} \phi_s \, \mathrm{d}V_s,$$
(3.2*a*,*b*)

where the size of the REV is defined as  $\ell_x(x) \times \ell_y(y) \times \ell_z(z)$  in figure 2; thus, the volume of the REV is  $\Delta V = \Delta V_f + \Delta V_s = \ell_x \ell_y \ell_z$ . It is noted that the REV is the smallest volume for which the characteristic parameters of the porous medium (porosity and permeability tensor) are independent of the size; thus, a relation exists as  $\varphi = \Delta V_f / \Delta V$ .

In the following discussion, the Reynolds-averaged value of a variable  $\phi$  is denoted by  $\overline{\phi}$ , and  $\phi'$  denotes the fluctuation from the Reynolds-averaged value:  $\phi' = \phi - \overline{\phi}$ . For the Reynolds averaging, the simulation is run for 209*T* (where  $T = H/U_b$  is the convection time), after the flow has reached a fully developed state. The dispersion from the volume-averaged (phase-averaged) value over the REV is denoted as  $\overline{\phi} = \phi - \langle \phi \rangle$ .

#### 3.3. Computational details

This study uses the uniform spacing grid  $3328(x) \times 770(y) \times 386(z)$  for  $8.7H(x) \times 2H(y) \times H(z)$ , which corresponds to a cross-section of a square bar being resolved by



FIGURE 3. Two-point spatial correlation function of streamwise velocity fluctuations at three (y, z) locations: (i) a profile over the porous medium in the symmetry plane, (ii) a profile near the corner over the porous medium and (iii) a profile at the centre of the clear flow region.

 $23 \times 23$  grids. To assess the grid independence of the solutions, we performed additional simulation with twofold coarser resolution grids, and found no perceptible change in the simulation results (the difference in the maximum peak value of the turbulence intensity was confirmed to be approximately 1%). Moreover, the grid spacing in wall units is confirmed to be approximately 1.0, which is much finer than those used in lattice Boltzmann DNS studies (Kuwata & Suga 2016*a*, 2017; Kuwata & Kawaguchi 2018*a*; Kuwata & Suga 2019). Note that the wall unit,  $\nu/u_{\tau p}$ , is defined with the kinematic viscosity  $\nu$  and averaged friction velocity  $u_{\tau p}$  at the porous wall as given in § 4.

In terms of the computational domain length, since the computational domain length presently used,  $L_x = 8.7H$ , is longer than that employed in the numerical simulations of square duct flows by Huser & Biringen (1993), Madabhushi & Vanka (1991) and Zhang *et al.* (2015), the domain length is expected to be sufficient to capture turbulent vortex motion. Nonetheless, it should be noted that there is still much controversy about the sufficient domain length for square duct flows (Vinuesa *et al.* 2014).

For the porous wall turbulence, it is well established that the large-scale streamwise perturbation arising from the K–H instability develops over the permeable porous wall (Finnigan 2000; Jimenez *et al.* 2001), and requires a longer streamwise domain length compared to that used in smooth-wall-bounded turbulent flow simulations (Kuwata & Suga 2016*a*, 2017, 2019). Hence, as seen in figure 3, we examine the two-point spatial correlation function  $R_{uu,x}$  of streamwise velocity fluctuations at several locations to validate the domain length.

It is observed that over the porous medium, profiles of  $R_{uu,x}$  in cases (i) and (ii) are wavy, and exhibit local minimum points at  $x/H \simeq 1.2$ . This observation suggests the presence of large-scale streamwise perturbation in the duct flow system arising from the K–H instability, which is consistent with the results of the experimental study of Suga *et al.* (2020). Suga *et al.* (2020) reported that the streamwise perturbation could develop in the porous duct flow despite the confinement by the lateral walls. Similarly in this study, the profile near the corner (case (i)) over the porous medium was very close to the one in the symmetry plane (case (ii)), thus indicating that the K–H waves are not reduced by the lateral walls. The presence of the streamwise perturbation is also



FIGURE 4. Snapshot of streamwise turbulent velocity fluctuations in the x-z plane just above the porous-fluid interface at y/H = 0.0013.

confirmed from a snapshot of streamwise velocity fluctuations just above the porous–fluid interface at y/H = 0.0013, as seen in figure 4. It is observed that the high- and low-speed regions, which have strong coherence in the spanwise direction, alternately appear in the streamwise direction (see Kuwata & Suga (2016*a*, 2019) for detailed discussions of the turbulence structure associated with the K–H instability in the porous-walled channel). Figure 3 confirms that the magnitude in  $R_{uu,x}$  falls below 0.1 at half the domain length but does not not completely converge to zero. To ensure the computational domain size, we further performed a domain size test with a domain size twice as large in the streamwise direction, yielding a 2 % difference in the maximum peak of the turbulence energy.

#### 3.4. Validation

This subsection provides validation of the present numerical method through a comparison of the turbulence statistics with the experimental data from Suga *et al.* (2020) and DNS data from Samanta *et al.* (2015). Figure 5 presents comparisons of the turbulence statistics with the experimental data. Following Suga *et al.* (2020), the mean velocity in figure 5(*a*) and the Reynolds stresses in figure 5(*c*) are averaged over  $-\ell_z/2 < z < \ell_z/2$ , and the kinematic energy of the secondary flow intensity in figure 5(*b*) is averaged over 0 < y < H. It is shown that the mean velocity in figure 5(*a*) and the kinetic energy of the secondary flow in figure 5(*b*) do not strongly depend on the Reynolds number. The skewed mean velocity profile with respect to y/H = 0.5 collapses onto the experimental data in figure 5(*a*), and the enhanced kinetic energy of the secondary flow in comparison with the square duct flow (Suga *et al.* 2020) is reasonably predicted in figure 5(*b*). The enhancement of the turbulence over the porous wall is perfectly reproduced in figure 5(*c*). Moreover, figure 5(*c*) also shows that the turbulence intensities in the porous medium region y < 0 generally agree with the experimental data. Thus, it can be concluded that the present method can accurately reproduce turbulence inside the porous medium region.

Figure 6 presents comparisons of the predicted mean velocity with the DNS data of the porous duct flow at  $Re_b = 5500$  from Samanta *et al.* (2015). It should be noted that direct comparison of the simulation results may not be possible because of the difference in the characteristics of the porous medium and the simulated Reynolds number. Moreover, the DNS study of Samanta *et al.* (2015) did not resolve the porous geometry, but only solved the volume-averaged equations with the drag force model of Whitaker (1996) and Breugem *et al.* (2006). Nevertheless, the general trend of the mean velocity profile at z/H = 0.0 and 0.45 appears qualitatively similar. Therefore, the findings of the present study are not limited to the case with this specific porous medium geometry and Reynolds number, and the conclusions obtained in this study can be generalized for other scenarios.



FIGURE 5. Comparison of the predicted turbulence statistics with the experimental data from Suga *et al.* (2020). (*a*) Streamwise mean velocity, (*b*) kinematic energy of secondary flow and (*c*) Reynolds stresses. The mean velocity and the Reynolds stresses are averaged over  $-\ell_z/2 < z < \ell_z/2$ :  $U = \int_{-\ell_z/2}^{\ell_z/2} [\bar{u}] dz/\ell_z$  and  $R_{ij} = \int_{-\ell_z/2}^{\ell_z/2} [\bar{u}_i' u_j'] dz/\ell_z$ . The kinematic energy of secondary flow is averaged over 0 < y < H:  $K_c = \int_0^H ([\bar{v}]^2 + [\bar{w}]^2) dy/H$ . Note that the porous medium for the results of  $K_c$  from Suga *et al.* (2020) is not identical to that employed in this study.



FIGURE 6. Comparison of the mean velocity profile with the DNS results from Samanta *et al.* (2015): (a) at z/H = 0.0 and (b) at z/H = 0.45.



FIGURE 7. (*a*) Wall shear stress profile at the top wall and (*b*) wall heat flux profile at the top wall. The DNS data of square duct flow from Pinelli *et al.* (2010) are included.

#### 4. Wall shear stress and wall heat flux

Before discussing the detailed flow physics, this section concentrates on the global flow characteristics, including the skin friction coefficient and the Nusselt number. Figure 7 presents profiles of the wall shear stress  $\tau_w/\tau_w^a$  and wall heat flux  $q_w/q_w^a$  at the top wall y/H = 1.0. Here,  $\tau_w^a$  and  $q_w^a$  denote the averaged values over the top wall. For comparison, the square duct DNS result of  $\tau_w/\tau_w^a$  from Pinelli *et al.* (2010) at  $Re_b = 3600$  (based on the duct width H) is included. Figure 7(a) confirms that the profile of  $\tau_w/\tau_w^a$  for the square duct flow takes a local maximum value around z/H = -0.26 and a minimum value at the symmetry plane, which is associated with the presence of a low-velocity streak flanked by two high-velocity ones near the wall (Pinelli et al. 2010). For the porous duct flow, the wall shear stress takes a maximum peak value at around z/H = -0.3, but it rapidly decreases as it approaches the middle of the top wall z/H = 0.0. The maximum peak value of  $\tau_w/\tau_w^a$  for the porous duct is approximately 6 % larger than that for the square duct whereas the local minimum value is 31 % smaller, indicating that non-uniformity of  $\tau_w$  due to the lateral walls is more substantial for the porous duct. As in the case of the wall shear stress, the wall heat flux in figure 7(b) exhibits a maximum peak value at around z/H = -0.3 and it decreases towards the midpoint at z/H = 0.0. The maximum peak value of  $q_w/q_w^2$  is found to be 6 % larger than that of  $\tau_w/\tau_w^a$ , and the reduction of  $q_w/q_w^a$  is more notable in the symmetry plane.

The bulk wall shear stress at the porous–fluid interface  $\tau_{wp}^a$  is computed from the momentum balance between the pressure drop  $\Delta P$  and the wall shear stress in the clear flow region (y > 0.0) as follows:

$$\Delta PH^2 = 3HL_x \tau^a_{ws} + HL_x \tau^a_{wp}, \tag{4.1}$$

where the bulk wall shear stress at the solid wall  $\tau_{ws}^a$  is given as

$$\tau_{ws}^{a} = \frac{1}{3H} \left( \int_{0}^{H} \tau_{w} \mid_{z=-H/2} dy + \int_{0}^{H} \tau_{w} \mid_{z=H/2} dy + \int_{-H/2}^{H/2} \tau_{w} \mid_{y=H} dz \right).$$
(4.2)

This yields the bulk friction velocity at the porous wall,  $u_{\tau p} = \sqrt{\tau_{wp}^a/\rho}$ , as follows:

$$u_{\tau p} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{H\Delta P}{L_x} - 3\frac{\tau_{ws}^a}{\rho}}.$$
(4.3)

The friction Reynolds number for the porous wall is  $Re_{\tau}^{p} = u_{\tau p}h/v = 197$  and that for the top wall is  $Re_{\tau}^{s} = u_{\tau s}h/v = 135$ , with  $u_{\tau s} = \sqrt{\tau_{ws}^{a}/\rho}$  and h being the half-duct size, h = 0.5H. This confirms that the bulk wall shear stress at the porous wall is thus 2.1 times larger than that at the solid walls:  $\tau_{wp}^a/\tau_{ws}^a = 2.1$ . The permeability Reynolds number,  $Re_K = \sqrt{K}u_{\tau p}/\nu$ , is  $Re_K = 3.4$  with K being the mean permeability,  $K = K_{ii}/3$ . This value is comparable to that in the DNS of porous-walled turbulent channel flow of Kuwata & Suga (2016a) where the turbulence over the porous medium is significantly enhanced due to the effects of wall permeability. The Nusselt number representing the heat transfer rate in the clear flow region is given by the temperature difference in the clear flow region,  $\Delta T_c$ , and the clear duct height, H, according to the following expression:  $Nu_c = q_w^a H/(k_f \Delta T_c)$ , where  $k_f$  is the thermal conductivity for the fluid phase and  $\Delta T_c$ denotes the averaged temperature difference between the porous-fluid interface and the top wall. This is computed as  $Nu_c = 8.3$ . By contrast, the Nusselt number representing the heat transfer rate in the porous medium region is given by the temperature difference in the porous medium region,  $\Delta T_p$ , and the porous medium height, H, according to the following expression:  $Nu_p = q_w^a H/(k_{eff} \Delta T_p)$ , where  $k_{eff}$  is the effective thermal diffusivity, i.e.  $k_{eff} = k_f \varphi + k_s (1 - \varphi)$  with  $k_s$  being the thermal conductivity of the solid phase, and  $\Delta T_p$  denotes the averaged temperature difference between the porous-fluid interface and the bottom wall. The value of  $Nu_n$  is 1.0, which is significantly smaller than that of  $Nu_c$ . The reason for this is that the turbulent motion is enhanced over the porous medium but reduced in the porous medium. Hence, the turbulent heat transfer inside the porous medium region is significantly attenuated (see § 10 for a detailed discussion of the heat transfer mechanism).

#### 5. Mean velocity

It is well established that the secondary mean flow of the second kind (Prandtl 1926) is induced by turbulent motion, which is relatively weak but plays a significantly important role in the transport process near corners (Gavrilakis 1992; Huser & Biringen 1993; Adrian & Marusic 2012). Hence, this section first focuses on the modification of this secondary flow pattern due to the presence of the permeable porous wall. Figure 8 presents contour maps of the streamwise mean velocity  $[\bar{u}]/U_b$  and the secondary flow intensity  $\sqrt{[\bar{v}]^2 + [\bar{w}]^2}/U_b$ , along with the cross-streamwise mean velocity vectors. In a regular square duct flow, the secondary mean flow is directed towards the corners, and induces eight counter-rotating vortices near these corners (Huser & Biringen 1993). In contrast, for the porous duct flow, it is observed in figure 8(b) that these eight counter-rotating vortices are absent, and a quite different secondary flow pattern is formed. The secondary flow directed towards the corners above the porous wall can penetrate the porous medium region due to the wall permeability but is also partially blocked by the porous medium. The blocked cross-sectional flow results in upward flow along the lateral walls, and also generates a rotating flow over the porous wall with cores located at y/H = 0.07, z/H = $\pm 0.27$ . The blocking of the secondary flow by the porous medium generates a global mean flow current in the clear flow region, which is characterized by upward flow along the lateral wall and downward flow in the symmetry plane at z/H = 0. Moreover, this mean flow current disturbs the corner flow directed towards the top corners, and prevents formation of the counter-rotating vortex pairs near these top corners. This secondary flow pattern is quite similar to that in the DNS study of Samanta et al. (2015) despite the



FIGURE 8. Contour maps of the mean velocity. (*a*) Streamwise mean velocity and (*b*) secondary flow intensity with the cross-streamwise mean velocity vectors.

difference in characteristics of simulated Reynolds number and the porous medium, and complements the view made in the experiments by Suga *et al.* (2020) who did not confirm the presence of the small recirculating bubbles formed near the top and bottom corners in the clear flow region in figure 8(*b*). The secondary flow intensity attains a maximum value near the lateral wall,  $z/H = \pm 0.47$ . The maximum peak value is approximately 6% of the bulk mean velocity, which is considerably larger than that seen in the square duct flow (2%) (Gavrilakis 1992), but is close to the experimental data of Suga *et al.* (2020). The enhanced secondary flow may be attributed to two porous medium effects: one is the enhanced turbulent motion due to the relaxation of the wall-blocking effects (Breugem *et al.* 2006; Suga *et al.* 2010) and the other is low-viscous dissipation at the porous–fluid interface (Samanta *et al.* 2015).

The streamwise mean velocity contour in figure 8(a) is only slightly directed towards the corners above the porous wall, as the secondary flow convects the high-momentum fluid towards these corners. On the other hand, in the top half of the clear flow region (y/H > 0.5), the distortion of the streamwise velocity iso-lines is more prominent. It is observed that the downward secondary flow near the symmetry plane considerably convects the near-wall low-momentum fluid towards the core region, which substantially accelerates the core flow as reported by Samanta *et al.* (2015). The detailed momentum transport mechanism is further discussed in § 7.

To gain insights into the scaling of the streamwise mean velocity in the clear flow region, an inner-scaled mean velocity profile in a log–linear format is discussed. Figure 9(*a*) displays a mean velocity profile normalized by the local friction velocity at the middle of the top wall, z/H = 0.0, y/H = 1.0, with the top wall coordinate. Also shown are the DNS results in a turbulent channel flow at a comparable Reynolds number of  $Re_{\tau} = 150$  from Iwamoto, Suzuki & Kasagi (2002) and for a square duct flow at  $Re_{\tau} = 123$  from Pinelli *et al.* (2010). The superscript '+' stands for scaling with the corresponding viscous length. In figure 9(*a*), the profiles in the viscous sublayer  $y_s^+ < 10$ , with  $y_s$  being the distance from the top wall, are consistent in all cases. In the region of  $y_s^+ > 50$ , although the logarithmic region is not evident due to the low-Reynolds-number effects, the slopes in the porous duct and square duct flows appear to be somewhat larger than that in the channel flow. In particular, the profiles in the top wall, which is principally due to the significantly enhanced secondary flow in the symmetry plane.



FIGURE 9. Inner-scaled streamwise mean velocity in the log–linear format. (a) Profile near the top wall and (b) profile over the porous medium. The DNS data of turbulent channel flow from Iwamoto *et al.* (2002) and square duct flow from Pinelli *et al.* (2010) are included. The experimental data of porous-duct flows from Suga *et al.* (2020) are shown in (b).

A profile over the porous medium is discussed by introducing the modified log-law profile:

$$[\bar{u}]^{+} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln\left(\frac{y_{p}^{+} + d_{p}^{+}}{h_{r}^{+}}\right),$$
(5.1)

where parameters  $\kappa$ ,  $d_p$  and  $h_r$  are, respectively, the Kármán constant, the zero-plane displacement and the equivalent roughness height. (See Breugem et al. (2006) or Suga et al. (2010) for the procedure to obtain these parameters.) The normal distance from the porous-fluid interface is denoted as  $y_p$ . The friction velocity at the porous-fluid interface y/H = 0.0, z/H = 0.0 is evaluated via the total stress at the interface averaged over  $-\ell_z/2 < z < \ell_z/2$ :  $\mu(\partial[\bar{u}]/\partial y) - \rho[\bar{u} \bar{v}] - \rho[\bar{u'v'}]$ . Here,  $\mu$  is the dynamic viscosity. Figure 9(b) presents the mean velocity profile over the porous wall. The first notable observation is that the slope of the logarithmic region for the porous duct is considerably steeper than that for the channel and square duct flows. In addition, as in the porous-walled turbulent channel flow, the mean velocity profile shifts rightward due to an increase in the mean velocity penetration, and shifts downward due to an increase in skin friction drag. The predictive result shows reasonable agreement with the experimental data at Re = 3300 from Suga *et al.* (2020), and the mean velocity at Re = 7400 shifts rightward due to the increase in the flow penetration while the slope of the profile is not affected by the Reynolds number. The Reynolds number dependence of the log-law parameters is further discussed in Suga et al. (2020) and they stated that the log-law parameters could be reasonably scaled in a fashion similar to that in the case of turbulent porous-walled channel flow. This is supported by the present result that the secondary flow intensity that is averaged over the bottom half of the symmetry plane in the clear flow region is small, 0.9 % of  $U_b$ . However, it is still larger than the one in the square duct flow. The maximum value of the secondary flow intensity in the symmetry plane is approximately three times larger than the result for a square duct flow from Pinelli et al. (2010). This suggests that the influence of the lateral walls in the symmetry plane is not significant but still larger than that in the square duct flow.



FIGURE 10. Contour maps of the turbulence intensity components. (a) Streamwise component and (b) vertical component.

#### 6. Turbulence intensities

This section briefly reviews the 3-D effects due to the presence of the lateral walls on the turbulence intensity. Figure 10 presents contour maps of the streamwise and vertical turbulence intensities  $\sqrt{[u'^2]}$  and  $\sqrt{[v'^2]}$ , both of which are normalized by the bulk mean velocity. It is evident from the figure that turbulence over the porous wall is enhanced considerably, which is consistent with the observations in the porous-walled turbulent channel flows (Breugem et al. 2006; Suga et al. 2010; Kuwata & Suga 2016a). The turbulence intensity is largest in the symmetry plane, while it is attenuated close to the corners as seen for the square duct flow (Huser & Biringen 1993). It is apparent that the Reynolds stress contours are also not flattened near the symmetry plane, suggesting that the effect of the lateral walls reaches the symmetry plane. This is clearly confirmed in figure 11 wherein the streamwise and vertical components over the porous medium are plotted together with experimental data from Suga et al. (2020) and DNS data of porous-walled turbulent channel flow from Kuwata & Suga (2017). The turbulence intensities are normalized by the friction velocity evaluated at y/H = 0.0, z/H = 0.0, and plotted as a function of  $y_p/\delta_p$ . Here,  $\delta_p$  denotes the distance from the porous-fluid interface to the position where the mean velocity takes its maximum value. It was reported in Suga *et al.* (2010) that when the permeability Reynolds number was larger than a certain threshold value, i.e.  $Re_K > 3$ , the profiles of the streamwise and vertical components collapsed onto each other irrespective of the Reynolds number and wall permeability. However, the present DNS results are slightly larger than those for the porous-walled channel flows even though the simulated Reynolds numbers ( $Re_{\tau}^{p} = 197$  and  $Re_{K} = 3.4$ ) are close to those for cases YZ ( $Re_{\tau}^{p} = 158$  and  $Re_{K} = 4.3$ ) and XY ( $Re_{\tau}^{p} = 177$  and  $Re_{K} = 4.5$ ) reported in Kuwata & Suga (2017). A similar trend can be found in the experimental data from Suga et al. (2020), and the discrepancy is found to be larger for the high-Reynolds-number case (Re = 7400). The discrepancy between the porous channel and porous duct substantiates the 3-D effects by the lateral walls, suggesting that this effect is not prominent for the mean velocity but for the turbulence intensities.

#### 7. Momentum transport

This section discusses the influence of the secondary mean flow on the streamwise momentum transport by analysing the budget terms in the momentum equation.



FIGURE 11. Comparison of the turbulence intensities. (*a*) Streamwise component and (*b*) vertical component. The DNS data of porous-walled turbulent channel flows from Kuwata & Suga (2017) and the experimental data of porous-duct flows from Suga *et al.* (2020) are included.

The Reynolds-averaged momentum equation for the clear flow region (y > 0) in the present flow system may be written in non-dimensional form as follows:

$$\left[\bar{v}\frac{\partial\bar{u}}{\partial y}\right] + \left[\bar{w}\frac{\partial\bar{u}}{\partial z}\right] = -\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial[\bar{\rho}]}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re_b}\left(\frac{\partial^2[\bar{u}]}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2[\bar{u}]}{\partial z^2}\right) - \frac{\partial[\bar{u'v'}]}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial[\bar{u'w'}]}{\partial z}, \quad (7.1)$$

where the terms  $C_y = -[\bar{v}(\partial \bar{u}/\partial y)]$  and  $C_z = -[\bar{w}(\partial \bar{u}/\partial z)]$  are the vertical and horizontal convection terms, respectively;  $D^v = (\partial^2 [\bar{u}]/\partial y^2 + \partial^2 [\bar{u}]/\partial z^2)/Re_b$  is the viscous diffusion term; and  $R_y = -(\partial [\bar{u'v'}]/\partial y)$  and  $R_z = -(\partial [\bar{u'w'}]/\partial z)$  are, respectively, the vertical and horizontal Reynolds stress terms. The convection terms primarily represent the convection effects by the secondary mean flow; however, near the porous wall they also include the effects of the mean velocity dispersion induced by the presence of the porous medium. It should be noted that this equation is valid only for the clear flow region of y > 0 in which there are no solid obstacles except the duct walls.

All the budget terms are normalized by the bulk mean velocity  $U_b$  and duct height H. The budget term profiles at three different locations z/H = 0.0, 0.25 and 0.375 are shown in figure 12. We first focus on the profiles in the bottom half of the clear flow region (0 < y/H < 0.5). In the symmetry plane shown in figure 12(*a*), the 3-D effects due to the vertical convection term,  $C_y$ , and the horizontal Reynolds shear stress term,  $R_z$ , substantially contribute in the region 0.04 < y/H < 0.2. This may explain the discrepancy in the turbulence intensity profiles away from the porous medium. As shown in figure 12(*b*,*c*), as expected, the 3-D effects by the convection terms ( $C_y$  and  $C_z$ ) and the horizontal Reynolds shear stress term ( $R_z$ ) play a significant role in the momentum transport as they approach the lateral wall.

When we focus on the profiles in the top half of the clear flow region (0.5 < y/H < 1.0), it is immediately clear that the 3-D effects by the convection terms are much more prominent. In figure 12(*a*), in the symmetry plane, the vertical convection term,  $C_y$ , by the downward mean flow contributes to a significant momentum loss, which principally causes the deviation of the mean velocity profile from the established law of the wall for 2-D flows observed in figure 9(*a*). As the upward secondary flow is induced near the lateral wall as observed in figure 8, the vertical convection,  $C_y$ , causes the momentum gain at z/H = 0.25 and 0.375 shown in figures 12(*b*) and 12(*c*). At z/H = 0.25 and 0.375 in



FIGURE 12. Budget terms in the Reynolds-averaged streamwise momentum equation. (a) Profiles in the symmetry plane at z/H = 0.0, (b) profiles at z/H = 0.25 and (c) profiles at z/H = 0.375.

figures 12(*b*) and 12(*c*), the terms  $C_y$  and  $R_y$  transport the high-momentum fluid towards the top wall making the boundary layer thinner as observed in the mean velocity contour shown in figure 8(*a*). This results in the increase in the wall shear stress around z/H = 0.3as shown in figure 7(*a*). As opposed to the role of the upward flow, the downward flow in the symmetry plane thickens the boundary layer of the top wall, resulting in the reduction of the wall shear stress in the middle of the top wall as observed in figure 7(*a*).

#### 8. Mean temperature

While the previous sections concentrate on the flow field properties, this section provides discussions of the mean temperature distribution. A contour map of the non-dimensional mean temperature distribution,  $\overline{T}^* = ([\overline{T}] - T_{y=0}) / \Delta T$ , is presented in figure 13(*a*) and the mean temperature profile at z/H = 0.0 is plotted in figure 14, where the volume-averaged temperature profiles for fluid and solid phases are shown in the porous medium region. In addition, to better understand a global view of the heat and fluid flow, the heatlines proposed by Kimura & Bejan (1983) are superimposed in figure 13(*a*), and the secondary flow intensity with the cross-sectional streamlines is presented in figure 13(*b*). The heatlines defined in analogy with streamlines are expressed as the iso-contour lines of heat function  $H_f$ , and are defined as follows:

$$\frac{\partial H_f}{\partial y} = \rho c_p[\bar{w}] \left( [\bar{T}] - T_0 \right) + \rho c_p \left[ \overline{w'T'} \right] - k_f \frac{\partial [\bar{T}]}{\partial z}, 
\frac{\partial H_f}{\partial z} = \rho c_p[\bar{v}] \left( [\bar{T}] - T_0 \right) + \rho c_p \left[ \overline{v'T'} \right] - k_f \frac{\partial [\bar{T}]}{\partial y},$$
(8.1)





FIGURE 13. (a) Contour map of the mean temperature together with the heatlines. (b) Contour map of the secondary flow intensity together with the streamlines. For the streamlines, white lines correspond to clockwise rotation and black lines to anticlockwise rotation.



FIGURE 14. Mean temperature profiles. The mean solid- and fluid-phase temperatures averaged over the REV,  $\langle \overline{T_f} \rangle^f$  and  $\langle \overline{T_s} \rangle^f$ , are shown in the porous medium region y/H < 0, while  $[\overline{T_f}]$  is shown in the clear fluid region.

where  $c_p$  and  $T_0$  are the constant-pressure specific heat and reference temperature, respectively. The iso-lines of the heat function, which is similar to the stream function for the streamlines, can visualize the heat flow due to the combined effects of convection, turbulent transport and heat conduction. It is noted that although several choices are possible for the reference temperature  $T_0$ , we set the reference temperature as the mean temperature at the porous wall interface (we have confirmed that the conclusion does not change even if we change the reference temperature).

Figure 13 confirms that the heatlines in the clear flow region exhibit the large-scale recirculating patterns as in the streamlines of the secondary flow, which is characterized by the upward flow along the lateral walls and downward flow in the symmetry plane. This indicates that the secondary flow considerably alters the mean temperature fields despite the fact that the intensity of the secondary flow is not very strong (6% of the bulk mean velocity). It is also evident that the upward flow along the lateral wall convects the high-temperature fluid towards the top wall, while the downward flow in the symmetry plane convects the near-wall low-temperature fluid towards the core region. This leads to a considerably non-uniform temperature distribution in the horizontal direction despite the fact that the temperature difference is not imposed to the lateral walls. This is reasonably reflected by the wall heat flux profile at the top wall as shown in figure 7(*b*) where the wall heat flux takes a maximum value at around z/H = 0.3 and decreases as it approaches the middle of the top wall.

The other observation in figures 13(a) and 14 is that the mean temperature inside the porous medium region (y/H < 0) varies more significantly than that in the clear flow region (y/H > 0). Similar observations were made in the conjugate heat transfer of a turbulent porous-walled channel by Nishiyama et al. (2020), where it was reported that the mean temperature deep inside the porous wall region varied significantly as the turbulent heat flux was damped, and the heat conduction was more effective in this region. Indeed, the Nusselt number representing the heat transfer in the porous medium region  $Nu_p$  is nearly unity,  $Nu_p = 1.0$ , suggesting the generally linear variation of the mean temperature deep in the porous medium region as shown in figure 14. Also, figure 14 confirms that the fluid- and solid-phase temperature profiles are observed to collapse into each other, implying that the temperature inside the porous wall region nearly reaches the equilibrium state even just below the porous interface, which is consistent with the results for the conjugate heat transfer of porous channel flow Nishiyama et al. (2020). This is principally due to the relatively low conductivity ratio and low turbulent heat transfer rate in the porous medium region. It is expected that an increase in the heat conduction through the porous material leads to an increase in the solid-phase temperature while an enhancement of the turbulent heat transfer leads to a decrease in the fluid temperature, resulting in the separation between solid- and fluid-phase temperatures. The other important implication from figure 14 is that the temperature field in the present system can be predicted neither with the isothermal wall condition nor with the constant wall heat flux condition at the surface of the porous medium because the solid-phase temperature is far from homogeneous. This demonstrates the importance of employing the conjugate heat transfer approach for studying the turbulent heat transfer over porous walls. Note that although the necessity of conjugate heat transfer depends on the thermal properties and flow condition, the ratio of the thermal diffusivity between the air and the metal material is of the same order as that employed in the present simulation. It should be noted that, although the results are not shown here, we confirmed that the difference in the thermal boundary conditions of the porous material considerably affects the temperature field inside the porous medium region (y/H < 0) while the heat transfer mechanism in the clear flow region (y/H > 0) is consistent irrespective of the choice of the thermal boundary conditions of the porous medium. A similar observation was made in the DNS study of porous channel flow reported in Nishiyama et al. (2020), which showed that, over the porous wall, profiles of the mean temperature and temperature variance were not affected by the choice of the thermal boundary conditions.

To discuss the scaling of the mean temperature profile, inner-scaled mean temperature profiles are shown as in the velocity profiles. Figure 15(*a*) displays a profile of the mean temperature,  $[\bar{\theta}] = [\bar{T}] - T_{y=H}$ , normalized by the local friction temperature at the middle



FIGURE 15. Inner-scaled mean temperature in log–linear format. (*a*) Profile near the top wall and (*b*) profile over the porous medium. DNS data of the turbulent channel flow from Kawamura *et al.* (2000) and porous-walled channel flows from Nishiyama *et al.* (2020) are included.

of the top wall, z/H = 0.0, y/H = 1.0, with the top wall coordinate. For a comparison, the DNS result for a turbulent channel flow in constant wall temperature difference conditions at  $Re_{\tau} = 180$  and an empirical log-law profile from Kawamura, Abe & Shingai (2000) are also presented. Although the profiles in the viscous sublayer ( $y_s^+ < 10$ ) are consistent in the channel and porous duct flows, the profile for the porous duct flow considerably deviates upward when it approaches the core region. This trend is similar to that observed in the inner-scaled mean velocity profile in figure 9(*a*); it is related to the lateral wall effects characterized by the enhanced secondary flow motion.

A profile of the mean temperature,  $\left[\bar{\theta}\right] = \left[\bar{T}\right]_{v=0,z=0} - \left[\bar{T}\right]$ , over the porous medium is displayed in figure 15(b), where the friction temperature at the porous-fluid interface y/H = 0.0, z/H = 0.0 is evaluated via the total heat flux at the interface averaged over  $-\ell_z/2 < z < \ell_z/2$ :  $(\mu/Pr)(\partial[\bar{\theta}]/\partial y) - \rho c_p[\bar{\theta} \ \bar{v}] - \rho c_p[\bar{\theta}' v']$ . Also shown are the DNS results of turbulent flows over anisotropic orthogonal media for comparable Reynolds number cases from Nishiyama et al. (2020): cases YZ ( $Re_{\tau}^{p} = 180$  and  $Re_{K} = 4.9$ ) and XY ( $Re_{\tau}^{p} = 240$  and  $Re_{K} = 6.0$ ). Note that the displacement  $d_{p}^{+}$  is not taken into account for the distance from the porous wall because the logarithmic region of the temperature profile cannot be observed even though  $d_p^+$  is introduced. Although the narrow logarithmic region can be confirmed in the profile of the smooth-wall channel according to Kawamura et al. (2000), the logarithmic region is no longer preserved for the porous channel and porous duct flow results. Moreover, the profiles over the porous media deviate downward owing to the increase in the heat transfer over the porous media. Interestingly, the profile of the porous duct flow is in good agreement with the porous channel flow in case XY, suggesting that the mean temperature profile is not significantly affected by the lateral walls as in the mean velocity profiles.

#### 9. Turbulent heat flux

Before discussing the heat transfer mechanisms by analysing the energy budget terms, this section discusses the effects of the lateral walls on the turbulent heat flux distributions. The turbulent heat flux components and mean square temperature variance are shown in figure 16. The temperature variance shown in figure 16(a) near the symmetry plane exhibits two prominent peaks: one is located above the porous–fluid interface and the



FIGURE 16. (*a*) Temperature variance, (*b*) streamwise turbulent heat flux, (*c*) vertical turbulent heat flux and (*d*) lateral turbulent heat flux.

other is located inside the porous medium at around y/H = -0.25. Interestingly, the temperature variance is enhanced considerably in the porous medium region rather than over the porous medium, despite the fact that turbulent velocity fluctuations are increased over the porous medium. This trend is consistent with the results for the conjugate heat transfer in porous-walled channel flow of Nishiyama et al. (2020). They analysed the production terms in the transport equation of the temperature variance and concluded that the local maximum peak over the porous medium was due to the increased vertical velocity fluctuations, whereas inside the porous medium it was due to the increased temperature gradient, both of which contributed to the production of the temperature variance. The streamwise turbulent heat flux in figure 16(b) is slightly enhanced over the porous wall and rapidly damped in the porous medium region as in the streamwise Reynolds stress shown in figure 10(a). The reason is that the Reynolds shear stress component, which dominantly contributes to the generation of the streamwise turbulent heat flux, is rapidly decayed as well (not shown here). In contrast to the streamwise component, figure 16(c) shows that the vertical turbulent heat flux near the symmetry plane exhibits two prominent peaks that are located above and inside the porous medium. This trend is similar to that seen for the temperature variance. Indeed, both production terms for the temperature variance and vertical turbulent heat flux are related to the vertical mean temperature gradient and vertical velocity fluctuations. The presence of the lateral heat flux, which vanishes in 2-D flow systems as in turbulent channel flow, can be confirmed in figure 16(d). Although the magnitude is much smaller than those of the vertical and streamwise components, the lateral turbulent heat flux is particularly generated near the top wall where the gradient of the horizontal mean velocity that contributes to the generation term is significantly increased. As in the turbulence intensities, it is apparent that the contours of the turbulence heat flux and temperature variance are not flattened near the symmetry plane affected by the lateral walls.

The influence of the lateral wall effects on the temperature variance can be clearly observed in figure 17, which presents comparisons of turbulence statistics related to the temperature variance with those for the porous channel flows at comparable Reynolds numbers from Nishiyama *et al.* (2020). Although figure 15(b) shows that the mean



FIGURE 17. (a) Streamwise turbulent heat flux, (b) vertical turbulent heat flux and (c) temperature variance. The DNS data of porous-walled channel flows from Nishiyama *et al.* (2020) are included.

temperature profile for the present result is close to that in case XY, the streamwise turbulent heat flux in figure 17(a) for the porous duct is considerably larger than that in case XY but close to that in case YZ. Moreover, figures 17(b) and 17(c) confirm that the profiles of the vertical turbulent heat flux and temperature variance for the porous duct flow distinctly deviate from the results for the channel flows. In contrast with the results for the channel flows, the vertical component in figure 17(b) is larger just above the porous medium but slightly smaller near the core of the duct, and the temperature variance in figure 17(c) is considerably smaller near the core of the duct.

These observations in figures 15 and 17 suggest that, over the porous medium region, the presence of the lateral walls does not significantly affect the scaling of the first moment (i.e. mean temperature and mean velocity) in the symmetry plane, but the apparent effects emerge in the second moments such as the turbulence intensity, temperature variance and turbulent heat flux. By contrast, near the top wall, the effects of the lateral walls are more prominent than the square duct flow, even modifying the scaling of the first moment in the symmetry plane. Further discussion about the influence of the lateral wall can be addressed by studying the effects of the aspect ratio as in Vinuesa *et al.* (2014).

#### 10. Heat transfer mechanisms

The previous sections gave a brief review of the temperature fields. This section finally reveals the detailed heat transfer mechanisms by analysing budget terms in the



FIGURE 18. Budget terms of the Reynolds-averaged energy equation. (a) Profiles in the symmetry plane at z/H = 0.0, (b) profiles at z/H = 0.25 and (c) profiles at z/H = 0.375.

energy equation. In the clear flow region, the Reynolds-averaged energy equation for the present flow system may be written as follows:

$$\left[\bar{v}\frac{\partial\bar{T}}{\partial y}\right] + \left[\bar{w}\frac{\partial\bar{T}}{\partial z}\right] = -\frac{\partial\left[\bar{v'T'}\right]}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial\left[\bar{w'T'}\right]}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{PrRe_b}\left(\frac{\partial^2[\bar{T}]}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2[\bar{T}]}{\partial z^2}\right), \quad (10.1)$$

where the equation is non-dimensionalized by the bulk mean velocity  $U_b$ , duct height Hand temperature difference  $\Delta T$ . The terms  $C_y^T = -[\bar{v}(\partial \bar{T}/\partial y)]$  and  $C_z^T = -[\bar{w}(\partial \bar{T}/\partial z)]$ denote the vertical and horizontal convection terms, respectively. The turbulent transport terms are  $H_y = -(\partial [\bar{v}'T']/\partial y)$  and  $H_z = -(\partial [\bar{w}'T']/\partial z)$ . The last term on the right-hand side is the conduction term  $D^{vT} = 1/(PrRe_b)(\partial^2[\bar{T}]/\partial y^2 + \partial^2[\bar{T}]/\partial z^2)$ .

The budget term profiles at different locations z/H = 0.0, 0.25 and 0.375 are presented in figure 18. First, we focus on the profiles in the top half of the clear flow region. In the symmetry plane as shown in figure 18(*a*), the vertical convection  $C_y^T$  works as an energy loss in the region 0.3 < y/H < 1.0 where the horizontal turbulent transport  $H_z$  is almost balanced by  $C_y^T$ . The negative vertical convection in this region (0.3 < y/H < 1.0)indicates that the downward secondary flow in the symmetry plane convects the near-wall low-temperature fluid towards the core region, supporting the view made in the mean temperature contour with the heatlines in figure 13(*a*). Moreover, this convection process thickens the thermal boundary layer in the middle of the top wall, which causes a reduction of the viscous term and wall heat flux as observed in figure 7(*b*). Near the lateral wall at z/H = 0.375 in figure 18(*c*), both  $H_y$  and  $C_y^T$  work as an energy source and convect the high-temperature fluid towards the top wall, resulting in significantly increased  $D^{vT}$ . The heat transfer mechanisms near the top wall are similar to the momentum transport. The upward secondary flow along the lateral wall convects the high-momentum fluid towards the top wall, while the downward flow in the symmetry plane convects the near-wall low-momentum fluid towards the core region, thus leading to the similarity

904 A9-23

between the wall heat flux and wall shear stress distributions at the top wall. Near the corners above the porous medium, the heat transfer mechanisms are much more complex than the momentum transport, and the 3-D effects are more notable. For the momentum transport near the corners above the porous medium shown in figure 12(c), the vertical turbulent transport term tends to be balanced by the viscous term, and the contributions of the convection terms due to the secondary flow  $C_z$  and  $C_y$  are less than 30 % of  $R_y$ . In contrast, for the heat transfer in the vicinity of the porous medium wall (0 < y/H < 0.05) as shown in figure 18(c), the horizontal turbulence transport term  $H_z$  is the most dominant contributor and causes an energy gain, while  $H_y$  and  $C_z^T$  work as an energy loss. The contribution of the convection by the horizontal secondary flow  $C_z^T$  is found to be 45 % of  $H_y$ , suggesting that the secondary flow largely affects the temperature fields in this region.

To better understand the heat transfer mechanism inside the porous medium, we consider the volume- and Reynolds (double)-averaged energy equations. The analysis of the double-averaged equation is not only helpful for discussing heat transfer mechanisms from a macroscopic viewpoint, but also provides indispensable data for the progression of macroscopic turbulence models. Applying the volume- and Reynolds-averaging operators to the energy equation for the fluid phase, we can obtain the double-averaged energy equation for the fluid phase, normalized by  $U_b$ , H and  $\Delta T$ , as follows:

$$\underbrace{\varphi\langle \overline{u_j}\rangle}_{-C_p^T} \underbrace{\frac{\partial\langle \overline{T_f}\rangle}{\partial x_j}}_{-C_p^T} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\Pr Re_b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial\varphi\langle \overline{T_f}\rangle}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{\Delta V} \int_A n_j \overline{T_f} \, \mathrm{d}A \right)}_{D_p^{\nu T}}}_{\underbrace{-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \varphi\langle \overline{u_j}'\overline{T_f}\rangle}_{H_p}} \underbrace{-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \varphi\langle \tilde{\overline{u}_j}\widetilde{\overline{T_f}}\rangle}_{\mathcal{H}_p} \underbrace{+\frac{1}{\Pr Re_b \Delta V} \int_A n_j \frac{\partial \overline{T_f}}{\partial x_j} \, \mathrm{d}A}_{S_w}}_{S_w}$$
(10.2)

where  $T_f$  is the fluid-phase temperature and the terms  $C_p^T$ ,  $D_p^{vT}$ ,  $H_p$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_p$  and  $S_w$  are the convection, diffusive heat flux, turbulent heat flux, dispersion heat flux and wall heat transfer terms, respectively. The diffusive heat flux term consists of the molecular diffusion term and the surface integrating term referred to as the tortuosity molecular diffusion term (Kuwahara, Nakayama & Koyama 1996; Nakayama & Kuwahara 1999). See Saito & de Lemos (2005) for the detailed derivation of the volume-averaged energy equation. The convection term  $C_p^T$  represents the transport process owing to the volume-averaged mean flow  $\langle \overline{u_i} \rangle$ , i.e. the convection term by the global mean flow current. The dispersion heat flux term  $\mathcal{H}_p$  represents the transport process by the mean velocity dispersion which is the deviation from the macroscopic mean velocity,  $\tilde{\tilde{u}_i} = \overline{u_i} - \langle \overline{u_i} \rangle$ . The surface integration terms in  $D_p^{vT}$  and  $S_w$  arise because the averaging of the gradient provides an additional integration term as given by Whitaker (1996):

$$\varphi\left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x_j}\right) = \frac{\partial\varphi\langle\phi\rangle}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{\Delta V}\int_A n_j\phi\,\mathrm{d}A,\tag{10.3}$$

where  $\Delta V = \ell_x \ell_y \ell_x$  is the volume of the REV as illustrated in figure 2. The surface of the porous medium is *A* and *n<sub>j</sub>* is a unit normal vector pointing outward from the fluid to the solid phase.

The budget term profiles along the vertical direction are computed by continuously shifting the REV from  $y = -H + \ell_y/2$  to y = 0. The profiles of these budget terms in



FIGURE 19. Budget terms of the volume- and Reynolds-averaged energy equation for the fluid phase. (a) Profiles of  $H_p$  and  $\mathcal{H}_p$  at z/H = 0.0, (b) budget terms at z/H = 0.0, (c)  $H_p$  and  $\mathcal{H}_p$  profiles at z/H = 0.35 and (d) budget terms at z/H = 0.35.

the symmetry plane (z/H = 0.0) and those near the lateral wall (z/H = 0.35) are plotted in figure 19. Figure 19(*a*) shows that the profile of the turbulent heat flux term,  $H_p$ , is not monotonic inside the porous medium region: the profiles of  $H_p$  exhibit a negative local minimum value around y/H = -0.18 while its magnitude increases towards the porous–fluid interface. This is associated with the double peaks of the vertical turbulent heat flux in figure 16(*c*) around y/H = -0.25 and y/H = 0.1 near the symmetry plane. What is particularly interesting is that the trends of the dispersion heat flux term  $\mathcal{H}_p$  and  $H_p$  are opposite. It is observed from figure 19(*a*) that  $\mathcal{H}_p$  increases where the region  $H_p$  decreases. This trend can be explained from the transport equations of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  and  $H_p$ , wherein one of the production terms appears in the same form but with opposite sign (Suga *et al.* 2017). Suga *et al.* (2017) suggested in their large-eddy simulation study of the turbulent heat transfer inside porous media that since the transport equations of  $\mathcal{H}_p$  and  $\mathcal{H}_p$  included the mutual energy exchange terms, the sum of those fluxes can be modelled more easily than treating the individual terms. Accordingly, a profile of  $H_p + \mathcal{H}_p$ is presented in figure 19(*a*), and this reveals that the total heat flux term ( $H_p + \mathcal{H}_p$ ) in the symmetry plane works as an energy loss. Figure 19(b) confirms that this energy loss is found be almost balanced by the energy gain due to the convection term  $C_p^T$ , as a result of the global flow mean flow current, which is induced by the secondary flow penetration towards the porous medium region. The corner flow above the porous medium wall at y/H = 0.0,  $z/H = \pm 0.5$  partly penetrates the porous medium wall, and this flow ejects the fluid in the porous wall near the symmetry plane towards the clear flow region. Then, as observed in figure 13(b), recirculating mean flow patterns across the porous—fluid interface are generated, thus enhancing heat transfer below the porous wall. It is notable that although this recirculating mean flow is relatively weak deep inside the porous medium, the influence of this global flow on the heat transfer is still dominant deep inside the porous wall region at y/H = -0.6.

Although figure 19(b) confirms that the total heat flux term  $(H_p + \mathcal{H}_p)$  largely contributes the heat transfer in the symmetry plane, figure 19(c) shows that the total heat flux term is significantly reduced due to the lateral wall; still,  $H_p$  and  $\mathcal{H}_p$  have opposite trends as in figure 19(a). Figure 19(d) confirms that  $C_p^T$  works as an energy loss, indicating that the global mean flow induced by the secondary flow penetration convects the low-temperature flow in the clear flow region towards the porous medium region. The lateral wall reduces the contribution of the turbulent heat flux while the molecular diffusive term  $D_p^{\nu T}$  and the wall heat transfer term  $S_w$  become more prominent. The wall heat transfer, which transports the energy by heat conduction through the solid phase, convects the high-temperature fluid as in  $D_p^{\nu T}$ . The magnitude of  $S_w$  is smaller than that of  $D_p^{\nu T}$ despite that the thermal diffusivity is 4.4 times larger, which is due to the relatively high porosity of the porous medium under consideration. The solid phase merely occupies 23 % of the REV. The observation that the contribution of  $S_w$  is substantial and non-uniform confirms the importance of employing conjugate heat transfer conditions because the non-uniform contribution of  $S_w$  cannot be reproduced except for conjugate heat transfer conditions.

The other important finding in this analysis is that the dispersion heat flux plays an important role in the heat transfer, which is not reproduced by simulations based on the VANS equations in prior studies (Breugem *et al.* 2006; Samanta *et al.* 2015). This finding also suggests that the VANS approach essentially needs some model that considers the dispersion effects to correctly predict the heat transfer in the porous medium region.

Finally, it should be noted that the turbulent heat transfer of a porous square duct flow largely depends on the characteristics of the porous material. In this study, we consider highly permeable porous materials, which can be encountered in the carbon paper of fuel cells, foam metal in heat exchangers or catalytic converters. However, for porous media with lower permeability or void fraction, such as packed beds, the turbulence enhancement over the porous wall is expected to be less significant (Breugem *et al.* 2006; Suga *et al.* 2010; Rosti, Cortelezzi & Quadrio 2015; Kuwata & Suga 2019). This weakens the secondary mean flow leading to a decrease in convective heat transfer by the secondary mean flow. In addition, since flow penetration towards the porous media is weakened, the convective heat transfer inside the porous media is also reduced. Therefore, high heat transfer performance due to enhanced secondary flow is not expected to be achieved by porous media with low permeability.

#### 11. Conclusions

The effects of a permeable porous wall on the momentum and heat transfers in a duct flow are discussed by performing DNS of turbulent conjugate heat transfer of airflow through a rectangular duct partially filled with a porous material. The porous medium is comprised of aluminium square bars whose geometry is identical to that employed in the experimental study of Suga *et al.* (2020). The large-scale streamwise perturbation arising from the K–H type of instability develops over the porous wall despite the confinement by the lateral walls, and the turbulence over the porous wall is enhanced significantly. The secondary flow intensity is increased by a factor of three compared to that in a regular square duct flow, and it can be characterized by the strong upward flow along the lateral walls and downward flow in the symmetry plane, which considerably contributes to the momentum and heat transfers in the top half of the clear flow region. Over the porous medium region, the presence of the lateral walls does not significantly affect the scaling of the first moment in the symmetry plane (i.e. mean temperature and mean velocity) but apparently affects the second moments such as the turbulence intensity, temperature variance and turbulent heat flux. By contrast, near the top wall, the presence of the lateral walls even modifies the scaling of the first moment in the symmetry plane.

Inside the porous medium region, the temperature at the surface of the porous medium is not uniform, and solid- and fluid-phase temperatures are found to reach an equilibrium state, which is correctly reproduced only with the conjugate heat transfer. The analysis of the budget terms in the volume- and Reynolds-averaged fluid-phase energy equation shows that the dispersion heat flux as well as the turbulent heat flux contribute significantly to the energy transfer inside the porous medium, demonstrating the importance of the dispersion heat flux for heat transfer in the porous medium. Also, the enhanced secondary flow in the clear duct region partly penetrates towards the porous wall which results in recirculating mean flow currents inside the porous medium. These flow currents contribute to the enhancement of the heat transfer deep inside the porous medium region. Note that this is the first DNS study that reveals the influence of a porous medium on the heat and momentum transfer mechanisms in 3-D flow systems by fully resolving the geometry of the porous medium. However, this study is not focused on the effects of the Prandtl number, conductivity ratio and buoyancy, which are also important for practical applications of porous materials to engineering heat transfer systems. This will be the focus of our future work.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to Dr M. Kaneda for his support. The numerical calculations were carried out on the TSUBAME3.0 supercomputer at the Tokyo Institute of Technology in research projects (ID: hp170015). A part of this study was financially supported by JSPS Japan (no. 17K14591).

#### Declaration of interests

The authors report no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

ADRIAN, R. J. & MARUSIC, I. 2012 Coherent structures in flow over hydraulic engineering surfaces. *J. Hydraul Res.* **50** (5), 451–464.

BREUGEM, W. P., BOERSMA, B. J. & UITTENBOGAARD, R. E. 2006 The influence of wall permeability on turbulent channel flow. J. Fluid Mech. 562, 35–72.

CHUKWUDOZIE, C. & TYAGI, M. 2013 Pore scale inertial flow simulations in 3-D smooth and rough sphere packs using lattice Boltzmann method. *AIChE J.* **59** (12), 4858–4870.

DARCY, H. 1856 Les fontaines publiques de la ville de dijon. Dalmont, Paris 647.

- FATTAHI, E., WALUGA, C., WOHLMUTH, B., RÜDE, U., MANHART, M. & HELMIG, R. 2016 Lattice Boltzmann methods in porous media simulations: from laminar to turbulent flow. *Comput. Fluids* 140, 247–259.
- FINNIGAN, J. 2000 Turbulence in plant canopies. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 32, 519-571.
- GAVRILAKIS, S. 1992 Numerical simulation of low-Reynolds-number turbulent flow through a straight square duct. J. Fluid Mech. 244, 101–129.
- HUSER, A. & BIRINGEN, S. 1993 Direct numerical simulation of turbulent flow in a square duct. J. Fluid Mech. 257, 65–95.
- IWAMOTO, K., SUZUKI, Y. & KASAGI, N. 2002 Database of fully developed channel flow-thtlab internal report no. ILR-0201, Rapport technique, THTLAB, Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Tokyo.
- JIMENEZ, J., UHLMANN, M., PINELLI, A. & KAWAHARA, G. 2001 Turbulent shear flow over active and passive porous surfaces. J. Fluid Mech. 442, 89–117.
- KAWAMURA, H., ABE, H. & SHINGAI, K. 2000 DNS of turbulence and heat transport in a channel flow with different Reynolds and Prandtl numbers and boundary conditions. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Symposium on Turbulence, Heat and Mass Transfer*.
- KIMURA, S. & BEJAN, A. 1983 The heatline visualization of convective heat transfer. *Trans. ASME:* J. Heat Transfer 105 (4), 916–919.
- KONG, F. Y. & SCHETZ, J. A. 1982 Turbulent boundary layer over porous surfaces with different surface geometries. AIAA Paper, pp. 82–0030.
- KUWAHARA, F., NAKAYAMA, A. & KOYAMA, H. 1996 A numerical study of thermal dispersion in porous media. *Trans. ASME: J. Heat Transfer* 118 (3), 756–761.
- KUWATA, Y. & KAWAGUCHI, Y. 2018a Direct numerical simulation of turbulence over systematically varied irregular rough surfaces. J. Fluid Mech. 862, 781–815.
- KUWATA, Y. & KAWAGUCHI, Y. 2018b Statistical discussions on skin frictional drag of turbulence over randomly distributed semi-spheres. Intl J. Adv. Engng Sci. Appl. Mater. 10 (4), 263–272.
- KUWATA, Y. & KAWAGUCHI, Y. 2019 Direct numerical simulation of turbulence over resolved and modeled rough walls with irregularly distributed roughness. *Intl J. Heat Fluid Flow* 77, 1–18.
- KUWATA, Y. & SUGA, K. 2015 Large eddy simulations of pore-scale turbulent flows in porous media by the lattice Boltzmann method. *Intl J. Heat Fluid Flow* 55, 143–157.
- KUWATA, Y. & SUGA, K. 2016a Lattice Boltzmann direct numerical simulation of interface turbulence over porous and rough walls. *Intl J. Heat Fluid Flow* 61, 145–157.
- KUWATA, Y. & SUGA, K. 2016b Transport mechanism of interface turbulence over porous and rough walls. *Flow Turbul. Combust.* 97 (4), 1071–1093.
- KUWATA, Y. & SUGA, K. 2017 Direct numerical simulation of turbulence over anisotropic porous media. J. Fluid Mech. 831, 41–71.
- KUWATA, Y. & SUGA, K. 2019 Extensive investigation of the influence of wall permeability on turbulence. *Intl J. Heat Fluid Flow* **80**, 108465.
- LATT, J. & CHOPARD, B. 2006 Lattice Boltzmann method with regularized pre-collision distribution functions. *Maths Comput. Simul.* 72 (2–6), 165–168.
- LENZ, S., SCHOENHERR, M., GEIER, M., KRAFCZYK, M., PASQUALI, A., CHRISTEN, A. & GIOMETTO, M. 2019 Towards real-time simulation of turbulent air flow over a resolved urban canopy using the cumulant lattice Boltzmann method on a GPGPU. J. Wind Engng Ind. Aerodyn. 189, 151–162.
- MADABHUSHI, R. K. & VANKA, S. P. 1991 Large eddy simulation of turbulence-driven secondary flow in a square duct. *Phys. Fluids* A 3 (11), 2734–2745.
- MANES, C., POGGI, D. & RIDOL, L. 2011 Turbulent boundary layers over permeable walls: scaling and near-wall structure. J. Fluid Mech. 687, 141–170.
- MANES, C., POKRAJAC, D., MCEWAN, I. & NIKORA, V. 2009 Turbulence structure of open channel flows over permeable and impermeable beds: a comparative study. *Phys. Fluids* **21** (12), 125109.
- NAKAYAMA, A. & KUWAHARA, F. 1999 A macroscopic turbulence model for flow in a porous medium. *Trans. ASME: J. Fluids Engng* **121**, 427–433.
- NISHIYAMA, Y., KUWATA, Y. & SUGA, K. 2020 Direct numerical simulation of turbulent heat transfer over fully resolved anisotropic porous structures. *Intl J. Heat Fluid Flow* **81**, 108515.

- ONODERA, N., AOKI, T., SHIMOKAWABE, T. & KOBAYASHI, H. 2013 Large-scale LES wind simulation using lattice Boltzmann method for a 10 km × 10 km area in metropolitan Tokyo. *Tsubame ESJ* 9, 2–8.
- PINELLI, A., UHLMANN, M., SEKIMOTO, A. & KAWAHARA, G. 2010 Reynolds number dependence of mean flow structure in square duct turbulence. J. Fluid Mech. 644, 107–122.
- PRANDTL, L. 1926 Uber die ausgebildete Turbulenz. In Proceedings 2nd International Congress Applied Mechanics, Zurich, pp. 62–75.
- RAUPACH, M. R, FINNIGAN, J. J. & BRUNET, Y. 1996 Coherent eddies and turbulence in vegetation canopies: the mixing-layer analogy. In *Boundary-Layer Meteorology 25th Anniversary Volume*, 1970–1995 (ed. J. R. Garratt & P. A. Taylor), pp. 351–382. Springer.
- ROSTI, M. E., BRANDT, L. & PINELLI, A. 2018 Turbulent channel flow over an anisotropic porous wall-drag increase and reduction. J. Fluid Mech. 842, 381–394.
- ROSTI, M. E., CORTELEZZI, L. & QUADRIO, M. 2015 Direct numerical simulation of turbulent channel flow over porous walls. J. Fluid Mech. 784, 396–442.
- SAITO, M. B. & DE LEMOS, M. J. S 2005 Interfacial heat transfer coefficient for non-equilibrium convective transport in porous media. *Intl Commun. Heat Mass Transfer* **32** (5), 666–676.
- SAMANTA, A., VINUESA, R., LASHGARI, I., SCHLATTER, P. & BRANDT, L. 2015 Enhanced secondary motion of the turbulent flow through a porous square duct. *J. Fluid Mech.* **784**, 681–693.
- SEKIMOTO, A., KAWAHARA, G., SEKIYAMA, K., UHLMANN, M. & PINELLI, A. 2011 Turbulence-and buoyancy-driven secondary flow in a horizontal square duct heated from below. *Phys. Fluids* 23 (7), 075103.
- SUGA, K., CHIKASUE, R. & KUWATA, Y. 2017 Modelling turbulent and dispersion heat fluxes in turbulent porous medium flows using the resolved LES data. *Intl J. Heat Fluid Flow* **68**, 225–236.
- SUGA, K., KUWATA, Y., TAKASHIMA, K. & CHIKASUE, R. 2015 A D3Q27 multiple-relaxation-time lattice Boltzmann method for turbulent flows. *Comput. Maths Applics*. 69, 518–529.
- SUGA, K., MATSUMURA, Y., ASHITAKA, Y., TOMINAGA, S. & KANEDA, M. 2010 Effects of wall permeability on turbulence. *Intl J. Heat Fluid Flow* 31, 974–984.
- SUGA, K., MORI, M. & KANEDA, M. 2011 Vortex structure of turbulence over permeable walls. Intl J. Heat Fluid Flow 32, 586–595.
- SUGA, K., NISHIMURA, W., YAMAMOTO, T. & KANEDA, M. 2014 Measurements of serpentine channel flow characteristics for a proton exchange membrane fuel cell. *Intl J. Hydrogen Energy* **39** (11), 5942–5954.
- SUGA, K., OKAZAKI, Y., H O, U. & KUWATA, Y. 2018 Anisotropic wall permeability effects on turbulent channel flows. J. Fluid Mech. 855, 983–1016.
- SUGA, K., OKAZAKI, Y. & KUWATA, Y. 2020 Characteristics of turbulent square duct flows over porous media. J. Fluid Mech. 884.
- VÁZQUEZ, M. S. & MÉTAIS, O. 2002 Large-eddy simulation of the turbulent flow through a heated square duct. J. Fluid Mech. 453, 201–238.
- VINUESA, R., NOORANI, A., LOZANO-DURÁN, A., KHOURY, G. K. E., SCHLATTER, P., FISCHER, P. F. & NAGIB, H. M. 2014 Aspect ratio effects in turbulent duct flows studied through direct numerical simulation. J. Turbul. 15 (10), 677–706.
- WANG, J., WANG, M. & LI, Z. 2007 A lattice Boltzmann algorithm for fluid–solid conjugate heat transfer. *Intl J. Therm. Sci.* 46 (3), 228–234.
- WHITAKER, S. 1986 Flow in porous media I: a theoretical derivation of Darcy's law. *Transp. Porous Med.* 1, 3–25.
- WHITAKER, S. 1996 The Forchheimer equation: a theoretical development. Transp. Porous Med. 25, 27-61.
- WHITE, B. L. & NEPF, H. M. 2007 Shear instability and coherent structures in shallow flow adjacent to a porous layer. J. Fluid Mech. 593, 1–32.
- YOSHIDA, H. & NAGAOKA, M. 2010 Multiple-relaxation-time lattice Boltzmann model for the convection and anisotropic diffusion equation. J. Comput. Phys. **229** (20), 7774–7795.
- ZAGNI, A. F. E. & SMITH, K. V. H. 1976 Channel flow over permeable beds of graded spheres. J. Hydraul. Div. ASCE 102 (2), 207–222.
- ZHANG, H., TRIAS, F. X., GOROBETS, A., TAN, Y. & OLIVA, A. 2015 Direct numerical simulation of a fully developed turbulent square duct flow up to Reτ= 1200. *Intl J. Heat Fluid Flow* 54, 258–267.