www.cambridge.org/jhl

# **Research Paper**

**Cite this article:** Gião T, Müller MI, Yamada F, Freitas F, Leite L, da Silva RJ, de Azevedo R and Abdallah V (2025). Morphological and molecular phylogeny of *Clinostomum* sp. (Digenea: Clinostomidae) metacercariae, using DNA barcode from a South American freshwater fish. *Journal of Helminthology*, **99**, e13, 1–10

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X24000993

Received: 29 July 2024 Revised: 16 December 2024 Accepted: 25 December 2024

#### **Keywords:**

Freshwater fish; trematodes; *Clinostomum* sp; metacercariae; COI

Corresponding author: V. Abdallah; Email: vanessa.kozlowiski@icbs.ufal.br Morphological and molecular phylogeny of *Clinostomum* sp. (Digenea: Clinostomidae) metacercariae, using DNA barcode from a South American freshwater fish

# T. Gião<sup>1</sup>, M.I. Müller<sup>2,6</sup>, F. Yamada<sup>3</sup>, F. Freitas<sup>4</sup>, L. Leite<sup>5</sup>, R.J. da Silva<sup>6</sup>, R. de Azevedo<sup>7</sup> and V. Abdallah<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC), Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brasil; <sup>2</sup>Oregon State University (OSU), Department of Microbiology, Corvallis, Oregon, USA; <sup>3</sup>Universidade Regional do Cariri (URCA), Crato, Ceará, Brasil; <sup>4</sup>Centro Universitário Sagrado Coração (UNISAGRADO), Bauru, São Paulo, Brasil; <sup>5</sup>Universidade Federal de Alagoas (UFAL), Instituto de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Departamento de Patologia e Parasitologia, Maceió, Alagoas, Brasil; <sup>6</sup>Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Instituto de Biociências, Departamento de Parasitologia, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brasil and <sup>7</sup>Centro universitário CESMAC, Maceió, Alagoas, Brasil

## Abstract

Here, we present a comprehensive morphological and molecular phylogenetic analysis of *Clinostomum* sp. (Digenea: Clinostomidae) metacercariae parasitizing two freshwater fish species from Southeast Brazil: *Serrasalmus spilopleura* (piranha) and *Callichthys callichthys* (tambuatá). The morphological examination revealed distinct characteristics of metacercariae in each host. Using the cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) gene barcode region, we obtained DNA sequences that allowed for accurate phylogenetic placement. Phylogenetic analyses revealed that *Clinostomum* sp. HM41 (metacercariae), isolated from *S. spilopleura*, exhibited 86% similarity to *Ithyoclinostomum yamagutii*, while *Clinostomum* sp. HM125 (metacercariae), from *C. callichthys*, showed 98.7% similarity to *Clinostomum* sp. Cr\_Ha1. The phylogenetic trees constructed through Bayesian Inference and Maximum Likelihood methods indicated high biodiversity within the *Clinostomum* genus and strong support for distinct lineages. These findings enhance our understanding of the diversity and ecological distribution of *Clinostomum* species in South American freshwater environments.

### Introduction

The family Clinostomidae Luhe, 1901 comprises digenetic trematodes, which in their adult stage are predominantly found in the oral cavity and esophagus of birds and reptiles (Kanev *et al.* 2002). These parasites exhibit a complex life cycle with gastropods serving as their first intermediate hosts and fish and amphibians as their second intermediate hosts (Pérez-Ponce De Léon *et al.* 2016). In their metacercariae stage, these trematodes infect a wide variety of fish hosts, having been found in at least 12 families of freshwater fish: Cichlidae, Percidae, Centrarchidae, Symbranchidae, Eleotridae, Heptapteridae, Profundulidae, Poecilidae, Goodeidae, Characidae, Cyprinidae, and Catostomidae (Acosta *et al.* 2016; Briosio-Aguilar *et al.* 2018; Caffara *et al.* 2011, 2014, 2017; Davies *et al.* 2016; Dias *et al.* 2003; Gustinelli *et al.* 2010; Locke *et al.* 2015; Morais *et al.* 2011; Pérez-Ponce de León *et al.* 2007, 2016; Pinto *et al.* 2015; Sereno-Uribe *et al.* 2013, 2018; Szidat 1969).

Based on morphological and molecular descriptions, 23 valid species of *Clinostomum* Leidy, 1856 have been identified thus far, and yet at least eight candidate new species have been registered through DNA sequences but have not yet been described (Briosio-Aguilar *et al.* 2018; Goméz-Ruíz and Lenis 2024; Tavares-Dias et al 2021); however, the taxonomic validity of species within *Clinostomum* has been a contentious issue due to the absence of significant morphological characters that differentiate the valid species from each other, along with the wide distribution of the genus, which is considered cosmopolitan, and its complex life cycle (Caffara *et al.* 2014; Locke *et al.* 2015, 2019; Matthews and Cribb 1998; Rosser *et al.* 2017; Sereno-Uribe *et al.* 2018).

Presently, molecular and morphological analyses have revealed a high biodiversity of lineages and a large database of mitochondrial and nuclear DNA sequences of *Clinostomum* are available, facilitating the correct identification of species, thereby effectively estimating the diversity of this genus (Acosta *et al.* 2016; Briosio-Aguilar *et al.* 2018; Caffara *et al.* 2011, 2014, 2017; Gustinelli *et al.* 2010; Locke *et al.* 2015, 2019; Pérez-Ponce de León *et al.* 2007, 2016; Pinto *et al.* 2015; Sereno-Uribe *et al.* 2018).

During a biodiversity survey of fish parasites in the Paraná river basin, we found Clinostomidae larvae in the musculature of *Serrasalmus spilopleura* Kner 1858 (Characiformes:

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press.



Serrasalmidae) and *Callichthys callichthys* (Linnaeus 1758) (Siluriformes: Callichthyidae) from southeast Brazil, and we conducted morphological and molecular analysis with the aim to characterize these metacercariae since many fish parasites larvae can be zoonotic. Additionally, we analyzed the phylogenetic position of these larvae for the first time.

## **Material and methods**

## Study area and sampling design

The Ibitinga reservoir is situated within the Tietê River Basin, a part of the larger Paraná River Basin, located in São Paulo state, Brazil. Spanning approximately 12,300 hectares, the reservoir boasts an average depth of 8.6 meters (Vieira *et al.* 2002). It is primarily fed by two main tributaries – namely, the Jacaré-Pepira River (22°30'S; 47°55'W) and the Jacaré-Guaçu River. During sampling near the confluence of the Jacaré-Pepira and Tietê Rivers in Ibitinga city (21°54'46''S; 48°53'14''W), five specimens of *S. spilopleura* were collected.

In contrast, the Jurumirim dam is located on the Paranapanema River, also within the Paraná River Basin (Agostinho *et al.* 1995). Serving as the primary reservoir in a cascade system for downstream river regulation (Henry and Nogueira 1999), it is situated in São Paulo state (23°12'17" S; 49°13'19" W). Twenty specimens of *C. callichthys* were gathered from the Jurumirim reservoir.

Following collection, specimens were transported in plastic bags to an ichthyoparasitology laboratory for analysis. Muscle tissue from the host fish was filleted, and cysts were carefully extracted and examined under a stereomicroscope. Sections were stained using Mayer's carmine alum, mounted on slides, and coverslipped with Canada balsam to facilitate detailed visualization of internal structures (Eiras *et al.* 2006). Indexes of parasite prevalence, intensity, and abundance were calculated according to the method described by Bush *et al.* (1997).

#### Fish hosts

Serrasalmus spilopleura (Kner 1858), commonly known as piranha or pirambeba, belongs to the Characidae family within the order Characiformes. This species is widely distributed across South America (Braga 1976; Vazzoler and Menezes 1992), predominantly inhabiting lentic environments such as lakes, rivers, and reservoirs (Corredor 2004; Saint-Paul *et al.* 2000). It is known for its predatory behavior and is frequently found in association with aquatic vegetation (Petry *et al.* 2003; Sánchez-Botero *et al.* 2003). Originally native to the Amazon region (Sousa *et al.* 2013), *S. spilopleura* has been introduced into various water bodies across Brazil, including the Tietê, Jacaré-Pepira, and Jacaré-Guaçu Rivers.

*Callichthys callichthys* (Linnaeus 1758), commonly referred to as tambuatá or tamuatá, belongs to the Callichthyidae family within the order Siluriformes. This species is widely distributed throughout South America, inhabiting freshwater systems from the eastern side of the Andes to as far south as Buenos Aires (Mello *et al.* 2011). *C. callichthys* is known as a benthic feeder, displaying significant seasonal variations in habitat use. During winter, it tends to lead a benthic life (Knoppel 1970; Lowe-McConnell 1964), while in summer, it becomes more active and can be found in neritic zones, engaging in nesting behaviors and providing parental care to its offspring (Carter and Beadle 1931; Lowe-McConnell 1964).

## Molecular and phylogenetic analysis

Metacercariae were isolated and fixed in ethanol PA (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), followed by DNA extraction using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions, resulting in a final volume of 50 µl. Subsequently, DNA samples (5 µl) were subjected to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification using primers specific to the cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) gene barcode region, following the protocol described by Moszczynska *et al.* (2009). The PCR reaction mixture (25 µl) contained puReTaq Ready-to-Go Beads (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) supplemented with stabilizers (bovine serum albumin and deoxynucleotide triphosphates) and  $\approx$  2.5 units of puReTaq DNA polymerase.

PCR thermal cycling conditions consisted of an initial denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 56°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 90 s, and a final extension step at 72°C for 7 min. PCR products were visualized on agarose gel stained with GelRed (Phenix Research, Candler, NC, USA), and bands of interest were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN).

Purified DNA was then subjected to direct sequencing using the BigDye Terminator v.3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) on a genetic analyzer (ABI 3500; Applied Biosystems). Sequences were assembled and edited into contigs using Sequencher 5.2.4 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), and their identities were verified by comparison using the BLAST program (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

Sequence alignment was performed using Geneious 7.1.3 (KEARSE *et al.* 2012), and the best-fit model for nucleotide evolution (GTR+G+I) was determined using the Akaike information criterion in jModelTest (Posada 2008). Phylogenetic analyses were conducted using Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) methods on the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller *et al.* 2013). Bayesian analysis utilized the settings: lset nst = 6, rates = invariable, ncat = 4, shape = estimate, inferrates = yes, and basefreq = empirical, with MCMC chains run for 10,000,000 generations, sampling one tree every 1,500 generations. The first 25% of generations were discarded as burn-in, and the consensus tree (majority rule) was computed from the remaining trees, considering nodes with posterior probabilities >90% as well supported.

Maximum Likelihood analysis was performed using RAxML (Stamatakis 2014), with bootstrap support values derived from 1,000 replicates, and nodes supported by bootstrap values >70% considered well supported. The resulting phylogenetic trees were visualized using FigTree v.1.3.1 (Rambaut 2021).

## Results

## Morphological data

The morphological characteristics of *Clinostomum* sp. metacercariae from *S. spilopleura* will be referred as *Clinostomum* sp. HM41 (Figure 1, Table 1), and the metacercariae found in *C. callichthys* will be referred as *Clinostomum* sp. HM125 (Figure 2, Table 1). All measurements are given in micrometers plus the standard deviation.

We collected 62 specimens of *Clinostomum* sp. HM41 metacercariae (Figure 1) from *Serrasalmus spilopleura*. These metacercariae were predominantly found infecting various anatomical sites including the musculature, ocular cavity, palate, operculum, and lower jaw. Additionally, we collected 10 specimens of *Clinostomum* 



2 mm

**Figure 1.** *Clinostomun* sp. HM41 metacercarie from *Serrasalmus spilopleura* (Kner, 1858) collected in the Ibitinga reservoir in the state of São Paulo, Brazil (scale: 2mm).

sp. HM125 (Figure 2) from *Callichthys callichthys*, with the primary site of infection localized in the musculature.

Clinostomum sp. HM41

Taxonomy Summary

Host: Serrasalmus spilopleura specimens collected had mean weight of  $209.08 \pm 26.42$  kg and mean length of  $15.2 \pm 3.09$  cm.

*LOCALITY:* The Ibitinga reservoir in the state of São Paulo, Brazil (22°30'S; 47°55'W)

*Epidemiological data*: Prevalence of 80% and mean intensity of  $15.5 \pm 3.88$  parasites per fish, and mean abundance of  $12.4 \pm 3.02$  parasites (range, 4–36 parasites)

Specimens deposit:

*Representative DNA:* Sequences will be deposited in GenBank after the manuscript acceptance.

Description:

A morphometric description of specimens found in *S. spilopleura* hosts (n=10) is provided: the oral suction cup exhibited a mean length of 393.64  $\pm$  98.89 and a mean width of 303.07  $\pm$  137.02. Meanwhile, the ventral suction cup had a mean length of 1007.60  $\pm$  235.17 and a mean width of 959.20  $\pm$  185.26. The intestinal cecum measured on average 7287.02  $\pm$  1336.19 in length. The uterus displayed a mean length of 1749.30  $\pm$  377.60 and a mean width of 637.56  $\pm$  260.43. The cirrus sac had a mean length of 314.80  $\pm$  28.56 and a mean width of 299.70  $\pm$  28.07. The ovary measured on average

 $154.25 \pm 48.99$  in length and  $86.77 \pm 39.77$  in width. The anterior testis exhibited a mean length of  $483.84 \pm 161.44$  and a mean width of  $263.53 \pm 71.40$ , while the posterior testis had a mean length of  $473.91 \pm 127.79$  and a mean width of  $363.64 \pm 141.31$  (Table 1).

 $\pm 12/./9$  and a mean width of 505.04  $\pm 141.51$  (Table

*Clinostomum* sp. HM125 *Taxonomy Summary* 

 $\sim - C_{1}^{11} + C_{1}^{11} + C_{2}^{11} +$ 

*Host: Callichthys callichthys* specimens collected had mean weight of  $57.29 \pm 12.06$  kg and mean length of  $12.69 \pm 1.01$ .

LOCALITY: Jurumirim reservoir, São Paulo state (23°12'17" S; 49°13'19" W)

*Epidemiological data:* The parasites presented prevalence of 15%, mean intensity of  $3.33 \pm 1.20$  (range, 1–5 parasites).

Specimens deposit:

*Representative DNA:* Sequences will be deposited in GenBank after the manuscript acceptance.

Description:

A morphometric description of specimens found in *C. callichthys* hosts (n=3) is provided: the oral suction cup averaged 371.93  $\pm$  68.94 in length and 411.18  $\pm$  112.89 in width, while the ventral suction cup measured 828.09  $\pm$  97.21 in length and 834.31  $\pm$  128.87 in width. The intestinal cecum exhibited an average length of 7020.33  $\pm$  1190.95. The uterus showed dimensions with a mean length of 1233.29  $\pm$  452.53 and a mean width of 220.74  $\pm$  162.63. The cirrus sac had a mean length of 356.12  $\pm$  54.83 and a mean width of 164.85  $\pm$  11.45. The ovary's average length was 162.65  $\pm$  75.35, and its width was 108.01  $\pm$  70.72. Lastly, the anterior testis measured 345.68  $\pm$  68.02 in length and 325.34  $\pm$  49.80 in width, while the posterior testis had a mean length of 351.47  $\pm$  124.28 and a mean width of 361.76  $\pm$  104.90.

## Molecular data

Two mitochondrial COI gene sequences were obtained, measuring 593 base pairs for *Clinostomum* sp. HM41 (metacercariae) and 595 base pairs for *Clinostomum* sp. HM125 (metacercariae). Upon performing BLASTn local alignments, *Clinostomum* sp. HM41 (metacercariae) exhibited 86% similarity to *Ithyoclinostomum yamagutii* (MN696164) which was the closest related taxa while *Clinostomum* sp. HM125 (metacercariae) showed 98.7% similarity to *Clinostomum* sp. Cr\_Ha1 (MF673562).

Phylogenetic analyses using Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian methods were conducted on a 412 base pair alignment containing 52 taxa, resulting in similar tree topologies. The phylogenetic tree revealed two main clades labeled as A and B (Figure 3). Clade A included *Ithyoclinostomum yamagutii* (MN696163-64), along with *Ithyoclinostomum* species from North and Central America, and *Clinostomum* sp. HM41 (metacercariae), albeit with low node support (bootstrap 54, posterior probability 0.8) (Figure 3). The genus *Euclinostomum* showed robust node support, and clade B encompassed a diverse assemblage of *Clinostomum* species (Figure 3), where *Ithyoclinostomum dimorphum* (OP174427) clustered within, aligning with previous findings (Simões *et al.* 2022) regarding its classification as *Clinostomum* sp.

*Clinostomum* sp. HM125 (metacercariae) clustered closely with species from South America, specifically from Argentina and Brazil, with strong node support (Figure 3). It appears to belong to the same species group as *Clinostomum* sp. Cr Ha1 (MF673562), *Clinostomum* sp. Cr Ha2 (MF673563), *Clinostomum* sp. Adult-Cra (MW187310), *Clinostomum* sp. Cra1 (MF673556), and *Clinostomum* sp. Cra1 (MF673557), exhibiting less than 2% intraspecific variation according to BLASTn results. Furthermore, *Clinostomum* sp. 43 (KJ818259), originally found as metacercariae in guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) in Brazil, clustered prominently

Table 1. Comparative measurements of Clinostomum sp. HM41 and Clinostomum sp. HM125 and other species reported from fish and also fish-eating birds. Measurements are show in µm with the mean and standard deviation when available

Species	Clinostomum sp. HM41	Clinostomum sp. HM125	(	Clinostomum sp.		<i>I. yamagutii</i> n. sp.	C. fergalliari
Host	<i>S. spilopleura</i> (Kner, 1858)	C. callicthys (Linnaeus, 1758)	<i>C. rachovii</i> (Regan, 1913)	<i>C. vittata</i> (Heckel, 1840)	<i>G. balzanii</i> (Perugia, 1891)	Ardea herodias (Linnaeus, 1758)	Ardea cocoi (Linnaeus, 1766)
Locality	Ibitinga reservoir, São Paulo, Brazil	Jurumirim reservoir, São Paulo, Brazil	Ayui River, Concordia, Argentina	Lagun Corrientes,	a Iberá, Argentina	Mississippi, USA	Magdalena, Buenos Aires, Argentina
Reference	This study.	This study.	Montes <i>et al.</i> 2020	Montes e	et al. 2020	Rosser <i>et al.</i> 2020	Montes et al. 2021
VOL	571.4–272.3 (393.64 ± 8.89)	294.34–477.13 (371.93 ± 68.94)	203–326 (286)	232–310 (266)	162–265 (216)	429–641 (535)	289–390 (339)
VOW	461.8–123.5 (303.07 ± 137.02)	318.09–586,94 (411.18 ± 112.89)	246–413 (327)	236–327 (295)	208–299 (262)	807–839 (823)	295–436 (372)
VVL	1,144.9–620 (1,007.60 ± 235,17)	735.76–970.69 (828.09 ± 97.21)	751–908 (832)	692–890 (784)	608–707 (649)	2.219–2.436 (2.327)	969–1091 (1023)
VVW	1,176.8–713.6 (959.2 ± 185.26)	64361–1,015.45 (834.31 ± 128.87)	748–894 (816)	654–921 (821)	748–1038 (886)	2.108–2.462 (2,285)	951–1059 (1006)
CIL	9,063.5–5,264.4 (7,287.02 ± 1,336.19)	5,034.00–8,461.00 (7,020.33 ± 1190.95)					
CIW							
UL	2,219.1–1,171.5 (1,749.30 ± 377.6)	815.93–2,100.00 (1,233.29 ± 452.53)	1120–1347 (1265)	489–650 (576)	553–1171 (862)	11.166–11.560 (11,363)	
UW	1,035.7–439.7 (637.56 ± 260.43)	63.00–438.00 (220.74 ± 162.63)	127–327 (189)	79–104 (92)	96–120 (108)		
SCL	355.2–314.8 (314.8 ± 28.56)	288.14-438.41 (356.12 ± 54.83)	254–273 (302)	355–400 (377)	343–461 (402)	639–649 (644)	541-838 (657)
SCW	339.4–299.7 (299.7 ± 28.07)	151.75–183.63 (164.85 ± 11.45)	157–205 (184)	118–131 (126)	111–120 (116)	742–776 (759)	180–314 (246)
OL	172.9–73.5 (154.25 ± 48.99)	103.05–270.84 (162.65 ± 75.35)	107–162 (138)	140–185 (166)	166	630–698 (664)	264–616 (437)
OW	130-40.2 (86.77 ± 39.77)	47.99–186.66 (108.01 ± 70.72)	81–109 (90)	129–171 (150)	85	493–591 (542)	173–260 (229)
TAL	701.6–258.3 (483.84 ± 161.44)	296.12-463.91 (345.68 ± 68.02)	154–308 (244)	178–275 (227)	234–255 (245)	1.995–2.024 (2.010)	359–850 (612)
TAW	339.1–175.8 (263.53 ± 71.4)	266.18–387.07 (325.34 ± 49.80)	242–349 (317)	468–619 (546)	591–786 (658)	2.661–2.708 (2.685)	798–1317 (1026)
TPL	603.2–268.7 (473.91 ± 127.79)	190.48–491.24 (351.47 ± 124.28)	150–335 (231)	237–341 (289)	302–562 (432)	1.502–2.000 (1.751)	412–752 (566)
TPW	655.2–219.6 (363.64 ± 141.31)	221.31-449.22 (361.76 ± 104.90)	238–443 (369)	493–646 (581)	627–1103 (785)	1.944–2.084 (2.014)	1001–1392 (1117)

4



2 mm

Figure 2. *Clinostomun* sp. HM125 metacercarie from *Callichthys callichthys* (Linnaeus, 1758), collected in the Jurumirim reservoir, São Paulo state, Brazil (scale: 2mm).

within the same clade as *Clinostomum* sp. HM125 and related species from Argentina (Figure 3).

#### Discussion

Identification of *Clinostomum* metacercariae solely based on morphological attributes can lead to misidentifications. To enhance the

accuracy of *Clinostomum* systematics and deepen our understanding of the life cycle of these digenetic trematodes, molecular techniques have become indispensable (Bonette *et al.* 2011; Caffara *et al.* 2011; Gustinelli *et al.* 2010; Locke *et al.* 2015; Matthews and Cribb 1998; Sereno-Uribe et al, 2013; Simões *et al.* 2022). Despite the scarcity of morphological studies that differentiate species, molecular DNA sequencing has proven crucial for accurate species differentiation within the genus (Caffara *et al.* 2011; Locke *et al.* 2015; Rosser *et al.* 2016).

In 2005, the concept of integrative taxonomy was officially introduced as a comprehensive method for the description of taxa, integrating different data sources and methodologies to reach the final result (Dayrat 2005; Will *et al.* 2005). Data on conspecific specimens generated by different researchers can be analyzed comparatively (Patterson *et al.* 2010; Satler *et al.* 2013; Schlick-Steiner *et al.* 2007). Within this concept, molecular analysis drives the continuous evolution of taxonomic tools, complementing the fields of morphology, ecology, natural history, and statistics (Knapp 2008). Pante *et al.* (2014) argue that integrative taxonomy should be encouraged and developed within the formal description of species, highlighting it as a tool for enhancing and improving the quality of hypotheses concerning species and their descriptions. In Brazil, there are records of at least five species of *Clinostomum* in larval stage parasitizing fishes (Table 2).

However, the vast majority of these records are based on morphological identifications rather than molecular analyses, making it challenging to characterize the distribution of species within the genus in Brazil and South America (Tavares-Dias *et al.* 2021). In our work, we are not describing as new species since we do not have the adult phase.

In our morphological analysis, Clinostomum sp. HM41 (metacercariae) exhibited morphological characteristics typical of Clinostomum species rather than Ithyoclinostomum spp., yet molecular analysis grouped it within the Ithyoclinostomum clade (low support), and with more taxa added to the database, this result can possibly change. This discrepancy underscores the need for further sequencing of additional taxa to validate the taxonomy within the genus Ithyoclinostomum. Ithyoclinostomum species, known for their larger body size compared to Clinostomum, were historically distinguished based on morphological features such as cirrus-sac position, testes shape, gonad position, and the free area between the ventral sucker and anterior testis (Rosser et al. 2020; Simões et al. 2022). However, recent molecular data challenge these distinctions. For instance, Simões et al. (2022), evaluating the phylogenetic position of Ithyoclinostomum dimorphum compared to Clinostomum spp. in Brazil, demonstrated that size alone is not a reliable morphological criterion to differentiate Clinostomum spp. from Ithyoclinostomum spp. These observations show that, despite recent advances and applications of molecular techniques in taxonomic studies in South America, trematode species whose descriptions are based solely on morphological characters need to be revisited and analyzed regarding their phylogenetic positions compared to species from other genera, even if morphologically distinct. There are still several issues related to the availability of a molecular database, particularly concerning genera of trematodes, most of whose species have taxonomic descriptions based solely on morphological characters and have not yet been sequenced (Poulin et al. 2020; Simões et al. 2022).

*Clinostomum* sp. HM125 (metacercariae), found in *Callichthys callichthys* from Jurumirim reservoir, clustered together with Argentinean species, suggesting conspecificity with minor intraspecific variation. Phylogenetic analyses highlight a distinct



Figure 3. Maximum Likelihood tree based on barcoding COI sequences of trematodes clinostomids worldwide. GenBank accession numbers are given after species names. Nodal supports values are shown bootstrap (B) and posterior probability (PP), respectively. Values for weakly supported nodes (<0.9 PP and <90 B) are not shown. Gray scale rectangles differentiate main clades and their schematic host figures.

clustering pattern of these haplotypes across South America. Figure 3 illustrates how South American Clinostomum species within clade B1 are grouped, also incorporating species from Central and North America. Presently, 23 species are validated within the genus Clinostomum, with 11 found in the New World. These species exhibit distribution patterns across South America: C. marginatum (Rudoplhi 1819), C. detrucatum (Braun 1899), C. heluans (Braun 1899), and C. fergalliarii (Montes, Barneche, Pagano, Ferrari, Martorelli, and Pérez-Ponce de León 2021); Central America: C. heluans (Braun 1899), C. tataxumui (Sereno-Uribe, Pinacho-Pinacho, García-Varela & Pérez-Ponce de León 2013), C.arquus (Sereno-Uribe, García-Varela, Pinacho-Pinacho & Pérez-Ponce de León 2018), C. caffarae (Sereno-Uribe, García-Varela, Pinacho-Pinacho and Pérez-Ponce de León 2018), and C. cichlidorum (Sereno-Uribe, García-Varela, Pinacho-Pinacho & Pérez-Ponce de León 2018); and North America: C. attenuatum (Cort 1913), C. album (Rosser Alberson, Woodyard, Cunningham, Pote and Griffin 2017), C. poteae (Rosser, Baumgartner, Alberson, Noto, Woodyard, King, Wise and Griffin 2018), and C. marginatum (Montes, Barneche, Pagano, Ferrari, Martorelli, and Pérez-Ponce de León 2021).

The expanding occurrence of *Clinostomum* parasites in new localities suggests an increase in their distribution range, likely

facilitated by migratory piscivorous birds (Antonucci *et al.* 2015). This study underscores ongoing taxonomic challenges in trematode diagnostics and emphasizes the pivotal role of molecular tools in encompassing these issues. Furthermore, it broadens the understanding of *Clinostomum* spp. metacercariae distribution in South America while raising pertinent questions regarding the validity of the genus *Ithyoclinostomum*.

In conclusion, our study underscores the complexity of Clinostomum taxonomy and the necessity for integrating molecular tools alongside traditional morphological approaches to accurately identify and differentiate species within this genus. The unexpected molecular clustering of *Clinostomum* sp. HM41 (metacercariae) within the Ithyoclinostomum clade can change in the future studies, while more taxa will be added to the database, also highlighting unresolved taxonomic issues regarding Ithyoclinostomum characterization and calling for further investigations involving a broader range of taxa. The phylogenetic insights gained from our study, particularly regarding Clinostomum sp. HM125 (metacercariae) and its clustering with Argentinean species, contribute to understanding regional species diversity, distribution, and evolutionary dynamics within Clinostomum. Moving forward, continued collaborative efforts across disciplines will be essential to elucidate the intricate relationships among Clinostomum species, refine species

**Table 2.** Records of species and GenBank entries of the genus *Clinostomum* and their respective hosts worldwide

Parasite Species/ Genbank	Host	Locality	Reference
Clinostomum ukolii MN044386	Synodontis batensoda (fish)	Africa	Caffara <i>et al.</i> 2020
Clinostomum sp. KY865676 / KY865677	<i>Schilbe intermedius</i> (fish)	Africa	Caffara <i>et al.</i> 2017
Clinostomum sinensis MK801713	Candidia barbata (fish)	Asia	Locke <i>et al.</i> 2019
Clinostomum complanatum JF718589	<i>Lepomis gibbosus</i> (fish)	Europe	Caffara <i>et al.</i> 2011
Clinostomum cutaneum KP110515	Ardea cinerea (bird)	Africa	Locke <i>et al.</i> 2015
Clinostomum cutaneum KP110516	Ardea cinerea (bird) Oreochromis niloticus (fish)	Africa	Locke <i>et al.</i> 2015
Clinostomum phalacrocoracis KJ786967	Sarotherodon galilaeus (fish) Oreochromis aureus (fish) Tilapia zillii (fish)	Israel	Caffara et al. 2014
Clinostomum tilapiae KY649357	Synodontis batensoda (fish)	Africa	Caffara <i>et al.</i> 2017
Clinostomum brieni MH253045	Clarias gariepinus (fish) C. ngamensis (fish)	Africa	Caffara <i>et al.</i> 2018
Clinostomum philippinense KP110523	Trichopodus microlepis (fish)	Thailand	Locke <i>et al.</i> 2015
Clinostomum fergalliarii MW187308	<i>Ardea cocoi</i> (bird)	Argentina	Montes <i>et al.</i> 2021
Clinostomum tataxamui JX630998/ JX631019	Dormitator maculatus (fish) Ardea alba (bird)	Mexico	Sereno-Uber et al. 2013
<i>Clinostomum</i> sp. L5 MG860855	<i>Ardea Herodias</i> (bird)	Mexico	Briosio-Aguilar <i>et al.</i> 2018
Clinostomum cichlidorum KJ477541	Cochlearius cochlearisa (bird)	Mexico	Pérez-Ponce de Léon <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2016
Clinostomum sp. 4 KP110531	<i>Apistogramma</i> sp. (fish)	Peru	Locke <i>et al.</i> 2015
Clinostomum caffarae KU156789	Astyanax aeneus (fish)	Mexico	Pérez-Ponce de Léon <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2016
Clinostomum sp. KU156797	Egretta thulaa (bird)	Mexico	Pérez-Ponce de Léon <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2016
Clinostomum sp. Pau MW187306	Pyrrhulina australis (fish)	Argentina	Montes <i>et al.</i> 2021
Clinostomum sp. Cv1 MF673558	Crenicichla vittata (fish)	Argentina	Montes <i>et al.</i> 2020
			(Constinued)

Table 2. (Continued)

Parasite Species/			
Genbank	Host	Locality	Reference
Clinostomum sp. 2 KP110529/ KP110527	Sicydium salvini (fish)	Mexico	Locke <i>et al.</i> 2015
<i>Clinostomum</i> sp. Gba1 MF673560 MF673561	Gymnogeophagus balzanii (fish)	Argentina	Montes <i>et al.</i> 2020
Clinostomum arquus KU156800	Egretta thulaa (bird)	Mexico	Pérez-Ponce de Léon <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2016
Clinostomum sp. 43 KJ818259	<i>Poecilia reticulata</i> (fish)	Brazil	Pinto <i>et al.</i> 2015
Clinostomum sp. Cra1 MF673557	Characidium rachovii (fish)	Argentina	Montes <i>et al.</i> 2020
Clinostomum sp. Cra1 MF673556	Characidium rachovii (fish)	Argentina	Montes <i>et al.</i> 2020
<i>Clinostomum</i> sp. Adult-Cra MW187310	Ardea cocoi (bird)	Argentina	Montes <i>et al.</i> 2021
Clinostomum sp. Cr Ha1 MF673562	Psalidodon anisitsi (fish)	Argentina	Montes <i>et al.</i> 2021
Clinostomum sp. Cr Ha2 MF673563	Psalidodon anisitsi (fish)	Argentina	Montes <i>et al.</i> 2021
Clinostomum sp. HM125	Callichthys callichthys (fish)	Brazil	This study
Clinostomum album KU708010	Ardea alba	USA	Rosser <i>et al.</i> 2017
Clinostomum poteae MH282553	<i>Phalacrocorax auritus</i> (bird)	USA	Rosser <i>et al.</i> 2018
Clinostomum attenuatum KP150305	Lithobates clamitans (frog) Lithobates pipiens (frog)	Canada USA	Locke <i>et al.</i> 2015
Clinostomum marginatum JF718604	Ardea Herodias (bird)	Canada	Caffara <i>et al.</i> 2011
Clinostomum marginatum HQ439568	Eurycea tynerensis (salamander) Micropterus salmoides (fish) Lepomis macrochirus (fish)	USA	Bonnet <i>et al.</i> 2011
Clinostomum marginatum JX630993	Catostomus nebuliferus (fish)	Mexico	Sereno-Uribe et al. 2013
Clinostomum heluans MG860852	Australoheros sp. (fish)	Brazil Mexico	Briosio-Aguilar et al. 2018
14000032	A.alba (bird) A. herodias (bird)		
Clinostomum sp. HM 41	A.alba (bird) A. herodias (bird) Serrasalmus spilopleura (fish)	Brazil	This study
Clinostomum sp. HM 41 Euclinostomum sp. KC894795	A.alba (bird) A. herodias (bird) Serrasalmus spilopleura (fish) Trichopsis vittata (fish)	Brazil Thailand	This study Senapin <i>et al.</i> 2014
Clinostomum sp. HM 41 Euclinostomum sp. KC894795 Euclinostomum sp. KC894797	A.alba (bird) A. herodias (bird) Serrasalmus spilopleura (fish) Trichopsis vittata (fish) Trichopsis vittata (fish)	Brazil Thailand Thailand	This study Senapin <i>et al.</i> 2014 Senapin <i>et al.</i> 2014

(Continued)

#### Table 2. (Continued)

Parasite Species/ Genbank	Host	Locality	Reference
Euclinostomum heterostomum KP721421	Astatotilapia flavijosephii (fish) Oreochromis aureus (fish) Sarotherodon galilaeus (fish) Tilapia zillii (fish) Tristramella simonis (fish)	Israel	Caffara <i>et al.</i> 2016
Ithyoclinostomum yamagutii MN696164	Ardea herodias (bird)	USA	Rosser <i>et al.</i> 2020
Ithyoclinostomum sp. MH159747	Mayaheros urophthalmus (fish) Vieja melanura (fish) Herichthys deppii (fish) Cribroheros longimanus (fish) Parachromis managuensis (fish)	Mexico Costa Rica	Briosia-Aguilar <i>et al.</i> 2018
Ithyoclinostomum yamagutii MN696163	Ardea Herodias (bird)	USA	Rosser <i>et al.</i> 2020
Ithyoclinostomum sp. MH159745	Mayaheros urophthalmus (fish) Vieja melanura (fish) Herichthys deppii (fish) Cribroheros longimanus (fish) Parachromis managuensis (fish)	Mexico Costa Rica	Briosio-Aguilar et al. 2018
Diplostomum baeri GQ292501	<i>Percina caprodes</i> (fish)	Canada	Locke <i>et al.</i> 2009
Alaria mustelae JF904529	Neovison vison (mammal) Lithobates pipiens (frog) Lithobates clamitans (frog)	Canada	Locke <i>et al.</i> 2011

boundaries, and deepen our understanding of their ecological roles and impacts on host communities worldwide.

**Supplementary material.** The supplementary material for this article can be found at http://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X24000993.

**Availability of data and material.** All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper.

Authors contribution. Conceptualization: FFJ, RKA, VDA. Methodology: FFJ, MIM, FHY, VDA. Formal analysis: MIM, FHY. Investigation: FFJ, LARL, VDA. Writing – Original Draft: TG, FFJ, LARL, VDA. Writing – Review & Editing: LARL, MIM, FHY, RJS, RKA, VDA. Supervision: VDA. Funding. The authors thank the São Paulo Research Foundation (*Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo* – FAPESP) for financial support (process n° 2016/21040-9); the Coordination of Superior Level Staff Improvement (*Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior* – CAPES) M.I.M. grant number AUX-PE-PNPD 3005/2010; The Young Researcher Program (*Programa Jovem Pesquisador* – PROPE-UNESP 02/2016; and the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (*Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico* – CNPq) F.H.Y. processes n° 304502/2022-7 and 174814/2023-2).

Consent to participate. Not applicable.

Consent for publication. Not applicable.

Competing interest. The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethical standards. Not applicable.

### References

- Acosta AA, Caffara M, Fioravanti ML, Utsunomia R, Zago AC, Franceschini L and Silva RJ (2016) Morphological and molecular characterization of *Clinostomum detruncatum* (Trematoda: Clinostomidae) metacercariae infecting Synbranchus marmoratus. Journal of Parasitology 102(1), 151–156. doi: 10.1645/15-773.
- Agostinho AA, Vazzoler AEAM and Thomaz SM (1995) The high River Paraná basin: Limnological and ichthyological aspects. In Tundisi JG, Bicudo CEM and Matsumura-Tundisi T (eds), *Limnology in Brazil*. Rio de Janeiro: Brazilian Academy of Sciences/Brazilian Limnological Society, 59–103.
- Antonucci A, Souza G, Ramos R, Casasli G and Ribeiro T (2015) Novas regiões de ocorrência de *Clinostomum* sp. (Digenea: Clinostomidae) no Brasil. *Revista Científica Eletrônica de Medicina Veterinária* 24, 1–7.
- Bonett R, Steffen MA, Trujano-Alvarez AL, Martin SD, Bursey CR, and McAllister CT (2011) Distribution, abundance, and genetic diversity of Clinostomum spp. metacercariae (Trematoda: Digenea) in a modified Ozark stream system. *Journal of Parasitology* 97(2), 177–184. doi: 10.1645/GE-2572.1.
- Bush AO, Lafferty KD, Lotz JM and Shostak AW (1997) Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis *et al.* revisited. *Journal of Parasitology* 83, 575–583. doi: 10.2307/3284227.
- Braga RA (1976) Ecologia e etologia de piranhas no nordeste do Brasil (Pisces Serrasalmus lacépède, 1803). Tesis, Universidade de São Paulo.
- Briosio-Aguilar R, Pinto HA, Rodríguez-Santiago MA, López-García K, García-Varela M, and De León GPP (2018) Link between the adult and the metacercaria of *Clinostomum heluans* Braun, 1899 (Trematoda: Clinostomidae) through DNA sequences, and its phylogenetic position within the genus *Clinostomum* Leidy, 1856. *Journal of Parasitology* 104(3), 292–296. doi: 10.1645/17-183.
- Caffara M, Locke SA, Gustinelli A, Marcogliese DJ and Fioravanti ML (2011) Morphological and molecular differentiation of *Clinostomum complanatum* and *Clinostomum marginatum* (Digenea: Clinostomidae) metacercariae and adults. *Journal of Parasitology* 97(5), 884–891. doi: 10.1645/GE-2781.1.
- Caffara M, Davidovich N, Falk R, Smirnov M, Ofek T, Cummings D, Gustinelli A and Fioravanti ML (2014) Redescription of *Clinostomum* phalacrocoracis metacercariae (Digenea: Clinostomidae) in cichlids from Lake Kinneret, Israel. *Parasite* 21, 1–5. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2014034.
- Caffara M, Locke SA, Echi PC, Halajian A, Benini D, Luus Powell WJ, Tavakol S and Fioravanti ML (2017) A morphological and molecular study of Clinostomid metacercariae from African fish with a redescription of *Clinostomum tilapiae*. *Parasitology* 144,1519–1529. doi: 10.1017/S0031182017001068.
- **Carter GS and Beadle LC** (1931) The fauna of the swamps of the Paraguayan Chaco in relation to its environment – II. Respiratory adaptations in the fishes. *Journal of the Linnean Society* **37**, 327–368.
- **Corredor MCF** (2004) Influência das variações temporais da disponibilidade relativa de habitats sobre a comunidade de peixes em um lago de várzea da

Amazônia Central. Dissertation, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas/Universidade Federal do Amazonas.

- Davies D, Ostrowski de Núñez M, Ramallo G and Nieva L (2016) Nuevos hospedadores y localidades de colecta de Clinostomum sp. (Strigeida: Clinostomidae). Acta Zoológica Lilloana 60, 89–94.
- Dayrat B (2005) Towards integrative taxonomy. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 85, 407–415.
- Dias MLGG, Eiras JC, Machado MH, Souza GTR and Pavanelli GC (2003) The life cycle of *Clinostomum complanatum* Rudolphi, 1814 (Digenea, Clinostomidae) on the flood plain of the high Paraná River, Brazil. *Parasit*ology Research 89(6), 506–508. doi: 10.1007/s00436-002-0796-z.
- Eiras JC, Takemoto RM and Pavanelli GC (2006) Métodos de estudo e técnicas laboratoriais em parasitologia de peixes, 2nd edn. Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Paraná.
- Gómez-Ruíz DA and Lenis C (2024) Tremátodos y acantocéfalos asociados a mojarra amarilla (Caquetaia kraussii) en un sistema de laguna costera, San Onofre, Colombia. Revista UDCA Actualidad & Divulgación Científica 27(1). https://doi.org/10.31910/rudca.v27.n1.2024.2386.
- Gustinelli A, Caffara M, Florio D, Otachi EO, Wathuta EM and Fioravanti ML (2010) First description of the adult stage of *Clinostomum cutaneum* Paperna, 1964 (Digenea: Clinostomidae) from grey herons *Ardea cinerea* L. and a redescription of the metacercaria from the Nile tilapia *Oreochromiss niloticus niloticus* (L.) in Kenya. *Systematic Parasitology* 76(1), 39–51. doi: 10.1007/s11230-010-9231-5.
- Henry R and Nogueira MG (1999) A Represa de Jurumirim (São Paulo): primeira síntese sobre o conhecimento limnológico. In Henry R (ed), *Ecologia de Reservatórios: Estrutura, Função e Aspectos Sociais.* São Paulo: Tipomic, Botucatu, 651–686.
- Knapp S (2008) Taxonomy as a team sport. In Wheeler QD (ed), The New Taxonomy. Boca Raton: CRC Press, pp. 33–53.
- Kanev I, Radev V, and Fried B (2002) Family Clinostomidae L
  ühe, 1901. Keys to the Trematoda: Volume 1. Wallingford UK: CABI Publishing, 113–120.
- Knoppel HA (1970) Food of central Amazonian fishes. Contribution to the nutrient-ecology of Amazonian rain forest streams. Amazoniana 2(3): 257–352.
- Locke SA, Caffara M, Marcogliese DJ and Fioravanti ML (2015) A large-scale molecular survey of Clinostomum (Digenea, Clinostomidae). *Zoologica Scripta* **44**, 203–217. doi: 10.1111/zsc.12096.
- Locke SA, Caffara M, Barčák D, Sonko P, Tedesco P, Fioravanti ML and Li W (2019) A new species of Clinostomum Leidy, 1856 in East Asia based on genomic and morphological data. *Parasitology Research* 118, 3253–3265. doi: 10.1007/s00436-019-06536-y.
- Lowe-McConnell RH (1964). The fishes of the Rupununi savana district of British Guiana, South America. Part I. Ecological groupings of fish species and effects of the seasonal cycle on the fish. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 45(304), 103–144.
- Matthews D and Cribb TH (1998) Digenetic trematodes of the genus Clinostomum Leidy, 1856 (Digenea: Clinostomidae) from birds of Queensland, Australia, including C. wilsoni n. sp. from Egretta intermedia. Systematic Parasitology 39, 199–208. doi: 10.1023/A:1005982530560.
- Mello FT, González-Bergonzoni I and Loureiro M (2011) Peces de agua dulce del Uruguay. Colonia: PPR-MGAP: Acuario de Colonia. 188 p.
- Miller MA, Schwartz T and Pfeiffer W (2013) Embedding CIPRES science gateway capabilities in phylogenetics software environments. In Proceedings of the Conference on Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment Gateway, to Discovery. New York: Association for Computing Machinery, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1145/2484762.2484806.
- Morais AM, Varella AMB, Fernandes BMM and Malta JCO (2011) Clinostomum marginatum (Braun, 1899) and Austrodiplostomum compactum (Lutz, 1928) metacercariae with zoonotic potencial on Pygocentrus nattereri (Kner, 1858) (Characiformes: Serrasalmidae) from Central Amazon, Brazil. Neotropical Helminthology 5(1), 08–15.
- Moszczynska A, Locke SA, McLaughlin JD, Marcogliese DJ and Crease TJ (2009) Development of primers for the mitochondrial cytochrome C oxydase I gene in digenetic trematodes (Platyhelminthes) illustrates the challenge of barcoding parasitic helminths. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **9**, 75–82. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02634.x.

- Pante E, Schoelinck C and Puillandre N (2014) From integrative taxonomy to species description: One step beyond. *Systematic Biology* 64(1): 152–160. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syu083.
- Patterson DJ, Cooper J, Kirk PM, Pyle RL and Remsen DP (2010) Names are key to the big new biology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 25, 686–691.
- Petry P, Bayley PB and Markle DF (2003) Relationships between fish assemblages, macrophytes and environmental gradients in the Amazon River floodplain. *Journal of Fish Biology* **63(3)**, 547–579. doi: 10.1046/j.1095-8649.2003.00169.x.
- Pérez-Ponce de León G, García Prieto L and Mendoza-Garfías B (2007) Trematode parasites (Platyhelminthes) of wildlife vertebrates in Mexico. *Zootaxa* 1534, 1–247. doi: 10.11646/ZOOTAXA.1534.1.1.
- Pérez-Ponce de León G, García-Varela M, Pinacho-Pinacho CD, Sereno-Uribe AL and Poulin R (2016) Species delimitation in trematodes using DNA sequences: Middle-American Clinostomum as a case study. *Parasitology* 143, 1773–1789. doi: 10.1017/S0031182016001517.
- Pinto HA, Caffara M, Fioravanti ML and Melo AL (2015) Experimental and molecular study of cercariae of Clinostomum sp. (Trematoda: Clinostomidae) from Biomphalaria spp. (Mollusca: Planorbidae) in Brazil. *Journal of Parasitology* **101**, 108–113. doi: 10.1645/14-497.1.
- Posada D (2008) jModelTest: Phylogenetic model averaging. Molecular Biology and Evolution 25, 1253–1256. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msn083.
- Poulin R, Presswell B and Jorge F (2020) The stat of fish parasite discovery and taxonomy: A critical assessment and a look forward. *International Journal for Parasitology* 50, 733–742. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2019.12.009.
- Rambaut A (2021) FigTree v1.3.1. [Software]. Available at: http://tree.bio.ed.a c.uk/software/figtree/.
- Rosser TG, Alberson NR, Khoo LH, Woodyard ET, Pote LM and Griffin MJ (2016) Characterization of the life cycle of a fish eye fluke, Austrodiplostomum ostrowskiae (Digenea: Diplostomidae), with notes on two other diplostomids infecting Biomphalaria havanensis (Mollusca: Planorbidae) from catfish aquaculture ponds in Mississippi, USA. Journal of Parasitology 102(2), 260–274. doi: 10.1645/15-850.
- Rosser TG, Alberson NR, Woodyard ET, Cunningham FL and Pote LM (2017) Clinostomum album n. sp. and Clinostomum marginatum (Rudolphi, 1819), parasites of the great egret Ardea alba L from Mississippi, USA. Systematic Parasitology 94, 35–49. doi: 10.1007/s11230-016-9686-0.
- Rosser TG, Woodyard ET, Mychajlonka MN, King DT, Griffin MJ, Gunn MA and López-Porras A (2020) *Ithyoclinostomum yamagutii* n. sp. (Digenea: Clinostomidae) in the great blue heron *Ardea herodias* L. (Aves: Ardeidae) from Mississippi, USA. *Systematic Parasitology* 97, 69–82. doi: 10.1007/ s11230-019-09892-6.
- Saint-Paul U, Zuanon J, Villacorta-Correa MA, Fabré NN, Berger U, Saint-Paul U, Garcia M and Junk WJ (2000) Fish communities in central Amazonian White and black water flood plains. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 57, 235–250. doi: 10.1023/A:1007699130333.
- Sánchez-Botero JI, Farias ML, Piedade MTF and Garacez DS (2003) Ictiofauna associada às macrófitas aquáticas *Eichhornia azurea* (SW.) Knuth. e *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms. no lago Camaleão, Amazônia Central, Brasil. Acta Scientific 25(2), 369–375.
- Satler JD, Carstens BC and Hedin M (2013) Multilocus species delimitation in a complex of morphologically conserved trapdoor spiders (Mygalomorphae, Antrodiaetidae, *Aliatypus*). Systematic Biology 62, 805–823.
- Sereno-Uribe AL, Pinacho-Pinacho CD, García-Varela M and Pérez-Ponce de León G (2013) Using mitochondrial and ribosomal DNA sequences to test the taxonomic validity of *Clinostomum complanatum* Rudolphi, 1814 in fish-eating birds and freshwater fishes in Mexico, with the description of a new species. *Parasitology Research* 112, 2855–2870. doi: 10.1007/s00436-013-3457-5.
- Sereno-Uribe AL, García-Varela M, Pinacho-Pinacho CD and Pérez-Ponce de León G (2018) Three new species of *Clinostomum* Leidy, 1856 (Trematoda) from Middle American fish eating birds. *Parasitology Research* 117, 2171–2185. doi: 10.1007/s00436-018-5905-8.
- Simões MB, Alves PV, López-Hernández D, Couto EA, Moreira NI and Pinto HA (2022) Size does not matter: Molecular phylogeny reveals one of the largest trematodes from vertebrates, the enigmatic *Ithyoclijnostomum dimorphum*, as a species of *Clinostomum* (Trematoda: Clinostomidae).

International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 19, 84–88. doi: 10.1016/j.ijppaw.2022.08.002.

- Sousa FB, Soares MGM and Prestes L (2013) Population dynamics of the yellow piranha Serrasalmus spilopleura Kner, 1858 (Characidae, Serrasalminae) in Amazonian floodplain lakes. Acta Scientiarum: Biological Sciences 35(3), 367–372. doi: 10.4025/actascibiolsci.v35i3.15749.
- Schlick-Steiner BC, Seifert B, Stauffer C, Christian E, Crozier RH and Steiner FM (2007) Without morphology, cryptic species stay in taxonomic crypsis following discovery. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **22**, 391–392.
- Szidat L (1969) Structure, development, and behaviour of new strigeatoid metacercariae from subtropical fishes of South America. *Journal of the Fisheries Board of Canada* 26(4), 753–786. doi: 10.1139/f69-074.
- Tavares-Dias M, Silva LMA and Florentino AC (2021) Metacercarie of Clinostomum Leidy, 1856 (Digenea: Clinostomidae) infecting freshwater fishes throughout Brazil: infection patterns, parasite-host interactions, and geographic distribution. Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment 58, 116–129. doi: 10.1080/01650521.2021.1915058.
- Vazzoler AEAM and Menezes NA (1992). Síntese do conhecimento sobre o comportamento reprodutivo dos Characiformes da América do Sul (Teleostei, Ostariphysi). *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* 52, 627–640.
- Vieira MS, Ferreira JR, Castro PMG and Rocha A (2002) Aspectos da química da água e do sedimento do reservatório de Ibitinga (São Paulo-Brasil-21 45'S e 48 50'W). Boletim do Instituto de Pesca 28(1), 77–91.
- Will KP, Mishler BD and Wheeler QD (2005) The perils of DNA Barcoding and the need for integrative taxonomy. *Systematic Biology* 54, 844–851.