## NMR: IMAGING BIOCHEMICAL CHANGE

HOULT, D. I. & RICHARDS, R. E. (1976) The signal-to-noise ratio of the NMR experiment. Journal of Magnetic Resonance, 24, 71–85. HUTCHISON, J. M. S., EDELSTEIN, S. A. & JOHNSON, G. (1980) A whole-body NMR imaging machine. Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments, 13, 947–955.

IKEHIRA, H. & SMITH, F. W. (1983) Application of T<sub>1</sub> data to diagnosis using the Aberdeen NMR imaging system. Japanese Journal NMR Imaging, 2, 84–93. (In English).
JOHNSON, G., HUTCHISON, J. M. S. & EASTWOOD, L. M. (1981) Instrumentation for NMR spin-warp imaging. Journal of Physics E:

JOHNSON, G., HUTCHISON, J. M. S. & EASTWOOD, L. M. (1981) Instrumentation for NMR spin-warp imaging. Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments, 15, 74–79.

LAUTERBUR, P. C. (1973) Image formation by induced local interaction: examples employing nuclear magnetic resonance. *Nature*, 242, 190–191.

MALLARD, J. R., HUTCHISON, J. M. S., EDELSTEIN, W. A., LING, C. R., FOSTER, M. A. & JOHNSON, G. (1980) In-vivo n.m.r. imaging in medicine: the Aberdeen approach, both physical and biological. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, B, 289, 519–533.

MANSFIELD, P. & MORRIS, P. G. (1982) NMR Imaging in Biomedicine. New York: Academic Press.

MATHUR-DE-VRE, R. (1979) The NMR studies of water in biological systems. Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology, 35, 103–134. NATIONAL RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION BOARD (1980) Exposure to NMR Clinical Imaging. Didcot, Oxon.

REDPATH. T. W. (1982) Calibration of the Aberdeen NMR imager for proton spin-lattice relaxation time measurements in vivo. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 27, 1057–1065.

------& HUTCHISON, J. M. S. (1982) Design of a radio-frequency coil suitable for NMR imaging of heads. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, **27**, 443–447.

SMITH, F. W. (1983) Nuclear magnetic resonance in the investigation of cerebral disorder: a review. Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism, 3, 263–269.

YOUNG, I. R., HALL, A. S., PALLIS, C. A., BYDDER, G. M., LEGG, N. J. & STEINER, R. E. (1981) Nuclear magnetic resonance imaging of the brain in multiple sclerosis. *Lancet*, ii, 1063–1066.

- L. M. Eastwood, BSc. MSc. PhD. Research Fellow, Department of Bio-medical Physics and Bioengineering, University, of Aberdeen. Now at M & D Technology Ltd, Whitemymes Avenue, Aberdeen
- J. M. S. Hutchison, BSC. PhD. Senior Lecturer, Department of Bio-medical Physics and Bioengineering, University of Aberdeen

\*J. A. O. Besson, BSc. MB. ChB. MRCPsych. Wellcome Senior Lecturer, Department of Mental Health, University of Aberdeen, Clinical Research Centre, Royal Cornhill Hospital, Cornhill Road, Aberdeen AB92ZH

\*Correspondence.

(Received 22 July; revised 9 December 1983)

# Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) II. Imaging in Dementia

## J. A. O. BESSON, F. M. CORRIGAN, E. ILJON FOREMAN, L. M. EASTWOOD, F. W. SMITH and G. W. ASHCROFT

Summary: Proton NMR imaging of the brain is rapidly becoming established as a useful investigative tool in medicine. This paper examines the usefulness of the NMR parameters—spin-lattice relaxation time  $(T_1)$  and proton density (PD)—in differentiating groups of patients with senile dementia of Alzheimer type (SDAT) and multi-infarct dementia (MID) from each other, and from elderly controls.  $T_1$  values increase with severity of dementia. NMR parameters may also be of use in localising regions of brain damage.

NMR imaging using the Aberdeen method measures two parameters—the proton density (PD) and the spin-lattice relaxation time  $(T_1)$ ; these parameters represent not all protons, but only fairly mobile ones. Protons, (hydrogen nuclei, that are bound inside large molecules such as proteins) generate signals that cannot be detected. Proton density data therefore refers to the protons in water and free lipids, and is a measure of the concentration of such protons.  $T_1$  values represent the water as observed in two states, one 'free', the other a 'bound' state in a hydration sheath around a macromolecule, consisting of water interacting with that molecule. Protons exchange very quickly between these two states, so that the observed  $T_1$  value is an average of the  $T_1$  values for these states separately.

Pathological studies of patients with multi-infarct dementia (MID) demonstrate changes indicative of infarction (Corsellis, 1969). In the early stage, there is oedema and in the latter stages gliosis or cavitation, while in recent infarcts, the increased water content of the tissue should be reflected in altered T<sub>1</sub> and PD parameters. Such changes would be seen only occasionally, in comparison with the more long-standing lesions, which, because of the gliosis, demyelination, and cavitation, are also likely to be reflected by alteration in the content and state of water in the various tissue compartments. The situation in senile dementia of Alzheimer type (SDAT) is, however, less clear. There is a lack of studies on brain water changes in SDAT, and though animal work (Nagy et al, 1982) suggests that brain water declines with age, this says nothing of the situation in SDAT.

The anticipated differences between SDAT and MID in this respect, if reflected in  $T_1$  and PD measures, may differentiate between these two conditions. On this basis,  $T_1$  and PD parameters in MID, SDAT, and normal elderly controls were measured and compared.

#### Method

The subjects (aged 65–85 years) were all long-term hospital patients suffering from senile dementia. They were divided into two groups, SDAT and MID, on the basis of their scores on the Hachinski Rating Scale (Hachinski, 1975). A score of four or less indicates SDAT, and 8 or more MID.

Clinical history, and physical and biochemical examination based on the MRC diagnostic screen (Glen & Christie, 1979) were used to exclude other causes of dementia. Ultimately, 13 patients were diagnosed as SDAT and 12 as MID. Two patients scored between 5 and 8, and were excluded. Eleven subjects of the same agerange as the patients were selected from a non-patient population as controls.

The severity of dementia was assessed using the MSQ (Kahn et al, 1960) and Mattis mental status examination for organic mental syndromes in the elderly (Mattis, 1976). This test measures a number of cognitive tasks, attention. initiation of actions. construction. conceptualisation, and memory, giving both a sub-score for each and an overall score. Patients underwent NMR imaging, using the Mark 1 Aberdeen NMR imager, developed by Hutchison et al (1980) and Johnson et al (1982), with the special head coil, designed by Redpath & Hutchison (1982), operating at a magnetic field strength of .04 T (Telsa) (proton resonant frequency 1.7 MHz). T<sub>1</sub> and PD values were measured from the supra-ventricular transverse section. T<sub>1</sub> measures were taken from cerebral grey and white matter areas, and PD measures from white matter areas. The pixel volume is  $1.95 \text{ mm}^2 \times 12 \text{ mm}$  depth, and about 70 pixels were counted in each white matter area, and about 10 in the grey matter areas. The areas examined were left and right, frontal, parietal, and occipital regions. These were obtained by manual mapping of the areas to be examined and a computerised count of the pixel number and T<sub>1</sub> and PD values read back from the initial acquired data.

## **Results**

The control group had a mean MSQ of 8.4 (±1) and a Mattis total score of 118.9 (±16.6). Of the Mattis subscores, the main one of relevance to this paper is the constructional sub-score, in which the controls scored a mean of 5.7 (±0.4) out of a total of 6; this test is a reflection of parietal lobe dysfunction. The patients with SDAT scored poorest in all tests of cognitive function, with MSQ scores of 1.4 (±0.5), Mattis total scores of 58.9 (±27.6) and construction sub-scores of 2.8 (±1.9). Patients with MID scored 3.2 (±2.2) on the MSQ, 77.3 (±26.9) on the Mattis and 3.5 (±2.3) on the construction sub-scores.

Table I shows the  $T_1$  and PD values for right and left frontal, parietal, and occipital white and grey areas (RFW, LFW, RFG, LFG, RPW, LPW, RPG, LPG, ROW, LOW, ROG, LOG).

The  $T_1$  and PD values of all areas in the three groups were compared using analysis of variance. The  $T_1$  values of white matter of all areas were significantly greater in SDAT and MID subjects than in controls, and there was no significant difference between the SDAT and MID groups. The  $T_1$  results for grey matter are variable, probably due to the greater error in mapping because of the small volumes. With regard to the PD measures of white matter, however, SDAT patients had significantly greater values than controls, though there is no difference between controls and MID patients. SDAT patients also had significantly greater values than MID patients. Using a combination of PD and  $T_1$  measures in white matter, it is therefore possible to differentiate between the SDAT and MID groups, and both these from normal controls.

The mean  $T_1$  value for all white areas of the section was calculated for each subject, and plotted against the MSQ score. The scattergram (see Figure) illustrates this.

Pearson's correlations of mean  $T_1$  for all white areas with MSQ score shows that for the whole group, there is a significant negative correlation between the two (Table II); in other words, the greater the degree of dementia, the greater the T<sub>1</sub> value. Within groups, however, the correlation does not reach significance, which may reflect the narrow range of MSQ scores within each group. The mean T<sub>1</sub> data for grey areas also shows a significant negative correlation with MSQ scores for all groups taken together, but there are no significant differences when each is taken separately. Significant correlations are not achieved for the mean PD value for white area, for the groups taken separately or together. As might be expected, a similar result is obtained when the Mattis total scores are correlated with the mean  $T_1$  values for white and grey matter and the PD for white matter. Severity of

32

## NMR IMAGING IN DEMENTIA

| $T_1$ and proton density (PD) values for patients with SDAT, MID and elderly controls |      |                                     |                              |                             |                         |                        |                     |  |
|---|------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
|   | Area | Control $n = 11$<br>(Mean $\pm$ SD) | SDAT (n = 13)<br>(Mean ± SD) | MID (n = 12)<br>(Mean ± SD) | Control vs.<br>SDAT (P) | Control vs.<br>MID (P) | MID vs.<br>SDAT (P) |  |
| T <sub>1</sub>  | RFW  | 246 ± 14                            | 271 ± 17                     | 283 ± 26                    | 0.001                   | 0.005                  | NS                  |  |
| (m sec)   | LFW  | $241 \pm 18$                        | $280 \pm 27$                 | $285 \pm 31$                | 0.001                   | 0.0007                 | NS                  |  |
|   | RPW  | $246 \pm 10$                        | 277 ± 15                     | 298 ± 43                    | 0.0001                  | 0.009                  | NS                  |  |
|   | LPW  | $250 \pm 17$                        | 278 ± 13                     | $312 \pm 71$                | 0.0002                  | 0.01                   | NS                  |  |
|   | ROW  | $260 \pm 6$                         | 284 ± 17                     | 294 ± 33                    | 0.0001                  | 0.0004                 | NS                  |  |
|   | LOW  | $251 \pm 13$                        | 282 ± 24                     | 292 ± 29                    | 0.001                   | 0.0004                 | NS                  |  |
| T <sub>1</sub>  | RFG  | $307 \pm 19$                        | $330 \pm 28$                 | 331 ± 22                    | 0.04                    | 0.02                   | NS                  |  |
| (m sec)   | LFG  | 298 ± 10                            | 321 ± 19                     | $340 \pm 20$                | 0.002                   | 0.0001                 | 0.03                |  |
|   | RPG  | $309 \pm 10$                        | $322 \pm 12$                 | $318 \pm 16$                | 0.02                    | NS                     | NS                  |  |
|   | LPG  | $300 \pm 11$                        | 324 ± 24                     | $325 \pm 34$                | 0.007                   | 0.04                   | NS                  |  |
|   | ROG  | $302 \pm 16$                        | $316 \pm 16$                 | $315 \pm 16$                | NS                      | 0.06                   | NS                  |  |
|   | LOG  | 296 ± 18                            | 309 ± 22                     | 312 ± 36                    | NS                      | NS                     | NS                  |  |
| PD  | RFW  | $168 \pm 12$                        | 187 ± 10                     | $169 \pm 20$                | 0.0007                  | NS                     | 0.01                |  |
| Arbitrary   | LFW  | 170 ± 12                            | 194 ± 25                     | $168 \pm 22$                | 0.01                    | NS                     | 0.01                |  |
| Units   | RPW  | $170 \pm 9$                         | 186 ± 7                      | $166 \pm 20$                | 0.0002                  | NS                     | 0.004               |  |
|   | LPW  | 171 ± 11                            | $191 \pm 10$                 | $169 \pm 26$                | 0.0001                  | NS                     | 0.008               |  |
|   | ROW  | 170 ± 10                            | 187 ± 10                     | $166 \pm 24$                | 0.0005                  | NS                     | 0.01                |  |
|   | LOW  | $172 \pm 10$                        | $187 \pm 9$                  | $169 \pm 18$                | 0.0007                  | NS                     | 0.004               |  |

TABLE I
T, and proton density (PD) values for patients with SDAT, MID and elderly contro

TABLE II

Correlations (Pearson's) between MSQ scores and mean T<sub>1</sub> and PD values for grey and white matter in MID, SDAT and elderly controls

|                                     | MID       | SDAT  | Controls | All groups |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------|----------|------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> mean white—all areas | -0.49     | -0.08 | -0.35    | -0.63      |
|                                     | P = 0.054 | NS    | NS       | P = 0.0001 |
| T <sub>1</sub> mean grey—all areas  | -0.03     | -0.04 | -0.38    | -0.47      |
|                                     | NS        | NS    | NS       | P = 0.002  |
| PD mean white—all areas             | 0.22      | 0.29  | -0.07    | -0.2       |
|                                     | NS        | NS    | NS       | NS         |



FIG-MSQ scores vs T<sub>1</sub> white matter-all groups.

dementia is therefore reflected in  $T_1$  scores for grey and white areas, but not in PD scores for white areas.

We have considered the relationship between overall state of mental deterioration, as measured by psychological measures of cognitive functioning, and changes in  $T_1$  and PD. Do  $T_1$  and PD changes also reflect regional pathology? To answer this, we looked at the constructional sub-scores of the Mattis scale, and correlated them with the  $T_1$  and PD values of both parietal lobes. There was a significant negative correlation between the Mattis constructional scores and the  $T_1$  scores for white matter in the parietal regions (-0.47, P = 0.003 on the left; and -0.34, P = 0.03 on the right), when all groups were taken together. This implies bilateral pathology, but suggests that it might be more prominent on the left. Highly significant correlations were also obtained when measures of  $T_1$  for grey parietal areas were considered. All subjects appeared to be right-handed.

## Discussion

The diagnostic value in SDAT of morphological changes in the brain observed by CAT scan is much debated. Ford & White (1981) have shown that advancing age is associated with increased cerebral atrophy in both normal persons and in those with dementia, while Tomlinson *et al* (1970) have indicated that although patients with dementia show more atrophy than non-demented persons of equal age, there is considerable overlap between the two groups. The degree of atrophy provides little information about degree of cognitive impairment. Soininen *et al* (1982) have shown, however, that ventricular dilatation may be of more value as a diagnostic and severity parameter, being increased in SDAT subjects, as opposed to MID subjects and normals, and larger the more severe the dementia.

In addition to looking at the size of cortical and cerebral tissue as an index of change in dementia, it is possible to look at the physico-chemical characteristics of the tissues, e.g. the X-ray density of the tissue during CAT scanning. However, these studies have yielded conflicting results. Wilson *et al* (1982) showed no change in CT density between patients with SDAT and normal age-matched controls. On the other hand, Bondareff *et al* (1981) demonstrated reduced density in the medial temporal lobe, anterior frontal lobe, and head of the caudate nucleus in patients with SDAT.

Farbas *et al* (1982), using  ${}^{18}F$ -2-deoxy-2-fluoro-D-glucose as a tracer in positron emission studies in SDAT, demonstrated reduced utilisation of glucose, and correlated this with the degree of cognitive impairment.

The present study demonstrates that it is possible, given the relevant  $T_1$  and PD values, to distinguish between SDAT, MID, and non-demented elderly patients. These changes can be measured even when lesions cannot be detected visually on the images. This could have potential in differentating, for example, patients with dementia from those with pseudo-dementia due to functional psychoses. Furthermore, severity of dementia bears a relationship to the  $T_1$  of white matter, and we have also demonstrated that where there is

psychometric evidence of a regional deficit, the degree of deficit is reflected in changes in the  $T_1$  and PD measures of that region.

 $T_1$  values which are observed clinically will depend on several factors. In the first place, total water content and water structure may interact in a complex way; generally speaking, the more free water, the higher the  $T_1$ , while free lipid protons have a lower  $T_1$  than water protons. Membrane lipids and proteins are too structured to give observable signals, but influence observed  $T_1$  by structuring adjacent water molecules. By and large, the  $T_1$  data are representative of the state of protons in water and free lipids. The PD values predominantly represent the proton concentration of water and free lipids, so that two tissues that have very different water/fat ratios may have the same PD but different  $T_1$  values.

In SDAT, for a given volume of white matter, there is an increased total proton concentration; this is presumably associated with a reduction in other (large molecular) structures, consistent with the observed increased  $T_1$ . However, this finding cannot be readily accommodated with the known pathological changes in SDAT. In MID, on the other hand, total water proton concentration is unchanged, but the  $T_1$  is increased. This may be explained by the presence of a large proportion of the water in a more 'free' state (perhaps related to the cysts observed pathologically) or by a reduction of free lipids (perhaps a late consequence of the demyelination which is also observed pathologically). These questions, though, will have to await neuropathological confirmation.

## Acknowledgements

Dr Eastwood was supported by the Medical Research Council. Dr Besson acknowledges the financial support of the Wellcome Trust, and Carole Garden for her assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

#### References

BONDAREFF, W., BALDRY, R. & LEVY, R. (1981) Quantitative computed tomography in senile dementia. Archives of General Psychiatry, **38**, 1365–1368.

CORSELLIS, J. N. A. (1969) The pathology of dementia. British Journal of Hospital Medicine, 2, 695-702.

FARBAS, T., FERRIS, S. H., WOLF, A. P., DE LEON, M. J., CHRISTMAN, D. R., RESIBERG, G., ALAIN, A., FOWLER, J. S., GEORGE, A. E. & REIVICH, M. (1982) <sup>18</sup>F-2-Deoxy-2-fluoro-D-glucose as a tracer in the positron emission tomographic study of senile dementia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, **139**, 352–353.

FORD, C. V. & WHITE, S. (1981) Computerised axial tomograms and dementia in elderly patients. *Journal of Gerontology*, **36**, 164–169. GLEN, A. I. M. & CHRISTIE, J. E. (1979) Early diagnosis of Alzheimer's Disease: working definitions for clinical and laboratory criteria. In *Alzheimer's Disease: Early Recognition of Potentially Reversible Deficits* (eds. A. I. M. Glen & L. J. Whalley). Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone.

HACHINSKI, V. C. (1975) Cerebral blood flow in dementia. Archives of Neurology, 32, 632-637.

HUTCHISON, J. M. S., EDELSTEIN, W. A. & JOHNSON, G. (1980) A whole body NMR imaging machine. Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments, 13, 947-955.

JOHNSON, G., HUTCHISON, J. M. S. & EASTWOOD, L. M. (1982) Instrumentation for NMR spin-warp imaging. Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments, 15, 74-79.

KAHN, R. L., GOLDFARB, A. I., POLLACK, M. & PECK, A. (1960) Brief objective measures for the determination of mental status in the aged. American Journal of Psychiatry, 117, 326–328.

## NMR IMAGING IN DEMENTIA

- LAUTERBUR, P. (1973) Image formation by induced local interactions: examples exploring nuclear magnetic resonance. *Nature*, 242, 190–191.
- MATTIS, S. (1976) Mental status examination for organic mental syndrome in the elderly patient. *Geriatric Psychiatry* (eds. L. E. Bellack & T. B. Karasut). New York: Grune & Stratton.
- NAGY, Z., NAGY, N. & LUSTYIK, G. (1982) Protein and water contents of ageing brain. *Experimental Brain Research*, Suppl. 5, 118–121. Berlin—Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- REDPATH, T. W. & HUTCHISON, J. M. S. (1982) Design of a radio-frequency coil suitable for NMR imaging of heads. Physics in Medicine and Biology, 27, 443-447.
- SOININEN, H., PURANEN, R. & RIEKKINEN, P. J. (1982) Computer tomography findings in scnilc dementia and normal ageing. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 45, 50-54.
- TOMLINSON, B. E., BLESSED, G. & ROTH, M. (1970) Observations on the brains of demented old people. Journal of Neurological Science, 11, 205-242.
- WILSON, R. S., FOX, J. H., HUCKMAN, M. S., BACON, L. D. & LOBICK, J. J. (1982) Computed tomography in dementia. Neurology, 32, 1054–1057.

- \*J. A. O. Besson, BSc. MB. ChB. MRCPsych. Wellcome Senior Lecturer, Department of Mental Health, University of Aberdeen
- F. M. Corrigan, MB. ChB. MRCPsych. Lecturer, Department of Mental Health, University of Aberdeen

.

- E. Iljon Foreman, BA. MSc. Clinical Psychologist, Department of Mental Health, University of Aberdeen
- L. M. Eastwood, BSc. MSc. PhD. Research Fellow, Department of Biomedical Physics & Bioengineering, University of Aberdeen. Now at M & D Technology Ltd., Whitemyres Avenue, Aberdeen
- F. W. Smith, MB. ChB. DMRD. FFR. Consultant Radiologist and Specialist in Nuclear Medicine, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary
- G. W. Ashcroft, MB. ChB. DRCOG, FRCPE, DSc., FRSE, FRCPsych. Professor of Mental Health, University of Aberdeen

\*Correspondence

(Received 22 July 1983; revised 13 February 1984)