Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization

cambridge.org/pgr

Research Article

Cite this article: Kumar G, Hiremath VM, Tiwari AK, Vanlalruati , Sindhu SS (2021). Morpho-metric characterization and genetic variability studies in chrysanthemum. *Plant Genetic Resources: Characterization and Utilization* **19**, 503–511. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S1479262121000629

Received: 20 February 2021 Revised: 16 December 2021 Accepted: 16 December 2021 First published online: 8 February 2022

Keywords:

Broad sense heritability; chrysanthemum; descriptor; genetic diversity; ray floret

Author for correspondence: Gunjeet Kumar, E-mail: kumar_gunjeet@yahoo.com



© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of NIAB

Morpho-metric characterization and genetic variability studies in chrysanthemum

Gunjeet Kumar 💿, Varun M. Hiremath, A. K. Tiwari, Vanlalruati and S. S. Sindhu

Division of Floriculture and Landscaping, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Abstract

Germplasm characterization is essential to estimate variation and identify desirable genotypes for crop improvement. The current study was conducted on eight qualitative and 14 quantitative traits related to growth and floral attributes for characterization and grouping of 54 chrysanthemum genotypes. Wide variability was observed among most of the traits and principal component analysis revealed that the first two principal components explained most of the existing variation (>98%) in germplasm. Genotypic coefficient of variation exhibited a wide range from 9.41% (ratio of leaf length/width) to 105.99% (corolla tube length of ray florets), while phenotypic coefficient of variation varied from 10.49% (ratio of leaf length/width) to 106.38% (corolla tube length of ray florets). Broad sense heritability estimates were higher (>96%) for most of the characters, except for traits such as ratio of leaf length/width and peduncle thickness. Forty-four pair-wise inter-trait combinations showed positive genotypic and phenotypic correlations for 91 possible combinations. D^2 analysis revealed that genotypes of clusters I and IV to be highly distinct, and hybridization between them might have better chance to obtain desirable types. D^2 analysis confirmed that the cultivars in cluster IV namely, Red D Spoon, Tokyo Soldier, Yellow Reflex and hierarchical clustering showed that the cultivar Tokyo Soldier to be highly diverse. It is recommended to use the above genotypes in crossing programme for obtaining better progenies.

Introduction

Chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum × morifolium Ramat.), a commercial flower plant belonging to Asteraceae family, is a native to Asia and Europe; and has been under cultivation for the past 2000 years (Martín and González-Benito, 2005). It is the second largest cut flower in world trade owing to its attractive flowers having wide array of colours, shapes and plant types (Spaargaren and van Geest, 2018). Japan is the leading producer followed by Columbia, Netherlands, Italy and United States (Saxena et al., 2015). The total flower production of chrysanthemum in India was estimated to be 198.95 Mt (APEDA, 2015). Phenotypic diversity in chrysanthemum cultivars is highest among cultivated ornamental crops worldwide (Dai et al., 2019). The nature and magnitude of variation present in germplasm and association among the phenotypic traits is a prerequisite to achieve breeding objectives (Kameswari et al., 2014; Negi et al., 2020). Diverse floret colour and shape represent variation in chrysanthemum species and cultivars. Therefore, it becomes imperative to understand the genetic variability and diversity available in germplasm pool to identify a set of genotypes with similar/dissimilar genetic backgrounds for improvement of quantitative and qualitative traits (Kumar et al., 2020). It is also important to select genetically diverse parents with better crossability to breed desirable chrysanthemum cultivars (Chen et al., 2013).

Morpho-metric characterization of germplasm necessitates large-scale growth experiments, often with fully matured plants under conditions that are as uniform as possible. The use of morphological traits/markers is irreplaceable due to their ubiquity and ease of observation that makes them convenient indicators (Dai *et al.*, 2002). Various studies have been reported to estimate genetic variability in chrysanthemum germplasm for agronomic traits which are polygenic and influenced by the environments (Telem *et al.*, 2017; Madhumathi *et al.*, 2018; Negi *et al.*, 2020). International Union for the Protection of Plant Varieties (UPOV), Geneva has developed a set of DUS (distinctiveness, uniformity and stability) descriptors which are least influenced by the environments to test the existing and newly developed varieties in order to protect and confer breeder's rights. The current study involves estimation of variability and heritability statistics giving due importance to both quantitative and qualitative DUS characteristics.

Materials and methods

The experimental materials comprised of 54 diverse chrysanthemum genotypes. The experiment was carried out at the experimental farm, Division of Floriculture and Landscaping, IARI, New Delhi in randomized block design with two replications during two consecutive seasons (August-February) of 2017-2018 and 2018-2019. The plot (4.5 m × 1.8 m) comprised of three rows distanced 60 cm apart with plants spaced at 45 cm apart. Standard agronomic practices were followed during crop growth. Data were recorded on 10 randomly selected plants for 22 descriptors including 14 quantitative characters viz., plant height (PH), stem internode length (SIL), stem diameter (SD), peduncle thickness (PT), peduncle length of terminal flower head (PTFL), leaf length (LL), leaf width (LW), ratio of leaf length/width (RLW), leaf thickness (LT), length of lower lobe of leaf (LLL), flower head diameter (FD), length of corolla tube of ray floret (LCT), length of outer ray florets (LOF), width of outer ray florets (WOF) and eight grouping characters viz., plant type, variety class, flower head type, longitudinal axis of majority of ray florets, colour of inner side of majority of ray florets, disc type (single or semi-double varieties only), flower type and economic use as per UPOV guidelines for DUS testing. The year-wise quantitative data were pooled and used for statistical analysis. The chrysanthemum genotypes with respective flower type involved in the study are given in Fig. 1 and qualitative characters studied are presented in online Supplementary Table S1.

The analysis of variance for quantitative traits was computed as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). Phenotypic (δ_p^2) and genotypic (δ_g^2) variances were calculated using the method suggested by Baye (2002), as follows:

$$\delta_{\rm p}^2 = {\rm MSp}/r, \ \delta_{\sigma}^2 = {\rm MSg} - {\rm MSe}/r \text{ and } \delta_{\rm e}^2 = {\rm MSe}/r,$$

where MSp, MSg, MSe and r denote mean squares of phenotypes, mean squares of genotypes, mean squares of error and number of replications, respectively. The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) were obtained by using the following formulae:

$$PCV(\%) = \sqrt{\delta_p^2/\bar{x} \times 100}, \quad GCV(\%) = \sqrt{\delta_\sigma^2/\bar{x} \times 100},$$

where δ_p^2 is the phenotypic variance, δ_g^2 is the genotypic variance and \overline{x} is the sample mean (Baye, 2002). Estimates of broad sense heritability (h_B^2) was calculated according to the formulae: $h_B^2 = \delta_g^2/\delta_p^2$ (Allard, 1999), whereas genetic advance (GA) was estimated as per the formula described by Fehr (1987). Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients were determined using INDOSTAT statistical software.

The quantitative data were subjected to the Mahalanobis D^2 statistics analysis (Mahalanobis, 1936) and average intra- and inter-cluster distances were computed. Tocher's method was used for grouping of genotypes (Rao, 1952). Cluster analysis was also performed based on standardized squared Euclidean distance using Ward's minimum variance method in SPSS v25 software and principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out.

Results

Characterization

Mean performance, coefficient of variation, range and mean sum of square of genotypes revealed significant differences for most of the quantitative traits among chrysanthemum genotypes (online Supplementary Table S2 and Table 1). Plant height varied from 31.70 cm (Texas Gold) to 107.83 cm (Neelima) with a mean value of 68.54 cm. Stem internode length ranged from 0.51 cm (White Andaman) to 2.79 cm (Pink Cloud), whereas stem diameter varied from 0.55 cm (Liliput) to 1.64 cm (Maghi Yellow) with mean values of 1.29 and 0.91 cm, respectively. Peduncle thickness varied from 0.12 cm (Sadbhavana) to 0.47 cm (Tokyo Soldier) with a mean value of 0.24 cm. Average peduncle length of terminal flower head ranged from 0.93 cm (Tokyo Soldier) to 11.40 cm (Aparajita) with a mean value of 5.01 cm. Leaf length and leaf width ranged between 2.63 cm (Yellow Charm) to 11.10 cm (Tokyo Soldier) and 1.40 cm (Yellow Charm) to 6.82 cm (Red D Spoon) with mean values of 6.18 and 3.83 cm, respectively. Leaf length of Tokyo Soldier was at par with Yellow Reflex. Leaf width of Red D Spoon was found at par with Tokyo Soldier. Mean length/width ratio of leaf ranged from 1.23 cm (Sharad Mala) to 2.11 cm (Pink Cloud) with a mean value of 1.63 cm. Mean leaf length/width ratio of Pink Cloud was at par with Birbal Sahni and Greenish White. Average leaf thickness was 0.31 cm with a range of 0.12 cm in FDL to 0.63 cm in Lal Pari which was at par with Sadbhavana. Length of lower leaf lobe ranged from 0.99 cm (Yellow Charm) to 4.66 cm (Red D Spoon) with an average of 2.48 cm. Average flower head diameter was recorded as 5.70 cm with a range of 2.52 cm (Dolly Orange) to 14.76 cm (Tokyo Soldier). Corolla tube length of ray florets varied from 0.16 cm (Tokyo Soldier) to 7.89 cm (Yellow Gold) with a mean value of 0.93 cm. Length and width of outer row of ray florets measured between 0.95 cm (Liliput) to 7.98 cm (Tokyo Soldier) and 0.17 cm (Neelima) to 1.27 cm (Pink Star and White Star), with mean values of 2.56 and 0.52 cm, respectively.

Characterization based on eight oligogenic characteristics revealed that 42 genotypes were bushy and with the rest (12) being non-bushy (online Supplementary Table S1). As per cut flower types, 10 varieties were grouped as standard type, whereas remaining (44) were spray type. Based on flower head types, genotypes were categorized into single (6), semi-double (21) and double types (27). Longitudinal axis of majority of ray florets was straight in 28 genotypes followed by incurving in 15, reflexing in 10 and twisted in one genotype. Based on colour of inner side of majority of ray florets recorded as per Royal Horticultural Society, England (RHS) colour chart, genotypes are categorized into bronze (2), green (1), orange (1), pink (10), purple (4), red (10), white (9) and yellow (17). Disc types were mainly daisy (19) and anemone (7) in single- or semi-double genotypes. Based on flower types, the cultivars were classified into anemone (10), ball (2), incurving (10), pompon (26) and reflexing types (6).

Estimates of correlation between quantitative traits

Phenotypic and genotypic correlations exhibited a positive significance for pairs of quantitative characters (Table 2). Highly significant genotypic and phenotypic correlations ($r > 0.60^{**}$) were observed for plant height with stem diameter; peduncle thickness with flower diameter, and length of outer ray florets; leaf length and leaf width and both of these had highly significant and positive genotypic and phenotypic correlations with flower diameter ($r > 0.50^{**}$), length of corolla tube of ray florets ($r > 0.50^{**}$) and length of outer ray florets ($r > 0.50^{**}$). Leaf length also had positive and significant correlation with length of lower leaf lobe (r > 0.80^{**}). Length of lower leaf lobe, length of outer ray florets and length of corolla tube of ray florets were also positively associated among each other. Flower diameter had significant genotypic and phenotypic correlations with length of corolla tube of ray florets ($r \ge 0.60^{**}$) and length of outer ray florets ($r > 0.90^{**}$).



Fig. 1. Flower type of chrysanthemum genotypes selected for the study.

Table 1. Mean values, coefficients of variation, ranges and mean squares of 14 quantitative traits

			Ra	nge	Mean sum of squares		
Character	Mean	CV	Min	Мах	Between genotypes, df = 53	Error, df = 53	
Plant height (cm)	68.54	3.28	31.70	107.83	810.06**	5.05	
Stem internode length (cm)	1.29	5.55	0.51	2.79	0.416**	0.005	
Stem diameter	0.91	5.26	0.55	2.24	0.177**	0.002	
Peduncle thickness	0.24	7.80	0.12	0.47	0.015**	0.001	
Peduncle length of terminal flower head	5.01	3.82	0.93	11.40	12.328**	0.037	
Leaf length	6.18	2.92	2.63	11.10	6.466**	0.033	
Leaf width	3.83	3.52	1.40	6.82	2.449**	0.018	
Ratio of leaf length/leaf width	1.63	4.64	1.23	2.11	0.076**	0.006	
Leaf thickness	0.31	7.62	0.12	0.63	0.050**	0.001	
Length of leaf lower lobe	2.48	3.51	0.99	4.66	1.105**	0.008	
Flower head diameter	5.70	2.94	2.52	14.76	13.822**	0.028	
Length of corolla tube of ray floret	0.93	9.12	0.16	7.89	2.924**	0.007	
Length of outer ray florets	2.56	2.69	0.95	7.98	3.438**	0.005	
Width of outer ray florets	0.52	6.48	0.17	1.27	0.101**	0.001	

**Indicate significant difference at the 0.1% probability level.

However, genotypic and phenotypic correlations of leaf length/ leaf width ratio and leaf thickness were not significant with other characters.

Estimation of genetic variability, heritability and GA

Genotypic, phenotypic and environmental variances, genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation, heritability and GA as percentage of mean for the quantitative characters are presented in Table 3. Wide range was observed for genotypic coefficients of variation from 9.41% (RLW) to 105.99% (LCT). PCV ranged from 10.49% (RLW) to 106.38% (LCT). The characters viz., WOF, FD, PTFL, LT, LOF and LCT, showed more PCV and GCV values (>30%). Estimates of broad sense heritability for most of the characters were >96%, whereas it was comparatively low for the traits RLW and PT. Expected GA ranged from low (17.40%) in RLW to high (105.33%) in LCT.

Genetic diversity based on quantitative characteristics

The D^2 statistics of morphological data based on Euclidian distance grouped the genotypes into eight genetically diverse clusters. Interand intra-cluster distances and genotypes representing the respective clusters are presented in Table 4. Inter-cluster distances were wider than intra-cluster distance. The intra-cluster distance was maximum (3.46) in cluster IV and minimum (0.98) in cluster II. The intercluster distance ranged between 2.93 (clusters III and VI) and 8.68 (clusters I and IV). Cluster I accommodated maximum genotypes (15), followed by cluster VI (13), cluster V (10), cluster III (5), cluster VIII (5), cluster II (2) and cluster VII (1).

Dendrogram based on standardized squared Euclidean distance was generated using Ward's method for 14 quantitative characters representing 54 genotypes (Fig. 2). Three distinct clusters namely, C-I, C-II and C-III were formed having 29, 7 and 18 genotypes, respectively (online Supplementary Table S3). Cluster I

was further subdivided into three sub-clusters C-Ia (22), C-Ib (6) and C-Ic (1). Cluster I was formed by genotypes with more leaf length, leaf width, ratio of leaf length/width, length of leaf lower lobe, flower head diameter, length of corolla tube of ray florets, length and width of outer ray florets as compared to other clusters. Cultivar Tokyo Soldier grouped alone in sub-cluster C-Ic was distinct from the genotypes in other sub-clusters. It had broad peduncles, longer peduncle of terminal flower head, longest leaf, bigger flower head, longer corolla tube and outer ray floret. Cluster II possessed tall varieties with longer stem internode length, broader stems, thicker peduncles and longer peduncles of terminal flower head. Cluster III accommodated genotypes with thicker leaves and higher ratio of leaf length/width. Based on the PCA, the first two components (95.5 and 2.6% respectively) explained most of the existing variation among chrysanthemum cultivars for 14 DUS characteristics (online Supplementary Fig. S1).

Discussion

Phenotypic characterization based on DUS traits

Characterization and evaluation of germplasm is essential to understand the presence of genetic diversity (Roein *et al.*, 2014). Chrysanthemum genotypes showed significant differences over two consecutive years for all morphological characters studied. Genotypes such as Neelima, Pink Cloud and Maghi Yellow showed greater mean values for PH, SIL and ST, respectively during both the years. The performance of Tokyo Soldier was significantly different with respect to PT, PTFL, FD, LCT and LOF, as compared to other genotypes. Red D Spoon had highest leaf width and lower leaf lobe length, whereas Lal Pari had maximum leaf thickness. In addition to flower characteristics, leaf characteristics were also observed to be unique to each variety which may be helpful for varietal identification and early selection during breeding chrysanthemum (Gao *et al.*, 2020). Morphological

Tabl
Ch
PH
SIL
SD
PT
PT

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1479262121000629 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Character		SIL	SD	PT	PTFL	LL	LW	RLW	LT	LLL	FD	LCT	LOF	WOF
РН	G	0.33**	0.62**	0.12	0.39**	0.15	0.149	-0.02	-0.08	0.08	0.41**	0.16	0.38**	0.19*
	Р	0.33**	0.61**	0.13	0.38**	0.15	0.15	-0.02	-0.08	0.07	0.41**	0.16	0.38**	0.18
SIL	G		0.39**	0.10	0.41**	0.30**	0.24*	0.15	-0.09	0.28**	0.19	0.25**	0.19	-0.06
	Р		0.38**	0.10	0.41**	0.29**	0.23*	0.15	-0.09	0.28**	0.19	0.25*	0.18	-0.06
SD	G			-0.12	0.23*	0.13	0.09	0.09	-0.04	0.12	-0.00	0.04	-0.02	0.01
	Р			-0.12	0.22*	0.13	0.09	0.08	-0.04	0.12	-0.00	0.04	-0.02	0.02
PT	G				0.45**	0.50**	0.47**	0.08	-0.23*	0.47**	0.64**	0.47**	0.61**	0.37**
	Р				0.45**	0.49**	0.46**	0.08	-0.22*	0.46**	0.62**	0.46**	0.60**	0.35**
PTFL	G					0.30**	0.30**	-0.03	0.04	0.25**	0.41**	0.38**	0.39**	0.05
	Р					0.30**	0.30**	-0.02	0.04	0.25**	0.41**	0.38**	0.39**	0.04
LL	G						0.92**	0.20*	-0.31**	0.85**	0.53**	0.59**	0.57**	0.05
	Р						0.91**	0.21*	-0.30**	0.84**	0.52**	0.58**	0.56**	0.05
LW	G							-0.18	-0.33**	0.88**	0.56**	0.54**	0.58**	0.09
	Ρ							-0.19*	-0.32**	0.86**	0.55**	0.53**	0.57**	0.09
RLW	G								0.03	-0.06	-0.07	0.09	-0.04	-0.10
	Ρ								0.03	-0.06	-0.07	0.09	-0.04	-0.10
LT	G									-0.30**	-0.14	0.11	-0.14	-0.23*
	Р									-0.30**	-0.14	0.11	-0.14	-0.22*
LLL	G										0.48**	0.49**	0.52**	0.06
	Ρ										0.48**	0.48**	0.51**	0.07
FD	G											0.62**	0.97**	0.38**
	Ρ											0.62**	0.97**	0.38**
LCT	G												0.69**	-0.12
	Р												0.69**	-0.12
LOF	G													0.30**
	Р													0.29**

PH, plant height (cm); SIL, stem internode length (cm); SD, stem diameter; PT, peduncle thickness; PTFL, peduncle length of terminal flower head; LL, leaf length; LW, leaf width; RLW, ratio of leaf length/width; LT, leaf thickness; LLL, length of leaf lower

able 2. Genotypic (G) and phenotypic (P) correlation among 14 quantitative traits in chrysanthemum genotypes

lobe; FD, flower head diameter; LCT, length of corolla tube of ray floret; LOF, length of outer ray florets; WOF, width of outer ray florets.

Table 3. Genetic parameters and descriptive statistics of chrysanthemum genotypes

Characters	$\delta_{ m g}^2$	$\delta_{\rm p}^2$	$\delta_{\rm e}^2$	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	h _b ² (%)	GA% mean
PH	268.34	273.39	5.05	23.90	24.12	98.15	48.78
SIL	0.14	0.14	0.01	28.63	29.16	96.39	57.90
SD	0.06	0.06	0.00	26.37	26.89	96.16	53.27
PT	0.00	0.01	0.00	28.55	31.48	82.25	53.35
PTFL	4.10	4.13	0.04	40.42	40.60	99.12	82.90
LL	2.14	2.18	0.03	23.70	23.88	98.50	48.45
LW	0.81	0.83	0.02	23.49	23.75	97.80	47.85
RLW	0.02	0.03	0.01	9.41	10.49	80.48	17.40
LT	0.02	0.02	0.00	41.25	41.96	96.66	83.54
LLL	0.37	0.37	0.01	24.43	24.68	97.98	49.82
FD	4.60	4.63	0.03	37.65	37.77	99.40	77.33
LCT	0.97	0.98	0.01	105.99	106.38	99.26	105.33
LOF	1.14	1.15	0.00	41.84	41.92	99.59	86.01
WOF	0.03	0.03	0.00	34.89	35.49	96.65	70.65

GV (δ_{e}^{2}), genotypic variance; PV (δ_{p}^{2}), phenotypic variance; EV (δ_{e}^{2}), environmental variance; GCV, genotypic coefficient of variation; PCV, phenotypic coefficient of variation; GA, genetic advance; GA% mean, genetic advance as percentage of mean; PH, plant height; SIL, stem internode length; SD, stem diameter; PT, peduncle thickness; PTFL, peduncle length of terminal flower head; LL, leaf length; LW, leaf width; RLW, ratio of leaf length/width; LT, leaf thickness; LLL, length of leaf lower lobe; FD, flower head diameter; LCT, length of corolla tube of ray floret; LOF, length of outer ray florets; WOF, width of outer ray florets.

variations in leaf traits have also been reported in chrysanthemum (Zhen *et al.*, 2013).

programme. Similar variability has been documented with respect to plant type, flower head type, disc type and ray floret colour in chrysanthemum (Hodaei *et al.*, 2017).

The analysis of variance permits estimation of phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation in polygenic traits. Highest coefficient of variation and broad range values were recorded for the characters viz., length of corolla tube of ray florets, peduncle thickness, leaf thickness, width of outer ray florets and stem internode length. Low coefficient of variation for length of outer ray florets, leaf length and flower head diameter indicated that the genetic uniformity of genotypes for these traits is due to homozygosity. Similar variations have also been documented earlier for the same quantitative characters (Baskaran *et al.*, 2009; Prakash *et al.*, 2017).

Chrysanthemum genotypes were characterized based on eight qualitative, grouping characteristics. Number of descriptor states for trait varied from two (plant type and disc type) to eight (colour of inner side of ray florets). As for DUS test guidelines of UPOV, characters those do not vary or slightly vary within variety are used as grouping characters. Grouping characters are used to assess distinctiveness of a variety according to DUS test guidelines. The germplasm set included standard and spray varieties. Based on flower head types, genotypes were grouped as doubles, semi doubles and single types. Majority of genotypes were having flowers with straight ray florets followed by incurving and reflexing types. Wide colour variations in ray florets were noted among cultivars. Single and semi-double varieties were having anemone and daisy type of disc. Flower types were classified into anemone, ball, incurving, pompon and reflexing types. A large genetic variation was reported in six flower and ray floret traits using a pseudo-testcross population of chrysanthemum (Lim et al., 2014). It was observed that high discrimination ability lies with characteristics such as colour of inner side of majority of ray florets, flower type and longitudinal axis of majority of ray florets, and they may be useful in trait specific crop improvement

Genetic variability, heritability and GA

Genotypic, phenotypic and environmental variances, genotypic and PCV, heritability and GA as percentage of mean were significant for all the morphological characters. The performance of the genotype varies with the region, season and growing conditions. A close perusal of results revealed that genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation was highest for length of corolla tube of ray florets and moderate for length of outer ray florets, leaf thickness and peduncle length of terminal flower heads (>40%) which are important floral attributes. Selection for these characters in progenies would result into genetic gain. PCV was found high corresponding to GCV suggesting variation in selected characters due to both genotypic constitutions, as also influenced by environment. Similarly, highest PCV values for growth and floral characters were observed under two environmental conditions (Ghimiray et al., 2005). Heritability estimates aids in selection during hybridization. In the current study, most of the quantitative characters had high broad sense heritability, values exceeding 96% (except for the traits viz., ratio of leaf length/leaf width and peduncle thickness) indicating least environment influence on expression of the said characteristics. Reliable expression of phenotypes can be well predicted through heritability estimates of quantitative traits in genetics (Falconer and Mackay, 1996). Strong heritability of >99% for the characters namely, length of outer ray florets, flower diameter, length of corolla tube of ray florets and peduncle length of terminal flower head implied that these characters greatly assist the breeders in selection and identification of superior genotypes. In a similar study, greater broad sense heritability values were estimated for inflorescence

Table 4. Average inter- and intra-cluster distances and grouping of genotypes based on D^2 analysis

Clusters	L	Ш	ш	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	No. of genotypes	Genotypes
1	2.37								15	Ajay, Dolly Orange, Golden Yellow, Kargil, Lal Pari, Liliput, Pinked White, Sadbhavana, Shanti, Sharad Mala, TERI, White Andaman, White Anemone, Yellow Bangla, Yellow Charm
П	6.10	0.98							2	Pink Star, White Star
Ш	3.72	5.79	2.22						5	Greenish White, Kalvin Orange, Kalvin Yellow, Meghami, Texas Gold
IV	8.68	7.64	6.89	3.46					3	Red D Spoon, Tokyo Soldier, Yellow Reflex
V	4.36	4.38	3.69	5.27	2.61				10	FDL, Gulmohar, Kanchil, Neelima, Poornima White, Ravikiran, Shukla, Waters May, Yellow Star, Kajol
VI	3.27	4.83	2.93	6.88	2.45	2.12			13	Aparajita, Birbal Sahani, Corcon Small, Flirt, Gaity, Geetanjali, Jubilee, Pusa Anmol, Red Gold, Sadvin Yellow, Shyamal, White Prolific, Yellow Gold
VII	5.90	7.23	5.01	8.44	5.54	4.44	1.12		1	Pink Cloud
VIII	4.49	6.45	4.92	7.82	3.90	3.43	4.62	2.05	5	Kundan, Maghi White, Maghi Yellow, Vasantika, Maghi Orange

The bold values signify within cluster distance.

traits of anemone types of chrysanthemum (Yang *et al.*, 2020). Shim *et al.* (2016) reported the highest heritability (99.67%) for leaf area, however, others have reported slightly lower estimates for floral characters (Baskaran *et al.*, 2009; Roein *et al.*, 2014). Greater broad sense heritability (>96%) along with high GA (>75%) for PTFL, LT, FD, LCT and LOF indicated that these characteristics were under additive gene control and simple selection for them would be effective while developing desired cultivars in chrysanthemum. It has been suggested that estimates of heritability and GA of quantitative traits are considered during selection as they are more reliable (Johnson *et al.*, 1955).

Correlation analysis

Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients for quantitative traits revealed that there was strong and positive association for plant height with stem diameter; peduncle thickness with flower diameter and length of outer ray florets; leaf length with leaf width, length of lower lobe of leaf, flower diameter and length of corolla tube of ray florets and length of outer ray florets; and flower diameter with LCT and LOF. Correlation analysis suggested that there would be co-heritability and simultaneous improvement of the above traits upon selection. Since, flower diameter is an important quality trait, indirect selection for plant height, leaf length and peduncle thickness would result in genotypes with bigger flower having strong stem. In a similar line of study, significant and positive correlation has been documented between plant height and flower diameter in chrysanthemum by Qu *et al.* (2012).

Genetic diversity analysis

The D^2 statistics analysis based on morphological data revealed that the inter cluster distances were comparatively larger than

the intra-cluster distances. Maximum inter-cluster distance was computed between clusters I and IV implying that the genotypes within these clusters were more diverse and can be utilized as desirable parental cultivars during hybridization. Genotypes found in cluster IV were more diverse as evident from highest intra-cluster distance. As evident from the quantitative data, genotypes from most divergent clusters have broad variation with respect to most of the DUS traits. Genotypes within the clusters having lower inter-cluster distance were relatively close and less diverse for the selected characteristics. It is pertinent to mention that crossing of genotypes from such cluster considering their quality traits may yield some useful progenies. Therefore, genotypes present in cluster IV (Red D Spoon, Tokyo Soldier and Yellow Reflex) and cluster I (Ajay, Dolly Orange, Golden Yellow, Kargil, Lal Pari, Liliput, Pinked White, Sadbhavana, Shanti, Sharad Mala, TERI, White Andaman, White Anemone, Yellow Bangla and Yellow Charm) were found highly diverse and can be included in crossing programme to obtain better segregants. In a similar study, Baliyan et al. (2014) estimated genetic diversity of 24 chrysanthemum varieties for nine agromorphological characters based on D^2 analysis.

Three genetically distinct clusters (C-I, C-II and C-III) were obtained in cluster analysis based on standardized squared Euclidean distance. Cluster analysis was found in conformity with the D^2 statistics analysis. Genotypes found in cluster I accommodated most of genotypes (>90%) represented in clusters II, IV, V, VI and VII of D^2 analysis. Cluster II accommodated cultivars found in clusters II and VIII and cluster III genotypes in clusters I and III of D^2 analysis. Dendrogram based on cluster analysis showed that Cultivar Tokyo Soldier grouped separately in sub-cluster C-1c and is distinct from the other clusters. Similarly, it was noted in cluster IV and found genetically distinct based on inter and intra-cluster distances. As evident from morphological data, the cultivar Tokyo Soldier was characterized by



Fig. 2. Dendrogram of chrysanthemum cultivars based on squared distance using quantitative data.

broad peduncle, longer peduncle of terminal flower head, longer leaf, bigger flower head, longer corolla tube and outer ray floret. Hodaei *et al.* (2017) opined that hybridization among divergent clusters would result in heterotic effects for flower quality characteristics. PCA also proved that large variation existed for selected DUS characteristics among the chrysanthemum cultivars.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S1479262121000629

Acknowledgements. The authors acknowledge ICAR-IARI, New Delhi for providing funds for the research.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

References

- Allard RW (1999) Principles of Plant Breeding. New York: John Wiley & Sons. APEDA (2015) Indian Production of Chrysanthemum. New Delhi: The Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority. Available at https://agriexchange.apeda.gov.in/India%20Production/India_Productions. aspx?hscode=1029.
- Baliyan D, Sirohi A, Kumar M, Kumar V, Malik S, Sharma S and Sharma S (2014) Comparative genetic diversity analysis in chrysanthemum: a pilot study based on morpho-agronomic traits and ISSR markers. *Scientia Horticulturae* 167, 164–168.
- Baskaran V, Jayanthi R, Janakiram T and Abirami K (2009) Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in chrysanthemum. *Journal of Horticultural Sciences* **4**, 174–176.

- Baye T (2002) Genotypic and phenotypic variability in Vernonia galamensis germplasm collected from eastern Ethiopia. The Journal of Agricultural Science 139, 161.
- Chen X, Sun M, Liang J, Xue H and Zhang Q (2013) Genetic diversity of species of chrysanthemum and related genera and groundcover cultivars assessed by amplified fragment length polymorphic markers. *HortScience* 48, 539–546.
- Dai SL, Wang WK and Huang JP (2002) Advances of researches on phylogeny of *Dendranthema* and origin of chrysanthemum. *Journal of Beijing Forestry University* 24, 230–234.
- Dai SL, Song XB, Deng CY, Gao K, Li ML, Ma CF and Zhang MM (2019) Comprehensive approach and molecular tools for breeding and production of ornamental crops. *Acta Horticulturae* **1263**, 1–16.
- Falconer DS and Mackay TF (1996) Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. Essex, UK: Benjamin Cummings.
- Fehr WR (1987) Principle of Cultivars Development, vol. 1. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, pp. 1–465.
- Gao K, Song X, Kong D and Dai S (2020) Genetic analysis of leaf traits in small-flower chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum × morifolium* Ramat.). *Agronomy* 10, 697.
- Ghimiray TS, Sarkar I and Roy A (2005) Variability studies in chrysanthemum grown over two environments. *Research on Crops* 6, 514.
- Hodaei M, Rahimmalek M and Arzani A (2017) Variation in morphological characters, chemical composition, and anthocyanin content of different *Chrysanthemum* × *morifolium* cultivars from Iran. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology* 74, 1–10.
- Johnson HW, Robinson HF and Comstock RE (1955) Genotypic and phenotypic correlations in soybeans and their implications in selection. *Agronomy Journal* 47, 477–483.
- Kameswari PL, Pratap M, Anuradha G and Begum H (2014) Genetic divergence studies in chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev). *Indian Journal of Scientific Research and Technology* 2, 4–10.
- Kumar B, Prasad P, Mehdi J, Gupta A, Shanker K, Singh M and Yadav HK (2020) Morpho-metric and molecular characterization of Uraria picta (Jacq.) Desv. ex DC. – a medicinal plant. Journal of Applied Research on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants 16, 100242.
- Lim JH, Shim MS, Sim SC, Oh KH and Seo JY (2014) Genetic variation of flower characteristics in a population derived from a cross between the chrysanthemum cultivars 'Falcao' and 'Frill Green'. *Horticulture, Environment and Biotechnology* 55, 322–328.
- Madhumathi C, Bhargav V, Reddy DS, Kameshwari PL, Sreedhar D and Lakshmi TN (2018) Assessment of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.) germplasm for commercial cultivation under Rayalaseema region of Andhra Pradesh. *Journal of Applied Horticulture* 20, 213–218.

- Mahalanobis PC (1936) On generalized distance in statistics. Proceedings of the National Institute of Science 2, 49–55.
- Martín C and González-Benito ME (2005) Survival and genetic stability of Dendranthema grandiflora Tzvelev. shoot apices after cryopreservation by vitrification and encapsulation-dehydration. Cryobiology 51, 281–289.
- Negi R, Dhiman SR and Dhiman MR (2020) Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance of newly evolved genotype of chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora Tzeleve) for cut flower production. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science 9, 2533–2536.
- Panse VG and Sukhatme PV (1967) Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. New Delhi: Indian Council of Agricultural Research, p. 381.
- Prakash A, Kumar M, Naresh RK, Malik S, Singh MK and Kumar V (2017) Divergence studies in chrysanthemum (Dendranthema grandiflora Tzeleve) based on agro-morphic traits. International Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences 5, 982–988.
- Qu CM, Zhang JC, Lv XQ, Chen JY and Chen RD (2012) The quantity analysis on phenotypic traits of chrysanthemum germplasm. Acta Horticulturae 977, 205–212.
- **Rao CR** (1952) Advance Statistical Methods in Biometric Research. New York, USA: John Willey & Sons.
- Roein Z, Asil MH, Sabouri A and Dadras AR (2014) Genetic structure of chrysanthemum genotypes from Iran assessed by AFLP markers and phenotypic traits. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **300**, 493–503.
- Saxena M, Bhattacharya S and Malhotra SK (2015) Overview: crop-wise area and production of horticultural crops, 2012–13 to 2014–15. In *Horticulture Statistics at a Glance 2015*. New Delhi: NHB, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, GOI, Oxford University Press, p. 17.
- Shim SI, Lim KB, Kim CK, Chung MY, Kim KM and Chung JD (2016) Morphological characteristics, and coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance of major cultivars of spray chrysanthemum. *Horticultural Science and Technology* 34, 269–281.
- Spaargaren J and van Geest G (2018) Chrysanthemum. In Van Huylenbroeck J (ed.), Ornamental Crops. Handbook of Plant Breeding, vol. 11. Switzerland: Springer, Cham, pp. 319–348.
- Telem RS, Sadhukhan R, Sarkar HK, Akoijam R, Haribhushan A and Wani SH (2017) Genetic studies for flower yield and component traits in *Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat. *Journal of Applied Natural Science* 9, 211–214.
- Yang X, Ao N, Qu Y, Wu Y, Su J, Ding L, Chen S, Jiang J, Guan Z, Chen F and Fang W (2020) Genetic characterization of anemone-type chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum × morifolium*) using floral morphology and SRAP markers. *Plant Breeding* 39, 419–427.
- Zhen LP, Yang J and Yu NJ (2013) Study on the characteristics of the lower leaf surface of wild chrysanthemum plants in Anhui. *Chinese Journal of Plant Science* **31**, 99–106.