

**Introduction:** Fetal or neonatal hypoxia (FoNH) is a known risk factor for schizophrenia. It has been hypothesized that FoNH induced expression of schizophrenia susceptibility genes (Schmidt-Kastner et al. 2012, Giannopoulou et al. 2018).

**Objectives:** To test this hypothesis, we explore the effects of FoNH and some genetic variants on age at onset (AAO) of schizophrenia.

**Methods:** The study included 1670 patients (women 1021 (61.1%), mean age 34.6 (SD 13.6), mean age at disease onset 25.4 (10.5) years) with ICD-10 diagnosis of schizophrenia or schizoaffective psychosis. The effects of FoNH in interaction with sex, family history (FH) and genetic variants on AAO of schizophrenia were evaluated. Polymorphisms rs2514218 DRD2 (n=943), Val66Met BDNF (n=820) and VNTR AS3MT (n=804) were genotyped.

**Results:** Among all patients studied 179 (10.8%) had experienced FoNH. Regression model showed that FoNH, sex and FH of schizophrenia contribute significantly ( $p=0.000$ ) to AAO. In the FoNH group, AAO was lower compared to the group without FoNH (20.7 (6.2) vs 25.5 (10.) years). When comparing men and women, there was a difference between FoNH and non-FoNH subgroups only in women ( $p=0.000$ ). No interaction between FH and FoNH was observed though positive FH had an effect on AAO. There was the interaction effect of VNTR AS3MT and FoNH on AAO. In the FoNH group, carriers of 2 repeats had younger AAO compared to the carriers homozygous for 3 repeat variant (19.6 (4.9) vs 22. (7.6) years).

**Conclusions:** We demonstrate the interaction effects of FoNH and VNTR AS3MT polymorphism on AAO of schizophrenia.

**Disclosure:** No significant relationships.

**Keywords:** gene-environmental interaction; schizophrenia; hypoxia; age at disease onset

## EPP0236

### Cognitive biases in first psychotic episode with Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder: a controlled study.

N. Manzanares Tesón<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Solé<sup>1</sup>, M.J. Algora<sup>2</sup>, A. Cabezas<sup>2</sup> and V. Sánchez-Gistau<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Psychiatrist, Hospital Psiquiàtric Universitari Institut Pere Mata de Reus, Early Intervention Service, reus, Spain and <sup>2</sup>Hospital Psiquiàtric Universitari Institut Pere Mata de Reus, Early Intervention Service, reus, Spain

\*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.535

**Introduction:** Cognitive biases are a core feature of psychotic disorders. Moreover, people with first episode of psychosis (FEP) have more difficulties in social cognition, in particular in theory of mind. On the other hand, deficits in processing speed and distractibility appear to be core features of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and impairment in these basic processes can lead to deficits in more complex functions, that could induced to cognitive biases.

**Objectives:** To evaluate whether FEP with and without ADHD differ in the rate and type of cognitive biases.

**Methods:** Participants 121 FEP treated at the Early Intervention Service of Reus and aged between 14 and 28 years. *Instruments:* The Diagnostic Interview for ADHD (DIVA) and the Cognitive Biases

Questionnaire for Psychosis (CBQp) measuring 2 themes : anomalous perception (AP) and threatening events (TE) and 5 cognitive biases: Intentionalising (Int), Catastrophising (Cat), Dichotomous thinking (DT), Jumping to conclusions (JTC) and Emotional reasoning (ER)

**Results:** 31 out 121 (25.6%) met criteria for childhood ADHD. Compared with FEP ADHD-, FEP-ADHD+ presented significant higher scores in the CBQp total score ( $U=2.538$ ;  $p=0.001$ ), the AP theme ( $U=2.262$ ;  $p=0.02$ ), the TE theme ( $U=2.242$ ;  $p=0.02$ ) and DT bias ( $U=2.188$ ;  $p=0.03$ )

**Conclusions:** Our findings support the fact that subjects with FEP-ADHD+ presented more cognitive biases than those ADHD-. So, FEP-ADHD+ subjects could represent a clinical subgroup with a worse prognosis than FEP-ADHD- subjects, presenting more delusions, distress and a worse cognitive insight.

**Disclosure:** No significant relationships.

**Keywords:** adhd; First Psychotic Disorder; cognitive biases

## EPP0237

### The organisational climate of NHS Early Intervention Services (EIS) for psychosis: A qualitative analysis

E. Csipke<sup>1\*</sup>, F. Lammas<sup>1</sup>, A. Phillips<sup>1</sup>, S. Dopson<sup>2</sup>, E. Joyce<sup>3</sup> and T. Wykes<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Kings College London, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, Psychology, London, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>University of Oxford, Said Business School, Oxford, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>University College London, Institute Of Neurology, London, United Kingdom and <sup>4</sup>King's College London, Institute Of Psychiatry, Psychology And Neuroscience, London, United Kingdom

\*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2022.536

**Introduction:** Cognitive remediation (CR) therapy for psychosis significantly improves recovery but is yet to be widely implemented in UK National Health Service and it is likely to be of greatest value if implemented early. Organisational climate within teams in the health services is one factor likely to affect CR implementation into Early Intervention Services (EIS), that serve those with a first episode.

**Objectives:** To understand the organisational climate within UK NHS EIS and the barriers and facilitators for the introduction of CR.

**Methods:** We conducted semi structured interviews with 42 EIS members of four teams in four NHS Mental Health Trusts.

**Results:** There were differences between teams, including involvement in decision making, leadership style, and willingness to adopt CR. Resource shortages were considered the main implementation barrier across all teams. The evidence for CR benefits and the recognition of a clinical need was the main facilitator. Teams with more democratic leadership, involving all team members in decision making, and knowledge of both the evidence base and need for CR, may feel better able to successfully incorporate it into their service.

**Conclusions:** Engaging team members in the implementation process through cooperative and consultative decision-making can stimulate a flattened hierarchical structure, empowering staff to overcome existing and new NHS pressures and effectively deliver evidence-based care. The consideration of local conditions and organisational micro-climates mediate the successful implementation of new interventions and is needed in addition to generic,